

# Rebuttal

Table 1: Node Classification Results with Conformal Baselines (Coverage  $\uparrow$  / Inefficiency  $\downarrow$ )

Dataset	CF-GNN [1]		DAPS [2]		RR-GNN (Ours)		Cluster-RR-GNN (Ours)	
Model	Cover	Ineff	Cover	Ineff	Cover	Ineff	Cover	Ineff
<b>Cora</b>								
GraphSAGE	0.9456 $\pm$ 0.0569	1.6284 $\pm$ 0.0483	0.9453 $\pm$ 0.0535	1.8025 $\pm$ 0.0421	0.9460 $\pm$ 0.0542	1.6100 $\pm$ 0.0415	<b>0.9463</b> $\pm$ 0.0509	<b>1.6076</b> $\pm$ 0.0397
SGC	0.9461 $\pm$ 0.0603	1.6633 $\pm$ 0.04415	0.9452 $\pm$ 0.0538	1.7856 $\pm$ 0.0426	0.9462 $\pm$ 0.0581	1.6297 $\pm$ 0.0428	<b>0.9468</b> $\pm$ 0.0662	<b>1.6017</b> $\pm$ 0.0465
GCN	0.9473 $\pm$ 0.0556	1.6344 $\pm$ 0.0418	0.9435 $\pm$ 0.053	1.7120 $\pm$ 0.0354	0.9432 $\pm$ 0.0573	1.6251 $\pm$ 0.0367	<b>0.9476</b> $\pm$ 0.0732	<b>1.6315</b> $\pm$ 0.0303
GAT	0.9464 $\pm$ 0.0702	1.6278 $\pm$ 0.0334	0.9480 $\pm$ 0.065	1.7052 $\pm$ 0.0384	0.9475 $\pm$ 0.0624	1.6146 $\pm$ 0.0351	<b>0.9491</b> $\pm$ 0.0539	<b>1.6254</b> $\pm$ 0.0396
<b>DBLP</b>								
GraphSAGE	0.9501 $\pm$ 0.0523	1.5723 $\pm$ 0.0683	0.9500 $\pm$ 0.0420	1.6436 $\pm$ 0.0627	0.9499 $\pm$ 0.0531	1.5351 $\pm$ 0.0473	<b>0.9503</b> $\pm$ 0.0510	<b>1.5607</b> $\pm$ 0.0487
SGC	<b>0.9451</b> $\pm$ 0.0617	1.5274 $\pm$ 0.0416	0.9427 $\pm$ 0.0526	1.6020 $\pm$ 0.0317	0.9462 $\pm$ 0.0528	1.4286 $\pm$ 0.0541	0.9443 $\pm$ 0.0462	<b>1.3921</b> $\pm$ 0.0624
GCN	<b>0.9473</b> $\pm$ 0.0596	1.5644 $\pm$ 0.0733	0.9458 $\pm$ 0.0565	1.6384 $\pm$ 0.0703	0.9458 $\pm$ 0.0702	1.5512 $\pm$ 0.0295	0.9430 $\pm$ 0.0713	<b>1.5491</b> $\pm$ 0.0278
GAT	0.9467 $\pm$ 0.0717	1.5729 $\pm$ 0.0463	0.9455 $\pm$ 0.0685	1.6493 $\pm$ 0.0455	0.9485 $\pm$ 0.0589	1.5725 $\pm$ 0.0349	<b>0.9491</b> $\pm$ 0.0539	<b>1.5720</b> $\pm$ 0.0322
<b>CiteSeer</b>								
GraphSAGE	0.9528 $\pm$ 0.0203	1.1680 $\pm$ 0.0439	0.9501 $\pm$ 0.0195	1.3425 $\pm$ 0.0412	0.9538 $\pm$ 0.0853	1.1621 $\pm$ 0.0552	<b>0.9540</b> $\pm$ 0.0926	<b>1.1679</b> $\pm$ 0.0605
SGC	0.9525 $\pm$ 0.0257	1.1827 $\pm$ 0.0552	0.9513 $\pm$ 0.0245	1.3578 $\pm$ 0.0525	0.9579 $\pm$ 0.0536	1.1782 $\pm$ 0.0415	<b>0.9594</b> $\pm$ 0.0582	<b>1.1898</b> $\pm$ 0.0399
GCN	0.9496 $\pm$ 0.0392	1.2310 $\pm$ 0.0332	<b>0.9520</b> $\pm$ 0.036	1.4026 $\pm$ 0.0327	0.9512 $\pm$ 0.0358	1.2189 $\pm$ 0.0276	0.9518 $\pm$ 0.0373	<b>1.2153</b> $\pm$ 0.0290
GAT	0.9508 $\pm$ 0.0309	1.2396 $\pm$ 0.0416	0.9513 $\pm$ 0.0291	1.4152 $\pm$ 0.0393	0.9535 $\pm$ 0.0447	1.2085 $\pm$ 0.0361	<b>0.9548</b> $\pm$ 0.0491	<b>1.2020</b> $\pm$ 0.0392

Coverage ( $\uparrow$ ): Empirical coverage rate (target:  $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ )

Table 2: Conditional Coverage Evaluation of RR-GNN (Subgroups with Small Variations)

Condition Type	Subgroup	$\alpha = 0.1$	$\alpha = 0.2$	$\alpha = 0.3$
3*Cluster-Conditional	Cluster 1	0.9023	0.8041	0.7124
	Cluster 2	0.9015	0.8034	0.7085
	Cluster 3	0.8967	0.7945	0.6845
4*Class-Conditional	Class A	0.9018	0.8075	0.7064
	Class B	0.8986	0.7914	0.6947
	Class C	0.9047	0.8035	0.7016
	Class D	0.8935	0.7942	0.6964

Table 3: Overall Coverage at Different  $\alpha$  Values on Edge Weight Prediction Task on Cora(Close to  $1 - \alpha$ , within  $\pm 5\%$ )

$\alpha$ Value	Ineff
0.05	1.6315
0.10	1.5576
0.15	1.5528
0.20	1.2468
0.25	1.1178
0.30	1.0373

Table 4: Coverage Across Different Set Sizes (Close to  $1 - \alpha$ , within  $\pm 1\%$ )

Set Size	Node Count	Coverage ( $\alpha = 0.1$ )
Small (2: Dataset: Twitter)	81,306	0.8957
Medium (5: Dataset: CiteSeer)	3,327	0.9036
Large (10: Dataset: OGBN-Arxiv)	169,343	0.9014