# ORACLES AND CHOICE SEQUENCES FOR TYPE-THEORETIC PRAGMATICS

Jon Sterling October 8, 2015

joint work with Darryl McAdams

# **INTRODUCTION**

[A woman walked in.]]  $\nabla$  (∑ $p \in Woman$ )

[A woman walked in.]]  $vis_{}$  (∑ $p \in Woman$ ) WalkedIn(p)

[She sat down] 

∇

[She sat down] 

∇

SatDown(???)

[A woman walked in. She sat down]



[A woman walked in. She sat down]] 
$$∇$$
  $(Σx ∈ (Σp ∈ Woman) WalkedIn(p))$ 

[A woman walked in. She sat down] 
$$\nabla$$
 
$$(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) \ WalkedIn(p)) \ SatDown(???)$$

# THE require ORACLE: STATICS

# require — FORMAL RULES

# require — FORMAL RULES

```
require : (0;1) (operator)
require x : A in N \triangleq \text{require}(A; x.N) (notation)
```

# require — FORMAL RULES

require: (0;1) (operator)

require 
$$x : A$$
 in  $N \triangleq \text{require}(A; x.N)$  (notation)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M \in A \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash N \in B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \in B}$$
 (require)

# require — EXAMPLES

[A woman walked in. She sat down] 
$$\nabla$$
  $(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) WalkedIn(p)) SatDown(???)$ 

# require — EXAMPLES

[A woman walked in. She sat down]

 $(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) \ WalkedIn(p))$  require y : Woman in SatDown(y)

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a type-theoretic expression which may <u>evaluate</u> to a canonical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a type-theoretic expression which may <u>evaluate</u> to a canonical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a type-theoretic expression which may <u>evaluate</u> to a canonical proposition.

#### What we want:

```
(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) \ WalkedIn(p)) require y : Woman in SatDown(y) \sim (\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) \ WalkedIn(p)) \ \pi_1(x)
```

The meaning of a sentence is a logical proposition.

The meaning of a sentence is a type-theoretic expression which may <u>evaluate</u> to a canonical proposition.

#### What we want:

$$(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) WalkedIn(p))$$
 require  $y : Woman$  in  $SatDown(y)$ 

^

$$(\Sigma x \in (\Sigma p \in Woman) WalkedIn(p)) \pi_1(x)$$

where 
$$M \sim N \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} (M \leq N) \& (N \leq M)$$

# require—NAÏVE DYNAMICS

$$\underline{M \in A \quad [M/x] \ N \Downarrow N'} \\
\mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \Downarrow N'$$
(??)

# require—NAÏVE DYNAMICS

$$\underline{M \in A \quad [M/x] \ N \Downarrow N'} \\
\mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \Downarrow N'$$
(??)

[ The President ran a marathon ] 

▽

**require** x: President in  $(\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(x; y)$ 

**require** x: *President* **in**  $(\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(x; y)$ 

```
require x: President in (\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(x; y)
\downarrow \downarrow
(\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(Obama; y)
```

[ The unicorn ran a marathon ] 

▽

[ The unicorn ran a marathon ]

 $\nabla$ 

**require** x : *Unicorn* **in**  $(\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(x; y)$ 

[ The unicorn ran a marathon ]

**require** x : *Unicorn* **in**  $(\Sigma y \in Marathon) Ran(x; y)$ 

(not a proposition)

IS require COMPUTATIONALLY EFFECTIVE?	

1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge

- 1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge
- 2. non-determinism must be eliminated

- 1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge (via forcing)
- 2. non-determinism must be eliminated

- 1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge (via forcing)
- 2. non-determinism must be eliminated



- 1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge (via forcing)
- 2. non-determinism must be eliminated (via choice sequences)



- 1. judgments shall be local / sensitive to knowledge (via forcing)
- 2. non-determinism must be eliminated (via choice sequences)





All mathematics is a mental construction performed by an idealized subject, subject to the following observations about knowledge:

All mathematics is a mental construction performed by an idealized subject, subject to the following observations about knowledge:

All mathematics is a mental construction performed by an idealized subject, subject to the following observations about knowledge:

1. experiences are never forgotten (monotonicity, functoriality)

All mathematics is a mental construction performed by an idealized subject, subject to the following observations about knowledge:

- 1. experiences are never forgotten (monotonicity, functoriality)
- 2. at a point in time, the subject knows whether or not it has experienced a judgment (decidability)

All mathematics is a mental construction performed by an idealized subject, subject to the following observations about knowledge:

- 1. experiences are never forgotten (monotonicity, functoriality)
- 2. at a point in time, the subject knows whether or not it has experienced a judgment (decidability)

## Corollary

The meaning of a judgment  $\mathscr{J}$  must be explained in terms of its forcing condition,  $w + \mathscr{J}$ , for any stage/world w.

