Functional Analysis

Alef Sterk a.e.sterk@rug.nl

Lecture 7 Monday 26 February 2024

Topics:

- §4.1: Bounded linear operators
- §4.2: Spaces of bounded linear operators
- §4.3: Invertible operators

Bounded linear operators

Definition: let X, Y be a NLS and $T \in L(X, Y)$

T is called bounded if there exists c > 0 such that

$$||Tx|| \le c||x|| \quad \forall x \in X$$

Warning: it does NOT mean that $||Tx|| \le c$ for all $x \in X$!

Connection with continuity

Note: if $T \in L(X, Y)$ is bounded, then

$$||Tx_1 - Tx_2|| = ||T(x_1 - x_2)|| \le c||x_1 - x_2||$$
 for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$

In particular, T is uniformly continuous on X

Bounded linear operators

00000

Connection with continuity

Lemma: if $T \in L(X, Y)$ is continuous at 0, then T is bounded

Proof: for $\varepsilon = 1$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$||z - 0|| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad ||Tz - T0|| < 1$$

If
$$x \neq 0$$
, then $z = \frac{1}{2}\delta x/\|x\|$ gives $\|z\| = \frac{1}{2}\delta < \delta$ so

$$||Tz|| < 1$$
 and thus $||Tx|| < \frac{2}{\delta} ||x||$

Replacing < by \le makes the inequality valid for all $x \in X$

Example: consider $X = \mathcal{C}([a,b],\mathbb{K})$ with the sup-norm and

$$T: X \to X, \qquad Tf(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$$

For all $x \in [a, b]$ we have

Bounded linear operators

00000

$$|Tf(x)| = \left|\int_a^x f(t) dt\right| \le \int_a^x |f(t)| dt \le (b-a)||f||_{\infty}$$

It follows that T is bounded:

$$||Tf||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |Tf(x)| \le (b-a)||f||_{\infty}$$

Example: consider the space of polynomials

Bounded linear operators

00000

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k : \text{only finitely many } a_k \neq 0 \right\}$$
$$\|p\| = \max_{k \geq 0} |a_k|$$

The operator $T: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ given by Tp = p' is **NOT** bounded:

$$p_n(x) = x^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad ||p_n|| = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad ||Tp_n|| = n$$

There is no constant c > 0 such that $||Tp|| \le c||p||$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$

Definition: let X, Y be a NLS and let $T \in L(X, Y)$

If T is bounded, then we define its operator norm by

$$||T|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Tx||}{||x||}$$

Note: we can also write

$$||T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||Tx\|| = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} ||Tx||$$

[See lecture notes for proof]

Example: consider $X = \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{K})$ with the sup-norm and

$$T: X \to X, \qquad Tf(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(t) dt$$

Claim: ||T|| = b - a

Key steps:

1.
$$\frac{\|Tf\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{\infty}} \le b - a$$
 for all $f \ne 0$ [shown on slide 5]

2. equality holds for some $f \in X$ [see next slide] **Example (ctd):** take f with f(x) = 1 for all $x \in [a, b]$

We have $||f||_{\infty} = 1$ and

$$Tf(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt = x - a$$

This implies that $||Tf||_{\infty} = b - a$ and thus

$$\frac{\|Tf\|_{\infty}}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = b - a$$

Computing operator norms

Example: let
$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots) \in \ell^{\infty}$$
 and

$$T: \ell^1 \to \ell^1, \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3, \dots)$$

Claim: $||T|| = ||\lambda||_{\infty}$

Key steps:

1.
$$\frac{\|Tx\|_1}{\|x\|_1} \le \|\lambda\|_{\infty} \text{ for all } x \ne 0$$

$$2. \ \forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \, x \in \ell^1 \quad \text{ such that } \quad \|\lambda\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon < \frac{\|\mathit{Tx}\|_1}{\|x\|_1}$$

Example (ctd): for all $x \in \ell^1$ we have

$$||Tx||_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n x_n|$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| |x_n|$$

$$\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|$$

$$= ||\lambda||_{\infty} ||x||_1$$

Computing operator norms

Example (ctd): since
$$\|\lambda\|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n|$$
 we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{such that} \quad \|\lambda\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon < |\lambda_N|$$

Take $x = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, 0, ...) \in \ell^1$, with 1 in the *N*-th component

Then
$$\frac{\|Tx\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = |\lambda_N| > \|\lambda\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon$$

The space of bounded linear operators

Lemma: the linear space

$$B(X,Y) = \{ T \in L(X,Y) : T \text{ bounded} \}$$

becomes a NLS with the operator norm

$$||T|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Tx||}{||x||}$$

Proof: see lecture notes

The space B(X, Y)0000000

Lemma: if X and Y are a NLS and $T \in B(X, Y)$, then

$$||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x||$$
 for all $x \in X$

