#### High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

Lloyd Brown

October 10, 2013

High-Performance	Computing	Networks	at	BYl
Cutline				

- 1 Outline
- 2 HPC Introduction
  - What is HPC?
  - Types of HPC
- 3 Types of Communication
- 4 Infiniband
  - Physical Layer Characteristics
  - Encoding
  - Measured Performance
    - Bandwidth Comparison
    - Latency Comparison
  - Subnet Management
- 5 Topologies
  - Evaluating Topologies
- 6 Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP
- 7 Conclusions
- 8 Questions
- 6 Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

LHPC Introduction LWhat is HPC?

What makes a supercomputer, super?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HPC}}$  or High-Performance Computing, is characterized by workloads and hardware requirements

- Significantly larger compute capability than an average system
- Used to solve problems that are too large to easily be solved on a single, traditional system
- May utilize specialty hardware and software
- No specific threshold for capacity

Notes			

Notes			

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU
HPC Introduction
LTypes of HPC
Nature of HPC Comp

In HPC, speedup comes from one of two sources:

■ Using faster resources (eg. faster clock speeds)

uting

 Using more resources (eg. using more processors) or Parallelism

Physics generally limits us on the faster resources, so we spend more time on parallelism.

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

HPC Introduction

#### Parallelism and Communication Needs

- When utilizing multiple resources (eg. multiple processors), the program must:
  - Split up the workload
  - Provide necessary coordination among resources
- The algorithm and data determine the nature of communication needs
- Therefore for HPC problems, communication is key.
  - For inter-process communication
  - $\blacksquare$  For communicating with data storage

#### What kind of communication are we talking about?

- Programs that utilize multiple processors to split up work, need to communicate between threads or processes, to coordinate efforts, report on results, etc.
- Communication between threads/processes on the same host ("Intra-node" communication) is extremely fast (usually via shared memory)
- If the processes are on different hosts, we have to go out to some communication fabric ("Inter-node" communication)
  - There's a lot of research in speeding up *intra*-node communication, but that's more of a Computer Science or Electrical Engineering problem. We'll spend our time today on *inter*-node communication

Notes	
14060	
Notes	

#### What is Infiniband? And why do I care?

Infiniband is the most common high-performance interconnect used in HPC. It:

 $\blacksquare$  is switched-fabric architecture (more like Fibre Channel than like Ethernet)

Notes

- utilizes multiple speeds, lanes, and links
- provides:
  - extremely high bandwidth
  - $\blacksquare$  extremely low latency (one-way < 5  $\mu\mathrm{s},$  compared to approx. 22  $\mu$ s for 1GbE)
- Speedup comes mostly from:
  - Short protocol stack (very little above layer 2)
  - Low-latency switching (very little decision making in the switch)
  - Remote Direct Memory Access (RDMA)

#### Lanes/Links/Speeds

Infiniband utilizes multiple lanes per physical link. Each link has a certain speed based on the standard:

	SDR	DDR	QDR	FDR
1x	2.5 Gb/s	5 Gb/s	10 Gb/s	14 Gb/s
4x	10 Gb/s	20 Gb/s	40 Gb/s	56 Gb/s
12x	30 Gb/s	60 Gb/s	120 Gb/s	168 Gb/s

#### **Encoding Overhead**

Infiniband uses bit-line encodings to guarantee bit transitions for clock synchronization:

- SDR, DDR, QDR 8b/10b encoding (8 data bytes encoded in 10 bytes total; 20% overhead)
- FDR and beyond 64b/66b encoding (64 data bytes encoded in 66 bytes total; 3% overhead)

ĺ		SDR	DDR	QDR	FDR
1x		2.5 Gb/s raw	5 Gb/s raw	10 Gb/s raw	14 Gb/s raw
1X	1X	2 Gb/s net	4 Gb/s net	8 Gb/s net	13.6 Gb/s net
4		10 Gb/s raw	20 Gb/s raw	40 Gb/s raw	56 Gb/s raw
4x	8 Gb/s net	16 Gb/s net	32 Gb/s net	54.3 Gb/s net	
12x		30 Gb/s raw	60 Gb/s raw	120 Gb/s raw	168 Gb/s raw
12x	24 Gb/s net	48 Gb/s net	96 Gb/s net	162.9 Gb/s net	


d. i			
Votes			
Votes			

#### Performance at BYU's FSL


The graphs shown in the next couple of slides represent the bandwidth and latency performance of  $4 \times QDR$  Infiniband vs 1Gb/s Ethernet at the Fulton Supercomputing Lab.

