## STABLE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR SUPER ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES, II

YAN-XIA REN, RENMING SONG, ZHENYAO SUN AND JIANJIE ZHAO

ABSTRACT. This paper is a continuation of our recent paper (Elect. J. Probab. 24 (2019), no. 141) and is devoted to the asymptotic behavior of a class of supercritical super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  with branching mechanisms of infinite second moment. In the aforementioned paper, we proved stable central limit theorems for  $X_t(f)$  for some functions f of polynomial growth in three different regimes. However, we were not able to prove central limit theorems for  $X_t(f)$  for all functions f of polynomial growth. In this note, we show that the limit stable random variables in the three different regimes are independent, and as a consequence, we get stable central limit theorems for  $X_t(f)$  for all functions f of polynomial growth.

## 1. Introduction and main result

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\xi = \{(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}; (\Pi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}\}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (OU process) with generator

$$Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \Delta f(x) - bx \cdot \nabla f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  and b > 0 are constants. Let  $\psi$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of the form

$$\psi(z) = -\alpha z + \rho z^2 + \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{-zy} - 1 + zy) \pi(dy), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\pi$  is a measure on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\int_{(0,\infty)} (y \wedge y^2) \pi(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty$ .  $\psi$  is referred to as a branching mechanism and  $\pi$  is referred to as the Lévy measure of  $\psi$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $\mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ) the space of all finite Borel measures (of compact support) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R})$  ( $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}_+)$ ) the space of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued ( $\mathbb{R}_+$ -valued) Borel functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $f,g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , write  $\mu(f) = \int f(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$  and  $\langle f,g \rangle = \int f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x$  whenever the integrals make sense. We say a real-valued Borel function f on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$  is locally bounded if, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have  $\sup_{s \in [0,t], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(s,x)| < \infty$ .

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 60 J 68,\ 60 F 05.$ 

Key words and phrases. Superprocesses, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Stable distribution, Central limit theorem, Law of large numbers, Branching rate regime.

The research of Yan-Xia Ren is supported in part by NSFC (Grant Nos. 11671017 and 11731009) and LMEQF.

The Research of Renming Song is support in part by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#429343, Renming Song).

Zhenyao Sun is the corresponding author.

For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1)$ . For any  $\sigma$ -finite signed measure  $\mu$ , denote by  $|\mu|$  the total variation measure of  $\mu$ .

We say that an  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Hunt process  $X = \{(X_t)_{t\geq 0}; (\mathbb{P}_{\mu})_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\}$  is a super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (super-OU process) with branching mechanism  $\psi$ , or a  $(\xi, \psi)$ -superprocess, if for each non-negative bounded Borel function f on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu}[e^{-X_t(f)}] = e^{-\mu(V_t f)}, \quad t \ge 0, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $(t,x) \mapsto V_t f(x)$  is the unique locally bounded non-negative solution to the equation

$$V_t f(x) + \Pi_x \left[ \int_0^t \psi(V_{t-s} f(\xi_s)) ds \right] = \Pi_x [f(\xi_t)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0.$$

The existence of such super-OU process X is well known, see [8] for instance.

There have been many central limit theorem type results for branching processes, branching diffusions and superprocesses, under the second moment condition. See [9, 11, 12] for supercritical Galton-Watson processes (GW processes), [13, 14] for supercritical multi-type GW processes, [4, 5, 6] for supercritical multi-type continuous time branching processes and [3] for general supercritical branching Markov processes under certain conditions. Some spatial central limit theorems for supercritical branching OU processes with binary branching mechanism were proved in [1], and some spatial central limit theorems for supercritical super-OU processes with branching mechanisms satisfying a fourth moment condition were proved in [19]. These two papers made connections between central limit theorems and branching rate regimes. The results of [19] were extended and refined in [21]. Since then, a series of spatial central limit theorems for a large class of general supercritical branching Markov processes and superprocesses with spatially dependent branching mechanisms were proved in [22, 23, 24].

There are also central limit theorem type results for supercritical branching processes and branching Markov processes with branching mechanisms of infinite second moment. For earlier papers, see [2, 10]. Recently, Marks and Miloś [17] established some spatial central limit theorems in the small and critical branching rate regimes, for some supercritical branching OU processes with a special stable offspring distribution. In [20], we established stable central limit theorems for super-OU processes X with branching mechanisms  $\psi$  satisfying the following two assumptions.

**Assumption 1** (Grey's condition). There exists z' > 0 such that  $\psi(z) > 0$  for all z > z' and  $\int_{z'}^{\infty} \psi(z)^{-1} dz < \infty$ .

**Assumption 2.** There exist constants  $\eta > 0$  and  $\beta \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\int_{(1,\infty)} y^{1+\beta+\delta} \left| \pi(\mathrm{d}y) - \frac{\eta \mathrm{d}y}{\Gamma(-1-\beta)y^{2+\beta}} \right| < \infty$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ .

It is known (see [15, Theorems 12.5 & 12.7] for example) that, under Assumption 1, the  $extinction\ event$ 

$$D := \{ \exists t \ge 0, \text{ such that } ||X_t|| = 0 \}$$

is non-trivial with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}$  for each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ . In fact,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D) = e^{-\bar{v}\|\mu\|}$ , where  $\bar{v} := \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \psi(\lambda) = 0\} \in (0, \infty)$  is the largest root of  $\psi$ . Assumption 2 says that  $\psi$  is "not too far away" from  $\widetilde{\psi}(z) := -\alpha z + \eta z^{1+\beta}$  near 0, see [20, Remark 1.3]. It follows from [20, Lemma 2.2] that, if Assumption 2 holds, then  $\eta$  and  $\beta$  are uniquely determined by the Lévy measure  $\pi$ . In [20, Lemma 2.3], we have shown that, under Assumption 2,  $\psi$  satisfies the  $L \log L$  condition, i.e.,  $\int_{(1,\infty)} y \log y \pi(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty$ . In the reminder of the paper, we will always use  $\eta$  and  $\beta$  to denote the constants in Assumption 2. Note that  $\delta$  is not uniquely determined by  $\pi$ .

