

# Software Requirements Specification

For

## Quantum Ant Colony Optimization for Solving Engineering Problems

3<sup>rd</sup> November 2023

Prepared by

Specialization	SAP ID	Name
Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning(Hons)	500097852	Sk Mamud Haque



Department of Informatics  
School Of Computer Science  
UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & ENERGY STUDIES,  
DEHRADUN- 248007. Uttarakhand

# Table of Contents

Topic		Page No
Table of Content		
Revision History		
1	Introduction	
	1.1 Purpose of the Project	
	1.2 Target Beneficiary	
	1.3 Project Scope	
	1.4 References	
2	Project Description	
	2.1 Reference Algorithm	
	2.2 Data/ Data structure	
	2.3 SWOT Analysis	
	2.4 Project Features	
	2.5 User Classes and Characteristics	
	2.6 Design and Implementation Constraints	
	2.7 Design diagrams	
	2.8 Assumption and Dependencies	
3	System Requirements	
	3.1 User Interface	
	3.2 Software Interface	
	3.3 Database Interface	
	3.4 Protocols	
4	Non-functional Requirements	
	4.1 Performance requirements	
	4.2 Security requirements	
	4.3 Software Quality Attributes	
5	Other Requirements	
Appendix A: Glossary		
Appendix B: Analysis Model		
Appendix C: Issues List		

## Introduction

The project "Quantum Ant Colony Optimization for Structural Topology Optimization" represents a sophisticated intersection of quantum computing, optimization algorithms, and structural engineering. At its core, this project seeks to address one of the central challenges in structural engineering: the efficient distribution of materials within a structure to achieve specific objectives, such as minimizing weight while maintaining structural integrity. Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), a nature-inspired optimization algorithm, forms the foundation of this project. In traditional ACO, artificial "ants" traverse a search space, depositing and following pheromone trails that guide their exploration. This mimics the foraging behavior of real ants and has been applied successfully to various optimization problems, including structural topology optimization.

However, the innovation in this project lies in the incorporation of quantum computing principles to enhance the ACO algorithm. Quantum computing harnesses the principles of quantum mechanics to perform specific computations exponentially faster than classical computers.

In practical terms, the project might proceed as follows:

1. **Quantum Enhancement:** The project would introduce quantum-enhanced elements to the ACO algorithm. This enhancement could leverage quantum properties like superposition and entanglement to explore multiple potential solutions simultaneously, potentially significantly speeding up the optimization process.
2. **Structural Optimization Objectives:** The project would define specific structural optimization objectives, which could include finding the lightest structure capable of withstanding certain loads while distributing materials optimally.
3. **Simulation and Evaluation:** Simulated "ants," now influenced by quantum-enhanced pheromone updates, would iteratively explore and adjust the material layout within a virtual structure. This structure would be continuously evaluated based on structural criteria, such as stress analysis, to assess its performance.
4. **Iteration:** The optimization process is iterative, with quantum-enhanced ACO guiding the evolution of the structural layout over time, adapting to improve its performance with each iteration.
5. **Results and Visualization:** The project would ultimately produce optimized structural designs that are more efficient and lightweight than those generated through traditional methods. These designs could be visualized and evaluated for practicality, manufacturability, and real-world applicability.

To execute such a project successfully, a multidisciplinary team of experts would be required, encompassing quantum computing specialists, optimization algorithm developers, structural engineers, and software developers. Additionally, specialized quantum programming frameworks and simulation tools would likely be employed to facilitate the integration of quantum principles with structural topology optimization. The anticipated outcome of this project is the potential to revolutionize the field of structural engineering by leveraging the immense computational power of quantum computing to address complex and resource-intensive optimization challenges, opening up new possibilities for creating more efficient and sustainable structures in various engineering and manufacturing fields.

