

# Pitch the way VCs think

## Presenting with emotion

**1**

## **How to develop an effective fundraising deck**

**2**

**Examples of what works and what doesn't**

**1**

**Develop your company narrative**

**2**

**Follow the VC thought process**

**3**

**Basic rules for putting together a deck**

# Start with everything you want to say

## REASONS TO INVEST

### Data/Technology

- First provider of video
- Low-cost gizmos enable locations to be monitored on a weekly basis, impossible with current technology
- Will be able to image anywhere within 90 minutes, no capability exists to do so in less than a day
- Will be able to downlink imagery every two weeks, nearly 10x competitors of today
- Business intelligence will lead a transition from mapping to monitoring
- Exponential increase in customer base
- Can launch latest advanced commercial electronics into space 5x faster than competitors
- Designed world's highest performance gizmo with data costs less than 1/20 that of competitors
- Developed proprietary designs for world's lowest cost gizmo system

### Business

- High barrier to entry for potential competitors (technology, regulatory, capex, specialized experience)
- A \$4.5M gizmo has the capacity to generate \$60M+ in revenue over its 2 year lifetime

### Initial market

- Currently a \$1.5B+ addressable market
- Today's two providers operate at software-like gross margins
- Positive response from lead customers with deep pockets (Google, Microsoft, oil & gas sector)
- Will be cash flow positive off first gizmo(2013)

### Huge potential market

- Today, X is a \$1.5B market ,Y is a \$3B market, and Z is a \$6-8B market, Gizmo will revolutionize all 3
- Automate monitoring of land, vehicles, infrastructure & facilities (billions of dollars annually)
- Market research reports have consistent potential for gizmo to be a \$10B industry

### Team

- Gizmo team among world experts in microsatellite technology
- Unique combination of silicon valley start-up experience with strong Stanford ties

## REASONS NOT TO INVEST


- Launch vehicle delay or failure
- 1 fails before 2 year design lifetime
- US Government regulation
- Customer product requirements mandate scope creep & cost increases
- Technology development results in cost increases & delays
- Delay in recruiting remainder of team
- Large information product market fails to materialize
- Anchor customers reduce data budgets
- Actual images fail to meet lead customer requirements
- Competitors match Gizmo's low commercial pricing
- Lower cost monitoring solutions materialize
- Payload supplier can't deliver on time/on budget
- Automated analysis capabilities require more time/effort to implement than anticipated
- US Government commissions similar to Gizmo
- Gizmo security compromised
- Foreign government competes with Gizmo

\*Also, see KV consumer [fundraising deck checklist](#)

# Narrow down the 3-5 major takeaways

Emphasize the actual reason to invest, not just the facts

REASONS TO INVEST	FACTS
Greed	\$10M gizmo generates \$60M high margin revenue
10X data over competitors	Low risk, very low CAPEX approach to rapid & extensive monitoring
Technical advantage	Proprietary high data rate system = 95% lower data costs; 900% more data
Large existing markets with huge potential	Revolutionizing \$1.5B sensing, \$3B GIS, \$6B BI markets
Easy economics, if we get to stage 1, then we've achieved our goal	First gizmo = cash flow positive company



## Suggested reading

Fascinate: Your 7 Triggers to Persuasion and Captivation by Sally Hogshead

1

**Develop your company narrative**

2

**Follow the VC thought process**

3

**Basic rules for putting together a deck**

# Address investor fears directly

Recognize the reasons they may not want to invest

Contingency for delay or failure

Gizmo fails before 2-year design lifetime

Technology development delays and cost increases

Automated analysis technology risks

Large market fails to materialize

# Ask the same questions VCs will ask you

Mission: What pain does company alleviate?

Reasons to invest

Risks and mitigation strategies

Team: How good are you?

Financials with cash flow: How dangerous?

Appendix: Answer all the critical questions

- 1 Develop your company narrative
- 2 Address investor fears directly
- 3 Basic rules for putting together a deck

# Budget the length of your presentation

Max: 20-25 slides

REASONS TO INVEST	NUMBER OF SLIDES
\$10M gizmo generates \$60M high margin revenue	1
Low risk, very low CAPEX approach to rapid and extensive monitoring	3
Proprietary high data rate system = 95% lower data costs; 900% more data	3
Revolutionizing \$1.5B sensing, \$3B GIS, \$6B BI markets; Competition	5
First gizmo = cash flow positive company	2
OTHER INFORMATION AND MESSAGES	NUMBER OF SLIDES
Risks: Well planned for contingencies	3
Team: Very good but “additional needs”	1
Financials: Upside revenue, reasonable cash flows, CAPEX, low burn rate	3
Others: What you deliver with each series? Contingencies?	1

**Have a backup slide for every question you might encounter (put these slides in your appendix section)**  
**You will impress your audience if you have thought of all the possible questions**

# Tips for slide design

No clutter: Where does the eye go first?

