

Multiplicative functions and Mobius Inversion

Prashant Shishodia

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

2019

Contents

- 1 Motivation Problem
- 2 Multiplicative functions
- 3 Mobius Inversion

Motivation Problem

- Find the sum of gcd of all pairs of integers less than n .
Formally, find,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \gcd(i, j)$$

- dp solution ?

Motivation Problem

- Find the sum of gcd of all pairs of integers less than n .
Formally, find,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \gcd(i, j)$$

- dp solution ?

Motivation Problem

dp solution

- The first idea that strikes, is to consider
 $dp[i] = \text{Number of pairs } (x, y) \text{ such that } \gcd(x, y) = i$
- Then, the integers less than n , divisible by i , is $\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor$ and therefore $dp_i = \lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor^2$. But we have to subtract the pairs whose gcd is a multiple of d .

Motivation Problem

dp solution

- The first idea that strikes, is to consider
 $dp[i] = \text{Number of pairs } (x, y) \text{ such that } \gcd(x, y) = i$
- Then, the integers less than n , divisible by i , is $\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor$ and therefore $dp_i = \lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor^2$. But we have to subtract the pairs whose gcd is a multiple of d .

Motivation Problem

dp solution

- ```

for(int i = n; i >= 1; --i){
 dp[i] = n/i * n/i;
 for(int j=2*i; j <= n; j += i)dp[i] -= dp[j];
}

```

- Now, the answer to our problem will be  $\sum_{i=1}^n i * dp[i]$
- Now, Let's see how we can solve this using mobius inversion.

# Motivation Problem

dp solution

- ```

for(int i = n; i >= 1; --i){
    dp[i] = n/i * n/i;
    for(int j=2*i; j <= n; j += i)dp[i] -= dp[j];
}
            
```
- Now, the answer to our problem will be $\sum_{i=1}^n i * dp[i]$
- Now, Let's see how we can solve this using mobius inversion.

Motivation Problem

dp solution

- ```

for(int i = n; i >= 1; --i){
 dp[i] = n/i * n/i;
 for(int j=2*i; j <= n; j += i)dp[i] -= dp[j];
}

```
- Now, the answer to our problem will be  $\sum_{i=1}^n i * dp[i]$
- Now, Let's see how we can solve this using mobius inversion.

# Multiplication Functions

## Definition

- A function  $f : N \rightarrow C$  is called multiplicative iff for any relatively prime integers  $m, n$

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

- Examples :
  - $\sigma(n)$  : sum of divisors of  $n$
  - $\tau(n)$  : number of divisors of  $n$

# Multiplication Functions

## Definition

- A function  $f : N \rightarrow C$  is called multiplicative iff for any relatively prime integers  $m, n$

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

- Examples :
  - $\sigma(n)$  : sum of divisors of  $n$
  - $\tau(n)$  : number of divisors of  $n$

# Multiplication Functions

## Properties

- Product of multiplicative functions is a multiplicative function
- Proof : Let  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  be multiplicative functions, and  $h(n) = f(n)g(n)$ , and  $m, n$  be coprime, then,

$$h(mn) = f(mn)g(mn) = f(m)f(n)g(m)g(n)$$

$$h(mn) = f(m)g(m) * f(n)g(n)$$

$$h(mn) = h(m)h(n)$$

# Multiplication Functions

## Properties

- Product of multiplicative functions is a multiplicative function
- Proof : Let  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  be multiplicative functions, and  $h(n) = f(n)g(n)$ , and  $m, n$  be coprime, then,

$$h(mn) = f(mn)g(mn) = f(m)f(n)g(m)g(n)$$

$$h(mn) = f(m)g(m) * f(n)g(n)$$

$$h(mn) = h(m)h(n)$$

# Multiplication Functions

## Properties

- If  $f(n)$  be an multiplicative function then its sum function  $S_f(n)$  defined as below is also multiplicative.

$$S_f(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

- Proof : Let  $m, n$  be coprime, then

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \left(\sum_{d_1|m} f(d_1)\right)\left(\sum_{d_2|n} f(d_2)\right)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d_1|m \text{ and } d_2|n} f(d_1)f(d_2)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d_1*d_2|mn} f(d_1 * d_2)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d|mn} f(d) = S_f(mn)$$

# Multiplication Functions

## Properties

- If  $f(n)$  be an multiplicative function then its sum function  $S_f(n)$  defined as below is also multiplicative.

$$S_f(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

- Proof : Let  $m, n$  be coprime, then

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \left(\sum_{d_1|m} f(d_1)\right)\left(\sum_{d_2|n} f(d_2)\right)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d_1|m \text{ and } d_2|n} f(d_1)f(d_2)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d_1 * d_2 | mn} f(d_1 * d_2)$$

$$S_f(m)S_f(n) = \sum_{d|mn} f(d) = S_f(mn)$$

# Multiplication Functions

## Applications

- Doesn't make much sense? Don't worry, you will start connecting the dots once we discuss about mobius function.



