


Lecture 8: Value Function Approximation

Shiyu Zhao



- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

Motivating examples: from table to function

So far in this book, state and action values are represented by [tables](#).

- For example, state value:

State	s_1	s_2	\dots	s_n
True value	$v_\pi(s_1)$	$v_\pi(s_2)$	\dots	$v_\pi(s_n)$
Estimated value	$\hat{v}(s_1)$	$\hat{v}(s_2)$	\dots	$\hat{v}(s_n)$

- For example, action value:

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5
s_1	$q_\pi(s_1, a_1)$	$q_\pi(s_1, a_2)$	$q_\pi(s_1, a_3)$	$q_\pi(s_1, a_4)$	$q_\pi(s_1, a_5)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
s_9	$q_\pi(s_9, a_1)$	$q_\pi(s_9, a_2)$	$q_\pi(s_9, a_3)$	$q_\pi(s_9, a_4)$	$q_\pi(s_9, a_5)$


- [Advantage](#): intuitive and easy to analyze
- [Disadvantage](#): difficult to handle **large or continuous** state or action spaces.
Two aspects: 1) storage; 2) generalization ability

Consider an example:

- Suppose there are **finite** states s_1, \dots, s_n .
- Their state values are $v_\pi(s_1), \dots, v_\pi(s_n)$, where π is a given policy.
- Suppose n is very large and we hope to use a simple curve to approximate these dots to save storage.

Motivating examples: from table to function

For example, we can use a simple **straight line** to fit the dots.



Suppose the equation of the straight line is

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = as + b = \underbrace{[s, 1]}_{\phi^T(s)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}_w = \phi^T(s)w$$


w is the **parameter vector**; $\phi(s)$ the **feature vector** of s ; $\hat{v}(s, w)$ is **linear** in w .

Motivating examples: from table to function

Difference between the tabular and function methods:

Difference 1: How to retrieve the value of a state

- When the values are represented by a table, we can directly read the value in the table.
- When the values are represented by a function, we need to input the state index s into the function and calculate the function value.



For example, $s \rightarrow \phi(s) \rightarrow \hat{v}(s, w) = \phi^T(s)w$

Benefit: storage. We do not need to store $|\mathcal{S}|$ state values. We only need to store a lower-dimensional w .

Difference between the tabular and function methods:

Difference 2: How to update the value of a state


- When the values are represented by a table, we can directly rewrite the value in the table.
- When the values are represented by a function, we must update w to change the values indirectly.

How to update w to find optimal state values will be addressed in detail later.


Motivating examples: from table to function

Difference between the tabular and function methods:

Difference 2: How to update the value of a state



(a) Tabular method



(b) Function approximation method

Benefit: generalization ability. When we update $\hat{v}(s)$ by changing w , the values of the neighboring states are also changed.

Motivating examples: from table to function

The benefits are **not free**. It comes with a **cost**: the state values can not be represented accurately. This is why this method is called **approximation**.

We can fit the points more precisely using **high-order curves**.

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = as^2 + bs + c = \underbrace{[s^2, s, 1]}_{\phi^T(s)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}}_w = \phi^T(s)w.$$

In this case,

- The dimensions of w and $\phi(s)$ increase; the values may be fitted more accurately.
- Although $\hat{v}(s, w)$ is **nonlinear in s** , it is **linear in w** . The nonlinearity is contained in $\phi(s)$.

Quick summary:

- **Idea:** Approximate the state and action values using **parameterized functions**: $\hat{v}(s, w) \approx v_{\pi}(s)$ where $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the parameter vector.
- **Key difference:** How to retrieve and change the value of $v(s)$
- **Advantages:**
 - 1) **Storage:** The dimension of w may be much smaller than $|\mathcal{S}|$.
 - 2) **Generalization:** When a state s is visited, the parameter w is updated so that the values of some other unvisited states can also be updated.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

Objective function

Introduce in a more formal way:

- Let $v_\pi(s)$ and $\hat{v}(s, w)$ be the true state value and a function for approximation.
- Our goal is to find an optimal w so that $\hat{v}(s, w)$ can best approximate $v_\pi(s)$ for every s .
- This is a policy evaluation problem. Later we will extend to policy improvement.