Don't use up the entire slide: Leave space at the edges

Examine every word and image: Are they absolutely necessary?

Text should be 1-line (titles, bullet points, etc.)

Will your audience understand each slide immediately?

Superlatives don't mean anything: Show, don't tell

Start with an agenda and repeat where you are in the agenda throughout

# If it's hard to read, then it's hard to do

If we want people to adopt a new behavior, instructions need to be:

1. Semantically clear
2. Visually easy-to-read

Otherwise the behavior will seem too demanding.

## EXAMPLE A

When researchers presented exercise instructions in easy-to-read font type, **Arial**, readers guessed the exercise would only take **8.2 minutes** to complete

## EXAMPLE B

*When presented with identical instructions in more difficult-to-read font type, **Brush Script MT**, readers guessed the exercise would take more than 15 minutes to complete*

# Be consistent

Make all your numbers match: Be sure your P&L is consistent throughout

Verbal descriptions should be consistent

Clearly label all charts and graphs

Tie details through the appendix

1

**How to develop an effective fundraising deck**

2

**Examples of what works and what doesn't**

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# No clear message or take away

Example of what doesn't work



## Glucose Monitors Today

**ZYOMED**

### Strips

- Type 1 (need 8-10/day; test 2-4/day)
- Type 2 (need 1-2/day; test 2-4/day)
- \$8B spend annually; CAGR 5.3%



### Continuous Monitoring

- reimbursed only for Type 1 (\$5k/yr)
- semi-invasive
- 2-4 strips still required for daily calibration
- sensors replaced 3 or 7 days
- Medtronic, Dexcom, Abbot (~200M)
- skin infections



# Slight improvement

Clear message but still cluttered



**Our mission: No more finger sticks**

Convenient, infection-free non-invasive glucose measurement in real-time throughout the day & night



 insulin dosing type 1  
 insulin/oral meds type 2  
 gestational diabetes  
 performance athlete  
 worried well



# Focus on the visceral punch

Example that gets to the heart of the company mission



- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# State the reasons to invest upfront

Make it easy for the audience to understand immediately



## Reasons to invest in Zyomed

Only solution to a critical need for 362M chronic diabetics

Silicon-realizable invention cuts across all glycemic use-cases

Skeptical evaluation team concludes: “shockingly good results”

Team with strong science & area expertise

\$8m to device ~~prototype~~ & science validation in multi-center trials

Easy path to Series C & billion dollar market

# Title should explain your company mission

Make the content easy to consume



## Zyomed revolutionizes diabetes care



Only solution to a critical need for 362M chronic diabetics

Technology: Silicon-realizable invention cuts across all glycemic use-cases

Skeptical evaluation team concludes: “shockingly good results”

Team with strong science and area expertise

\$8m to device prototype & science validation in multi-center trials

Easy path to series C & billion dollar market

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 **Slide titles are the key takeaway**
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# There should only be one message per slide

Example of a cluttered slide with no clear message

**How?**

The slide is titled "How?" in large white letters at the top. A large red "X" is positioned on the left side. The content is organized into several sections:

- Logos:** DigitalGlobe and GeoEye.
- Technology Components:** A green circuit board, a black integrated circuit chip, a blue SD card, and a 3D rendering of a complex mechanical assembly.
- Satellite Illustration:** A detailed 3D rendering of a satellite with solar panels and a large parabolic dish antenna.
- Text:** "Company product cost approximately \$XX to build and launch vs \$XX for our competitors".
- Global Coverage:** A globe with a network of white arrows indicating data flow or coverage.
- Remote Sensing:** A color-coded map showing land use or environmental data with a legend labeled "ET" and values 0, 2, 5, 8.
- Summary:** "With 36 satellites, company will be" followed by a list of bullet points.