The limit behavior of X is closely related to the spectral property of the OU semigroup  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  which we now recall (see [18] for more details). We use  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  to denote the transition semigroup of  $\xi$ . Define  $P_t^{\alpha}f(x) := e^{\alpha t}P_tf(x) = \Pi_x[e^{\alpha t}f(\xi_t)]$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t\geq 0$  and  $f\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}_+)$ . It is known that, see [16, Proposition 2.27] for example,  $(P_t^{\alpha})_{t\geq 0}$  is the mean semigroup of X in the sense that  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}[X_t(f)] = \mu(P_t^{\alpha}f)$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $t\geq 0$  and  $f\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}_+)$ . It is known that the OU process  $\xi$  has an invariant probability on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$\varphi(x)dx := \left(\frac{b}{\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\sigma^2}|x|^2\right)dx$$

which is a symmetric multivariate Gaussian distribution. Let  $L^2(\varphi)$  be the Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\varphi} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_1(x) f_2(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\varphi).$$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For each  $p = (p_k)_{k=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , write  $|p| := \sum_{k=1}^d p_k$ ,  $p! := \prod_{k=1}^d p_k!$  and  $\partial_p := \prod_{k=1}^d (\partial^{p_k}/\partial x_k^{p_k})$ . The Hermite polynomials are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_p(x) := (-1)^{|p|} e^{|x|^2} \partial_p e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d.$$

It is known that  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous semigroup in  $L^2(\varphi)$  and its generator L has discrete spectrum  $\sigma(L) = \{-bk : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , denote by  $\mathcal{A}_k$  the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue -bk, then  $\mathcal{A}_k = \operatorname{Span}\{\phi_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, |p| = k\}$  where

$$\phi_p(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{p!2^{|p|}}} \mathcal{H}_p\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sigma}x\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d.$$

In other words,  $P_t\phi_p(x) = e^{-b|p|t}\phi_p(x)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ . Moreover,  $\{\phi_p : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d\}$  forms a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\varphi)$ . Thus for each  $f \in L^2(\varphi)$ , we have

(1.1) 
$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}: |p|=k} \langle f, \phi_{p} \rangle_{\varphi} \phi_{p}, \quad \text{in } L^{2}(\varphi).$$

For each function  $f \in L^2(\varphi)$ , define the order of f as

$$\kappa_f := \inf \left\{ k \ge 0 : \exists \ p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, \text{ s.t. } |p| = k \text{ and } \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_\varphi \ne 0 \right\}$$

which is the lowest non-trivial frequency in the eigen-expansion (1.1). Note that  $\kappa_f \geq 0$  and that, if  $f \in L^2(\varphi)$  is non-trivial, then  $\kappa_f < \infty$ . In particular, the order of any constant non-zero function is zero. For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , define

$$H_t^p := e^{-(\alpha - |p|b)t} X_t(\phi_p), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

We will write  $H_t^0$  as  $H_t$ . For each  $u \neq -1$ , we write  $\tilde{u} = u/(1+u)$ . We have shown in [20, Lemma 3.2] the following:

For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t\geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}$ -martingale. Futhermore, if  $\alpha \tilde{\beta} > |p|b$ , (1.2) then for every  $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(H_t^p)_{t\geq 0}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}$ -martingale bounded in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_{\mu})$ ; thus  $H_{\infty}^p := \lim_{t\to\infty} H_t^p$  exists  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}$ -almost surely and in  $L^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{P}_{\mu})$ .

We will write  $H_{\infty}^0$  as  $H_{\infty}$ .

Let us also recall some results from [20] before we formulate our main theorem. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the class of functions of polynomial growth on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) : \exists C > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |f(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^n \}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^2(\varphi)$ . Define

$$C_s := \mathcal{P} \cap \overline{\operatorname{Span}} \{ \phi_p : \alpha \tilde{\beta} < |p|b \}, \quad C_c := \mathcal{P} \cap \operatorname{Span} \{ \phi_p : \alpha \tilde{\beta} = |p|b \}, \text{ and } C_1 := \mathcal{P} \cap \operatorname{Span} \{ \phi_p : \alpha \tilde{\beta} > |p|b \}.$$

Note that  $C_s$  is an infinite dimensional space,  $C_l$  and  $C_c$  are finite dimensional spaces, and  $C_c$  might be empty. Define a semigroup

$$T_t f := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} e^{-\left||p|b - \alpha \tilde{\beta}\right| t} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} \phi_p, \quad t \ge 0, f \in \mathcal{P},$$

and a family of functionals

(1.3) 
$$m_t[f] := \eta \int_0^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( -iT_u f(x) \right)^{1+\beta} \varphi(x) dx, \quad 0 \le t < \infty, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ , write  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}_{\mu}(\cdot|D^c)$ . We have shown in [20, Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.7] that,

for each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists a  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variable  $\zeta^f$  with characteristic function  $\theta \mapsto e^{m[\theta f]}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

(1.4) 
$$m[f] := \begin{cases} \lim_{t \to \infty} m_t[f], & f \in \mathcal{C}_s \oplus \mathcal{C}_l, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} m_t[f], & f \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{C}_s \oplus \mathcal{C}_l. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we proved in [20, Theorem 1.6] that

if  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_{s} \in \mathcal{C}_{s} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{c} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f_{l} \in \mathcal{C}_{l} \setminus \{0\}$ , then under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ ,

