

## Network-Based Immune Biomarker Discovery and Validation Using Transcriptomic Data

### Positioning

**Core expertise:** transcriptomic analysis, network-based biomarker discovery, and cross-dataset validation  
**Data modality:** bulk gene-expression data (microarray and RNA-seq)

### Client Scenario

A clinical research or translational science team has access to transcriptomic data from patient cohorts but faces difficulty identifying **robust, biologically meaningful biomarkers** that generalize beyond a single dataset and remain interpretable for downstream clinical or research use. The goal was to prioritize biomarkers that remain stable across datasets and are suitable for downstream validation rather than exploratory gene discovery.

### Problem

Standard differential expression analyses often generate long gene lists that:

- lack biological structure
- show poor reproducibility across datasets
- are difficult to translate into compact biomarker panels

For CROs and clinical research teams, this results in **high analytical noise and low confidence in downstream decisions**.

### Solution

I implemented a **network-driven transcriptomic analysis framework** to identify stable immune-related biomarkers and validate them across independent datasets.

### Key components:

- Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)
- Identification of phenotype-associated gene modules
- Hub-gene prioritization within biologically coherent networks
- Cross-platform validation (discovery + independent RNA-seq cohort)
- Functional interpretation to support biological plausibility

### Deliverables

#### Client-ready outputs:

1. Quality-controlled transcriptomic dataset
2. Phenotype-associated co-expression networks
3. Compact immune-related biomarker panel ( $\approx 20$  hub genes)
4. Cross-dataset validation results demonstrating robustness
5. Functional annotation supporting biological interpretability

All outputs are reproducible and adaptable to client-specific transcriptomic cohorts.

## Key Results

- **Biomarker robustness:**
  - Hub genes derived from network structure rather than isolated DE signals
- **Reproducibility:**
  - Consistent expression patterns validated in an independent RNA-seq cohort
- **Interpretability:**
  - Identified biomarkers map to immune activation and inflammatory pathways
- **Practicality:**
  - Final output reduced to a compact, interpretable gene panel suitable for downstream testing

## Why This Matters for CROs and Clinical Research Teams

This case study demonstrates the ability to:

- Move beyond noisy gene lists toward **network-stabilized biomarkers**
- Improve reproducibility across cohorts and platforms
- Deliver compact, interpretable biomarker panels
- Support translational research, feasibility studies, and assay development

## What This Case Study Represents

- ✓ Network-based biomarker discovery
- ✓ Cross-dataset validation
- ✓ Interpretable transcriptomic analytics
- ✗ Not a purely exploratory gene-list study
- ✗ Not an overfitted machine-learning model

## Typical Use Cases

- Biomarker discovery and prioritization
- Transcriptomic profiling for clinical research
- Validation of immune-related signatures
- Translational studies prior to assay or trial development

## Data Source

Public transcriptomic datasets (discovery cohort + independent RNA-seq validation cohort)

## One-sentence takeaway

*This case study demonstrates my ability to deliver reproducible, network-based transcriptomic biomarkers validated across datasets and suitable for CRO-driven clinical and translational research.*