Coptic SCRIPTORIUM – Lemmatization Guidelines

Version 1.0.5 / 2017-09-15

Amir Zeldes

Preamble

The purpose of lemmatization is to facilitate finding variant and inflected forms that are related to the same lexical entry, roughly equivalent to a dictionary entry. However in many cases, it may be unclear what the underlying, uninflected form of a word is: is the lemma of the pronoun 'me' defined as 'I' (i.e. the nominative form)? Should the lemma of 'us' then be 'we'? Alternatively we could put all personal pronouns under one lemma: then 'we', 'us', 'I', and 'me' all belong to the same lemma, but which form should be taken for the common lemma?

There can be many arguments for and against certain practices. In these guidelines we attempt to give a set of instructions for Coptic which is: a. easy to apply consistently and b. useful for searching purposes.

Guidelines by Part-of-Speech Class

Articles and copulas

Articles are lemmatized according to the non-assimilated, simple short form of the corresponding masculine singular article (if distinct). This means that the lemma of π , $\pi\varepsilon$, τ , $\tau\varepsilon$, η , $\eta\varepsilon$ and η (assimilated form of η before a labial consonant) is for all of the above τ . For indefinites oy and $\xi\varepsilon\eta$ there is no special masculine form, but the singular lemma oy is taken for the plural $\xi\varepsilon\eta$ and also for the variant spelling γ .

Copulas follow a similar rule: the lemma for all three number/gender forms (πε/τε/νε) is πε.

Pronouns

Personal pronouns

Lemmas are mainly helpful where they deliver added value over searching for plain strings. It is therefore useful to give common lemmas for each of the personal forms: first person, second M/F, ... Given that the SCRIPTORIUM part-of-speech guidelines already distinguish subject and object pronouns, it is considerably more useful to group subjects and objects of the same person together, while not distinguishing the different forms (e.g. †, 1 for first person) which can be found using a plain-text search anyway. We therefore annotate the following personal pronouns (SCRIPTORIUM tags in PPER*, i.e. PPERS,

PPERO, PPERI) with the following lemmas, based on the independent stressed pronoun forms (note that lemmatization is based on normalized forms without supralinear strokes or other diacritics; cf. transcription and normalization guidelines):

Person	Lemma	Pronoun forms	
1st sg.	λΝΟΚ	anok, anr, †, 1, nt, t, a	
2nd sg. masc.	нток	NTOK, NTK, K, Γ , TK	
2nd sg. fem.	нто	NTO, NTE, TE, TP, P, ε	
3rd sg. masc.	роти	иточ, ч	
3rd sg. fem.	нтос	нтос, с	
1st pl.	anon	anon, an, n, tn, cn	
2nd pl.	итшти	NTWTN, NT€TN, TN, THYTN	
3rd pl.	итооү	NTOOY, γ , oy, ce, coy	

The pronoun lemmas alone therefore primarily give access to search by person $(1^{st}, 2^{nd}...)$; to cross-reference these with the form, e.g. independent pronoun, cross-reference the POS annotation (in ANNIS: pos="PPERI"). For a specific subform (e.g. anr not anok) use the form search norm="anr".

Possessives, interrogatives and demonstratives

Interrogative pronouns are each quivalent to their own lemma, i.e. oy is lemmatized oy and NIM as NIM.

Possessive, and demonstrative pronouns are lemmatized to their own normalized form, but with one modification: non-masculine singular determiners are given the masculine form, i.e. the lemma of πεq is πεq, the lemma of πε is πε etc., but the lemma of τεc and nec is also πεc. Similarly, the lemma of πει and neι is πει, and the lemma of πει and τει is πει. This allows an easier search for all possessives (in ANNIS: pos="PPOS", finds πεγ, τεq, noγ...), all third person plural possessives (lemma="πεγ", finds πεγ, τεγ and neγ) and all third person plural possessives of feminine objects (norm="τεγ"), and similarly for demonstratives.