- All tests were performed host-to-host with one intervening switch (eg. host-switch-host)
- All tests utilize increasing message sizes, to demonstrate where one effect ends and the other starts
- Tests were performed using the "osu\_bw" and "osu\_latency" binaries from the OSU Micro-Benchmarks for MPI (a.k.a. "OMB")<sup>1</sup>

# High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU L Infiniband



# ligh-Performance Computing Networks at BYU —Infiniband



Notes			

Notes				

<sup>1</sup>http://mvapich.cse.ohio-state.edu/benchmarks/


High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU └─Infiniband
Laur la finite and in Managed
How Infiniband is Managed
Infiniband is designed as a trusted network. The network is managed by a <i>subnet manager</i> which does the following:  Periodically sweep the network, looking for topology changes, checking for errors, etc.  Build a cohesive model of the network topology  Load the switch forwarding tables with the LID/Port mapping
High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU  _ Topologies
Infiniband Topologies
Infiniband puts very little restriction on the physical topology of the network.  The Subnet Manager loads all the forwarding tables into the switches  as long as you can build an appropriate graph parsing algorithm, and implement it in a subnet manager, you can use a topology  allows some much more interesting topologies than those commonly Ethernet and TCP/IP networks usually use. <sup>2</sup>
commonly Ethernet and TCF/IF networks usually use.
<sup>2</sup> Technically you can use any topology with Ethernet as well. It just takes a huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend trying it.
huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend
huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend
huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend trying it.  High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU
huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend trying it.  High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU  —Topologies
huge amount of very-messy work, for very little benefit. I don't recommend trying it.  High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

TorusHypercubeFolded-Clos Network

Notes	
Notes	
INOTES	

#### Fat Tree Example

A Fat Tree is basically a tree with increased bandwidth (faster links or more links) between upper tiers relative to lower tiers; Ethernet has no problems with this one, so it's not terribly exciting




Notes

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

Topologies

#### Fully-connected Mesh Example

- Pro: Shortest hop-count (1 hop) from any point to any other point
- Con: takes a huge amount of cables, and the cable count increases very, very quickly.




Votes			

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

Torus example

- Pro: Excellent for large topologies (no core switches to buy)
- $\blacksquare$  Con: Higher hop count than other options, depending on size and shape
- Con: Less desirable bandwidth ratios (MBB to Client BW; discussed later)




Notes			

#### High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

## Hypercube example (4-dimensional)<sup>3</sup>

- Pro: for d dimensions, no more than d hops from any other point in the topology
- Con: cables/ports at each endpoint increase linearly with the dimension




 $^3\mbox{Note that this is really just a special case of a Torus.}$ 

# Notes

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

#### Folded Clos Network Example

- Pros:
  - Most common approach for small or medium-scale Infiniband fabrics
  - Well understood (how larger IB switches are designed internally)
  - Redundant; 1 link from each leaf to each spine
  - Easy to expand (up to the port count of the switches)
- Con: Scalability limited by the port count of spine & leaf switches




Notes

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU

#### BYU Supercomputing's Clos Network

Note that this only shows the switches involved; there are  $16\ \text{hosts}$  attached to each leaf switch.



# High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU Topologies LEvaluating Topologies What are some important characteristics for evaluating networks and topologies?

