Optimization of the reviewing process and assessing popularity of movies
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**Abstract.** Opinion analysis has become a flourishing frontier as of late. In this paper, we exhaustively study movie reviews from a popular online database. We randomly sample more than 1000 reviews with titles to train our model. It is capable of suggesting words during the process of appraising a film. It can intelligently anticipate the words that an appraiser is going to use from the title of his opinion. Furthermore, it has the potential to learn. Whenever it finds that it is unable to suggest words, it learns from the critic’s opinion. Moreover, this innovative model is able to compute the popularity of a film by examining the opinions. Thus, it simplifies the job of reviewing by making it quicker and effective. It labels a movie as ‘super-flop’, ’flop’, ‘cool’, ‘hit’ or ‘super-hit’ based on what the reviewers opine.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we discuss about our unique model to propose words to a reviewer while appraising a film. We use the online database of movies, IMDb to build our training and test set. Firstly, we request the appraiser to enter the name of the movie and the title of his opinion. We analyze this title and predict words he is most likely to use while reviewing. Then, we give him a turn to opine. We examine this opinion and compute score from it. Furthermore, we give him a chance to rate the film. We repeat this process for every user. Finally, for each movie we store every appraiser’s rating. We evaluate the mean from this and declare whether the movie is ‘super-flop’, ’flop’, ‘cool’, ‘hit’ or ‘super-hit’.

This model is beneficial as it makes the process of appraising faster and simpler. Users do not have to spend time wondering for words while reviewing a film. They don’t need to consider about the score they want to assign. They will receive suggestions at each and every step. Moreover, this model has the ability to learn which enhances its efficiency with usage.
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1. Problem definition

Given the name of a movie and title of an opinion about it, we predict the words an appraiser will probably use. We anticipate the score from what he opines. We have built our unique word corpora separate for positive and negative words. We compare the adjectives and adverbs of the opinion with our corpora to detect the degree of polarity of the film.

1. Experimental Evaluation

Index: SR= Suggestive Rating, UR= User Rating, MP= Movie Popularity, UN= User No. Note: Some of the user reviews have been shortened.

* Case-1

Movie name: Inception

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UN | Title | Suggestion | User Review | SR | UR | MP |
| 1 | Too much....WAY too much | average, ordinary, so-so , mediocre | What is going on with the IMDb user reviews lately? It's like the masses can no longer be trusted. In the last month, the users have decreed "Airbender" the worst abomination ever, when in fact it's just an average movie. | 3 | 3 | cool |
| 2 | Insanely Brilliant! Nolan has outdone himself!! | really, believable, potential, interesting, good, high, high, most, creative, highly | What is the most resilient parasite? An Idea! Yes, Nolan has created something with his unbelievably, incredibly and god- gifted mind which will blow the minds of the audience away. | 5 | 5 | hit |

* Case 2

Movie name: Apartment 1303 3D

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UN | Title | Suggestion | User Review | SR | UR | MP |
| 1 | Don't waste your money | don't, slower, dull, slowly, boredom, never | OK, my Summary basically wraps it up. Remember Eddie Murphy's joke about white people moving into haunted houses? "Oh, nice House." "Get out." "just a few ghosts we can handle that.' This movie is an embarrassment. | 3 | 1 | Super-flop |
| 2 | Waste of time!! | average, ordinary, so-so , mediocre | Where to start. This movie was crap. The acting is horrible. That girl cannot act. She sounds mannish and so mono toned throughout the movie. | 1 | 1 | Super-flop |

* Case-3

Movie name: The Godfather

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UN | Title | Suggestion | User Review | SR | UR | MP |
| 1 | "The Godfather" is pretty much flawless, and one of the greatest films ever made | good, acting, spectacular, especially, must-watch | Rather than concentrating on everything that is great about The Godfather, a much easier way for me to judge its quality is on what is bad about it. Almost every film has something that I don't like about it, but I can honestly say that I wouldn't change | 3 | 4 | hit |
| 2 | Magnificent portrait of organized crime | average, ordinary, so-so , mediocre | This is by far the best movie ever to give a portrait organized crime; this movie goes deep inside and shows it all inside out. | 5 | 5 | hit |

Here, we can see the popularity of a movie changes. This is because, when each reviewer is rating a movie, the overall score varies. Thus, the popularity of the film is affected.

1. Analysis

A=100-(|Q-R|/Q)\*100

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Movie name | IMDb Rating (out of 10)[=I] | IMDb Rating (out of 5)[=Q=I/2] | Rating by our model(out of 5)[=R] | % Accuracy [=A] |
| Inception | 8.8 | 4.4 | 4 | 90.91 |
| Apartment 1303 3D | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2 | 46.15 |
| The Godfather | 9.2 | 4.6 | 4 | 86.96 |

**Table 1.** Percentage Accuracy of predictive scores

Thus, it is obvious that our model is quite accurate for movies with higher ratings. For poorly rated movies our it seems to be inefficient. But, this is not so. For a lowly rated film the denominator ‘Q’ is comparable to the difference ‘|Q-R|’. This lowers the magnitude of % Accuracy, ‘A’. If we look at the numeric values of Q and R we can easily conclude that they are similar.

1. Conclusions

This ingenious model is quite handy, fast and fit for use. It saves time. There are few scopes of improvement. We have trained it using about 1000 reviews. It’s advisable to train it with more reviews to enhance its accuracy. Here, we check the polarity of a word by checking its presence in positive or negative corpora. This is not so efficient. Presence of a negative word within 3 words from another negative word makes the sense of sentence positive. Instead of suggesting too many words, it is recommended to suggest those with higher frequency of occurrences.
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