# A Simple Auerbach-Kotlikoff Model

Accompanying model description for the solveOLG\_xxx codes.

Philip Schuster

July, 2023

This document briefly describes the model solved in the solveOLG\_xxx codes.

## **Demography**

The law of motion for population is

$$N_t^a = N_{t-1}^{a-1} \gamma_{t-1}^{a-1}, \ \forall a \in [1, \overline{a}], \quad \text{and} \quad N_t^0 = N B_t.$$

 $N_t^a$ : mass of persons with age a at time t,  $\gamma$ : conditional survival probability, NB: newborns.

Superscript a is age. t is the period index. Alternatively, it is often useful to switch to cohort view, tracking variables indexed by year of birth z, with z = t - a, i.e.  $N_z^a = N_{t=z+a}^a$ . In cohort view the law of motion of the population is

$$N_z^a = N_z^{a-1} \gamma_z^{a-1}, \ \forall a \in [1, \overline{a}] \,, \quad \text{ and } \quad N_z^0 = N B_z.$$

Persons with  $a < \underline{a}$  are children who do not make any economic decisions.

# Household problem

A representative household of cohort z solves the following problem<sup>1</sup>)

$$U^a = \max_{C^a, \ell^a} \ u(C^a, \ell^a) + \beta \gamma^a U^{a+1}, \quad \forall a \in [\underline{a}, \overline{a}] \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$A^{a+1} = (1+r^a) \left[ A^a - p^{C,a} C^a + y^a + i v^a + a b^a \right],$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ I drop the cohort index z in this section.

$$y^{a} = \phi^{a}(1 - \tau^{W,a})\ell^{a}\theta^{a}w^{a} + (1 - \phi^{a})(1 - \tau^{W,a})p^{a} - \tau^{l,a}.$$

C: consumption,  $\ell$ : hours worked,  $\beta$ : discount factor, A: household assets, r: real interest rate,  $p^C = (1 + \tau^C)$ : after-tax price of consumption, y: net labor and pension income, iv: net intervivo transfers received, ab: accidental bequests received,  $\phi$  share of non-retired households,  $\theta$ : productivity, w: wage rate per efficiency unit,  $\tau^{W,a}$ : tax on labor and pension income, p: pension income,  $\tau^l$ : lump-sum tax. Children do not work, pay no taxes and receive no transfers or bequests. They start adulthood wiht zero assets, i.e.  $A^a = 0.2$ 

#### **Envelope and optimality conditions**

Define the shadow price of assets as  $\lambda^a = \frac{\partial U^a}{\partial A^a}$ .

$$\begin{split} A^a: \quad \lambda^a &= \gamma^a \beta(1+r^a) \lambda^{a+1}, \\ C^a: \quad u_{C^a} &= \gamma^a \beta(1+r^a) \lambda^{a+1} p^{C,a}, \\ \ell^a: \quad -u_{\ell^a} &= \gamma^a \beta(1+r^a) \lambda^{a+1} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial \ell^a}, \end{split}$$

This gives the Euler equation and the labor supply function:

$$\begin{split} \frac{u_{C^a}}{p^{C,a}} &= \lambda^a, \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_{C^a} = \left[\frac{p^{C,a}}{p^{C,a+1}}\beta(1+r^a)\right]u_{C^{a+1}}, \\ &-\frac{u_{\ell^a}}{u_{C^a}} = p^{C,a}\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial \ell^a} = p^{C,a}\phi^a(1-\tau^{W,a})\theta^aw^a. \end{split}$$

### **Functional form utility function**

Sub-disutility of labor<sup>3</sup> is  $\varphi(\ell^a) = \varphi_0 \frac{\ell^{1+1/\sigma^L}}{1+1/\sigma^L} + \varphi_1^a$ . I assume two different options for u():

1. With income effects:

$$u(C^a, l^a) = \frac{(C^a)^{1-1/\sigma}}{1-1/\sigma} - \varphi(\ell^a)$$

This just gives a life-time profile of consumption. To pin down the consumption values one has to numerically find the initial consumption level  $(C^{\underline{a}})$  that exhausts the budget constraint, i.e. assets at end of life are zero:  $A^{\overline{a}} - p^{C,\overline{a}}C^{\overline{a}} + y^{\overline{a}} + iv^{\overline{a}} + ab^{\overline{a}} = 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Government consumption can depend on the number of children.

 $<sup>{}^{3}\</sup>varphi_{1}^{a}$  is an additive age-depedent shifter.

With this specification the left-hand side of the labor supply function is given as

$$-\frac{u_{\ell^a}}{u_{C^a}} = \varphi_0(\ell^a)^{1/\sigma^L} (C^a)^{1/\sigma}$$

2. Without income effects:

$$u(C^a, l^a) = \frac{\left[C^a - \varphi(\ell^a)\right]^{1-1/\sigma}}{1 - 1/\sigma}$$

The left-hand side of the labor supply function is now independent of  $C^a$ 

$$-\frac{u_{\ell^a}}{u_{C^a}} = \varphi_0(\ell^a)^{1/\sigma^L}.$$

This enables us to explicitly solve for the consumption function<sup>4</sup>:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^{a} &= (p^{C,a})^{1-\sigma} + (\beta \gamma^{a})^{\sigma} \, (1+r^{a})^{\sigma-1} \Lambda^{a+1}, \\ H^{a} &= y^{a} - \varphi(\ell^{a}) p^{C,a} + i v^{a} + a b^{a} + H^{a+1} / (1+r^{a}), \\ \Omega^{a} &= \Lambda^{a} (p^{C,a})^{\sigma-1}, \\ C^{a} &= (A^{a} + H^{a}) / (p^{C,a} \Omega^{a}) + \varphi(\ell^{a}). \end{split}$$

H: human wealth (discounted future labor and pension income),  $\Omega$ : marginal propensity to consume out of life-time wealth.

