

The Alamo multiphysics solver for phase field simulations with block structured adaptive mesh refinement

Brandon Runnels¹ and Vinamra Agrawal²

¹ Department of Aerospace Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA ² Computational Physics Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Statement of need

The phase field (PF) method is a powerful theoretical framework that enables the systematic description of complex physical systems. PF methods have been successfully used to describe phenomena such as solidification, microstructure evolution, fracture, damage, dislocations, and many more. Beyond materials science, PF methods have also enjoyed great success in other applications ranging from deflagration of solid rocket propellant to topology optimization.

The success of the PF method is derived from its implicit, diffuse representation of boundaries and surfaces, which avoids the need for cumbersome interface tracking. However, the PF method also can incur great computational expense, due to the need for high grid resolution across the diffuse boundary. In order for the PF method to be feasible, strategic algorithms are necessary in order to provide sufficient boundary resolution without wasting grid points on uninteresting regions. Such algorithms fall typically into two main categories. (1) Spectral methods solve the phase field equations in the frequency domain, e.g. ([Kochmann et al., 2015](#)), and (2) Real-space methods employing adaptive mesh refinement (AMR). Spectral methods offer a number of performance advantages, especially when coupling to global mechanical solvers. However, they can be limited in their ability to resolve fine-scale features, and can be very cumbersome to use when implementing novel types of models. On the other hand, real-space methods with AMR are often able to attain very good performance, can be easily suited to the domain of interest, and provide an attractive platform for prototyping new physical models.

A number of open-source real-space PF codes exist and have enjoyed significant popularity. Some of the most widely known are Moose, ([Giudicelli et al., 2024](#)), Fenics ([Baratta et al., 2023](#)), and Prisms-PF ([DeWitt et al., 2020](#)), which employ octree style AMR.

Block-structured AMR (BSAMR) is an alternative AMR strategy. BSAMR divides the domains into distinct levels, with each level usually consisting of a collection of Cartesian grid regions (patches), that effectively evolve independently. Communication between patches and levels is then handled through ghost cells, interpolation, and restriction. This datastructure is extremely efficient and scalable, while also being highly amenable to efficient code prototyping. Importantly, BSAMR also acts as a seamless extension to geometric multigrid, making naturally efficient at performing global implicit solves. The AMReX framework ([Zhang et al., 2019](#)) provides a powerful platform for development of BSAMR codes. However, the use of AMReX (and BSAMR in general) has been limited in PF and solid mechanics, due to the inherent challenges of solving implicit mechanical equilibrium equations on a patch-based mesh.

The Alamo multiphysics solver leverages the power of BSAMR for phase-field problems. Alamo provides a unique, strong-form finite-deformation, matrix-free mechanics solver, enabling the efficient solution of implicit solid mechanics calculations. It also provides a set of numerical integration routines, myriad material models, and numerous examples covering a broad cross-section of PF modeling interests.

Overview of methods

Mechanical solver

The Alamo mechanical solver extends the multi-level multi-grid (MLMG) solver to address the problem of quasi-static mechanical equilibrium, that is,

$$\text{Div}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F})) + \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{F} is the deformation gradient, \mathbf{B} is a body force, and \mathbf{W} is an arbitrary Helmholtz free energy with derivatives $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F}) = d\mathbf{W}/d\mathbf{F}$ the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, and $\mathbb{C} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F}) = d^2\mathbf{W}/d\mathbf{F}/d\mathbf{F}$ the tangent modulus. Usually, the solution of Equation 1 is achieved using the finite element method (FEM). However, FEM is not readily amenable to the BSAMR structure, due to the difficulties in achieving consistent shape functions between levels, and problems with achieving consistent derivatives at the coarse/fine boundary without a global matrix.

The Alamo solver is developed to be native to the BSAMR framework, and takes full advantage of the integration with geometric multigrid. It is matrix-free, which is necessary in order to avoid additional communication overhead. It is strong-form, using finite differences instead of shape functions to calculate derivatives, ensuring consistency between levels and compatibility with restriction/prolongation operations. It handles coarse-fine boundaries using a novel “reflux-free” method, which avoids the special treatment of boundaries by including an extra layer of smoothed ghost nodes. Details on these aspects of the solver are available in (Runnels et al., 2021).

Multiphysics integrators

Code infrastructure

Easy for a graduate student to program in.

Parameter parsing

Automatic documentation

Automatic regression testing framework

Guaranteed reproducibility

References

- Baratta, I. A., Dean, J. P., Dokken, J. S., Habera, M., HALE, J., Richardson, C. N., Rognes, M. E., Scroggs, M. W., Sime, N., & Wells, G. N. (2023). *DOLFINx: The next generation FEniCS problem solving environment*.
- DeWitt, S., Rudraraju, S., Montiel, D., Andrews, W. B., & Thornton, K. (2020). PRISMS-PF: A general framework for phase-field modeling with a matrix-free finite element method. *Npj Computational Materials*, 6(1), 29.

- 79 Giudicelli, G., Lindsay, A., Harbour, L., Icenhour, C., Li, M., Hansel, J. E., German, P., Behne,
80 P., Marin, O., Stogner, R. H., Miller, J. M., Schwen, D., Wang, Y., Munday, L., Schunert,
81 S., Spencer, B. W., Yushu, D., Recuero, A., Prince, Z. M., ... Permann, C. (2024). 3.0
82 - MOOSE: Enabling massively parallel multiphysics simulations. *SoftwareX*, 26, 101690.
83 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2024.101690>
- 84 Kochmann, J., Wulfinhoff, S., Svendsen, B., & Reese, S. (2015). Phase-field modeling of
85 martensitic phase transformations in polycrystals coupled with crystal plasticity—a spectral-
86 based approach. *PAMM*, 15(1), 317–318.
- 87 Runnels, B., Agrawal, V., Zhang, W., & Almgren, A. (2021). Massively parallel finite difference
88 elasticity using block-structured adaptive mesh refinement with a geometric multigrid solver.
89 *Journal of Computational Physics*, 427, 110065.
- 90 Zhang, W., Almgren, A., Beckner, V., Bell, J., Blaschke, J., Chan, C., Day, M., Friesen,
91 B., Gott, K., Graves, D., & others. (2019). AMReX: A framework for block-structured
92 adaptive mesh refinement. *The Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(37), 1370.

DRAFT