To find the optimal w , we need two steps.

- The first step is to define an objective function.
- The second step is to derive algorithms optimizing the objective function.

The **objective function** is

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2].$$

- Our goal is to find the best w that can minimize $J(w)$.
- The expectation is with respect to the random variable $S \in \mathcal{S}$. What is the probability distribution of S ?
 - This is often confusing because we have not discussed the probability distribution of states so far in this book.
 - There are several ways to define the probability distribution of S .

The first way is to use a **uniform distribution**.

- That is to treat all the states to be **equally important** by setting the probability of each state as $1/|\mathcal{S}|$.
- In this case, the objective function becomes

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_{\pi}(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (v_{\pi}(s) - \hat{v}(s, w))^2.$$

- **Drawback:**

- The states may not be equally important. For example, some states may be rarely visited by a policy. Hence, this way does not consider the real dynamics of the Markov process under the given policy.

The second way is to use the **stationary distribution**.

- Stationary distribution is an important concept that will be frequently used in this course. In short, it describes the **long-run behavior** of a Markov process.
- Let $\{d_\pi(s)\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ denote the stationary distribution of the Markov process under policy π . By definition, $d_\pi(s) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d_\pi(s) = 1$.
- The objective function can be rewritten as

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2] = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d_\pi(s) (v_\pi(s) - \hat{v}(s, w))^2.$$

This function is a weighted squared error.

- Since more frequently visited states have higher values of $d_\pi(s)$, their weights in the objective function are also higher than those rarely visited states.

More explanation about stationary distribution:

- *Distribution*: Distribution of the state
- *Stationary*: Long-run behavior
- *Summary*: after the agent runs a long time following a policy, the probability that the agent is at any state can be described by this distribution.

Remarks:

- Stationary distribution is also called **steady-state distribution**, or **limiting distribution**.
- It is critical to understand the value function approximation method.
- It is also important for the policy gradient method in the next lecture.

Objective function - Stationary distribution

Illustrative example:

- Given a policy shown in the figure.
- Let $n_{\pi}(s)$ denote the number of times that s has been visited in a very long episode generated by π .
- Then, $d_{\pi}(s)$ can be approximated by

$$d_{\pi}(s) \approx \frac{n_{\pi}(s)}{\sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} n_{\pi}(s')}$$





Figure: Long-run behavior of an ϵ -greedy policy with $\epsilon = 0.5$.

The converged values can be predicted because they are the entries of d_π :

$$d_\pi^T = d_\pi^T P_\pi$$

For this example, we have P_π as

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0.1 & 0 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be calculated that the left eigenvector for the eigenvalue of one is

$$d_\pi = \left[0.0345, 0.1084, 0.1330, 0.7241 \right]^T$$

A comprehensive introduction can be found in the book.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

While we have the objective function, the next step is to optimize it.

- To minimize the objective function $J(w)$, we can use the **gradient-descent** algorithm:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \alpha_k \nabla_w J(w_k)$$

The true gradient is

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_w J(w) &= \nabla_w \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\nabla_w (v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))(-\nabla_w \hat{v}(S, w))] \\ &= -2\mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))\nabla_w \hat{v}(S, w)]\end{aligned}$$

The true gradient above involves the calculation of an expectation.

We can use the stochastic gradient to replace the true gradient:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t (v_\pi(s_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)) \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t),$$

where s_t is a sample of S . Here, $2\alpha_t$ is merged to α_t .

- The samples are expected to satisfy the stationary distribution. In practice, they may not satisfy.
- This algorithm is **not** implementable because it requires the true state value v_π , which is the unknown to be estimated.
- We can **replace** $v_\pi(s_t)$ with an approximation so that the algorithm is implementable.

In particular,

- **First, Monte Carlo learning with function approximation**

Let g_t be the discounted return starting from s_t in the episode. Then, g_t can be used to approximate $v_\pi(s_t)$. The algorithm becomes

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t (g_t - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)) \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t).$$

- **Second, TD learning with function approximation**

By the spirit of TD learning, $r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t)$ can be viewed as an approximation of $v_\pi(s_t)$. Then, the algorithm becomes

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)] \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t).$$

Pseudocode: TD learning with function approximation

Initialization: A function $\hat{v}(s, w)$ that is a differentiable in w . Initial parameter w_0 .

