TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE COMMISSION (458)

ADDENDUM E WET-DRY STATUS OF TEXAS COUNTIES For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2011

not wet for off-premises sales of distilled spirits.

COUNTIES IN WHICH DISTILLED SPIRITS ARE LEGAL: 212

Anderson+ Dallas+ Howard+ Nacogdoches Angelina+ Dawson+ Hudspeth+* Navarro+ Aransas+* Deaf Smith* Hunt+ Newton Archer Denton+ Hutchinson Nolan+* Nueces+* Atascosa+ DeWitt+ Jack Oldham+1 Jackson+ Austin+* Dickens Dimmitt+* Bandera+ Jasper+ Orange+ Bastrop+* Donley+ Jeff Davis+ Palo Pinto Bee+ Duval+* Jefferson+ Parker+ Bell+ Eastland Jim Hogg+* Pecos+ Jim Wells+ Bexar+* Ector+* Polk+ Edwards Blanco+ Johnson+ Potter+ Bosque+ El Paso+* Jones+ Presidio+* Karnes+* Bowie+1 Ellis+ Rains+ Brazoria+ Erath Kaufman+ Randall+ Brazos+* Falls Kendall+* Reagan* Red River Brewster+* Fannin Kenedy+* Fayette+* Kerr+ Reeves+* Briscoe Refugio* Brooks+* Floyd+ Kimble* Foard+* Robertson+ Brown+ King Kinney+* Fort Bend+* Burleson Rockwall+ Burnet+ Freestone Kleberg+* Runnels Frio+ Sabine Caldwell+ Knox Calhoun+ Galveston+ Lamar+ San Augustine Callahan+ Garza+ Lamb San Jacinto Cameron+* San Patricio+ Gillespie+ Lampasas+ Goliad+* Camp+ La Salle+* San Saba+* Gonzales+* Schleicher* Carson Lavaca+ Cass Lee+* Scurry+* Gray Grayson+ Shackelford Castro Leon+ Chambers+ Gregg+ Liberty+ Shelby Cherokee+ Grimes+ Live Oak Smith+ Childress+* Guadalupe+* Llano+ Starr+* Coleman Hale+ Lubbock+* Stonewall Collin+ Hall Madison+1 Sutton+* Colorado+* Hamilton Marion+ Swisher Comal+* Hardeman+* Matagorda+ Tarrant+ Taylor+ Comanche+ Hardin+ Maverick+ Cooke+ Harris+ McCulloch+ Terrell* Coryell McLennan+ Harrison Titus Cottle+* Tom Green+ Hartley Medina+ Crockett* Haskell+ Menard* Travis+ Hays+ Midland+* Trinity* Crane* Tyler+1 Culberson+* Henderson+ Milam+ Dallam* Hidalgo+* Upshur Mills Hill+ Mitchell+* Upton* Hockley+ Montague+ Uvalde+ 1 County wet, at least in Hood+ Val Verde+* Montgomery+ part, for the sale of mixed Moore+* Victoria+* Hopkins beverages in restaurants but Houston+1 Walker+ Morris+

⁺Indicates sale of mixed beverages is legal in all or part of county (154)

^{*}Indicates counties totally wet for distilled spirits for off premises consumption (69); partially wet for the sale of distilled spirits for off premises consumption (136)

UNAUDITED

Zapata+*

Zavala+*

Waller*Wheeler+Wilson+Ward*Wichita+Winkler*Washington+*Wilbarger+*Wise+Webb+*Willacy+Wood+1Wharton+*Williamson+Young

IN WHICH ONLY 4% BEER IS LEGAL: 4

Some counties are only partially wet.

Baylor Irion Mason Stephens

COUNTIES IN WHICH 14% OR LESS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ARE LEGAL: 13

Some counties are only partially wet.

Clay Glasscock McMullen Van Zandt

CochranLimestoneRealCokeLipscombSomervellConchoLovingTerry

COUNTIES ENTIRELY DRY: 25

Andrews Fisher Martin Sherman Armstrong Franklin Motley Sterling Bailey Gaines Ochiltree Throckmorton Borden Hansford Panola Yoakum Parmer

Collingsworth Hemphill Parmer
Crosby Kent Roberts
Delta Lynn Rusk

FY 2011 Local Option Elections

A total of 87 local options elections were held for 66 jurisdictions in FY 2011. Issues authorizing alcoholic beverage sales were passed in 67 elections and failed in 20. As a result of these elections, 53 jurisdictions became wet or wetter still and 13 retained their previous status.