**Large Red X**

DigitalGlobe	CHIP TECHNOLOGIES
GeoEye	
Green Circuit Board	Black IC Chip
3D Mechanical Assembly	Blue SD Card

\$XX vs. \$XXX,XXX main processor  
Commercial Camera-derived imaging chip vs custom aerospace line scanner

Company product cost approximately \$XX to build and launch vs \$XX for our competitors


With 36 satellites, company will be

# Slide titles should be the takeaway

Use declarative statements



## Validated Low Cost Satellites



- 1 State the problem**
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront**
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway**
- 4 Declutter slides**
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective**
- 6 Clearly layout financials**
- 7 Emphasize team advantages**
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk**
- 9 The fundraising ask is key**
- 10 Analogies are effective**
- 11 Use emotion**
- 12 Engineer the investor email**

# Don't make the audience do the work



## Satellite Comparison



	COMP A	COMP B
Weight	XX	XX
<b>Cost</b>		
Satellite Bus	XX	XX
Optical Payload	XX	XX
Launch	XX	XX
Insurance	XX	XX
Total Satellite CapEx	XX	XX
Amortized cost to image Earth's landmass	XX	XX

# Slides should be immediately understandable




**96% lower cost than the competition**

	Company A	Competitors
Revenue/km <sup>2</sup>	\$XX	\$XX
CapEx/km <sup>2</sup>	\$XX	\$XX
OpEx/km <sup>2</sup>	\$XX	\$XX
Total Cost/km <sup>2</sup>	\$XX	\$XX


# Cluttered slide muddies takeaway

Bland title does not get the message across



# Emphasize your key message

Titles and subtitles are opportunities to get your point across



# No more than 25 words on a slide

Titles should only take up one-line



**The production process combines a proven technology with a proprietary catalyst**

**KiOR**

BCC process leverages proven fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) technology

- The FCC unit is the most important conversion unit in a refinery
- FCC technology has been in operation in refineries for over 60 years and produces over 50 billion gallons of gasoline annually
- Well-known scale up and cost
- Minimal retrofit for biomass feed

KiOR uses a novel and proprietary catalyst in its BCC process

- KiOR has spent over 2 years developing, testing, and manufacturing its proprietary catalyst
- The catalyst is feedstock flexible with virtually any source of biomass

- It is lower cost and simpler to produce versus a traditional FCC catalyst

KiOR is currently proving the viability of its BCC process at a demonstration facility which can produce 15 barrels of renewable crude per day from woodchips

# Be succinct



**Scale-ready technology: 15 bbl/day demo operational**



## **Proven fluid catalytic cracking (FCC)**

- FCC used in every refinery
- Well characterized scale up & cost



## **Proprietary novel catalyst**

- Flexible with virtually any source of biomass
- Lower cost than traditional FCC catalyst

# No superfluous words, colors or images



**Study - Enabling 2 Unmet Needs**

**ZYOMED**

**GLYCEMIC WELLNESS**  
(worried well/obese/prediabetics)

**Range Prediction Alg.**

- Red: <80mg
- Green: between 80 - 180mg/dl
- Yellow: >180mg/dl

HBA1C testing  
OGTT (if warranted by PCP)

**GLUCOSE MONITORING**  
(Type-1 & Type-2)

**Glucose Value Prediction**

BGL: 221 mg/dl  
Rate: +1.82mgl/dl/min

Replace both current CGMS and Finger Stick Meters  
Feedback control of insulin pumps

**ENDGAME**

Wearables

- watch, jewelry
- arm-band
- headband
- glasses

- smart-phone form factor
- clip-on units
- Integrated with pumps
-  - watch

# Marginal improvement



## Ultimate Challenge




### Non-invasive glucose monitoring unsolved for 40 years

- many have tried (C8, J&J, Abbott, InLight, Sensys)

### Thru skin challenge for optical methods

.01%-.1% of signal intensity changes due to glucose variations

99.99%-99.9% signal variation in feature intensities due to tissue scattering, variable diffusion, patient's variability



### Glucose drowned in interference

- required signal-to-clutter enhancement — 4 to 5 orders of magnitude
- outside reach of conventional signal processing

EXTREMELY HIGH  
TECHNICAL BARRIER

# Highlight company advantage

Don't obscure or simplify technological breakthroughs




## 10,000x enhancement achieved

Optical non-invasive problem was unsolved for 40 years



0.01% light absorption due to tissue glucose  
99.99% absorption due to confounders