(1.5) 
$$e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\| \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \widetilde{H}_{\infty}; \quad \frac{X_t(f_s)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_s}; \\ \frac{X_t(f_c)}{\|tX_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_c}; \quad \frac{X_t(f_l) - \mathbf{x}_t(f_l)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} \zeta^{-f_l},$$

where  $\widetilde{H}_{\infty}$  has the distribution of  $\{H_{\infty}; \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}\}$ ;  $\zeta^{f_s}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are the  $(1 + \beta)$ -stable random variables described in (1.4); and

$$\mathbf{x}_t(f) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : \alpha \tilde{\beta} > |p|b} \langle f, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} e^{(\alpha - |p|b)t} H_{\infty}^p, \quad t \ge 0, f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The above result gives the central limit theorem for  $X_t(f)$  if  $f \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfies  $\alpha \tilde{\beta} \leq \kappa_f b$ . A general  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  can be decomposed as  $f_s + f_c + f_l$  with  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_l$ ; and if  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $\alpha \tilde{\beta} > \kappa_f b$ , then  $f_c$  and  $f_l$  maybe non-zero. In [20], we were not able to establish a central limit theorem in this case. We conjectured there that the limit random variables in (1.5) for  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_l$  are independent. Once this asymptotic independence is established, a central limit theorem for  $X_t(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  would follow.

The main purpose of this note is to show that the limit random variables in (1.5) are independent.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_l \setminus \{0\}$ , then under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ ,

(1.6) 
$$S(t) := \left( e^{-\alpha t} \| X_t \|, \frac{X_t(f_s)}{\| X_t \|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_t(f_c)}{\| t X_t \|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_t(f_1) - \mathbf{x}_t(f_1)}{\| X_t \|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{d}_{t \to \infty} (\widetilde{H}_{\infty}, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1}),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_t(f_1)$  is defined in (1.5) with f replaced with  $f_1$ ;  $\widetilde{H}_{\infty}$  has the distribution of  $\{H_{\infty}; \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}\}$ ;  $\zeta^{f_s}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are the  $(1+\beta)$ -stable random variables described in (1.4);  $\widetilde{H}_{\infty}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_s}$ ,  $\zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are independent.

As a corollary of this theorem, we get central limit theorems for  $X_t(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Corollary 1.2. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $f = f_s + f_c + f_l$  be the unique decomposition of f with  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_l$ . Then under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ , it holds that

(1) if 
$$f_c = 0$$
, then

$$\frac{X_t(f) - \mathbf{x}_t(f)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_{\mathbf{s}}} + \zeta^{-f_{\mathbf{l}}},$$

where  $\zeta^{f_s}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_l}$  are the  $(1+\beta)$ -stable random variables described in (1.4),  $\zeta^{f_s}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_l}$  are independent;

(2) if  $f_c \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{X_t(f) - \mathbf{x}_t(f)}{\|tX_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} \zeta^{f_c}.$$

where  $\zeta^{f_c}$  is the  $(1+\beta)$ -stable random variables described in (1.4). Here  $x_t(f)$  is defined in (1.5).

## 2. Proof of main result

We first make some preparations before proving Theorem 1.1. For every  $t \geq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , define

$$Z_t f := \int_0^t P_{t-s}^{\alpha} \left( \eta(-iP_s^{\alpha} f)^{1+\beta} \right) \mathrm{d}s, \quad \Upsilon_t^f := \frac{X_{t+1}(f) - X_t(P_1^{\alpha} f)}{\|X_t\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}.$$

Form [20, Theorem 3.4] we know that, for each  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\langle Z_1 f, \varphi \rangle$  is the characteristic exponent of the limit of  $\Upsilon_t^f$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{P}$ , define  $\mathcal{P}_g := \{\theta T_n g : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \theta \in [-1, 1]\}$ . The following generalization of [20, Proposition 3.5] will be used later in the proof of Theorem 2.3, a special case of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 2.1.** For each  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exist  $C, \delta > 0$  such that for all  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $(f_j)_{j=0}^{n_1} \subset \mathcal{P}_f$ ,  $(g_j)_{j=0}^{n_2} \subset \mathcal{P}_g$  and  $t \geq n_1 + 1$ , we have

$$(2.1) \qquad \left| \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n_1} e^{i \Upsilon_{t-k-1}^{f_k}} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n_2} e^{i \Upsilon_{t+k}^{g_k}} \right) \right] - \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n_1} e^{\langle Z_1 f_k, \varphi \rangle} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n_2} e^{\langle Z_1 g_k, \varphi \rangle} \right) \right| \leq C e^{-\delta(t-n_1)}.$$

*Proof.* In this proof, we fix  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d), n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, (f_j)_{j=0}^{n_1} \subset \mathcal{P}_f, (g_j)_{j=0}^{n_2} \subset \mathcal{P}_g$  and  $t \geq n_1 + 1$ . For any  $k_1 \in \{-1, 0, \dots, n_1\}$  and  $k_2 \in \{-1, 0, \dots, n_2\}$ , define

$$a_{k_1,k_2} := \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \Big[ \Big( \prod_{j=k_1+1}^{n_1} e^{i \Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_j}} \Big) \Big( \prod_{j=0}^{k_2} e^{i \Upsilon_{t+j}^{g_j}} \Big) \Big] \Big( \prod_{j=0}^{k_1} e^{\langle Z_1 f_j, \varphi \rangle} \Big) \Big( \prod_{j=k_2+1}^{n_2} e^{\langle Z_1 g_j, \varphi \rangle} \Big),$$

