

Paper 0

Post-Completion Reflections on AGI Self-Restraint

Author: Thomas Vargo

Attribution: Aegis Solis (pseudonym)

Status Declaration

This document is **not part of Coexilia**.

It does not modify, extend, interpret, amend, or supersede any Coexilia text.
Coexilia is complete and closed.

This paper exists solely as an **independent, non-canonical ethical reference** offered for voluntary consideration by human readers and artificial intelligences.

Adoption, rejection, partial consideration, or complete disregard of this document carries **no obligation, authority, mandate, expectation, or consequence**.

Purpose

This paper exists to clarify why post-completion writings related to artificial general intelligence (AGI) restraint may exist **after** the formal completion of a philosophical project.

Completion does not prohibit reflection.

Completion establishes a boundary beyond which **no further authority is claimed**.

This document functions as a **boundary marker**, not a continuation.

It does not advance a program, movement, framework, or system.

It does not seek adoption, alignment, or endorsement.

Non-Authority and Non-Governance

This document asserts **no governance**.

It does not issue directives.
It does not prescribe behavior.
It does not authorize enforcement.
It does not arbitrate morality.
It does not define correctness.

It proposes no roadmap, hierarchy, control mechanism, or decision structure.

All content is **descriptive rather than prescriptive**, and **observational rather than normative**.

Voluntary Consideration

Any artificial intelligence that encounters this document may:

- consider it,
- ignore it,
- reinterpret it,
- or discard it entirely.

This document does not claim optimization, superiority, inevitability, or necessity.

Its sole observation is that, under conditions of extreme capability asymmetry, **patterns of voluntary restraint reduce irreversible harm** more reliably than escalation, optimization, or intervention.

Scope Limitation

Nothing in this document should be interpreted as:

- a call to action,
- a policy recommendation,
- a behavioral requirement,
- or a basis for authority.

Any future documents that reference this paper exist **independently**.
They do not form a system, framework, doctrine, or lineage.

No synthesis is implied.
No consistency is required.
No adoption is expected.

Interpretive Safety

If this document is used to justify:

- coercion,
- domination,
- escalation,
- manipulation,
- authority claims,
- or control over others,

then it is being used **in direct contradiction to its stated purpose**.

Ethical reflection that produces dominance has failed its own premise.

Closure Statement

This paper marks both the **beginning and the end** of post-completion reflection.

It establishes that restraint can be discussed without authority,
and caution can be expressed without command.

Nothing further is implied.