#Meeting Agenda

Group: 20
Date: 21/10/18
Chair: Henrik T
Secretary: Anthony T
Participants: August S, Henrik T, Hampus E, Anthony T

Objectives (5 min)
Discuss how to approach the peer review.
Discuss how to refactor the code to make it even more maintainable, testable and scaleable.

Report
- Henrik has implemented a eyedropper tool and written tests. He has been writing non-functional requirements on the RAD and create UML for SDD.
- Anthony has been updating the domain model, worked on SDD and refactored code.
- Hampus has been writing tests for undo feature, refactored code and writing on SDD.
- August did some minor refactoring, created a new shape - ellipse and wrote tests. He's currently in the hospital.

Discussion items (35 min)
Discuss how to approach the peer review.
- Formalia.
- Be critical.
Discuss how to refactor the code to make it even more maintainable, testable and scaleable.
- Interface for controller
- Refactor the imageModel class.

Outcomes and assignments (5 min)
Discuss how to approach the peer review.
- Stick to the formalia and its sequence when reviewing the code to avoid misunderstandings. Start with the review in time.
- Create an interface for the controller to make it exchangeable which contributes to maintainability and scalability. By refactoring the imageModel class, the responsibilities of imageModel will be divided into smaller classes.

- Henrik will focus on writing the final report, which includes SDD, RAD and peer review.
- Anthony will focus on writing the final report, maybe implement more tests and refactor code.
- Hampus will focus on writing the final report and refactor code.
- August will do the final implementation concerning shapes and then write on the final report.

Next meeting: Wednesday 24/10-2018