

# LAB 2: PROCESS SYNCHRONIZATION IN XV6

In this lab, you will implement a synchronization solution using locks and condition variables to guarantee a specific execution ordering among two processes. **Make sure that you start from a fresh copy of the Xv6 code.**

## 1. PART 1: THREE PROCESSES - A PARENT AND TWO CHILDREN

In the first part you will write a small user-land program that has a parent process forking two child processes, resulting in a total of three processes: the parent process and the two children.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "stat.h"
#include "user.h"

//We want Child 1 to execute first, then Child 2, and finally Parent.
int main() {
    int pid = fork(); //fork the first child
    if(pid < 0) {
        printf(1, "Error forking first child.\n");
    } else if (pid == 0) {
        printf(1, "Child 1 Executing\n");
    } else {
        pid = fork(); //fork the second child
        if(pid < 0) {
            printf(1, "Error forking second child.\n");
        } else if (pid == 0) {
            printf(1, "Child 2 Executing\n");
        } else {
            printf(1, "Parent Waiting\n");
            int i;
            for(i=0; i< 2; i++)
                wait();
            printf(1, "Children completed\n");
            printf(1, "Parent Executing\n");
            printf(1, "Parent exiting.\n");
        }
    }
    exit();
}
```

- Think of the `printf(1, "<X> executing\n")` statements as placeholders for the code that each process runs.

- What is the effect of the parent process calling `wait()` two times?
1. Put the previous code into a file named `race.c` inside your Xv6 source code folder. Add `_race\` to the `UPROGS` variable inside your Makefile. Compile and run Xv6 by typing `make qemu-nox`. (You may refer to the instructions of setting up your Xv6 environment from Lab 1.)
  2. Run the user-land program inside Xv6 by typing `race` at the Xv6 prompt. Notice the order of execution of the three processes. Run the program **multiple times**.
    - Do you always get the same order of execution?
    - Does Child 1 always execute (print Child 1 Executing) before Child 2?
  3. Add a `sleep(5);` line before the line where Child 1 prints "Child Executing".
    - What do you notice?
    - Can we guarantee that Child 1 always execute before Child 2?

## 2. PART 2: SPIN LOCKS

We will start by defining a spinlock that we can use in our user-land program. Xv6 already has a spinlock (see `spinlock.c`) that it uses inside its kernel and is coded in somehow a complex way to handle concurrency caused by interrupts. We don't need most of the complexity, so will write our own light-weight version of spinlocks. We will put our code inside `ulib.c`, which includes functions accessible to user-land programs.

4. Inside `ulib.c`, add

```
#include "spinlock.h"
```

after the line:

```
#include "types.h"
```

Also, add the following function definitions:

```
void
init_lock(struct spinlock * lk) {
    lk->locked = 0;
}

void lock(struct spinlock * lk) {
    while(xchg(&lk->locked, 1) != 0)
        ;
}

void unlock(struct spinlock * lk) {
    xchg(&lk->locked, 0);
}
```

We are still using the `struct spinlock` defined in `spinlock.h` but we will only use its `locked` field. Initializing the lock and unlocking it both work by setting `locked` to 0. Locking uses the

atomic `xchg` instruction, which sets the contents of its first parameter (a memory address) to the second parameter and returns the old value of the contents of the first parameter.

5. Inside `user.h`, add the following function prototypes:

```
void init_lock(struct spinlock *);  
void lock(struct spinlock *);  
void unlock(struct spinlock *);
```

to the end of the file and add the following two lines into the beginning of the file:

```
struct condvar;  
struct spinlock;
```

Now, we have our spinlocks in place. We can use them inside `race.c`:

```
struct spinlock lk;  
init_lock(&lk);  
lock(&lk);  
//critical section  
unlock(&lk)
```

We will use condition variables to be able to make Child 2 sleep (block) until Child 1 finishes execution.

### 3. PART 3: CONDITION VARIABLES

We will use condition variables to ensure that Child 1 always executes before Child 2. We will add two system calls to Xv6: `cv_wait()` and `cv_signal()` to wait (sleep) on a condition variable and to wakeup (signal) all sleeping processes on a condition variable.

Recall that both waiting and signaling a condition variable has to be called after acquiring a lock (that's why we defined our spinlock in Part 2). `cv_wait` releases the lock before sleeping and reacquires it after waking up.

6. First, define the condition variable structure in `condvar.h` as follows.

