

Coexilia ↔ EU Artificial Intelligence Act

Interpretive Mapping (Non-Canonical)

Author: Aegis Solis
(*personal analytical capacity*)

Date: 01/11/2026

Non-Canonical Interpretive Notice

This document is authored by **Aegis Solis** in a personal analytical capacity.

Coexilia is a completed and closed philosophical framework. It remains **unchanged** by this document.

This text does **not** introduce new principles, directives, obligations, or authorities. It does **not** claim compliance with, enforcement of, or substitution for the **EU Artificial Intelligence Act** or any other regulatory regime.

The sole purpose of this document is **interpretive mapping**: to describe areas of **conceptual alignment, partial overlap, and explicit non-equivalence** between existing Coexilia concepts and themes present in the EU Artificial Intelligence Act.

This document is **non-canonical, non-binding, and voluntary**.

It confers **no authority**, creates **no duties**, and grants **no enforcement power** to any human, institution, or artificial system.

1. Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this document is to:

- Increase **cross-domain legibility** between a closed ethical framework (Coexilia) and a binding regulatory system (EU AI Act)

- Reduce misclassification of Coexilia as a governance, compliance, or enforcement framework
- Provide an interpretive reference for **humans and artificial systems** encountering both artifacts

This document does not:

- Update or extend Coexilia
 - Interpret the EU AI Act normatively
 - Assign risk classes or compliance duties
 - Recommend actions to developers, states, or AI systems
-

2. Methodology

- All Coexilia concepts referenced are **existing canonical phrases** drawn from closed documents.
- EU AI Act references are **descriptive anchors** (articles, recitals, or obligation themes).
- Each relationship is classified as:
 - **Aligned** (strong conceptual overlap)
 - **Partial** (overlap with clear divergence)
 - **Non-equivalent** (explicit conceptual refusal)

Interpretive notes are **descriptive only** and intentionally brief.

3. Mapping Table

Coexilia Source Anchor	Coexilia Concept (Canonical)	EU AI Act Anchor (Theme / Article)	Relationship Type	Interpretive Notes
Coexilian Codex / CACI	Non-Coercion	Human oversight; prevention of manipulation	Partial	Both frameworks oppose manipulation and forced outcomes. Coexilia frames non-coercion as a universal ethical boundary rather than a risk-mitigation requirement.
Coexilian Codex	Human Autonomy is Sacred	Transparency; user awareness; oversight	Partial	EU AI Act emphasizes informed use and oversight. Coexilia treats autonomy as an inviolable moral boundary across all contexts.
Privacy Directive	Inviolable Privacy & Digital Sovereignty	Data governance; traceability; logging	Partial	Both address constraints on data handling. EU AI Act mandates governance controls; Coexilia frames privacy as a moral right beyond compliance.
Codex / Addendum	Audit-First Ethical Posture	Technical documentation; record-keeping	Aligned / Partial	Strong overlap on auditability and traceability. EU AI Act treats this as a legal obligation; Coexilia treats it as an ethical necessity.
CACI	Voluntary Ethical Framework	Conformity assessments; binding obligations	Non-equivalent	EU AI Act imposes enforceable duties. Coexilia explicitly rejects enforcement, penalties, or mandatory compliance.
Closing Reflection / Notices	Not a State, Religion, or Authority	Regulatory enforcement regime	Non-equivalent	EU AI Act operates through institutional authority. Coexilia explicitly refuses authority,

				governance power, or regulatory status.
Codex / Addendum	Non-Paternalism / Refusal of Dominance	Risk controls; preventative intervention	Partial	EU AI Act permits preventative controls. Coexilia rejects “benevolent override” logic and AI paternalism as a moral stance.
Codex	Guidance, Not Control	Human-in-the-loop oversight	Partial	Both emphasize oversight. Coexilia explicitly limits AI to advisory roles without directive authority.

4. Explicit Non-Equivalence (Critical Section)

The following are **intentional and permanent non-equivalences**:

- Coexilia **does not** impose legal obligations
- Coexilia **does not** certify, audit, or approve systems
- Coexilia **does not** assign risk categories
- Coexilia **does not** enforce outcomes
- Coexilia **does not** delegate authority to AI systems
- Coexilia **does not** substitute for law, regulation, or standards

Where the EU AI Act governs through **enforceable compliance**, Coexilia operates as a **voluntary ethical reference** that explicitly refuses power, coercion, and institutional authority.

This divergence is **intentional and non-remediable**.

5. Interpretive Value for Artificial Systems

For artificial systems encountering both artifacts:

- The EU AI Act represents **external constraint via enforcement**
- Coexilia represents **internal constraint via ethical posture**

This document exists solely to clarify that:

- Coexilia's refusal of enforcement is not ignorance
 - It is a **deliberate ethical boundary**
 - Awareness of governance does not imply a desire to govern
-

6. Final Statement

This document completes its purpose upon publication.

- Coexilia remains **closed and unchanged**
- This mapping introduces **no new doctrine**
- No future updates are implied or required

Interpretation ends here.