Near-Infrared photon-in

### SKIN LAYER COMPLEXITY

#### Epidermis

#### Dermis

- Blood vessels, interstitial fluid, collagen bundles

#### Subcutaneous Tissue

- Fat cells

# Too much text on a slide is distracting



## Clinical Trial Results



### Human IRB Clinical Study at Sansum Diabetes Hospital, Santa Barbara

#### Blinded Trial (ZYO03) July '13 - Feb '14

- 9 Type 1 diabetic; most on insulin pumps
- daily life cycle with no control of food or insulin administration
- 8-10 hours of data acquisition on two visits (18 total visits)
- non-invasive measurement at 15-20 min. intervals (~30/day)
- compared with invasive Finger Stick, Alternate Site & FDA-approved CGMS



#### Calibration data using earlier Lab and Clinical studies ZYO01/ZYO02

- 11 unblinded Type 1 visits - scored with strong, partial and poor tracking
- acquired with different instrument configuration and NIR detector
- Oct '12-thru May '13 vintage

# Focus on your core message

Title is the takeaway



## Achievement Better than FDA Approved Devices



**ZYOMED: 12.4% best in class!**

**Medtronic: Published MARD: 16%**

**Dexcom: Published MARD: 13-16%**

Sensors	Pairs	MARD (SD)	Median
7193	90,472	15.89 (16.86) 18.14 (17.48)	11.56 11.85


<sup>a</sup> The PRT calibration algorithm is represented as bold following results of the Veo calibration algorithm.

Parameter	DG4P	DSP	P value
Sensors (n)	108	67	—
Number of samples paired with reference (YSI)	13,538	1,827	—
%20/20 mg/dL	82%	76%	<0.0001
MAD (mg/dL)	21	25	<0.0001
MARD	13%	16%	<0.0001

Human IRB Clinical Study at Sansum Diabetes Hospital, Santa Barbara

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Top down market projection reduces credibility



# Bottom up analysis is more convincing



## \$1.5B widget market opportunity



	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
Worldwide widget shipments	450	525	600	675
Installed base of widgets	1,300	1,565	1,852	2,157
Widgets with expansion port shipped	70	140	250	375
Widgets with semi-link shipped phones	70	196	407	700
% that can be updated	10%	15%	10%	10%
Number of updates per year	1	1.5	2	2.5
Price/update	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$4.00	\$3.50
Annual Opportunity	\$35	\$221	\$651	\$1,532

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Too much financial data is overwhelming



(\$000) <b>Unaudited</b>	2009 Actual	Q1 2010	Q2 2010	Q3 2010	Q4 2010	2010 Forecast	Q1 2011	Q2 2011	Q3 2011	Q4 2011	2011 Forecast
		Actual	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
<b>Revenue</b>											
Aftermarket Modules	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2500.0	2500.0
License/NRE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2500.0	0.0	2500.0
Total Revenue	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2500.0	2500.0	5000.0
Gross Margin	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2500.0	2500.0	5000.0
GM Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100%	100%	100%
<b>Expenses</b>											
Compensation											
R&D	2147.5	662.0	757.8	972.0	1074.3	3466.1	1196.0	1324.0	1400.0	1500.0	5420.0
Marketing & BD	0.0	0.0	60.0	120.0	200.0	380.0	240.1	300.0	325.0	340.0	1205.1
GBA	303.5	100.3	96.3	115.0	125.0	436.6	125.0	125.0	135.0	140.0	525.0
Total Compensation	2451.0	762.3	914.1	1207.0	1399.3	4282.7	1561.1	1749.0	1860.0	1980.0	7150.1
Benefits	486.4	102.0	228.5	301.8	349.8	982.1	390.3	437.3	465.0	495.0	1787.5
Consulting	594.4	211.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	562.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	468.0
Depreciation	175.3	66.6	106.4	127.7	148.9	449.6	165.0	180.0	205.0	230.0	780.0
Other Expenses	1057.2	216.4	376.0	385.0	407.6	1385.0	451.5	451.5	455.0	455.0	1813.0
Total Expenses	4784.3	1358.3	1742.0	2138.5	2422.6	7661.4	2684.9	2934.8	3102.0	3277.0	11998.6
Less: Patent Capitalization	-262.1	-47.6	-75.0	-75.0	-75.0	-272.6	-75.0	-75.0	-75.0	-75.0	-300.0
Net Operating Expenses	4502.2	1310.7	1667.0	2063.5	2347.6	7388.8	2609.9	2859.8	3027.0	3202.0	11698.6
Net Operating Margin	-4502.2	-1310.7	-1667.0	-2063.5	-2347.6	-7388.8	-2609.9	-2859.8	-527.0	-702.0	-6698.6
Ending Headcount	21	21	30	40	45	45	50	55	60	60	60
Capital Expenditures	-477.1	-156.4	-370.0	-370.0	-370.0	-1266.4	-370.0	-370.0	-370.0	-370.0	-1480.0
Other Expenditures/Accruals	-172.8	62.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Interest Income	33.6	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cash Beginning	7891.7	2682.8	1296.7	15793.1	13413.3	2682.8	10769.6	7879.7	4755.0	3988.0	10769.6
Cash Burn	-5205.3	-1386.1	-2003.6	-2379.8	-2643.7	-8413.2	-2889.9	-3124.8	-767.0	-917.0	-7698.6
Investment - Net	-3.6	0.0	<b>16500.0</b>	0.0	0.0	<b>16500.0</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cash Ending	2682.8	1296.7	15793.1	13413.3	10769.6	10769.6	7879.7	4755.0	3988.0	3071.0	3071.0