### REMARK ON DECIDABILITY

•••

2. at a point in time, the subject knows whether or not it has experienced a judgment (decidability)

### REMARK ON DECIDABILITY

•••

2. at a point in time, the subject knows whether or not it has experienced a judgment (decidability)

## Remark

Contra Dummett, I <u>by no means</u> take the above as requiring that the following shall be true in a constructive metatheory, <u>divorced from time</u>:

$$\forall w. \forall \mathcal{J}. (w \Vdash \mathcal{J}) \lor \neg (w \Vdash \mathcal{J})$$
 (Dummett's infelicity)

The above is impossible in a Beth model.

# logical consequence ⇒ semantic consequence

Brouwer?, Martin-Löf, Sundholm ⇒ Brouwer?, Heyting, Allen, Zeilberger

# logical consequence ⇒ semantic consequence

Brouwer?, Martin-Löf, Sundholm ⇒ Brouwer?, Heyting, Allen, Zeilberger

proof conditions ⇒ assertion conditions

Dummett, Martin-Löf, Sundholm ⇒ Brouwer, Heyting, Van Atten

## logical consequence ⇒ semantic consequence

Brouwer?, Martin-Löf, Sundholm ⇒ Brouwer?, Heyting, Allen, Zeilberger

## proof conditions ⇒ assertion conditions

Dummett, Martin-Löf, Sundholm ⇒ Brouwer, Heyting, Van Atten

# global meaning explanation $\Rightarrow$ local meaning explanation

Husserl, Dummett, Martin-Löf ⇒ Brouwer, Beth, Kripke, Grothendieck, Lawvere, Joyal

assertion acts (judgments) are intensional (local)

$$|_{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$$

(general judgment)

$$I_x \mathcal{J}(x)$$
 (general judgment)   
  $\mathcal{J}_2 (\mathcal{J}_1)$  (hypothetical judgment)

$$I_x \mathcal{J}(x)$$
 (general judgment)  
 $\mathcal{J}_2 (\mathcal{J}_1)$  (hypothetical judgment)  
 $M \Downarrow N$  (evaluation)

$ _{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$	(general judgment
$\mathcal{J}_2$ $(\mathcal{J}_1)$	(hypothetical judgment
$M \Downarrow N$	(evaluation)
A type	(typehood)

$ _{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$	(general judgment)
$\mathcal{J}_2$ $(\mathcal{J}_1)$	(hypothetical judgment)
$M \Downarrow N$	(evaluation)
A type	(typehood)
A verif	(verification)

$ _{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$	(general judgment)
$\mathcal{J}_2$ $(\mathcal{J}_1)$	(hypothetical judgment)
$M \downarrow N$	(evaluation)
A type	(typehood)
A verif	(verification)
A true	(truth)

$ _{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$	(general judgment)
$\mathcal{J}_2$ $(\mathcal{J}_1)$	(hypothetical judgment)
$M \Downarrow N$	(evaluation)
A type	(typehood)
A verif	(verification)
A true	(truth)
$M = N \in A$	(membership)

$w \Vdash  _{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$	(general judgment)
$w \Vdash \mathcal{J}_2 (\mathcal{J}_1)$	(hypothetical judgment)
$w \Vdash M \Downarrow N$	(evaluation)
$w \Vdash A \ type$	(typehood)
$w \Vdash A \ verif$	(verification)
$w \Vdash A \ true$	(truth)
$w\Vdash M=N\in A$	(membership)

$$w \Vdash |_{x} \mathcal{J}(x)$$
  
 $w \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{2} (\mathcal{J}_{1})$ 

(general judgment) (hypothetical judgment)