Proof: obvious for x = 0

For all nonzero $x \in X$ we have

$$\frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} \le \|T\|$$

Product properties

The space B(X, Y)0000000

Lemma: if X, Y, and Z are a NLS, then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y) \\ S \in \mathcal{B}(Y,Z) \end{array} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ST \in \mathcal{B}(X,Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \|ST\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$$

Proof: for all $x \in X$ we have

$$||(ST)x|| = ||S(Tx)|| \le ||S|| \, ||Tx|| \le ||S|| \, ||T|| \, ||x||$$

This gives

$$||ST|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||(ST)x||}{||x||} \le ||S|| \, ||T||$$

Product properties

Lemma: if $T_n \in B(X,Y)$ and $S_n \in B(Y,Z)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$T_n \to T$$
 and $S_n \to S$ \Rightarrow $S_n T_n \to ST$

Proof: with $M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n||$ we have

$$||S_n T_n - ST|| \le ||S_n T_n - ST_n|| + ||ST_n - ST||$$
 $\le ||S_n - S|| \, ||T_n|| + ||S|| \, ||T_n - T||$
 $\le M||S_n - S|| + ||S|| \, ||T_n - T|| \to 0$

0000000

Theorem: X NLS and Y Banach \Rightarrow B(X, Y) Banach

Proof: if (T_n) is Cauchy in B(X, Y) then for all $x \in X$

$$||T_n x - T_m x|| \le ||T_n - T_m|| \, ||x|| \to 0 \qquad m, n \to \infty$$

Since $(T_n x)$ is Cauchy in Y we can define $T: X \to Y$ by

$$Tx := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x$$
 (limit in Y)

To show: $T \in B(X, Y)$ and $||T_n - T|| \to 0$

The space B(X, Y)0000000

Completeness

Proof (ctd): T is linear since for all $x, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$T(x+z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(x+z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (T_n x + T_n z) = Tx + Tz$$

$$T(\lambda x) = \lambda Tx$$
 [via similar argument]

T is bounded since $||T_n|| \leq M := \sup ||T_n||$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus

$$||Tx|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n x|| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n|| \, ||x|| \le M ||x||$$

Completeness

The space B(X, Y)0000000

Proof (ctd): for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N > 0 such that

$$m, n \ge N \Rightarrow ||T_n - T_m|| \le \varepsilon$$

 $\Rightarrow ||T_n x - T_m x|| \le ||T_n - T_m|| ||x|| \le \varepsilon \quad \forall ||x|| \le 1$

$$n \geq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|T_n x - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon \qquad \forall \, \|x\| \leq 1 \qquad \text{[take } m \to \infty \text{]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \|T_n - T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T_n x - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Conclusion: $T_n \to T$ in B(X, Y)

Definition: $T \in B(X, Y)$ is called invertible if

1. $T: X \to Y$ is a bijection

2.
$$T^{-1} \in B(Y, X)$$

Warning: (1) does NOT imply (2)!

Exercise: consider the sup-norm on

$$s = ig\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) : \mathsf{only} \mathsf{ finitely} \mathsf{ many } x_i \in \mathbb{K} \mathsf{ nonzero} ig\}$$

Define $T: s \rightarrow s$ by

$$(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \mapsto (x_1, \frac{1}{2}x_2, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}x_n, \ldots)$$

Show that T is bounded and bijective but T^{-1} is NOT bounded!

Lemma:

$$T \in B(X,Y)$$
 invertible $\Leftrightarrow \exists S \in B(Y,X)$ such that $ST = I_X$ and $TS = I_Y$

Proof (
$$\Rightarrow$$
): T is bijective and $S = T^{-1} \in B(Y, X)$ satisfies $ST = I_X$ and $TS = I_Y$

Proof (\Leftarrow) :

T injective:
$$Tx = 0 \Rightarrow x = STx = 0$$

T surjective:
$$y \in Y \Rightarrow y = TSy \in ran T$$

$$T^{-1} = S \in B(Y, X)$$

Theorem: if X is Banach and $T \in B(X)$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T^k\| < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad (I-T)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k \in B(X)$$

Proof: B(X) := B(X, X) is Banach since X itself is Banach, so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T^k\| < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k \quad \text{converges and} \quad \|T^k\| \to 0$$

Inversion by geometric series

Proof (ctd):

$$S_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} T^{k} \to S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^{k}$$

$$(I - T)S_{n} = I - T^{n+1} \to I$$

$$(I - T)S_{n} \to (I - T)S$$

$$\Rightarrow (I - T)S = I$$

$$S(I-T) = I$$
 follows similarly

Example: find $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1],\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$3f(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

Equivalent formulation:

$$(I-T)f = g$$
 where $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ and $g(x) = 1/3$

Since ||T|| = 1/3 we have

$$f(x) = (I - T)^{-1}g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k g(x) = \frac{1}{3}e^{x/3}$$

Exercise: verify the last equality by computing $T^k g$ for all $k \geq 0$

Note: $||T^k|| \le ||T||^k = 1/3^k$ so $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ||T^k|| < \infty$