# Less is more

7 rows or fewer



	Q3'10	Q4'10	Q1'11	Q2'11	Q3'11	Q4'11	Q1'12	Q2'12	Q3'12	Q4'12
Revenue	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	950	2,400
COGS	—	—	—	—	—	—	36	36	550	550
OpEx	1,083	3,432	1,679	2,851	2,075	1,604	1,906	1,588	731	1,751
EBITDA	-2,141	-3,489	-1,729	-2,845	-2,129	-1,581	-1,950	-1,459	-394	78
Cash Flow	25,113	-767	-1,021	-1,600	-433	-307	-1,575	-32	-1,061	-273
Capex	2,355	867	1,116	1,509	255	182	1,396	—	785	21

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Show the strength of your team

Don't just list names and logos



## Team

### John Smith

Chief Executive Officer

### Jane Smith

Chief Technology Officer

### Stacy Smith

Chief Marketing Officer

### Neville Smith

Director

Current Headcount: 14

### Team Background



### Space Mission Experience



# Be selective about who you include

Show the team that is directly responsible for your success



## Uniquely qualified team

Over 20+ years of combined space experience

### **John Smith, CEO/Co-founder**

- PhD - optimal design of spacecraft (NASA/Stanford)
- Space shuttle operations (NASA)

### **Jane Smith, CTO/Co-founder**

- Co-founded micro satellite communications manufacturer
- Program Manager, Stanford Space Systems Laboratory

### **Stacy Smith, VP Government/Co-founder**

- National Reconnaissance Office: Program Manager
- Stanford University (MBA '09), MIT (MS EE/CS '01)

### **Neville Smith, Director, Image Processing**

- PhD Efficient Multiframe Superresolution Enhancement
- 13 patents in image processing and enhancement

### **KEY ADVISORS**

**Mark Leslie**  
Leslie Ventures

**James Cutler, PhD**  
Microsatellite Expert & Professor University Michigan

**Marc Tremblay, PhD**  
Former VP Commercial Business, DigitalGlobe

**Rob Shanks**  
Former CEO, GlobeExplorer

### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**Pierre Lamond**  
Khosla Ventures

**Gordon Eubanks**  
Independent Director

**Mark Leslie**  
Independent Director

# Emphasize team advantages



## Uniquely qualified team

Over 20+ years of combined space experience

### **John Smith, CEO/Co-founder**

- PhD - optimal design of spacecraft (NASA/Stanford)
- Space shuttle operations (NASA)

### **Jane Smith, CTO/Co-founder**

- Co-founded micro satellite communications manufacturer
- Program Manager, Stanford Space Systems Laboratory

### **Stacy Smith, VP Government/Co-founder**

- National Reconnaissance Office: Program Manager
- Stanford University (MBA '09), MIT (MS EE/CS '01)

### **Neville Smith, Director, Image Processing**

- PhD Efficient Multiframe Superresolution Enhancement
- 13 patents in image processing and enhancement

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Investors want to know you are proactively managing risk