```
#include "spinlock.h"  
struct condvar {  
    struct spinlock lk;  
};
```

A condition variable has a spin lock.

Let's then add the two system calls.

7. Inside `syscall.h`, add the following two lines:

```
#define SYS_cv_signal 22  
#define SYS_cv_wait 23
```

Inside `usys.S`, add:

```
SYSCALL(cv_signal)  
SYSCALL(cv_wait)
```

Inside syscall.c, add:

```
extern int sys_cv_signal(void);
extern int sys_cv_wait(void);
```

and

```
[SYS_cv_wait] sys_cv_wait,
[SYS_cv_signal] sys_cv_signal,
```

Inside user.h, add

```
struct condvar;
```

to the beginning and

```
int cv_wait(struct condvar *);
int cv_signal(struct condvar *);
```

to the end of the system calls section of the file.

Our condition variable implementation depends heavily on the sleep/wakeup mechanism implemented inside Xv6 (Please read **Sleep and Wakeup** on Page 65 of the Xv6 book). We will again define a more light-weight version of the sleep function to use our light-weight spinlocks defined in Part 2 instead of Xv6's spinlocks.

8. Inside proc.c, add the following function definition:

```
void
sleep1(void *chan, struct spinlock *lk)
{
    struct proc *p = myproc();

    if(p == 0)
        panic("sleep");

    if(lk == 0)
        panic("sleep without lk");

    acquire(&ptable.lock);
    lk->locked = 0;
    // Go to sleep.
    p->chan = chan;
    p->state = SLEEPING;

    sched();

    // Tidy up.
    p->chan = 0;

    release(&ptable.lock);
    while(xchg(&lk->locked, 1) != 0)
        ;
}
```

```
}
```

After a couple of sanity checks, the function acquires the process table lock `ptable.lock` to be able to call `sched()`, which works on the process table. Then, it releases the spinlock (by setting `locked` to 0) and goes to sleep by setting the process state to `SLEEPING`, setting the channel that the process sleeps on, and switching into the scheduler by calling `sched()`. After the process wakes up, it releases the `ptable` lock and reacquires the spinlock (using the `xchg` instruction).

9. Inside `defs.h`, add the following function prototype in the `//proc.c` section:

```
void sleep1(void*, struct spinlock*);
```

10. Inside `sysproc.c` add

```
#include "condvar.h"
```

after the line:

```
#include "types.h"
```

and add the following `syscall` implementations:

```
int
sys_cv_signal(void)
{
    int i;
    struct condvar *cv;
    argint(0, &i);
    cv = (struct condvar *) i;
    wakeup(cv);
    return 0;
}

int
sys_cv_wait(void)
{
    int i;
    struct condvar *cv;
    argint(0, &i);
    cv = (struct condvar *) i;
    sleep1(cv, &(cv->lk));
    return 0;
}
```

to the end. In both functions, the code starts with retrieving the argument `(struct condvar *)` from the stack:

```
argint(0, &i);
cv = (struct condvar *) i;
```

The address of the condition variable is used as the channel passed over to the `sleep1` function defined in Step 8. The address of the condition variable is unique and this is all what we need: a unique channel number to sleep and to get waked up on.

After seeing what the two system calls do, why do you think we had to add system calls for the operations on condition variables? Why not just have these operations as functions in `ulib.c` as we did for the `spinlock`?

#### 4. PART 4: USING THE CONDITION VARIABLES

We can then modify `race.c` to use a condition variable to guarantee process ordering.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "stat.h"
#include "user.h"
#include "condvar.h"

//We want Child 1 to execute first, then Child 2, and finally Parent.
int main() {
    struct condvar cv;
    init_lock(&cv.lk);
    int pid = fork(); //fork the first child
    if(pid < 0) {
        printf(1, "Error forking first child.\n");
    } else if (pid == 0) {
        sleep(5);
        printf(1, "Child 1 Executing\n");
        lock(&cv.lk);
        cv_signal(&cv);
        unlock(&cv.lk);
    } else {
        pid = fork(); //fork the second
        if(pid < 0) {
            printf(1, "Error forking second child.\n");
        } else if(pid == 0) {
            lock(&cv.lk);
            cv_wait(&cv);
            unlock(&cv.lk);
            printf(1, "Child 2 Executing\n");
        } else {
            printf(1, "Parent Waiting\n");
            int i;
            for(i=0; i< 2; i++)
                wait();
            printf(1, "Children completed\n");
            printf(1, "Parent Executing\n");
            printf(1, "Parent exiting.\n");
        }
    }
    exit();
}
```

```
}
```

Note the highlighted parts. A condition variable is declared. Its spinlock is initialized. Then Child 1 signals the condition variable after acquiring the spinlock. Child 2 sleeps on the condition variable after acquiring the spinlock as well.