# Multiplication Functions

## Applications

- So How exactly multiplicative functions make our life easier ? That's because they can be evaluated very easily than normal functions.
- How to evaluate multiplicative functions ? Let  $f(n)$  be multiplicative function, and prime factorisation of  $n$  is  $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} p_3^{r_3} \dots p_k^{r_k}$

# Multiplication Functions

## Applications

- So How exactly multiplicative functions make our life easier ? That's because they can be evaluated very easily than normal functions.
- How to evaluate multiplicative functions ? Let  $f(n)$  be multiplicative function, and prime factorisation of  $n$  is  $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} p_3^{r_3} \dots p_k^{r_k}$

# Multiplication Functions

## Application

- Then since all primes are coprime to each other.

$$f(n) = f(p_1^{r_1})f(p_2^{r_2})\dots f(p_k^{r_k})$$

- Thus we just need to compute the function at prime powers, and we can deduce answer for all other numbers from their prime factorisation.

# Multiplication Functions

## Application

- Then since all primes are coprime to each other.

$$f(n) = f(p_1^{r_1})f(p_2^{r_2})\dots f(p_k^{r_k})$$

- Thus we just need to compute the function at prime powers, and we can deduce answer for all other numbers from their prime factorisation.

# Multiplication Functions

## Problem

- Problem Link : [Codeforces Problem](#)
- Problem Statement : You have a number  $n$  written on board. You apply  $k$  operation on it. Suppose the number written on the board is  $v$ , then in one operation you will randomly choose one of its divisor and replace  $v$  with it. What is the expected value of number on board after  $k$  operations, modulo  $10^9 + 7$ .
- Constraints :  $n \leq 10^{15}$ ,  $k \leq 10^4$

# Multiplication Functions

## Problem

- Problem Link : [Codeforces Problem](#)
- Problem Statement : You have a number  $n$  written on board. You apply  $k$  operation on it. Suppose the number written on the board is  $v$ , then in one operation you will randomly choose one of its divisor and replace  $v$  with it. What is the expected value of number on board after  $k$  operations, modulo  $10^9 + 7$ .
- Constraints :  $n \leq 10^{15}$ ,  $k \leq 10^4$

# Multiplication Functions

## Solution

- Let's try a brute force dp solution first.
- Let  $dp[n][k]$  = Expected number written on board after  $k$  turns, if we start at  $n$ , then  $dp[n][0] = n$
- Then after one turn each divisor of  $n$  is chosen with equal probability ( $\tau(n)$  = number of divisors of  $n$ ), hence we have.

$$dp[n][k] = \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} dp[d][k-1]$$

- But this will take  $O(n * \tau(n) * k)$  time which is too slow.

# Multiplication Functions

## Solution

- Let's try a brute force dp solution first.
- Let  $dp[n][k]$  = Expected number written on board after  $k$  turns, if we start at  $n$ , then  $dp[n][0] = n$
- Then after one turn each divisor of  $n$  is chosen with equal probability ( $\tau(n)$  = number of divisors of  $n$ ), hence we have.

$$dp[n][k] = \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} dp[d][k-1]$$

- But this will take  $O(n * \tau(n) * k)$  time which is too slow.



# Multiplication Functions

## Solution

- Let's try a brute force dp solution first.
- Let  $dp[n][k]$  = Expected number written on board after  $k$  turns, if we start at  $n$ , then  $dp[n][0] = n$
- Then after one turn each divisor of  $n$  is chosen with equal probability ( $\tau(n)$  = number of divisors of  $n$ ), hence we have.

$$dp[n][k] = \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n} dp[d][k-1]$$

- But this will take  $O(n * \tau(n) * k)$  time which is too slow.

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- So let's use properties of multiplicative functions to get better time complexity.
- Observation : Note that  $f(n) = dp[n][k]$  is multiplicative function for given  $k$ . But how do we prove it?

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- So let's use properties of multiplicative functions to get better time complexity.
- Observation : Note that  $f(n) = dp[n][k]$  is multiplicative function for given  $k$ . But how do we prove it?

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- We can try proving with Induction on  $k$ . Note that for  $k = 0$   $dp[n][k] = n$  is multiplicative.
- Now assume that  $dp[n][k - 1]$  is multiplicative, then both functions  $\frac{1}{\tau(n)}$  and  $\sum_{d|n} dp[d][k - 1]$  is multiplicative, and hence their product is also multiplicative.