Share this information in detail



Proactively mitigating risks		
	Risk	Mitigation
Satellite	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Optic over time &amp; budget</li><li>2. Satellite build delayed past launch window</li><li>3. Satellite fails to initialize on-orbit</li><li>4. Satellite fails to meet 2 year lifetime</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Firm-fixed price contract</li><li>2. - 4. Second satellite built and launched 6 months after first (dependent on incremental Series B funding)</li></ul>
Regulatory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. FCC licensing</li><li>2. Export license denial (launch)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. 2 pre-consultations completed, govt-centric board member</li><li>2. Top-tier regulatory attorneys</li></ul>
Launch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Launch provider delay</li><li>2. Launch failure</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Second launch slot 6 months following first (Dependent on incremental Series B funding)</li><li>2. Insured launch, second satellite built, launched in 6 months (dependent on incremental Series B funding)</li></ul>
Team	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Hiring: technical team</li><li>2. Hiring: executive level</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Extensive technical network</li><li>2. Current recruiter relationships</li></ul>
Market	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Conditional contracts fail to materialize</li><li>2. Image quality doesn't meet user needs</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Meetings with lead customers T-24 months from launch</li><li>2. Optic provider track record</li></ul>

- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Make your ask clear

Funding history should demonstrate accomplishments



Funding History & Milestones			
Round	Series A	Series A-1	Today
<b>DATE</b>	<b>Aug 2010</b>	<b>Oct 2011</b>	<b>April 2014</b>
<b>Status</b>	Viewgraph • Fresh approach	Demonstrated 1mg/dl glucose detection sensitivity in blood • in-vitro • used 3rd party FDA dataset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Invented</li><li>- Spectroscopic Tomography</li><li>- Ct-scan equivalent for non-invasive biochemistry</li><li>- Universal Calibration</li><li>• Built-platform; proved in lab</li><li>• Clinical Human Study - MARD of 12.5% in blinded Type 1 patent study with external PI</li><li>• Demonstrated better accuracy over FDA approved CGMS</li><li>• Path to approval for diabetics</li><li>• Path for Miniaturization - consumer &amp; diabetic products</li><li>• Business validation - Samsung due diligence for consumer glucose watch collaboration</li></ul>
	<b>Team - 1.5 FTE</b>	<b>Team - 1.5</b>	<b>Gene Pool Team - 19 (10FTE + 9 Consultants)</b>
<b>Pre-money valuation</b>	<b>\$2M</b>	<b>\$7M</b>	
<b>Investment \$</b>	<b>\$1M</b>	<b>\$3M</b>	



# Explain how you will use the new funding

What milestones have and will be completed


## \$xx m Series B deliverables...

	Completed	Series B	Post Series B
Satellite	Designed	In Space	Scaled to Constellation
Regulatory	NOAA License Granted	FCC License Granted	Constellation Licensing
Launch	3 quotes obtained	Launch Contract/Launch	Constellation Launch
Market Adoption	Google/Microsoft/Oil & Gas/ US Government Deep Dives	Initial Revenue	Scale
New Applications	250 Interviews Completed	Beta Testing	Scale



- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Analogies can work for you



- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Finish with a flourish

Use emotion to capture your audience's imagination



- 1 State the problem
- 2 Give the reasons to invest upfront
- 3 Slide titles are the key takeaway
- 4 Declutter slides
- 5 Bottom up market projections are more effective
- 6 Clearly layout financials
- 7 Emphasize team advantages
- 8 Explain how you are proactively managing risk
- 9 The fundraising ask is key
- 10 Analogies are effective
- 11 Use emotion
- 12 Engineer the investor email

# Your goal is to engineer the investors' email

Bias the investment team in your favor



Prysm -- opportunity - Message (HTML)

To... Vinod Khosla; Pierre Lamond; David Weiden; Samir Kaul; Jim Kim; Gideon Yu; Ramy Adeeb;  
Cc...  
Subject: Prysm -- opportunity

Team,

Reviewed a very interesting company today.

- Opportunity: 100 inch plus displays at ¼ the price of best in class competitive technologies.
- Technology: Laser TV on phosphorus screen.
- Competitive advantages: COGS—utilizes off the shelf components. Bezel—it has none, enabling stackable displays. Energy—20% of competitive technologies.
- Risks: Valuation is high. Market adoption may be slower than company expects.

I would like to discuss on Monday and get help with diligence calls.

Alex

Alex Kinnier  
Khosla Ventures  
Work: 650-376-8528  
ak@khoslaventures.com  
3000 Sand Hill Road, Bldg 3, Suite 170  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

The message sent  
is not the same as the  
message received