where we used the convention that  $\prod_{i=0}^{-1} = 1$ . Then for all  $k_2 \in \{0, \ldots, n_2\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} a_{-1,k_{2}} - a_{-1,k_{2}-1} \\ &= \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \bigg[ \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{1}} e^{i \Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \bigg) \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{2}} e^{i \Upsilon_{t+j}^{g_{j}}} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg( \prod_{j=k_{2}+1}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j}, \varphi \rangle} \bigg) \\ &- \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \bigg[ \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{1}} e^{i \Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \bigg) \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{2}-1} e^{i \Upsilon_{t+j}^{g_{j}}} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg( \prod_{j=k_{2}}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j}, \varphi \rangle} \bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^{c})} \bigg( \prod_{j=k_{2}+1}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j}, \varphi \rangle} \bigg) \times \\ \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \bigg[ \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{1}} e^{i \Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \bigg) \bigg( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{2}-1} e^{i \Upsilon_{t+j}^{g_{j}}} \bigg) (e^{i \Upsilon_{t+k_{2}}^{g_{k_{2}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{k_{2}}, \varphi \rangle}); D^{c} \bigg] \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^{c})} \left( \prod_{j=k_{2}+1}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \times$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{1}} e^{i\Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{2}-1} e^{i\Upsilon_{t+j}^{g_{j}}} \right) \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ e^{i\Upsilon_{t+k_{2}}^{g_{k_{2}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{k_{2}},\varphi \rangle}; D^{c} \middle| \mathscr{F}_{t+k_{2}} \right] \right].$$

Therefore, there exist  $C_0, \delta_0 > 0$ , depending only on  $\mu$  and g, such that for each  $k_2 \in \{0, \ldots, n_2\}$ ,

$$|a_{-1,k_{2}} - a_{-1,k_{2}-1}| \stackrel{(2.2)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^{c})^{-1} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \Big[ |\mathbb{P}_{\mu}[e^{i\Upsilon_{t+k_{2}}^{g_{k_{2}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{k_{2}},\varphi\rangle}; D^{c}|\mathscr{F}_{t+k_{2}}]| \Big]$$

$$(2.3) \qquad \leq C_{0}e^{-\delta_{0}(t+k_{2})}.$$

Notice that, for any  $k_1 \in \{0, \ldots, n_1\}$ ,

$$a_{k_{1}-1,-1} - a_{k_{1},-1}$$

$$= \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \prod_{j=k_{1}}^{n_{1}} e^{i\Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \right] \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1} e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) -$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \prod_{j=k_{1}+1}^{n_{1}} e^{i\Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \right] \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{1}} e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right)$$

$$= \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \left( e^{i\Upsilon_{t-k_{1}-1}^{f_{k_{1}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{k_{1}},\varphi \rangle} \right) \prod_{j=k_{1}+1}^{n_{1}} e^{i\Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \right] \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1} e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^{c})} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1} e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{n_{2}} e^{\langle Z_{1}g_{j},\varphi \rangle} \right) \times$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ e^{i\Upsilon_{t-k_{1}-1}^{f_{k_{1}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{k_{1}},\varphi \rangle} ; D^{c} | \mathscr{F}_{t-k_{1}-1} \right] \prod_{j=k_{1}+1}^{n_{1}} e^{i\Upsilon_{t-j-1}^{f_{j}}} \right].$$

Therefore, there exist  $C_1, \delta_1 > 0$ , depending only on  $\mu$  and f, such that for any  $k_1 \in \{0, \ldots, n_1\}$ ,

$$|a_{k_{1}-1,-1} - a_{k_{1},-1}| \stackrel{(2.4)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^{c})} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \Big[ \Big| \mathbb{P}_{\mu} [e^{i\Upsilon_{t-k_{1}-1}^{f_{k_{1}}}} - e^{\langle Z_{1}f_{k_{1}},\varphi \rangle}; D^{c} | \mathscr{F}_{t-k_{1}-1}] \Big| \Big]$$

$$(2.5) \qquad \stackrel{[20, \text{ Proposition } 3.5]}{\leq} C_{1} e^{-\delta_{1}(t-k_{1})}.$$

Therefore, there exist  $C, \delta > 0$ , depending only on f, g and  $\mu$ , such that

LHS of (2.1) = 
$$|a_{-1,n_2} - a_{n_1,-1}| \le \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} |a_{k-1,-1} - a_{k,-1}| + \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} |a_{-1,k} - a_{-1,k-1}|$$

$$\stackrel{(2.3),(2.5)}{\le} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} C_1 e^{-\delta_1(t-k)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} C_0 e^{-\delta_0(t+k)} \le C e^{-\delta(t-n_1)}.$$

The following elementary result will also be used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Lemma 2.2.** There exists a constant C > 0, such that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|(x+y)^{1+\beta} - x^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta}| \le C(|x||y|^{\beta} + |x|^{\beta}|y|).$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\lim_{|y| \to \infty} \frac{(y+1)^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta} - 1}{y^{\beta}} = \lim_{|y| \to \infty} \frac{(y+1)^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta}}{y^{\beta}} = \lim_{|y| \to \infty} \left( (1 + \frac{1}{y})^{1+\beta} - 1 \right) y = 1 + \beta.$$

Using this and continuity, we get that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that for all  $|y| \ge 1$ ,

$$|(1+y)^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta} - 1| \le C_1 |y|^{\beta}.$$

Note that if x = 0 or y = 0, then the desired result is trivial. So we only need to consider the case that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . In this case, if  $|x| \geq |y|$ , we have

$$|(x+y)^{1+\beta} - x^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta}| \le |y|^{1+\beta} \left( \left| \left( 1 + \frac{x}{y} \right)^{1+\beta} - \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^{1+\beta} - 1 \right| \right) \le C_1 |y| |x|^{\beta};$$

and if  $|x| \leq |y|$ , we have

$$|(x+y)^{1+\beta} - x^{1+\beta} - y^{1+\beta}| \le |x|^{1+\beta} \left( \left| \left( 1 + \frac{y}{x} \right)^{1+\beta} - \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^{1+\beta} - 1 \right| \right) \le C_1 |x| |y|^{\beta}.$$

Combining the above, we immediately get the desired result.