Elections Held November 2, 2010

57 elections in 43 Jurisdictions. Issue Passed in 43 elections and Failed in 14. 33 Jurisdiction became wet or wetter still as a result of the elections and 10 retained their previous status.

An election was held for Andrews County on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 1,982 FOR and 2,114 AGAINST. Andrews County was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for all such sales.

An election was held for Andrews County on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 2,002 FOR and 2,084 AGAINST. Andrews County was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for all such sales.

An election was held for the City of Harker Heights, Bell County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,707 FOR and 1,195 AGAINST. Before the election, as a result of recent annexations, the City of Harker Heights, Bell County, was no longer "wet" throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. After the election, all parts of the city are "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Killeen, Bell County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 7,021 FOR and 3,488 AGAINST. The City of Killeen, Bell County, was "wet" only for sales of beer and wine and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Meridian, Bosque County, on November 2, 2010 on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 224 FOR and 168 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Meridian, Bosque County, as a result of recent annexations, was no longer "wet" throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. After the election, all parts of the the city were "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Historic Justice of the Peace Precinct 1 (1971 boundaries), Burnet County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,852 FOR and 727 AGAINST. Historic Justice of the Peace Precinct 1 (1971 boundaries), Burnet County, was "wet" only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election, and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Historic Justice of the Peace Precinct 1 (1971 boundaries), Burnet County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,038 FOR and 595 AGAINST. Historic Justice of the Peace Precinct 1 (1971 boundaries), Burnet County, was "dry" for all sales of alcoholic beverages for on-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Martindale, Caldwell County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 224 FOR and 102 AGAINST. The City of Martindale, Caldwell County was "dry" for all alcohol sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Martindale, Caldwell County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 247 FOR and 92 AGAINST. The City of Martindale, Caldwell County was "dry" for all alcohol sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Clyde, Callahan County, on November 2, 2010, on issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 499 FOR and 426 AGAINST. The City of Clyde, Callahan County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Clyde, Callahan County, on November 2, 2010, on issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 521 FOR and 401 AGAINST. The City of Clyde, Callahan County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Bullard, Cherokee & Smith Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 318 FOR and 410 AGAINST. The City of Bullard, Cherokee & Smith Counties, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bullard, Cherokee & Smith Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 420 FOR and 302 AGAINST. The City of Bullard, Cherokee & Smith Counties, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale in mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Lavon, Collin County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 333 FOR and 214 AGAINST. The City of Lavon, Collin County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Dallas, Collin, Dallas, and Denton Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 120,072 FOR and 63,103 AGAINST. The City of Dallas was "wet" only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and after the election was "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Dallas, Collin, Dallas, and Denton Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 132,512 FOR and 49,455 AGAINST. The City of Dallas was "wet" only in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election was "wet" throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Celina, Collin and Denton Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 400 FOR and 249 AGAINST. The City of Celina, Collin and Denton Counties, was "wet" only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election but after the election is "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Celina, Collin and Denton Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in

restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 446 FOR and 205 AGAINST. The City of Celina, Collin and Denton Counties, was "wet" only in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election but after the election is "wet' throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of DeLeon, Comanche County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 330 FOR and 256 AGAINST. The City of DeLeon, Comanche County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the Culberson County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 254 FOR and 199 AGAINST. Culberson County, was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Lancaster, Dallas County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,405 FOR and 3,717 AGAINST. The City of Lancaster, Dallas County, was "wet" only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is wet both for sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of University Park, Dallas County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,554 FOR and 3,472 AGAINST. The City of University Park, Dallas County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of University Park, Dallas County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 5,247 FOR and 2,788 AGAINST. The City of University Park, Dallas County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Dish, Denton County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed

beverages." The issue FAILED by a vote of 20 FOR and 44 AGAINST. The City of Dish, Denton County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Hebron, Denton County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 87 FOR and 82 AGAINST. The City of Hebron, Denton County, was "wet" for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now also "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Haslet, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 308 FOR and 127 AGAINST. The City of Haslet, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was "wet" only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election became "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Haslet, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 3337 FOR and 103 AGAINST. The City of Haslet, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was "wet" only in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election became "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Roanoke, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 523 FOR and 471 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Roanoke, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders. After the election, the city became "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and remained "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Palmer, Ellis County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 187 FOR and 172 AGAINST. The City of Palmer, Ellis County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election was "wet" for the sale of beer and wine."