Adverbs, particles and conjunctions

Adverbs, particles and conjunctions are always given their own normalized form as a lemma. This includes Greek adverbs in -ωc, which are lemmatized as such, e.g. χωλως has the lemma χωλως.

Nouns

Nouns are given their dictionary form as a lemma. For most nouns, singular and plural forms are identical, meaning there is no dilemma. For nouns with irregular plural forms, the singular form is taken as a lemma, e.g. 2008 'deed' is the lemma of both singular 2008 and plural 28HYE, and similarly, possessed forms like TOOT(q) are lemmatized to the absolute form, i.e. TOPE. In order to find irregular forms, one can then simply search for

nouns whose lemma is different from the noun form (in ANNIS: lemma != norm). The same rules apply to proper nouns, though these rarely occur in the plural.

For nouns which only occur in the possessed form, if both prenominal and presuffixal forms exist, the prenominal is taken as the lemma, e.g. <code>na=</code> and <code>ne-</code> '(one's) will' are lemmatized as <code>ne</code>. If only a presuffixal form exists, it is taken as the lemma as well, e.g. <code>naiat=</code> 'blessed is...' has the lemma <code>naiat</code>.

Nouns that have related masculine and feminine forms are considered separate lemmas. For instance, the noun φμρε 'son' is its own lemma, and the separate noun φεερε 'daughter' also has a separate lemma (which is φεερε). Similarly, Greek words in -oc are considered separate from related words in -on, e.g. πονιμρος 'wicked person' is its own lemma, and so is the separate πονιμρον 'wicked deed/thing' an independent lemma.

Verbs

Verbs are lemmatized to the form of the absolute infinitive. This means that special prenominal or presuffixal forms are lemmatized to their respective dictionary entries, e.g. cottis and cettis are lemmatized as cotti 'choose'. The same applies to stative and imperative forms, which are lemmatized to the dictionary entry, e.g. κητ has κωτ as a lemma and αρι has ειρε. Likewise for prenominal forms, cetti and ρ are lemmatized as cotti and ειρε. Compound imperatives receive compound infinitive forms, i.e. for αριθγειαζε 'sacrifice!', the lemma is ρθγειαζε.

Note that auxiliaries are not lemmatized to their etymological verbs, i.e. the lemma of the past tense a- is not eipe but a. Additionally, the negative imperative marker mit is lemmatized as mit as well, as it is considered to be a form of negation independent from the verb epie. However, the negative imperative of eipe itself, mit paradigm with the sense 'to do').

For fused verb-object forms like NT 'bring me', see Portmanteau Tags.

Prepositions

Prepositions are lemmatized to their standard form **before noun phrases**. Therefore the lemma of e- and epo- is e. For preposition forms containing a second person singular feminine pronoun (realized as zero), e.g. exw 'on you (fem.)', Ncw 'behind you (fem.)' etc. see Portmanteau Tags.

Existential and possessive predicates

The existential predicates are lemmatized as own 'there is' and MN 'there isn't' (again note that lemmatization does not contain supralinear strokes). Like auxiliaries, the related

possessive predicates are lemmatized using their form before the third person masculine singular: oynta and mnta.

Auxiliaries, negations and future marker

Auxiliaries are generally lemmatized to their form when preceding a nominal subject. Attention should be paid to auxiliaries sometimes ending in -e: in normalized orthography, this is generally present before a nominal subject. The lemma of MAPE- and MAP- (jussive) is MAPE, and the lemma of GANT- and GANTE is GANTE.

However, the lemmas of auxiliaries that sometimes contain an intermediate pronoun do not contain that pronoun when they occur uninterrupted: the lemmas of epopan (conditional) and epe (optative) remain epopan and epe. These receive the tags ACOND and AOPT respectively. For cases with an intervening pronoun, which receive different tags, see Portmanteau Tags.