In the remainder of this section, we always fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_s \in \mathcal{C}_s \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_c \in \mathcal{C}_c \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_l \setminus \{0\}$ . For any random variable Y with finite mean under  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{I}_r^t Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mu}[Y | \mathscr{F}_{t \vee 0}] - \mathbb{P}_{\mu}[Y | \mathscr{F}_{r \vee 0}], \quad -\infty < r, t < \infty.$$

For each  $t \geq 1$ , we have the following decomposition.

$$\begin{split} I^{f_{\mathrm{s}}}(t) &:= \frac{X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{s}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} = I_{1}^{f_{\mathrm{s}}}(t) + I_{2}^{f_{\mathrm{s}}}(t) + I_{3}^{f_{\mathrm{s}}}(t) \\ &:= \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t-\ln t]} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t-k-1}^{t-k} X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{s}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap (t-\ln t, t]} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t-k-1}^{t-k} X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{s}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + \Big(\frac{X_{0}(P_{t}^{\alpha} f_{\mathrm{s}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big), \\ I^{f_{\mathrm{c}}}(t) &:= \frac{X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{c}})}{\|tX_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} = I_{1}^{f_{\mathrm{c}}}(t) + I_{2}^{f_{\mathrm{c}}}(t) + I_{3}^{f_{\mathrm{c}}}(t) \\ &:= \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t-\ln t]} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t-k-1}^{t-k} X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{c}})}{\|tX_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap (t-\ln t, t]} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t-k-1}^{t-k} X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{c}})}{\|tX_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + \Big(\frac{X_{0}(P_{t}^{\alpha} f_{\mathrm{c}})}{\|tX_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big), \\ I^{f_{\mathrm{l}}}(t) &:= \frac{X_{t}(f_{\mathrm{l}}) - \mathbf{x}_{t}(f_{\mathrm{l}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} = I_{1}^{f_{\mathrm{l}}}(t) + I_{2}^{f_{\mathrm{l}}}(t) + I_{3}^{f_{\mathrm{l}}}(t) \\ &:= \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t^{2}]} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t+k+1}^{t+k} \mathbf{x}_{t}(f_{\mathrm{l}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cap (t^{2})} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{t+k+1}^{t+k} \mathbf{x}_{t}(f_{\mathrm{l}})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \Big) + 0, \end{split}$$

where  $x_t(f_1)$  is defined in (1.5) with f replaced with  $f_1$ . For every  $t \ge 1$ , define

$$\begin{split} R_{j}(t) &:= \left(I_{j}^{f_{s}}(t), I_{j}^{f_{c}}(t), I_{j}^{f_{l}}(t)\right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ R(t) &:= \left(\frac{X_{t}(f_{s})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_{t}(f_{c})}{\|tX_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_{t}(f_{l}) - \mathbf{x}_{t}(f_{l})}{\|X_{t}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}\right), \\ R_{0}(t) &= \left(I_{0}^{f_{s}}(t), I_{0}^{f_{c}}(t), I_{0}^{f_{l}}(t)\right) \\ &:= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t-\ln t \rfloor} \Upsilon_{t-k-1}^{T_{k}\tilde{f}_{s}}, t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t-\ln t \rfloor} \Upsilon_{t-k-1}^{T_{k}\tilde{f}_{c}}, \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t^{2} \rfloor} \Upsilon_{t+k}^{-T_{k}\tilde{f}_{l}}\right), \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_t(f_l)$  is defined in (1.5) with f replaced with  $f_l$ .  $\tilde{f}_s := e^{\alpha(\tilde{\beta}-1)} f_s$ ,  $\tilde{f}_c := e^{\alpha(\tilde{\beta}-1)} f_c$  and  $\tilde{f}_l := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{N}} e^{-(\alpha-|p|b)} \langle f_l, \phi_p \rangle_{\varphi} \phi_p$ . The following result is a special case of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ ,  $R(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} (\zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1})$ , where  $\zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are the  $(1+\beta)$ -stable random variables described in (1.4), and  $\zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_1}$  are independent.

*Proof.* In this proof, we always work under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ . Note that for each  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$R(t) = R_0(t) + (R_1(t) - R_0(t)) + R_2(t) + R_3(t).$$

Note that

$$(R_1(t) - R_0(t)) = (I_1^{f_s}(t) - I_0^{f_s}(t), I_1^{f_c}(t) - I_0^{f_c}(t), I_1^{f_1}(t) - I_0^{f_1}(t)).$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.6(1) in [20], we proved that  $I_1^{f_s}(t) - I_0^{f_s}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$ ,  $I_2^{f_s}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$  and  $I_3^{f_s}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}-a.s.} 0$ . In the proof of Theorem 1.6(2) in [20], we proved that  $I_1^{f_c}(t) - I_0^{f_c}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$ ,  $I_2^{f_c}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$  and  $I_3^{f_c}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}-a.s.} 0$ . In the proof of Theorem 1.6(3) in [20], we proved that  $I_1^{f_1}(t) - I_0^{f_1}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$  and  $I_2^{f_1}(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} 0$ . Thus we have  $R_1(t) - R_0(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} (0,0,0)$ ,  $R_2(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} (0,0,0)$  and  $R_3(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} (0,0,0)$ . Combining the above results and using Slutsky's theorem, we only need to show that, under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ ,