#### **Aggregation**

To aggregate household variables one first must convert them to period view and the compute weighted sums. E.g. aggregate consumption is  $C_t = \sum_a C_t^a N_t^a$ . Total effective labor supply is  $L_t^S = \sum_a \pi_t^a \ell_t^a \theta_t^a N_t^a$  and so on.

# Firm problem

The representative firm solve the following optimization problem

$$V_t = \max_{I_t, L_t^D} \ \chi_t + V_{t+1}/(1+r_t), \ s.t.$$

$$\chi_t = Y(K_t, L^D_t) - (1 + \tau^F_t) w_t L^D_t - I_t - T^F_t, \label{eq:chiterisation}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See e.g. Schuster (2021): "The FISK OLG model - A numerical overlapping generations model for Austria", Working paper of the Office of the Austrian Fiscal Advisory Council 7, for a more general proofs, also for other results in this note.

$$\begin{split} T_t^F &= \tau_t^{prof} \left[ Y(K_t, L_t^D) - (1 + \tau_t^F) w_t L_t^D - \delta K_t \right], \\ K_{t+1} &= (1 - \delta) K_t + I_t. \end{split}$$

V: discounted value of the firm, L: aggregate labor in efficiency units, I: investment,  $\chi$ : perperiod dividends,  $\tau^F$ : pay-roll tax rate,  $T^F$ : profit taxes, K: capital stock,  $\delta$ : depreciation rate.

### **Envelope and optimality conditions**

Define the shadow price of capital as  $q^a = \frac{\partial V^a}{\partial K^a}$ .

$$\begin{split} K_t: \quad q_t &= (1 - \tau_t^{prof}) Y_{K_t} + \tau_t^{prof} \delta + \frac{q_{t+1}}{1 + r_t} (1 - \delta), \\ I_t: \quad 1 &= \frac{q_{t+1}}{1 + r_t}, \\ L_t^D: \quad Y_{L_t^D} &= (1 + \tau_t^F) w_t. \end{split}$$

This implies

$$Y_{K_t} = \frac{r_{t-1} + \delta(1 - \tau_t^{prof})}{1 - \tau_t^{prof}}. \label{eq:YKt}$$

The right-hand side is user cost of capital  $uck_t$ . We can think of  $Y_{K_t}()$  as the inverse capital demand function that returns the user cost of capital for a given capital stock consistent with optimal behavior of the firm. I assume Y() to be linearly homogeneous. Therefore, Hayashi's theorem applies:  $V_t = q_t K_t$ . Inserting this in the capital demand function gives an implicit relationship of  $r_t$  and  $V_{t+1}$  that can be used to find the asset market clearing interest rate (all other things constant).

$$Y_{K_{t+1}}((1+r_t)V_{t+1}) = \frac{r_t + \delta(1-\tau_{t+1}^{prof})}{1-\tau_{t+1}^{prof}}.$$

### **Functional form production function**

Here I assume:  $Y(K,L)=TFP\cdot K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$ . Therefore,  $Y_K=TFP\cdot (K/L)^{\alpha-1}$  and  $Y_L=TFP\cdot (K/L)^{\alpha}$ .

### Government

Total government expenditures are:

$$Exp_t = C_t^G + P_t, \quad \text{with} \quad C_t^G = \sum_a c_t^{G,a} N_t^a, \quad P_t = \sum_a (1 - \phi_t^a) p_t^a,$$

Total government revenues are:

$$Rev_t = T_t^F + (\tau_t^F L_t^D + \tau_t^W L_t^S) w_t + \sum_a \tau_t^{l,a} N_t^a + \tau_t^C C_t + \tau_t^W P_t$$

One of the government instruments is always set such that the primary balance  $(PB_t = Rev_t - Exp_t)$  is consistent with an exogenous debt trajectory following the law of motion

$$D_{t+1}^G = (1 + r_t) [D_t^G - PB_t].$$

### **Equilibrium**

Equilibrium in the closed economcy is given by optimal behavior by households (aggregated accordingly) and the representative firm and the following market clearing conditions

$$\begin{split} \zeta_t^Y &= I_t + C_t + C_t^G - Y_t = 0, \\ \zeta_t^A &= D_t^G + V_t - A_t = 0, \\ \zeta_t^L &= L_t^D - L_t^S = 0, \\ \zeta_t^G &= Rev_t - Exp_t - PB_t = 0, \end{split}$$

and the resource constraints for intervivo transfers ( $\zeta^{iv}=0$ ) and ( $\zeta^{ab}=0$ ) binding.

It is good practice to always check if Walras' Law holds (even out of equilibrium):

$$\zeta^W_t = \zeta^Y_t + w_t \zeta^L_t + \zeta^G_t + \zeta^{iv}_t + \zeta^{ab}_t + \zeta^A_t - \frac{\zeta^A_{t+1}}{1+r_t} = 0.$$

### **Extensions**

Based on this core set-up some extensions are conceptually more challenging, in the sense of requiring alterations deep in the solution procedure. Those are for example:

- aggregate uncertainty
- structural unemployment
- endogenous technology

• explicit bequest motive

Other extensions are conceptually much simpler to implement (in the sense of 'more of the same'), for example:

- moderate cross-sectional household heterogeneity
- (small) open economy setting
- migration
- more labor supply margins
- more household states (e.g. stock of accumulated pension rights)
- non-linear income tax
- more fiscal instruments
- monopolistic competition in the goods' market
- more advanced government debt rules
- detrending by productivity growth, population growth, trend inflation