Aim: Approximate the true state values of a given policy π .

For each episode generated following the policy π , do

 For each step (s_t, r_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) , do

 In the general case,

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)] \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)$$

 In the linear case,

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \phi^T(s_{t+1}) w_t - \phi^T(s_t) w_t] \phi(s_t)$$

It can only estimate the state values of a given policy, but it is important to understand other algorithms introduced later.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - **Selection of function approximators**
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

An important question that has not been answered: How to select the function $\hat{v}(s, w)$?

- The first approach, which **was widely used before**, is to use a **linear** function

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = \phi^T(s)w$$

Here, $\phi(s)$ is the feature vector, which can be a **polynomial basis**, **Fourier basis**, ... (see my book for details). We have seen in the motivating example and will see again in the illustrative examples later.

- The second approach, which is **widely used nowadays**, is to use a neural network as a **nonlinear** function approximator.

The input of the NN is the state, the output is $\hat{v}(s, w)$, and the network parameter is w .

In the linear case where $\hat{v}(s, w) = \phi^T(s)w$, we have

$$\nabla_w \hat{v}(s, w) = \phi(s).$$

Substituting the gradient into the TD algorithm

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)] \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)$$

yields

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \phi^T(s_{t+1})w_t - \phi^T(s_t)w_t] \phi(s_t),$$

which is the algorithm of TD learning with linear function approximation. It is called **TD-Linear** in our course in short.

- **Disadvantages of linear function approximation:**
 - Difficult to select appropriate feature vectors.
- **Advantages of linear function approximation:**
 - The [theoretical properties](#) of the TD algorithm in the linear case can be much better understood than in the nonlinear case.
 - Linear function approximation is still powerful in the sense that the tabular representation is merely [a special case](#) of linear function approximation.

We next show that the tabular representation is a special case of linear function approximation.

In this way, the tabular and function representations are unified!

- First, consider the special feature vector for state s :

$$\phi(s) = e_s \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|},$$

where e_s is a vector with the s th entry as 1 and the others as 0.

- In this case,

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = e_s^T w = w(s),$$

where $w(s)$ is the s th entry of w .

Recall that the TD-Linear algorithm is

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \phi^T(s_{t+1})w_t - \phi^T(s_t)w_t] \phi(s_t),$$

- When $\phi(s_t) = e_s$, the above algorithm becomes

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t (r_{t+1} + \gamma w_t(s_{t+1}) - w_t(s_t)) e_{s_t}.$$

This is a vector equation that merely updates the s_t th entry of w_t .

- Multiplying $e_{s_t}^T$ on both sides of the equation gives


$$w_{t+1}(s_t) = w_t(s_t) + \alpha_t (r_{t+1} + \gamma w_t(s_{t+1}) - w_t(s_t)),$$

which is exactly the tabular TD algorithm.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - **Illustrative examples**
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

Illustrative examples

Consider a 5x5 grid-world example:




- Given a policy: $\pi(a|s) = 0.2$ for any s, a
- Our aim is to estimate the state values of this policy (policy evaluation problem).
- There are 25 state values in total. We next show that we can use less than 25 parameters to approximate these state values.
- Set $r_{\text{forbidden}} = r_{\text{boundary}} = -1$, $r_{\text{target}} = 1$, and $\gamma = 0.9$.


Illustrative examples

Ground truth:

- The true state values and the 3D visualization



	1	2	3	4	5
1	-3.8	-3.8	-3.6	-3.1	-3.2
2	-3.8	-3.8	-3.8	-3.1	-2.9
3	-3.6	-3.9	-3.4	-3.2	-2.9
4	-3.9	-3.6	-3.4	-2.9	-3.2
5	-4.5	-4.2	-3.4	-3.4	-3.5





Experience samples:

- 500 episodes were generated following the given policy.
- Each episode has 500 steps and starts from a randomly selected state-action pair following a uniform distribution.

Illustrative examples

For comparison, the results given by the **tabular TD algorithm** (called TD-Table in short):



We next show the results by the TD-Linear algorithm.