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- We can try proving with Induction on  $k$ . Note that for  $k = 0$   $dp[n][k] = n$  is multiplicative.
- Now assume that  $dp[n][k - 1]$  is multiplicative, then both functions  $\frac{1}{\tau(n)}$  and  $\sum_{d|n} dp[d][k - 1]$  is multiplicative, and hence their product is also multiplicative.

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- Thus we just need to calculate our dp on prime powers that divide  $n$ . And since there are atmost  $\log n$  prime powers. Time complexity is  $O(\sqrt{n} + k \log n)$ . ( $\sqrt{n}$  term for prime factorisation).
- Formally, consider  $dp$  as  $dp[p][j][k] =$  Expected number on board after  $k$  turns if we start with  $p^j$ , and if  $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ , we have,

$$dp[p][j][k] = \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{j_1=0}^j dp[p][j_1][k-1]$$

$$dp[n][k] = \prod_{i=1}^k dp[p_i][r_i][k]$$

# Multiplication Functions

## Use of Multiplicative function

- Thus we just need to calculate our dp on prime powers that divide  $n$ . And since there are atmost  $\log n$  prime powers. Time complexity is  $O(\sqrt{n} + k \log n)$ . ( $\sqrt{n}$  term for prime factorisation).
- Formally, consider  $dp$  as  $dp[p][j][k] =$  Expected number on board after  $k$  turns if we start with  $p^j$ , and if  $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ , we have,

$$dp[p][j][k] = \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{j_1=0}^j dp[p][j_1][k-1]$$

$$dp[n][k] = \prod_{i=1}^k dp[p_i][r_i][k]$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Mobius function  $\mu(n)$  is defined as

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r, & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Intuitively,  $\mu(n)$  is 0 for non - squarefree integers (which are divisible by square of some prime), and  $-1$  or  $1$  depending on if the number of prime factors of  $n$  is odd or even. Note than  $\mu(n)$  is multiplicative function.
- We also consider the simplest of functions (not so simple in application :- ) ), dirchlet function  $e(n)$ , as

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{iff } n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Mobius function  $\mu(n)$  is defined as

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r, & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Intuitively,  $\mu(n)$  is 0 for non - squarefree integers (which are divisible by square of some prime), and  $-1$  or  $1$  depending on if the number of prime factors of  $n$  is odd or even. Note than  $\mu(n)$  is multiplicative function.
- We also consider the simplest of functions (not so simple in application :- ) ), dirchlet function  $e(n)$ , as

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{iff } n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Mobius function  $\mu(n)$  is defined as

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r, & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Intuitively,  $\mu(n)$  is 0 for non - squarefree integers (which are divisible by square of some prime), and  $-1$  or  $1$  depending on if the number of prime factors of  $n$  is odd or even. Note than  $\mu(n)$  is multiplicative function.
- We also consider the simplest of functions (not so simple in application :- ) , dirchlet function  $e(n)$ , as

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{iff } n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Now consider sum function of  $\mu(n)$

$$S_{\mu}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = e(n)$$

- Proof (intuitive) :  $n = 1$  is trivial, for  $n \geq 1$  Suppose  $n$  has  $r$  distinct primes in its factorisation, then product of primes in each subset will contribute to  $S_{\mu}(n)$ , and since, half of them will contain odd primes, and half even, they will cancel each other, hence  $S_{\mu}(n) = 0$

# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Now consider sum function of  $\mu(n)$

$$S_{\mu}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = e(n)$$

- Proof (intuitive) :  $n = 1$  is trivial, for  $n \geq 1$  Suppose  $n$  has  $r$  distinct primes in its factorisation, then product of primes in each subset will contribute to  $S_{\mu}(n)$ , and since, half of them will contain odd primes, and half even, they will cancel each other, hence  $S_{\mu}(n) = 0$

# Mobius Inversion

## Properties

- Proof (Formal) : Let  $n(> 1) = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ , then  $(S_\mu$  is multiplicative since  $\mu$  is multiplicative)

$$S_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^k S_\mu(p_i^{r_i})$$

$$S_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \mu(p_i) + \mu(p_i^2) \dots \mu(p_i^{r_i}))$$

$$S_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - 1 + 0 \dots + 0) = 0$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Mobius Inversion

- So now, what the heck is Mobius inversion? It's nothing but the simple identity, that we've already discussed.

$$e(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$$

- It's okay if you're feeling like, "Seriously? are you not kidding me? I read all this for this poor equation that doesn't seem to possess any superpowers?". Don't worry You'll see the magic in seconds.