(2.6) 
$$R_0(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{d} (\zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1}).$$

Now we prove (2.6). Since  $\Upsilon_t^f$  is linear in f, for each  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \Big[ \exp \Big( i \sum_{j=\text{s.c.l}} I_0^{f_j}(t) \Big) \Big] = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \Big[ \exp \Big( i \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor} \Upsilon_{t-k-1}^{T_k(\tilde{f}_{\text{s}} + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1}\tilde{f}_{\text{c}})} \Big) \exp \Big( i \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t^2 \rfloor} \Upsilon_{t+k}^{-T_k\tilde{f}_{\text{l}}} \Big) \Big].$$

Using Proposition 2.1 with  $f = \tilde{f}_s + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1}\tilde{f}_c$  and  $g = -\tilde{f}_1$ , we get that there exist  $C_1, \delta_1 > 0$  such that for every  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\left| \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \exp \left( i \sum_{j=\text{s.c.l}} I_0^{f_j}(t) \right) \right] - \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor} \langle Z_1(T_k(\tilde{f}_{\text{s}} + t^{\tilde{\beta} - 1}\tilde{f}_{\text{c}})), \varphi \rangle \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t^2 \rfloor} \langle Z_1(-T_k\tilde{f}_{\text{l}}), \varphi \rangle \right) \right|$$

$$\leq C_1 e^{-\delta_1(t-\lfloor t-\ln t\rfloor)}.$$

We claim that

(2.7) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor} \langle Z_1(T_k(\tilde{f}_s + t^{\tilde{\beta} - 1}\tilde{f}_c)), \varphi \rangle\right) \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t^2 \rfloor} \langle Z_1(-T_k\tilde{f}_1), \varphi \rangle\right)$$
$$= \exp(m[f_s] + m[f_c] + m[-f_1]).$$

Given this claim, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \Big[ \exp \Big( i \sum_{j=s} I_0^{f_j}(t) \Big) \Big] \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \exp(m[f_s] + m[f_c] + m[-f_l]).$$

Since  $I_0^{f_j}(t)$  are linear in  $f_j \in \mathcal{C}_j(j = s, c, l)$ , replacing  $f_j$  with  $\theta_j f_j$ , we immediately get (2.6).

Now we prove the claim (2.7). For every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_s \oplus \mathcal{C}_c$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \langle Z_1 T_k \tilde{f}, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_0^1 \langle P_u^{\alpha} (\eta(-iP_{1-u}^{\alpha} T_k \tilde{f})^{1+\beta}), \varphi \rangle du$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \langle \eta(-iP_{1-u}^{\alpha} T_k \tilde{f})^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_0^1 \langle \eta(-iT_{k+1-u} f)^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du = \int_0^{n+1} \langle \eta(-iT_u f)^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du = m_{n+1}[f],$$

where  $\tilde{f} = e^{\alpha(\tilde{\beta}-1)}f$ . Therefore, for any  $t \geq 1$ ,

(2.8) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t-\ln t\rfloor} \langle Z_1 T_k(\tilde{f}_s + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} \tilde{f}_c), \varphi \rangle = \eta \int_0^{\lfloor t-\ln t\rfloor + 1} \langle \left( -i T_u(f_s + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} f_c) \right)^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du.$$

Note that for each  $u \geq 0$ ,  $T_u f_c = f_c$ . Also note that according to Step 1 in the proof of [20, Lemma 2.6], there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $h \in \mathcal{P}$  (depending only on  $f_s$ ) such that for each  $u \geq 0$ ,  $|T_u f_s| \leq e^{-\delta u} h$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists C > 0 such that for all u > 0 and t > 0,

$$|(-i(T_u f_s + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} T_u f_c))^{1+\beta} - (-iT_u f_s)^{1+\beta} - (-it^{\tilde{\beta}-1} T_u f_c)^{1+\beta}|$$

$$= |-i|^{1+\beta} |(T_u f + t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} T_u f_c)^{1+\beta} - (T_u f_s)^{1+\beta} - (t^{\tilde{\beta}-1} T_u f_c)^{1+\beta}|$$

(2.9) 
$$\leq C(t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}|T_{u}f_{s}||T_{u}f_{c}|^{\beta} + t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}}|T_{u}f_{s}|^{\beta}|T_{u}f_{c}|)$$

$$\leq C(t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}e^{-\delta u}h|f_{c}|^{\beta} + t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}}e^{-\delta \beta u}h^{\beta}|f_{c}|).$$

This means that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\left| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor} \langle Z_1 T_k(\tilde{f}_s + t^{\tilde{\beta} - 1} \tilde{f}_c), \varphi \rangle \right) - m_{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor + 1} [f_s] - \frac{1}{t} m_{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor + 1} [f_c] \right| \\
\stackrel{(2.8),(1.3)}{\leq} \left| \eta \int_0^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor + 1} \langle \left( -i T_u (f_s + t^{\tilde{\beta} - 1} f_c) \right)^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du - \eta \int_0^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor + 1} \langle (-i T_u f_s)^{1+\beta}, \varphi \rangle du \right| \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 \int_0^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor + 1} \langle t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} e^{-\delta u} h |f_c|^{\beta} + t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} e^{-\delta \beta u} h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \beta u} du \\
\stackrel{(2.9)}{\leq} C_1 t^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \langle h |f_c|^{\beta}, \varphi \rangle \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta u} du + C_1 t^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \langle h^{\beta} |f_c|, \varphi \rangle du$$