Feature vector selection:

$$\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$


In this case, the approximated state value is

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = \phi^T(s)w = [1, x, y] \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{bmatrix} = w_1 + w_2x + w_3y.$$

Notably, $\phi(s)$ can also be defined as $\phi(s) = [x, y, 1]^T$, where the order of the elements does not matter.

Illustrative examples

Results by the TD-Linear algorithm:



- The trend is right, but there are errors due to **limited approximation ability!**
- We are trying to use a plane to approximate a non-plane surface!

To enhance the approximation ability, we can use **high-order feature vectors** and hence **more parameters**.

- For example, we can consider

$$\phi(s) = [1, x, y, x^2, y^2, xy]^T \in \mathbb{R}^6.$$

In this case,

$$\hat{v}(s, w) = \phi^T(s)w = w_1 + w_2x + w_3y + w_4x^2 + w_5y^2 + w_6xy$$



which corresponds to a quadratic surface.

- We can further increase the dimension of the feature vector:



$$\phi(s) = [1, x, y, x^2, y^2, xy, x^3, y^3, x^2y, xy^2]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{10}.$$

Illustrative examples

Results by the TD-Linear algorithm with higher-order feature vectors:



The above figure: $\phi(s) \in \mathbb{R}^6$



The above figure: $\phi(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$

More examples and types of features are given in the book.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - **Summary of the story**
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

Summary of the story

Up to now, we finished the story of TD learning with value function approximation.

- 1) This story started from the objective function:

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2].$$

The objective function suggests that it is a policy evaluation problem.

- 2) The gradient-descent algorithm is

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t (v_\pi(s_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)) \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t),$$

- 3) The true value function, which is unknown, in the algorithm is replaced by an approximation, leading to the algorithm:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)] \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t).$$

Although this story is helpful to understand the basic idea, it is **not mathematically rigorous**.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

- The algorithm

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t [r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(s_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)] \nabla_w \hat{v}(s_t, w_t)$$

does not minimize the following objective function:

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2]$$

Different objective functions:

- **Objective function 1: True value error**

$$J_E(w) = \mathbb{E}[(v_\pi(S) - \hat{v}(S, w))^2] = \|\hat{v}(w) - v_\pi\|_D^2$$

- **Objective function 2: Bellman error**

$$J_{BE}(w) = \|\hat{v}(w) - (r_\pi + \gamma P_\pi \hat{v}(w))\|_D^2 \doteq \|\hat{v}(w) - T_\pi(\hat{v}(w))\|_D^2,$$

where $T_\pi(x) \doteq r_\pi + \gamma P_\pi x$

- **Objective function 3: Projected Bellman error**

$$J_{PBE}(w) = \|\hat{v}(w) - MT_{\pi}(\hat{v}(w))\|_D^2,$$

where M is a projection matrix.

The TD-Linear algorithm minimizes the projected Bellman error.

Details can be found in the book.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

So far, we merely considered the problem of **state value estimation**. That is we hope

$$\hat{v} \approx v_{\pi}$$

To search for optimal policies, we need to estimate **action values**.

The Sarsa algorithm with value function approximation is

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t) \right] \nabla_w \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t).$$

This is the same as the algorithm we introduced previously in this lecture except that \hat{v} is replaced by \hat{q} .

Sarsa with function approximation

To search for optimal policies, we can combine **policy evaluation** and **policy improvement**.

Pseudocode: Sarsa with function approximation

Aim: Search a policy that can lead the agent to the target from an initial state-action pair (s_0, a_0) .

For each episode, do

 If the current s_t is not the target state, do

 Take action a_t following $\pi_t(s_t)$, generate r_{t+1}, s_{t+1} , and then take action a_{t+1} following $\pi_t(s_{t+1})$

Value update (parameter update):

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, w_t) - \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t) \right] \nabla_w \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t)$$



Policy update:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{t+1}(a|s_t) &= 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}(s)|} (|\mathcal{A}(s)| - 1) \text{ if } a = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_t)} \hat{q}(s_t, a, w_{t+1}) \\ \pi_{t+1}(a|s_t) &= \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}(s)|} \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Sarsa with function approximation

Illustrative example:

- Sarsa with *linear function* approximation.
- $\gamma = 0.9$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, $r_{\text{boundary}} = r_{\text{forbidden}} = -10$, $r_{\text{target}} = 1$, $\alpha = 0.001$.