An election was held for the City of Floydada, Floyd County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 397 FOR and 278 AGAINST. The

City of Floydada, Floyd County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Gonzales County on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. The issue PASSED by a vote of 3,329 FOR and 1,320 AGAINST. Gonzales County was "wet" in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now "wet" throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of White Oak, Gregg County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 658 FOR and 919 AGAINST. The City of White Oak, Gregg County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry."

An election was held for the City of White Oak, Gregg County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 682 FOR and 903 AGAINST. The City of White Oak, Gregg County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry."

An election was held for the City of Quinlan, Hunt County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 179 FOR and 176 AGAINST. The City of Quinlan, Hunt County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bevil Oaks, Jefferson County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 324 FOR and 188 AGAINST. The City of Bevil Oaks, Jefferson County, was "wet" only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Kempner, Lampasas County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 152 FOR and 43 AGAINST. The City of Kempner, Lampasas County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine."

An election was held for the City of Lone Star, Morris County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 329 FOR and 220 AGAINST. The

City of Lone Star, Morris County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Emhouse, Navarro County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 9 FOR and 9 AGAINST. The City of Emhouse, Navarro County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales and after the election remains "dry" for all such sales.

An election was held for the City of Eureka, Navarro County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 63 FOR and 87 AGAINST. The City of Eureka, Navarro County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remained "dry for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Millsap, Parker County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by vote of 50 FOR and 27 AGAINST. The City of Millsap, Parker County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Millsap, Parker County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by vote of 50 FOR and 27 AGAINST. The City of Millsap, Parker County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Springtown, Parker and Wise Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 294 FOR and 256 AGAINST. The City of Springtown, Parker and Wise Counties, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Springtown, Parker and Wise Counties, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 279 FOR and 272 AGAINST. The City of Springtown, Parker and Wise Counties, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Broaddus, San Augustine County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages,

including mixed beverages." The issue FAILED by a vote of 84 FOR and 166 AGAINST. The City of Broaddus, San Augustine County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Mathis, San Patricio County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 369 FOR and 291 AGAINST. The City of Mathis, San Patricio County, was "wet" only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of San Saba, San Saba County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue FAILED by a vote of 278 FOR and 313 AGAINST. The City of San Saba, San Saba County, was only "wet" in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the elections remains only "wet" in part for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 2,300 FOR and 2,351 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, was "wet" only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains "wet" only in part for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Whitehouse, Smith County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 1,032 FOR and 1,194 AGAINST. The City of Whitehouse, Smith County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Whitehouse, Smith County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,280 FOR and 939 AGAINST. The City of Whitehouse, Smith County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Benbrook, Tarrant County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,405 FOR and 1,391 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Benbrook, Tarrant County, was "wet" for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed

beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Benbrook, Tarrant County, became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and remained "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants.

An election was held for the City of Kennedale, Tarrant County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,009 FOR and 488 AGAINST. The City of Kennedale, Tarrant County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Woodville, Tyler County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 427 FOR and 251 AGAINST. The City of Woodville, Tyler County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Woodville, Tyler County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 415 FOR and 254 AGAINST. The City of Woodville, Tyler County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election became "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Georgetown, Williamson County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issued PASSED by a vote of 13,288 FOR and 3,791 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Georgetown, Williamson County, was "wet" throughout only for off-premises sales of beer and wine and for the sale in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election. After the election, the city is "wet" throughout for all alcoholic beverage sales including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Chico, Wise County, on November 2, 2010 on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 103 FOR and 77 AGAINST. The City of Chico, Wise County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Paradise, Wise County, on November 2, 2010 on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue FAILED by a vote of 38 FOR and 47 AGAINST. The City

of Paradise, Wise County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Olney, Young County, on November 2, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 424 FOR and 531 AGAINST. The City of Olney, Young County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

Elections Held May 14, 2011

30 elections in 24 Jurisdictions. Issue Passed in 24 elections and Failed in 6. 20 Jurisdiction became wet or wetter still as a result of the elections and 4 retained their previous status. (NOTE: Elections for different issues were held for the City of Eureka, Navarro County, on both election dates. The November issue failed. The May issue passed. City originally dry, now wet after May election)

An election was held for the City of Texarkana, Bowie County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 2,167 FOR and 2,646 AGAINST. The City of Texarkana, Bowie County, was "wet" on for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election remains "wet" only for such sales and is "dry" for all others.

