



Understanding Uncertainties in Jet Quenching in High-Energy Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions




THE OHIO STATE
UNIVERSITY

M. Heinz, R. Soltz

Lawrence Livermore National Lab, Livermore, CA - USA

Introduction to Jet Physics


- Two dominant processes in high-energy nuclear collisions
 - Energy deposited as nuclei interact heats vacuum to form a quark gluon plasma (QGP)
 - Hard scattering of partons in nucleons creating jets
- Jets must pass through the QGP and interact with it
- As a result, jets can function as a probe into the structure of QGP



Comparing Model and Experiment

- Goal is to compare models of jet quenching via medium with experimental results
- Must be careful with how statistical and systematic uncertainties are handled
- Understanding in detail the nature of uncertainties is essential to verifying consistency between theory and experiment

Sample Measurement from ALICE



Traditional vs Covariant Treatment of Errors

- Current errors given bin by bin
- Statistical errors assumed to be uncorrelated
- Other uncorrelated systematics lumped in with statistical errors
- Any correlated systematics are fully correlated across all bins
- Covariance matrix defined as:

$$C_{ij} = \rho_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

- ρ_{ij} is the covariance coefficient between the i^{th} and j^{th} bins
- For uncorrelated errors, $\rho_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$
- For fully correlated errors, $\rho_{ij} = 1$
- Covariance matrix formulation of errors allows for non-uniform covariance
- In particular, it supports errors with a correlation length

Apply Covariance Formalism to Previous Result

- ALICE measurement of v_2 provides good test for covariance formalism equivalence
- Has uncorrelated, correlated, and shape uncertainties
- p -values published in paper are obtained by minimizing χ^2
- Equivalent calculation of minimized χ^2 via covariance matrices looks like:

$$\chi^2_{min} = \Delta^T C^{-1} \Delta$$

- Resulting p -values are exactly equal:

p_T range	χ^2 minimization	Covariance matrix
30-100 GeV	0.1247858497	0.1247858497
30-60 GeV	0.0685915881	0.0685915881
60-100 GeV	0.0211009165	0.0211009165


Table: p -values reported to machine level significance calculated using traditional χ^2 minimization and covariance method.

Incorporating Covariance into HEPData

- Current HEPData schema lacks support for full covariance matrices
- Adapted schema to support:
 - (A)symmetric uncorrelated errors
 - (A)symmetric fully correlated errors
 - Full covariance matrices
- Distinct treatment of uncorrelated and fully correlated errors to save space
- Would like to see adoption of this modified schema by the HEPData community for future experimental results

Future Work

- Continue work to develop jet quench simulation tool to study errors
- Constrain jet quench parameter with Bayesian methods
- Estimate subtraction bias
- Consider software-level checks for HEPData validity
- Advocate for a move to covariance matrix expression of uncertainties



References

- [1] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], Azimuthal anisotropy of charged jet production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$ TeV Pb-Pb collisions, *J. Phys. Lett. B*, 753, 511 (2016).
- [2] L. Demortier, Equivalence of the best-fit and covariance-matrix methods for comparing binned data with a model in the presence of correlated systematic uncertainties, CDF-MEMO-8661 (1999).
- [3] J. Gao, *et al.*, CT10 next-to-next-to-leading order global analysis of QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* 89, 03309 (2014).