For details, please see the book.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary

Similar to Sarsa, tabular Q-learning can also be extended to the case of value function approximation.

The q-value update rule is

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_{t+1})} \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a, w_t) - \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t) \right] \nabla_w \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t),$$

which is the same as Sarsa except that $\hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, w_t)$ is replaced by $\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_{t+1})} \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a, w_t)$.

Q-learning with function approximation

Pseudocode: Q-learning with function approximation (on-policy version)

Initialization: Initial parameter vector w_0 . Initial policy π_0 . Small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Aim: Search a good policy that can lead the agent to the target from an initial state-action pair (s_0, a_0) .

For each episode, do

 If the current s_t is not the target state, do

 Take action a_t following $\pi_t(s_t)$, and generate r_{t+1}, s_{t+1}

 Value update (parameter update):

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_{t+1})} \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a, w_t) - \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t) \right] \nabla_w \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t)$$



 Policy update:

$$\pi_{t+1}(a|s_t) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathcal{A}(s)|} (|\mathcal{A}(s)| - 1) \text{ if } a = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_t)} \hat{q}(s_t, a, w_{t+1})$$
$$\pi_{t+1}(a|s_t) = \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathcal{A}(s)|} \text{ otherwise}$$

Q-learning with function approximation

Illustrative example:

- Q-learning with *linear function* approximation.
- $\gamma = 0.9$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, $r_{\text{boundary}} = r_{\text{forbidden}} = -10$, $r_{\text{target}} = 1$, $\alpha = 0.001$.



- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning**
- 6 Summary

Deep Q-learning or deep Q-network (DQN):

- One of the earliest and most successful algorithms that introduce deep neural networks into RL.
- The role of neural networks is to be a nonlinear function approximator.
- Different from the following algorithm:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \alpha_t \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s_{t+1})} \hat{q}(s_{t+1}, a, w_t) - \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t) \right] \nabla_w \hat{q}(s_t, a_t, w_t)$$

because of the way of training a network.

Deep Q-learning aims to minimize the objective function/loss function:

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w) - \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right)^2 \right],$$

where (S, A, R, S') are random variables.

- This is actually the **Bellman optimality error**. That is because

$$q(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{t+1})} q(S_{t+1}, a) \middle| S_t = s, A_t = a \right], \quad \forall s, a$$

The value of $R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w) - \hat{q}(S, A, w)$ should be zero in the expectation sense

How to minimize the objective function? Gradient-descent!

- How to calculate the gradient of the objective function? Tricky!
- That is because, in this objective function

$$J(w) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w) - \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right)^2 \right],$$

the parameter w not only appears in $\hat{q}(S, A, w)$ but also in

$$y \doteq R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w)$$

- Note that

$$\nabla_w y \neq \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \nabla_w \hat{q}(S', a, w)$$

- To solve this problem, we can assume that w in y is fixed (at least for a while) when we calculate the gradient.

To do that, we can introduce two networks.

- One is a **main network** representing $\hat{q}(s, a, w)$
- The other is a **target network** $\hat{q}(s, a, w_T)$.

The objective function in this case degenerates to

$$J = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w_T) - \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right)^2 \right],$$

where w_T is the target network parameter.

When w_T is fixed, the gradient of J can be easily obtained as

$$\nabla_w J = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w_T) - \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right) \nabla_w \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right].$$

- The **basic idea** of deep Q-learning is to use the gradient-descent algorithm to minimize the objective function.
- However, such an optimization process evolves some **important techniques** that deserve special attention.

First technique:

- Two networks, a main network and a target network.

Why is it used?

- The mathematical reason has been explained when we calculate the gradient.

Implementation details:

- Let w and w_T denote the parameters of the main and target networks, respectively. They are set to be the same initially.
- In every iteration, we draw a mini-batch of samples $\{(s, a, r, s')\}$ from the replay buffer (will be explained later).
- The inputs of the networks include state s and action a . The target output is $y_T \doteq r + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s')} \hat{q}(s', a, w_T)$. Then, we directly minimize the TD error or called loss function $(y_T - \hat{q}(s, a, w))^2$ over the mini-batch $\{(s, a, y_T)\}$.