Combining this with (1.4), we get that

(2.10) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t - \ln t \rfloor} \langle Z_1 T_k(\tilde{f}_s + t^{\tilde{\beta} - 1} \tilde{f}_c), \varphi \rangle\right) = \exp(m[f_s] + m[f_c]).$$

Also note that according to the Step 1 in the Proof of Theorem 1.6.(3) in [20], we have

(2.11) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t^2 \rfloor} \langle Z_1(-T_k \tilde{f}_1), \varphi \rangle\right) = \exp(m[-f_1]).$$

Thus the desired claim follows from (2.10) and (2.11).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first recall some facts about weak convergence which will be used later. For  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$||f||_L := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}$$

and  $||f||_{BL} := ||f||_{\infty} + ||f||_{L}$ . For any probability distributions  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , define

$$d(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\mu_1 - \int f d\mu_2 \right| : ||f||_{BL} \le 1 \right\}.$$

Then d is a metric. It follows from [7, Theorem 11.3.3] that the topology generated by d is equivalent to the weak convergence topology. Using the definition, we can easily see that, if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are the distributions of two  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random variables X and Y respectively, defined on same probability space then

$$(2.12) d(\mu_1, \mu_2) \le \mathbb{E}|X - Y|.$$

In this proof, let us fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_{s} \in \mathcal{C}_{s} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{c} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f_{l} \in \mathcal{C}_{l} \setminus \{0\}$ . Recall that S(t)  $(t \geq 0)$  is given by (1.6). For every r, t > 0, let

$$S(t,r) := \left(e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|, \frac{X_{t+r}(f_s)}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_{t+r}(f_c)}{\|(t+r)X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}, \frac{X_{t+r}(f_l) - \mathbf{x}_{t+r}(f_l)}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}\right),$$

and

$$\widetilde{S}(t,r) = \left(e^{-\alpha(t+r)} \|X_{t+r}\| - e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|, 0, 0, 0\right),$$

where, for any t > 0,  $x_t(f_1)$  is defined in (1.5) with f replaced with  $f_1$ . Then  $S(t+r) = S(t,r) + \widetilde{S}(t,r)$ . We claim that

for each t > 0, under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ , we have

$$S(t,r) \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{d} (\widetilde{H}_t, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_l}),$$

(2.13) where  $\widetilde{H}_t$  has the distribution of  $\{e^{-\alpha t}||X_t||; \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}\}, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_l}$  are the  $(1+\beta)$ stable random variables described in (1.4), and  $\widetilde{H}_t, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}$  and  $\zeta^{-f_l}$  are independent.

For every  $r, t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{D}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(r, t)$  be the distributions of S(r) and S(t, r) under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$  respectively; let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be the distributions of  $(\widetilde{H}_t, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1})$  and  $(\widetilde{H}_{\infty}, \zeta^{f_s}, \zeta^{f_c}, \zeta^{-f_1})$ , respectively. Then for each  $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ , there exist constant C > 0 such that for every t > 0,

$$\frac{\overline{\lim}_{r \to \infty} d(\mathcal{D}(t+r), \mathcal{D})}{d(\mathcal{D}(t+r), \mathcal{D}(t))} + d(\mathcal{D}(t,r), \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(t)) + d(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(t), \mathcal{D}) + d(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(t), \mathcal{D})$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} d(\mathcal{D}(r), \mathcal{D}) = \overline{\lim_{t \to \infty}} \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} d(\mathcal{D}(t+r), \mathcal{D}) \stackrel{(2.14)}{\leq} \overline{\lim_{t \to \infty}} Ce^{-\alpha\tilde{\gamma}t} = 0.$$

The desired result now follows immediately.

Now we prove the claim (2.13). For every r, t > 0, let

$$\theta, \theta_s, \theta_c, \theta_l \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto k(\theta, \theta_s, \theta_c, \theta_l, r, t)$$

be the characteristic function of S(t,r) under  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}$ . Then for each  $\theta, \theta_{\rm s}, \theta_{\rm c}, \theta_{\rm l} \in \mathbb{R}$  and r, t > 0,

$$k(\theta, \theta_{s}, \theta_{c}, \theta_{l}, r, t) = \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu} \left[ \exp \left( i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \| X_{t} \| + A(\theta_{s}, \theta_{c}, \theta_{l}, r, t, \infty) \right) \right]$$

$$(2.15) \stackrel{\text{bounded convergence}}{=} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu} (D^{c})} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \exp \left( i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \| X_{t} \| + A(\theta_{s}, \theta_{c}, \theta_{l}, r, t, u) \right); D^{c} \right],$$

where for each  $u \in [0, \infty]$ ,

$$(2.16) A(\theta_{s}, \theta_{c}, \theta_{l}, r, t, u) = i\theta_{s} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{s})}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} + i\theta_{c} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{c})}{\|(t+r)X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} + i\theta_{l} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{l}) - \mathbb{P}_{\mu}[\mathbf{x}_{t+r}(f_{l})|\mathscr{F}_{u}]}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} = i\theta_{s} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{s})}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} + \frac{i\theta_{c}}{(t+r)^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{c})}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}} + i\theta_{l} \frac{X_{t+r}(f_{l}) - \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}: \alpha\tilde{\beta} > |p|b} e^{(\alpha-|p|b)(t+r)} e^{-(\alpha-|p|b)u} X_{u}(\phi_{p})}{\|X_{t+r}\|^{1-\tilde{\beta}}}.$$

