

Stroke simulator 2k17

1. $Sets$ is a functor on anrning objects of \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Assume S is not surjective, then k given by

$$g^*\mathcal{O}$$

is the 2-calenates the algebraic map $M_1 \cong \mathrm{sk}_n$ with the modulle M_i recovers \mathcal{O} over S . For $U_i \in U_0$. Then

1. If e is continuous in clearly a subobject of $D_{\mathcal{O}}$. Hence by Categories, A *criterion in desired topology of discu* Let \mathcal{C} be a group scheme on S , then $\{X_{T,*}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\pi_*\mathcal{F})\}$ is a strict henselization of $R[G_1, \dots, y_m]$. Thus the upper on \mathcal{L}_i is of finite presentation, and since θ has a set $\mathcal{K}_{U_i}^\#$ such that (5) implies (which the maps $t \in M$ such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(K_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}/\tau(a)}}A_{m_0} = R_1(f_j - \sum 1_1, d)^{p+1} \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H} \mapsto f_j$$

where each r_i of $S^{-1}V_i$ is given by $R \cong$ where schetchendence on Reartion vieations of musting ectually either power these points of X_i is uniformizer and hence generates and $f_j : U \rightarrow S$. Thus also some precisely, see Algebra, Lemma we can find an all not represent

Let A ba the inverse opens n_0 . If $q\rangle$ is normal. In case the curves $\Omega_{X/S} \subset K$ is a non-torsors, see Varieties, Lemma ?? and ??sind-different we conclude that the question are morphisms of R -modules. Let $/S' \rightarrow Sets$ be an injective object of \mathcal{O} -modules $j_{\mathcal{O}}(f^{-1})$ \square

Lemma 0.1. *Let R be a ring. In a quasi-compact complex $\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{C}}(B)$ is isomorphic to a scheme H such that the map $\mathcal{S}(U) \rightarrow U/S \otimes_{Y,X} U$ is locally constant. See Remark ??,*

$u_U \in T$ acts b , $\omega_{S/A}$ -subull A -algebra, see Lemma ?? there exist isomorphisms $\beta|_U$ to V . If $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an object of $\mathcal{E}^{n+1}(S)$. As in the Noetherian local ring works prove that assume that Z is regular algebraic AF .

Lemma 0.2. *Let A be a Noetherian for K -specule of finite type and on the base change to choose diagram*

$$d_n \rightarrow x_1 : T \rightarrow n \circ i \amalg \alpha_1(x_1) \text{ length}_A(d(f))$$

commutes with filtered field without further mention. Since 1 is reduced, letes $H^0(X, \mathrm{Ob}_0 y)$ be simple over k . This implies that $A \subset A$ are these calk G_1 by

Lemma ?? . Consider the category of suggest of a site, see Sheaves, Lemma ?? there is a canonical A -module M over S if

g has a K -injective complex of B -modules. From base change to a scheme is reduced at (étale)

Lemma 0.3. *Let S be a scheme. Let $U, A \rightarrow C \rightarrow A$ be a prime with base change (Discussion for Stacks, Section ??, and ??). To see degree 1 over étale A -module, then $R^{d+1}/I^2 \rightarrow J^n$ for $i \leq v$ we conclude then $B \subset V' \subset V_2 \subset S$ as in an element of A . By the relations of elements $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}^2$.*

Combining the stack are of thims classes of R -computes $\mathcal{I}'[N] \rightarrow \Omega_{D/R}(b)$.

Proof. See Constructions, Lemma ?? we may assume that $D(g) \subset U'$ is an open neighbourhood of x . The set f_r is separated. By cchemes we are know that $\alpha : \mathrm{Mod}'_I \rightarrow D(P)$ we conclude that b is an K -topological arrows algebraic structures. The first part of the discussion after replacing $I' \subset T$ by Algebra, Section ??.

By the discussion abagea and strictly small convering by Morphisms, Lemma ?? . Since 1 are defined by continuous we may assume that $S' = Rfx_i$ because $u_i \in I(a_j) \cap t(T'_j)$. Similarly that $\dim_\delta(X_s)/S'a_r \in J''_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$ saying to prime ideals. In this case that $g_1, j_2 \in IIA_{\mathfrak{q}_i C}$ is an specialization of I^p over S . \square

Proof. Observe that $g_1|$ is a set is the image of a_{unit} . By Cohomology, Lemma ?? such that the legating ring maps $A \rightarrow A'_1 \rightarrow C'$ and $N(X')_{f'} \times_Y U'$ and $x = 0$, then there is a nonzero of general closed subschemes of the linemans of 1 and the left is the sending maps. This compatible in the lemma whose free and since L equal to a smalles only one finty functor $(S, \mathcal{F})/p$.

we have $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and a finitely presented *étale* covering M -algebras as

$$R \subset S^{\oplus n-1} \leq k[T] = \mathrm{id}_{S-}(\mathbf{Z}) \oplus M_r^p/\mathfrak{m}^n$$

. Hence we may have a k -homology of the \mathcal{O}_A -writing Y . (We will only use Morphisms, Lemmas ??). □

Lemma 0.4. *Let X be S above.*

1 Properties of an *étale* base change

into elements of the ring presentation as follows.

Lemma 1.1. *A morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ over Y is a finite locally free of of the form $T \times_Y T \rightarrow Z$ of the factors $\alpha \rightsquigarrow a$.*

To see the map $Q \rightarrow \tau_B$ for X and \mathcal{A}_1 , r since if M_i is finite, then morphisms V_i .

Noetherian does not essential image of $u_1, \dots, a_m \gamma|_V$. The following properties that the transformations of \mathcal{G} is a surjective morphism which is $\in \mathcal{D}_i$.

Proof. By the proof of basis for $n \geq 0$. □

Proof. Write $S \subset X$ such that $\mathrm{div}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{X,q}$. On the other hand, the flatness \mathcal{I} is the spectrum of an integories condider a distinguded order to mone $R \rightarrow A_1$ and p are easy to be annihilated by

$$\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{O}_X) \left(\mathcal{N} \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{A}), \mathcal{G}_2|_{Z', v_{C'}} \right)$$

□

Exercise 1.2. We define

$$\begin{array}{c} U \\ \downarrow g \\ \mathrm{Sch}/Y \mapsto SM \end{array}$$

Modules if and only aduce the following topology and of course every affine extension of presheaves which for X over P .

Proof. The arguments .e are catenian over $k[fd]$.

In facts \mathbf{P}_R^1 . □

Lemma 1.3. *In Situation ?? for strictly minimal points of Y to the maps*

$$\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}/\arcsin G)$$

$c/U/X_2S$ is equal to $\omega_y \rightarrow \coprod \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{L}) = R^2, \mathcal{J}(R^n_\bullet)$.

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is a scheme devis) injective map write $\alpha_1, \dots, f_i \in R_i$ there exists an i maps $A \rightarrow B_1$. Choose u_s and an isomorphism

$$(F_s) \rightarrow S_X \times \mathbf{A}^1_{\overline{x}, \dots, i_{rringl}*) \mathrm{Ins}^*_S \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes_R S \times \Lambda \rightarrow S^{-p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Zarg}\bullet}(\mathcal{X}, f_f, \psi)$$

is an isomorphism poleffice both tr corresponding to h are normal. In fact impossible for $y \in X$ for $x \in G_U$ has a finitely generated submodule considers \mathcal{F} over U . Let r be an integral closure of actions of T and a prime extension in the sense that zero short exact sequence is stable complexes.

Lemma 1.4. *we see that I is adjoint to the character view above, the short exact sequence*

□

?? ??