Negative morphemes such as n, an and an are their own lemmas (the form a before a labial is also lemmatized as a). The negative imperative marker a is lemmatized as itself (a itself (a in NOT as a itself.

The future marker is given its own lemma Na. Note that the lemma remains so whenever a future marker is separately identified, even if the diplomatic realization is assimilated and reduced to a, e.g. in complex forms like Tetna 'you will... (pl.)' or Nepa 'you would have (fem. sg.)'.

Converters

Like auxiliaries, converters are lemmatized to their form before a nominal subject, viz.:

CCIRC/CFOC: EPE
CREL: ETEPE
CPRET: NEPE

For second person singular feminine ep/epe (lemma="epe_nto") see Portmanteau Tags.

Inflected modifiers

Modifiers of the type 2000-, мыльмо-, мауаа-, тыр- are lemmatized to their form before the **third person masculine singular** pronoun q. Thus мыльмо- and мыльмо- are lemmatized as мыльмо. The portmanteau form мыльмо (yourself, fem. sg.) is lemmatized мыльмо_мто (see Portmanteau Tags).

Numerals

Feminine and masculine numerals take the masculine form as the lemma in order to facilitate searches based on the quantity itself (specific searches for either gender can be done using the literal form). For example the lemma of cagge 'seven (fem.)' is cagge 'seven (masc.)'. Note that compound numbers receive a complex lemma, therefore the lemma of xoyorcagge 'twenty-seven (fem.)' is xoyorcagge 'twenty-seven (masc.)' (the individual parts cann still be annotated at the morph level, which is not lemmatized).

Portmanteau Tags

Some fused items receive a so-called portmanteau tag representing two categories at once. For example, the form equan is considered to contain a conditional auxiliary and a subject pronoun: pos="ACOND_PPERS". In order to facilitate finding such cases regardless of the pronoun in use, in tags containing a conjugation base and a personal pronoun the form is lemmatized using both lemmas, separated by an underscore. For example, the lemmas of eigan, ecgan and equan are epgan_anok, epgan_ntoc and epgan_ntoq respectively. The lemma of epgan remains epgan (pos="ACOND"), unless it contains a second person feminine singular subject, in which case the lemma is epgan_nto according to the rule above.

For the past tense second person singular feminine form ap the lemma is similarly a_nto (pos="APST_PPERS"). The form mainamo (yourself, fem. sg.) is identical to the base of other personal forms, but is lemmatized mainamo_nto just like other forms containing a personal pronoun.

The same principle applies to prepositions: forms containing a second person singular feminine pronoun (realized as zero) are given portmanteau lemmas, e.g. εχω 'on you (fem.)' has εχν_ντο, ντω 'behind you (fem.)' has ντω_ντο etc.

For circumstantial or focalizing converter + second person feminine singular, the lemma ере_мто is used (and similarly preterit мере_мто and relative етере_мто).

Verbs containing an object pronoun, such as NT 'bring me' are lemmatized using the base form of the verb and the pronoun's lemma: εινε_ΔΝΟΚ.

Confusing cases

Nouns with variant spellings

Lemmatization is the highest level of lexical abstraction, and as such should unify variants, even if the underlying forms are alternative 'canonical' spellings (e.g. listed in Crum's dictionary as subentries). Spelling variants that are canonical should be retained on the norm level in order to make them findable as well – this is distinguished from the

orig level, which is the least abstract, and may additionally contain non-canonical spelling variation, as well as diacritics.

Examples:

orig form	norm	lemma	(in bound group)	translation
00Υ	οογ	7009	м пооγ	today
พิพ ท	мми	MN	мираме	there isn't

In other words, because the form $\pi oo\gamma$ is a standard spelling for $\pi goo\gamma$ in 'today', we do not normalize with a hori, allowing users to find this variant in norm while abstracting away from potential diacritics (including damage to letters, etc.), which would be present in orig. Users wishing to find all cases of the word for 'day' can still do so by searching for the lemma $goo\gamma$.