# Mobius Inversion

## Mobius Inversion

- So now, what the heck is Mobius inversion? It's nothing but the simple identity, that we've already discussed.

$$e(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$$

- It's okay if you're feeling like, "Seriously? are you not kidding me? I read all this for this poor equation that doesn't seem to possess any superpowers?". Don't worry You'll see the magic in seconds.

# Mobius Inversion

## Magic

- We will use  $[ \text{some condition} ]$  to denote 1 if condition is true and 0 otherwise.



# Mobius Inversion

## Magic

- Now, let's solve an easy problem : Find the pair of coprime integers not more than  $n$ . Formally, find,

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e[\gcd(i, j)]$$

- Now, the magic, apply mobius inversion.

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d|\gcd(i, j)} \mu(d)$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Magic

- Now, let's solve an easy problem : Find the pair of coprime integers not more than  $n$ . Formally, find,

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e[\gcd(i, j)]$$

- Now, the magic, apply mobius inversion.

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d|\gcd(i, j)} \mu(d)$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- That gives,

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | \gcd(i, j)]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i \text{ and } d | j]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i] * [d | j]$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- That gives,

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | \gcd(i, j)]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i \text{ and } d | j]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i] * [d | j]$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- That gives,

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | \gcd(i, j)]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i \text{ and } d | j]$$

•

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * [d | i] * [d | j]$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- Rearranging,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] \right) * \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [d|j] \right)$$

- But ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] = \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor$
- Hence,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor^2$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- Rearranging,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] \right) * \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [d|j] \right)$$

- But ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] = \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor$
- Hence,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor^2$$

# Mobius Inversion

## The Black Magic

- Rearranging,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] \right) * \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [d|j] \right)$$

- But ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n [d|i] = \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor$
- Hence,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mu(d) * \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor^2$$



# Mobius Inversion

## Computation ?

- Now, how to calculate  $f(n)$  ?
- $\mu(n)$  can be calculate in linear or  $O(n \log \log n)$  time using sieve, one implementation is,

```
mu[n], is_prime[n] // {initialize mu, is_prime to 1}
for (int i=2; i<=n; ++i) if (is_prime[i]) {
 for (int j=i; j<=n; j += i) {
 if (j > i) is_prime[j] = 0
 if (j%(i*i) == 0) mu[j] = 0
 mu[j] = -mu[j]
 }
}
```

```
f(n) = 0
for (int d=1; d<=n; ++d)
 f(n) += mu(d) * n/d * n/d
```

# Mobius Inversion

## Computation ?

- Now, how to calculate  $f(n)$  ?
- $\mu(n)$  can be calculate in linear or  $O(n \log \log n)$  time using sieve, one implementation is,

```
mu[n], is_prime[n] // {initialize mu, is_prime to 1}
for (int i=2; i<=n; ++i) if (is_prime[i]) {
 for (int j=i; j<=n; j += i) {
 if (j > i) is_prime[j] = 0
 if (j%(i*i) == 0) mu[j] = 0
 mu[j] = -mu[j]
 }
}
```

```
f(n) = 0
for (int d=1; d<=n; ++d)
 f(n) += mu(d) * n/d * n/d
```

# Mobius Inversion

## Original Problem

- Let's come back to the original problem. Find

$$g(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \gcd(i, j)$$

- $g(n) =$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \gcd(i, j)$$

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n [\gcd(i, j) == d]$$

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n [\gcd(\frac{i}{d}, \frac{j}{d}) == 1]$$

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * \sum_{\frac{n}{d}}^{\frac{n}{d}} \sum_{\frac{n}{d}}^{\frac{n}{d}} [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

# Mobius Inversion

## Original Problem

- But we have already seen

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

- Thus,

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * f(\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor)$$

- Thus,  $g(n)$  can be calculated by calculating  $f(n)$  from above in linear time.

# Mobius Inversion

## Original Problem

- But we have already seen

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

- Thus,

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * f(\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor)$$

- Thus,  $g(n)$  can be calculated by calculating  $f(n)$  from above in linear time.

# Mobius Inversion

## Original Problem

- But we have already seen

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{d}} [\gcd(i, j) == 1]$$

- Thus,

$$g(n) = \sum_{d=1}^n d * f(\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor)$$

- Thus,  $g(n)$  can be calculated by calculating  $f(n)$  from above in linear time.

# Mobius Inversion

## References and Problems

- A dance with Mobius function
- Math Node : Mobius Inversion
- Multiplicative functions

# Mobius Inversion

## Original Problem

That's all folks. Practice more, Keep improving.