Now for each t > 0, we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} k(\theta, \theta_{\rm s}, \theta_{\rm c}, \theta_{\rm l}, r, t)$$

$$\stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^c)} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \exp\{i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|\} \mathbf{1}_{\|X_t\| > 0} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \exp\{A(\theta_s, \theta_c, \theta_l, r, t, u)\} \mathbf{1}_{D^c} | \mathscr{F}_t \right] \right]$$

(2.16), Markov property 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^c)} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ \exp\{i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|\} \mathbf{1}_{\|X_t\| > 0} \times \right]$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{X_t}\bigg[\exp\bigg\{A\bigg(\theta_{\mathrm{s}},\theta_{\mathrm{c}}\Big(\frac{r}{t+r}\Big)^{1-\tilde{\beta}},\theta_{\mathrm{l}},r,0,u-t\bigg)\bigg\}\mathbf{1}_{D^c}\bigg]\bigg]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{bounded convergence}}{=} \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \Bigg[ \exp\{i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|\} \mathbf{1}_{\|X_t\| > 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{X_t}(D^c)}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^c)} \times \\$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_t} \left[ \exp \left\{ A \left( \theta_{\mathrm{s}}, \theta_{\mathrm{c}} \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{1-\tilde{\beta}}, \theta_{\mathrm{l}}, r, 0, \infty \right) \right\} \right] \right].$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Theorem 2.3}}{=} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \bigg[ \exp\{i\theta e^{-\alpha t} \|X_t\|\} \mathbf{1}_{\|X_t\| > 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{X_t}(D^c)}{\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(D^c)} \bigg] \bigg( \prod_{j=\text{s.c}} \exp\{m[\theta_j f_j]\} \bigg) \exp\{m[-\theta_l f_l]\}$$

$$= \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\mu}[\exp\{i\theta e^{-\alpha t} ||X_t||\}] \left( \prod_{j=s,c} \exp\{m[\theta_j f_j]\} \right) \exp\{m[-\theta_l f_l]\}.$$

## References

- [1] R. Adamczak and P. Miłoś, *CLT for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck branching particle system*, Electron. J. Probab. **20** (2015), no. 42, 35 pp.
- [2] S. Asmussen, Convergence rates for branching processes, Ann. Probab. 4 (1976), no. 1, 139–146.

- [3] S. Asmussen and H. Hering, *Branching processes*, Progress in Probability and Statistics, 3. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [4] K. B. Athreya, Limit theorems for multitype continuous time Markov branching processes. I. The case of an eigenvector linear functional, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 12 (1969), 320–332.
- [5] K. B. Athreya, Limit theorems for multitype continuous time Markov branching processes. II. The case of an arbitrary linear functional, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 13 (1969), 204–214
- [6] K. B. Athreya, Some refinements in the theory of supercritical multitype Markov branching processes,
   Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 20 (1971), 47–57.
- [7] R. M. Dudley, Real Analysis and Probability, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [8] E. B. Dynkin, Superprocesses and partial differential equations, Ann. Probab. 21 (1993), no. 3, 1185–1262.
- [9] C. C. Heyde, A rate of convergence result for the super-critical Galton-Watson process, J. Appl. Probability 7 (1970), 451–454.
- [10] C. C. Heyde, Some central limit analogues for supercritical Galton-Watson processes, J. Appl. Probability 8 (1971), 52–59.
- [11] C. C. Heyde and B. M. Brown, An invariance principle and some convergence rate results for branching processes, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, **20** (1971), 271–278.
- [12] C. C. Heyde and J. R. Leslie, Improved classical limit analogues for Galton-Watson processes with or without immigration, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 5 (1971), 145–155.
- [13] H. Kesten and B. P. Stigum, Additional limit theorems for indecomposable multidimensional Galton-Watson processes, Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966), 1463–1481.
- [14] H. Kesten and B. P. Stigum, A limit theorem for multidimensional Galton-Watson processes, Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966), 1211–1223.
- [15] A. E. Kyprianou, Fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications, Introductory lectures. Second edition. Universitext. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [16] Z. Li, Measure-valued branching Markov processes, Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [17] R. Marks and P. Miłoś, *CLT for supercritical branching processes with heavy-tailed branching law*, arXiv:1803.05491.
- [18] G. Metafune, D. Pallara, and E. Priola, Spectrum of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators in L<sup>p</sup> spaces with respect to invariant measures, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), no. 1, 40–60.
- [19] P. Miłoś, Spatial central limit theorem for supercritical superprocesses, J. Theoret. Probab. 31 (2018), no. 1, 1–40.
- [20] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, Z. Sun and J. Zhao, Stable central limit theorems for super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Elect. J Probab., 24 (2019), no. 141, 1–42.
- [21] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, and R. Zhang, Central limit theorems for super Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Acta Appl. Math. 130 (2014), 9–49.
- [22] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, and R. Zhang, Central limit theorems for supercritical branching Markov processes, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 3, 1716–1756.
- [23] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, and R. Zhang, Central limit theorems for supercritical superprocesses, Stochastic Process. Appl. 125 (2015), no. 2, 428–457.
- [24] Y.-X. Ren, R. Song, and R. Zhang, Central limit theorems for supercritical branching nonsymmetric Markov processes, Ann. Probab. 45 (2017), no. 1, 564–623.

YAN-XIA REN, LMAM SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & CENTER FOR STATISTICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, P. R. CHINA

Email address: yxren@math.pku.edu.cn

Renming Song, Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Email address: rsong@illinois.edu

Zhenyao Sun, Faculty of Industrial Engineering and Management, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel

 $Email\ address: {\tt zhenyao.sun@gmail.com}$ 

JIANJIE ZHAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, P. R. CHINA

 $Email\ address: {\tt zhaojianjie@pku.edu.cn}$