An election was held for the City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 171 FOR and 127 AGAINST. The City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties was "wet" only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is now also wet for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 166 FOR and 130 AGAINST. The City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties was "wet" only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is now also wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Blue Ridge, Collin County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 69 FOR and 26 AGAINST. The City of Blue Ridge, Collin County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lowry Crossing, Collin County, on May 14, 2010, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises

consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 216 FOR and 129 AGAINST. The City of Lowry Crossing, Collin County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

A countywide election was held for Concho County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 281 FOR and 33 AGAINST. Concho County was "wet" in part for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now "wet" throughout for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,927 FOR and 2,782 AGAINST. The City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was "wet" only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is now also "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lamesa, Dawson County, on May 14, 2011 on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,340 FOR and 464 AGAINST. The City of Lamasa, Dawson County, was "dry" before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lamesa, Dawson County, on May 14, 2011 on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,324 FOR and 479 AGAINST. The City of Lamasa, Dawson County, was "dry" before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Trophy Club, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 869 FOR and 211 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Trophy Club, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders. After the election, the City of Trophy Club is now wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Southlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue FAILED by a vote 1,904 FOR and 3,064 AGAINST. The City of Southlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was ":wet" only

for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates before the election. After the election, the city remains "wet" for such sales but remains "dry" for all other sales of alcoholic beverages.

An election was held for the City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 157 FOR and 152 AGAINST. The City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, was "wet" in part (only in the Erath County portion) for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now "wet" throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Athens, Henderson County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 831 FOR and 541 AGAINST. The City of Athens, Henderson County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only."

An election was held for the City of Athens, Henderson County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 904 FOR and 443 AGAINST. The City of Athens, Henderson County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Jeff Davis County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 70 FOR and 26 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Jeff Davis County was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only before the election before the election and after the election is now also "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Crandall, Kaufman County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 204 FOR and 311 AGAINST. The City of Crandall, Kaufman County, was "dry" for all sales of alcoholic beverages before the election and after the election remains "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Crandall, Kaufman County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 225 FOR and 291 AGAINST. The City of Crandall, Kaufman County, was "dry" for all sales of

alcoholic beverages before the election and after the election remains "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Terrell, Kaufman County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 202 FOR and 141. The City of Terrell, Kaufman County, was mostly "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Eureka, Navarro County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 81 FOR and 74 AGAINST. The City of Eureka, Navarro County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Gregory, San Patricio County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 127 FOR and 91 AGAINST. The City of Gregory, San Patricio County, was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only before the election and after the election is now "wet" for all alcoholic beverages sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

A countywide election was held for San Saba County on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 702 FOR and 591 AGAINST. San Saba County was partially "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only prior to the election and after the election is now "wet" throughout for all alcoholic beverage sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Arlington, Tarrant County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 7,768 FOR and 2,349 AGAINST. The City of Arlington, Tarrant County, was "wet for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption, for the sale of beer for onpremises consumption, and for the sale of mixed beverages before the elections, and after the election is now also "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Uvalde, Uvalde County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 532 FOR and 159 AGAINST. The City of Uvalde, Uvalde County, was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except

mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages."

An election was held for the City of New Waverly, Walker County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 43 FOR and 20 AGAINST. The City of New Waverly, Walker County, was, for the most part, "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, and after the election is "wet" throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Alvord, Wise County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 172 FOR and 100 AGAINST. The City of Alvord, Wise County, was "dry" for all sales of alcoholic beverages before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Alba, Wood County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 64 FOR and 37 AGAINST. The City of Alba, Wood County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hawkins, Wood County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 67 FOR and 133 AGAINST. The City of Hawkins, Wood County, was "dry" for all sales of alcoholic beverages before the election and after the election remains "dry for alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Hawkins, Wood County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only." The issue FAILED by a vote of 72 FOR and 129 AGAINST. The City of Hawkins, Wood County, was "dry" for all sales of alcoholic beverages before the election and after the election remains "dry for alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Mineola, Wood County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 440 FOR and 419 AGAINST. The City of Mineola, Wood County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mineola, Wood County, on May 14, 2011, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and

beverage certificate holders only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 441 FOR and 406 AGAINST. The City of Mineola, Wood County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.