Another technique:

- Experience replay

Question: What is experience replay?

Answer:

- After we have collected some experience samples, we do NOT use these samples in the order they were collected.
- Instead, we store them in a set, called replay buffer $\mathcal{B} \doteq \{(s, a, r, s')\}$
- Every time we train the neural network, we can draw a mini-batch of random samples from the replay buffer.
- The draw of samples, or called experience replay, should follow a uniform distribution (why? no prior knowledge). Can we use stationary distribution here like before? No, since no policy is given.

Question: Why is experience replay necessary in deep Q-learning? Why does the replay must follow a uniform distribution?

Answer: The answers lie in the objective function.

$$J = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(S')} \hat{q}(S', a, w) - \hat{q}(S, A, w) \right)^2 \right]$$

- $R \sim p(R|S, A)$, $S' \sim p(S'|S, A)$: R and S are determined by the system model.
- $(S, A) \sim d$: (S, A) is an index and treated as a single random variable
- The distribution of the state-action pair (S, A) is assumed to be **uniform** (can we assume other distributions such as stationary distribution?).

Answer (continued):

- However, **the samples are not uniformly collected** because they are generated consequently by certain policies.
- To **break the correlation** between consequent samples, we can use the experience replay technique by uniformly drawing samples from the replay buffer.
- This is the **mathematical reason** *why experience replay is necessary and why the experience replay must be uniform.*

Revisit the tabular case:

- Question: Why does not tabular Q-learning require experience replay?
 - Answer: Because it does not require any distribution of S or A .
- Question: Why does Deep Q-learning involve distributions?
 - Answer: Because we need to define a *scalar* objective function $J(w) = \mathbb{E}[*]$, where \mathbb{E} is for all (S, A) .
 - The tabular case aims to solve a set of equations for all (s, a) (Bellman optimality equation), whereas the deep case aims to optimize a scalar objective function.
- Question: Can we use experience replay in tabular Q-learning?
 - Answer: Yes, we can. And more sample efficient (why?)

Pseudocode: Deep Q-learning (off-policy version)

Aim: Learn an optimal target network to approximate the optimal action values from the experience samples generated by a behavior policy π_b .

Store the experience samples generated by π_b in a replay buffer $\mathcal{B} = \{(s, a, r, s')\}$

For each iteration, do

Uniformly draw a mini-batch of samples from \mathcal{B}

For each sample (s, a, r, s') , calculate the target value as $y_T = r + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s')} \hat{q}(s', a, w_T)$, where w_T is the parameter of the target network

Update the main network to minimize $(y_T - \hat{q}(s, a, w))^2$ using the mini-batch $\{(s, a, y_T)\}$

Set $w_T = w$ every C iterations

Remarks:

- Why no policy update?
- Why not using the policy update equation that we derived?
- The network input and output are different from the DQN paper.

Illustrative example:


- This example aims to **learn optimal action values for every state-action pair**.
- Once the optimal action values are obtained, the optimal greedy policy can be obtained immediately.

Setup:


- One single episode is used to train the network.
- This episode is generated by an exploratory behavior policy shown in Figure (a).
- The episode only has 1,000 steps! The tabular Q-learning requires 100,000 steps.
- A shallow neural network with one single hidden layer is used as a nonlinear approximator of $\hat{q}(s, a, w)$. The hidden layer has 100 neurons.

See details in the book.


Deep Q-learning




The behavior policy.




An episode of 1,000 steps.



The obtained policy.




The TD error converges to zero.




The state estimation error converges to zero.


What if we only use a single episode of 100 steps? Insufficient data




The behavior policy.




An episode of 100 steps.



The final policy.



The TD error converges to zero.



The state error does not converge to zero.

- 1 Motivating examples: from table to function
- 2 Algorithm for state value estimation
 - Objective function
 - Optimization algorithms
 - Selection of function approximators
 - Illustrative examples
 - Summary of the story
 - Theoretical analysis (optional)
- 3 Sarsa with function approximation
- 4 Q-learning with function approximation
- 5 Deep Q-learning
- 6 Summary**

This lecture introduces the method of value function approximation.

- First, understand the basic idea.
- Second, understand the basic algorithms.