## **Project Scope**

1. **Introduction:**
  - a. Provide a detailed introduction to the project, explaining the motivation, objectives, and significance.
  - b. Describe the importance of structural topology optimization in various engineering applications.
2. **Literature Review:**
  - a. Review existing literature on structural topology optimization, ant colony optimization (ACO), quantum computing, and their combinations.
  - b. Identify the gaps in current research and how quantum ACO can address these gaps.
3. **Methodology:**
  - a. Explain the principles of ant colony optimization and quantum computing.
  - b. Describe how these two approaches can be combined to create Quantum Ant Colony Optimization (QACO).
  - c. Discuss the algorithm's design and implementation specifics for structural topology optimization.
4. **Quantum Computing Integration:**
  - a. Explore quantum computing frameworks, such as Qiskit, Cirq, or others, for implementing QACO.
  - b. Explain the quantum algorithms and quantum gates used in the project.
  - c. Discuss the hardware or cloud-based quantum computing resources, if applicable.
5. **Structural Topology Optimization:**
  - a. Define the structural optimization problem, including constraints and objectives.
  - b. Explain the representation of structures, finite element analysis, and the discretization process.
  - c. Discuss the criteria for evaluating the quality of the optimized topologies.
6. **Quantum ACO Algorithm:**
  - a. Present the QACO algorithm in detail, emphasizing how it adapts ant colony optimization to quantum computing.
  - b. Discuss the QACO's unique features and advantages for topology optimization.
7. **Software Development:**
  - a. Describe the software tools and programming languages used for implementing the QACO algorithm.
  - b. Explain the data structures and algorithms employed for efficient computation.
8. **Simulation and Testing:**
  - a. Conduct simulations using test cases and real-world examples.
  - b. Assess the performance of QACO in comparison to traditional optimization methods.
  - c. Discuss convergence, scalability, and computational complexity.
9. **Visualization and User Interface:**
  - a. Develop a user-friendly interface for input and output visualization.
  - b. Implement 2D and 3D visualization tools for displaying optimized structures.
10. **Performance Evaluation:**

- a. Analyze the performance of QACO in terms of speed, efficiency, and quality of results.
- b. Compare the outcomes with traditional optimization techniques.

#### 11. Documentation:

- a. Create comprehensive documentation, including user manuals and technical reports.
- b. Detail the codebase, algorithms, and methodologies used.

#### 12. Conclusion and Future Work:

- a. Summarize the project's findings and contributions.
- b. Discuss potential improvements, extensions, and future research directions.

## Mathematical Formulation

The structural topology optimization problem can be mathematically formulated as

**Minimise:**  $f(x)$

**Subject to:**  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  (Design domain)

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (Design variables)

$g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,\dots,m$  (Inequality constraints)

$h_j(x)=0, \quad j=1,2,\dots,p$  (Equality constraints)

**Where:**

- $f(x)$  is the objective function to be minimised or maximised.
- $\Omega$  is the design domain.
- $x$  represents the design variables.
- $g_i(x)$  are inequality constraints representing structural or geometric limits.
- $h_j(x)$  are equality constraints representing boundary conditions or other requirements.

## SWOT Analysis

<p><b>Strengths</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New and promising algorithm for complex optimization problems</li> <li>• Powerful tool for designing lightweight and efficient structures</li> <li>• Combination has the potential to revolutionize structural design</li> </ul>	<p><b>Weaknesses</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quantum ant colony optimization is still under development</li> <li>• Structural topology optimization can be computationally expensive</li> <li>• Combination is a new and unexplored field, with a lack of research</li> </ul>
<p><b>Opportunities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hybrid algorithm helpful for topology optimization</li> <li>• Technology could be applied to a wide range of industries</li> <li>• Could lead to new innovations</li> </ul>	<p><b>Threats</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The development of QACO for topology optimization may be challenging due to the complexity of both algorithms.</li> <li>• The integration of QACO and topology optimization may require significant investment in research and development.</li> </ul>

## Data/ Data structure

1. **Structural Dataset:** Imagine it as an architectural plan with geometry, limitations, material attributes, and historical data (if accessible). This is our "what" and design base.
2. **Input Format:** This is quantum ants' language. Geometry representation, material requirements, constraints, objective functions, solver settings, boundary conditions, and initial design are included. This is "how" we optimize our structure.
3. **Historical Wisdom (Optional):** Historical data is like learning from the masters. It provides insights from past designs, guiding us towards more innovative solutions.
4. **Quantum Ant Colony Optimization:** utilizing the dataset and input format, we construct structures with optimal performance and resource efficiency utilizing quantum computing and ant colony optimisation. Our quantum tools change structural optimization.