Notes

- Total host bandwidth
- Latency/hop-count
- Cost
- Ease of expansion
- Minimum Bisection Bandwidth
- MBB to Client BW Ratio

High-Performance Computing Networks	at BYU
Topologies	
Contractor Transferre	


#### What's "Minimum Bisection Bandwidth"?

- If you were to draw a line across a topology, such that half the clients/switches/whatever are on each side of the line, the total bandwidth of all the links "cut" by that line is the bisection bandwidth
- Of all the possible bisection bandwidth lines, the one with the minimum bandwidth is called the minimum bisection bandwidth

# L-Topologies L-Evaluating Topologies MBB Example - Torus

Which bisection line represents the minimum bandwidth bisection (assume all links are the same speed)?

 $\blacksquare$  Green line cuts 8 links; red line cuts 12 links; Green is the  $\it minimum$ 



NI .		
Notes		
Notes		
		-


# High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU Topologies Evaluating Topologies

#### Why is MBB important?

- MBB represents the available bandwidth during a worst-case scenario:
  - All the clients on one side of the MBB line are trying to communicate with someone on the other side of the line, as fast as possible

# High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU Topologies Evaluating Topologies

#### MBB Example - Torus



# High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU Topologies Evaluating Topologies

#### MBB vs Client BW - Torus

- Frequently we compare MBB to total Client Bandwidth on one side of the MBB line
- Generally the smaller the ratio of MBB:Half-client-bandwidth, the better
- For example, using the diagram on the Torus slide:
  - Assuming each node is a switch, with 16 hosts hanging off it, what is the MBB:HalfClientBW ratio for the Green (MBB) line?
    - assume host-switch and switch-switch links are the same BW
  - Each half has 12 switches, or 12\*16=192 hosts, and the green line bisects 8 links, for a ratio of 24:1


lotes
lotes
Notes
Notes
Notes
Notes

Notes			

#### MBB vs Client BW - Clos

Anyone want to try this one?

- Assume that 16 hosts are attached to each of the 24 switches at the bottom, and none to the 4 on the top
- 4 links coming out of each of the 24 switches on the bottom (1 to each of 4 core switch)



#### MBB vs Client BW - Clos

- Bisection line cuts 2 of 4 links per leaf switch (48 total links
- 12 switches per half \* 16 clients = 192 clients
- 192:48 => 4:1

### High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP

#### Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP

- Current efforts are underway to create multi-path options for more-common Ethernet and TCP/IP networks
- Some approaches:
  - ECMP Equal Cost Multi-Path Routing (layer 3)
    - Several layer 3 routing protocols support ECMP, including OSPF, IS-IS, and EIGRP
  - TRILL Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links -Multi-path layer-2 Ethernet<sup>4</sup>

Notes			
Notes			
Notes			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The best reference I'm aware of is *Introduction to Trill* by Radia Perlman and Donald Eastlake, available at http://www.ipjforum.org/?p=582

High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU  —Conclusions	
	Notes
What do I need to learn from this?	
■ Not everything is Ethernet and TCP/IP	
<ul> <li>A Tree-like topology may not be the best arrangement for a specific application, especially in data centers</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>You absolutely must understand the communication patterns of your application, in order to select the correct technology</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>and topology</li><li>What you're used to doing now, may change in the future</li></ul>	
III D. C. C. C. N. L. DW	
High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU —Questions	Notes
Questions?	
Any questions?	
High-Performance Computing Networks at BYU  ☐ Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP	Notes
Multi-path Ethernet and TCP/IP	Notes
■ Current efforts are underway to create multi-path options for	
more-common Ethernet and TCP/IP networks  Some approaches:  ECMP - Equal Cost Multi-Path Routing (layer 3)	
<ul> <li>ECMP - Equal Cost Multi-Path Routing (layer 3)</li> <li>Several layer 3 routing protocols support ECMP, including OSPF, IS-IS, and EIGRP</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>TRILL - Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links - Multi-path layer-2 Ethernet<sup>4</sup></li> </ul>	

 $<sup>^4{\</sup>rm The\ best\ reference\ l'm\ aware\ of\ is}\ {\it Introduction\ to\ Trill\ }$  by Radia Perlman and Donald Eastlake, available at http://www.ipjforum.org/?p=582