## Report on the paper:

## Limit theorems for a class of critical superprocesses with stable branching. By Yan-Xia Ren, Renming Song and Zhenyao Sun.

This paper is about critical superprocesses  $X = (X_t, t \ge 0)$  with general spatial motion and spatially dependent stable branching mechanism with lowest stable index  $\gamma_0$ . They showed that under some condition the probability of non-extinction at time t is regularly varying with index  $(\gamma_0 - 1)^{-1}$ . Moreover, they showed that the for a large class of testing function, the mass  $X_t(f)$ , conditioned on the event of non-extinction at time t, converges weakly after an appropriated rescaling to a random variable. The distribution of this random variable and the re-escaling are given explicitly and they depend only on  $\gamma_0$ .

This paper is well written, they explained all the details and it is easy to follow. The theorems are a nice extension of the discrete state space and continuous state space (without movement) branching processes. I recommend its publication.

## Minor details.

- 1. Page 4 line 4. It has to be They showed that.
- 2. Page 12 line -10. Equation (2.4) is not only integrating both sides of (2.3), please explain more.
- 3. Page 20 line 4 In the first equality in the denominator it has to be  $y^{1+\gamma(\zeta_s)}$ .
- 4. Page 20 line 10, eq (3.11) You don't need to add x in the norm of  $||k\gamma\phi^{\gamma-1}||$ .
- 5. Page 20 eq (3.13) and Page 21 in 9 more times. You missed an m in  $\langle v, \phi^* \rangle_m$ .
- 6. Page 21 line 1. I will add: On the other hand, according to (3.2) and Proposition 3.2
- 7. Page 23 line -4. I will add then by Assumption 4, the spine representation, Cambell's formula ...
- 8. Page 25 equation (3.27) and the next equation. I think that in your equations you missed a factorial, i.e.

$$F_{\alpha}(\theta) \leq \frac{C^k}{k!} (\rho C + 1) \theta^k$$

and

$$F_{\alpha}(\theta) \le \frac{C^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (\rho C + 1) \theta^{k+1}$$

The reason is that in your next computation, Page 25 line -4 and -3. You missed an  $\frac{1}{k+1}$  from the integration. In this case, you need to add a  $\frac{1}{k}$  to the last equation of page 25.

9. Page 29 in step 3. I will add: Notice that, by (1.20) and since  $\langle f, \phi^* \rangle_m = 1$ .