Algorithm for Intelligent System > J aco.java

```
1  # Geometry
2  length = 10.0
3  width = 5.0
4
5  # Material properties
6  youngs_modulus = 200e9
7  poisson_ratio = 0.3
8  yield_strength = 300e6
9
10 # Design constraints
11 minimum_weight = 1.0
12 maximum_stiffness = 10000.0
13
14
```

## Reference Algorithm

Several bio-inspired computation methods have been utilized for structural topology optimization. Shim and Manoocheer [36] developed a combinatorial optimization procedure based on the simulated annealing approach for structure optimal configuration design. The configuration of the finite element structural was altered by removing or restoring elements to minimize the volume subject to maximum allowable stress constraints. The simulated annealing method searches for the best configuration based on a statistical analysis of the cost distribution. A comprehensive review of the applications of evolutionary computation (EC) in structural design is given and chronologically classified by Kicinger et al. [25]. They introduced the field of evolutionary design and its relevance to structure design. Further, they discussed the issue of creativity/novelty and suggested possible ways of achieving it during a structural design process. The EC approach to the continuum topology optimization design problem based on genetic algorithm has been developed by Sandgren et al. [34] and Jensen [20]. In their work, the design domain was discretized into small elements containing materials or voids in a cantilever plate so that the structure's weight was minimized subject to displacement and/or stress constraints. Subsequently, a lot of researchers have extensively employed genetic algorithm based methods for structural optimization in the optimal design of topology. Chapman and the associated researchers [8,9,14,18] present summary examples of the GA-based approach to topological optimization. A variety of different structural design fitness functions including stiffness, area, perimeter, and hole are employed to find optimal cantilevered plate topologies. Additionally, fitness sharing, restricted mating, and cluster analysis techniques are implemented for obtaining families of highly fit topologies. Based on graph theory [38], a valid topology is represented by a connected simple graph consisting of vertices and simple undirected cubic Be'zier curves with varying thickness. The derived results show that the graph representation GA can generate clearly defined and distinct geometries and perform a global search with more computational cost. Afterward, a bit-array representation GA [39] was implemented for topology optimization. The design connectivity and constraint handling are further developed to improve the efficiency of the GA. In addition, a violation penalty method is proposed to drive the GA search towards the topologies with higher structural performance, less unusable material and fewer separate objects in the design domain. Further, a multi-GA system [41] and variable chromosome length genetic algorithm [26] were proposed for

continuum structures topological optimization. Recently, a two-stage adaptive genetic algorithm (TSAGA) [4] was developed in bit-array represented topology optimization. Compared with other approaches, the authors demonstrate the efficiency and effectiveness of TSAGA in reaching the global optimal solutions on several case problems. Also, Hamda et al. [16] and Aguilar [1] considered a continuum topology optimization problem as a multi-objective problem employing genetic algorithm. Finally, a biological immunity-based optimization approach [30] has been implemented to overcome the particular drawbacks, lack of local search ability and premature convergence, implicit in genetic algorithms in recent times. The authors apply multi-modal immune algorithm (MMIA) for structure topology optimal design. Two well-studied benchmark examples in structural topology optimization problems are used to evaluate the proposed approach. The results indicate the effectiveness of MMIA

## References

1. Marco Dorigo, Mauro Birattari, and Thomas Stutzle. Ant colony optimization. IEEE computational intelligence magazine, 1(4):28–39, 2006. 1
2. Ahmed Ahmed El-Sawy, Elsayed M Zaki, and RM Rizk-Allah. A novel hybrid ant colony optimization and firefly algorithm for solving constrained engineering design problems. Journal of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, 266(1667):1–44, 2013. 1
3. Utkarsh Jaiswal and Shweta Aggarwal. Ant colony optimization. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 2(7):1–7, 2011. 1
4. A Kaveh and S Talatahari. Engineering optimization with hybrid particle swarm and ant colony optimization. 2009. 1
5. Guan-Chun Luh and Chun-Yi Lin. Structural topology optimization using ant colony optimization algorithm. Applied Soft Computing, 9(4):1343–1353, 2009. 1
6. B Chandra Mohan and R Baskaran. A survey: Ant colony optimization based recent research and implementation on several engineering domains. Expert Systems with Applications, 39(4):4618–4627, 2012. 1
7. Ying Pei, Wenbo Wang, and Song Zhang. Basic ant colony optimization. In 2012 International conference on computer science and electronics engineering, volume 1, pages 665–667. IEEE, 2012. 1
8. George IN Rozvany. A critical review of established methods of structural topology optimization. Structural and multidisciplinary optimization, 37:217–237, 2009. 1
9. M Duran Toksari. Ant colony optimization for finding the global minimum. Applied Mathematics and computation, 176(1):308–316, 2006. 1