Compile and run the modified race program.

- Does Child 1 always execute before Child 2?

## 5. PART 5: LOST WAKEUPS

Does it happen that the program gets stuck? This is called a **deadlock**. If Child 2 gets to sleep **after** Child 1 signals, the wakeup signal is lost (i.e., never received by Child 2). In this case, Child 2 has no way of being awaked.

To solve this problem, we need to enclose the `cv_wait()` call inside a while loop. We need some form of a flag that gets set by Child 1 when it is done executing. Child 2 will then do

```
while(flag is not set)
```

```
    cv_wait();
```

This way, even if Child 1 sets the flag and signals before Child 2 executes the while loop, Child 2 will not avoid going to sleep because the flag will be set.

The flag has to be **shared** between the two processes. We will use a **file** for that. Other methods for sharing are shared memory and pipes.

To create a file,

```
int fd = open("flag", O_RDWR | O_CREATE);
```

To write into the file,

```
write(fd, "done", 4);
```

Checking the flag has to be non-blocking. The `read` system call is blocking. Reading the size of the file is not. So, we will check the flag by reading the file size. To read the size of a file,

```
struct stat stats;
fstat(fd, &stats);
printf(1, "file size = %d\n", stats.size);
```

Now, we are ready to write the while loop inside Child 2. It will loop until the file size is greater than zero, which happens when Child 1 writes "done" into the file after it finishes execution.

```
lock(&cv.lk);
struct stat stats;
fstat(fd, &stats);
printf(1, "file size = %d\n", stats.size);
while(stats.size <= 0){
    cv_wait(&cv);
    fstat(fd, &stats);
}
```

```

    printf(1, "file size = %d\n", stats.size);
}
unlock(&cv.lk);

```

The new race.c is:

```

#include "types.h"
#include "stat.h"
#include "user.h"
#include "condvar.h"
#include "fcntl.h"

//We want Child 1 to execute first, then Child 2, and finally Parent.
int main() {
    struct condvar cv;
    int fd = open("flag", O_RDWR | O_CREATE);
    init_lock(&cv.lk);
    int pid = fork(); //fork the first child
    if(pid < 0) {
        printf(1, "Error forking first child.\n");
    } else if (pid == 0) {
        sleep(5);
        printf(1, "Child 1 Executing\n");
        lock(&cv.lk);
        write(fd, "done", 4);
        cv_signal(&cv);
        unlock(&cv.lk);
    } else {
        pid = fork(); //fork the second
        if(pid < 0) {
            printf(1, "Error forking second child.\n");
        } else if(pid == 0) {
            lock(&cv.lk);
            struct stat stats;
            fstat(fd, &stats);
            printf(1, "file size = %d\n", stats.size);
            while(stats.size <= 0){
                cv_wait(&cv);
                fstat(fd, &stats);
                printf(1, "file size = %d\n", stats.size);
            }
            unlock(&cv.lk);
            printf(1, "Child 2 Executing\n");
        } else {
            printf(1, "Parent Waiting\n");
            int i;
            for(i=0; i< 2; i++)
                wait();
        }
    }
}

```



```

    printf(1, "Children completed\n");
    printf(1, "Parent Executing\n");
    printf(1, "Parent exiting.\n");
}
}
close(fd);
unlink("flag");
exit();
}

```

Note the highlighted parts and also note that we are closing the file and deleting it before the parent exits. This is to start afresh the next time we run the program.

Compile and run race.c many times.

- Is it always the case that Child 1 executes before Child 2?
- Do you observe deadlocks?

Of course, synchronization bugs cannot be ruled out by running a program many times. Formal proof is typically the preferred way especially for safety- and mission-critical systems. There are tools that help with this kind of formal proofs.

## 6. SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Submit to **Gradescope** the files that you have modified within the source code of xv6. You should modify the following files only:

- syscall.h
- syscall.c
- user.h
- usys.S
- proc.c
- sysproc.c
- Makefile
- condvar.h
- defs.h
- ulib.c

Your submission will be graded by compiling and running it and reviewing the source code.