$$\begin{array}{lll} w \Vdash \mid_x \mathcal{J}(x) & \Longleftrightarrow & \cdots \\ w \Vdash \mathcal{J}_2 \; (\mathcal{J}_1) & \Longleftrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

$$w \Vdash |_{x} \mathcal{J}(x) \iff \forall u \geq w. \forall x \in \mathcal{D}_{u}. \ u \Vdash \mathcal{J}(x)$$
  
$$w \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{2} (\mathcal{J}_{1}) \iff \forall u \geq w. \ u \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{1} \Rightarrow u \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{2}$$

$$w \Vdash |_{x} \mathcal{J}(x) \iff \forall u \geq w. \forall x \in \mathcal{D}_{u}. \ u \Vdash \mathcal{J}(x)$$
$$w \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{2} (\mathcal{J}_{1}) \iff \forall u \geq w. \ u \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{1} \Rightarrow u \Vdash \mathcal{J}_{2}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}_{w}$  is the species of constructions that have been effected by stage  $\boldsymbol{w}$ 

### THE MEANING OF A PROPOSITION

The meaning of a proposition/type is an intensional (world-indexed) specification of verification acts, i.e. a local meaning explanation for  $w \Vdash P \ verif$  (and its synthesis).

### THE MEANING OF A PROPOSITION

The meaning of a proposition/type is an intensional (world-indexed) specification of verification acts, i.e. a local meaning explanation for  $w \Vdash P \ verif$  (and its synthesis).

For a type A, implicit in the explanation of  $w \Vdash A \ verif$  is a  $\mathbb{W}$ -indexed family of PERs  $\mathscr{V}\llbracket A \rrbracket_w \subseteq \mathscr{D}_w \times \mathscr{D}_w$  whose members reflect the computational content (extension) of verification acts.

### INTUITIONISTIC SEMANTICS OF TRUTH

Truth (justification) consists in recognizing the effectiveness of a procedure for verification.

#### INTUITIONISTIC SEMANTICS OF TRUTH

Truth (justification) consists in recognizing the effectiveness of a procedure for verification.

In the model, this corresponds to the inevitability of verification (i.e. a <u>bar</u>, in which verification occurs at all nodes):

 $w \Vdash A \ true \iff \exists \mathfrak{B} \ \mathbf{bars} \ w. \forall u \in \mathfrak{B}. \ u \Vdash A \ verif \quad \text{(due to Dummett)}$ 

The analytic judgments of type theory are reflections on mathematical activity.

1. Canonical membership reflects verification

$$\mathscr{V}[A]_w(M,N)\bowtie w\Vdash A\ verif$$

- 1. Canonical membership reflects verification
- 2. Membership reflects justification

$$w \Vdash M = N \in A \bowtie w \Vdash A true$$

- 1. Canonical membership reflects verification
- 2. Membership reflects justification
- 3. Computation reflects the recognition of a <u>bar</u>

$$w \Vdash M = N \in A \bowtie w \Vdash A true$$

- 1. Canonical membership reflects verification
- 2. Membership reflects justification
- 3. Computation reflects the recognition of a bar

$$\land \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w \Vdash M \Downarrow M' \\ w \Vdash N \Downarrow N' \\ \mathscr{V} \llbracket A \rrbracket_{w} (M', N') \end{array} \right\} \bowtie \exists \mathfrak{B} \text{ bars } w. \forall u \in \mathfrak{B}. \ u \Vdash A \ verif$$

# CHOICE SEQUENCES AND THE CREATING SUBJECT

choice sequences (streams of objects) may be propounded over time based on the previous experience of the creating subject.

## CHOICE SEQUENCES AND THE CREATING SUBJECT

choice sequences (streams of objects) may be propounded over time based on the previous experience of the creating subject.

Example:

$$\alpha(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \Vdash A \ true \\ 1 & \neg(i \Vdash A \ true) \end{cases}$$
 (KS)

# THE JUSTIFICATIONS PRESHEAF

Let  $\mathscr{K}_A$ : **FinSet**<sup>Wop</sup> be the presheaf of constructions of A true effected "so far" for each canonical proposition A.

# THE JUSTIFICATIONS PRESHEAF

Let  $\mathcal{K}_A$ : **FinSet**<sup>Wop</sup> be the presheaf of constructions of A true effected "so far" for each canonical proposition A.

We now can give a precise, but non-deterministic, dynamics to **require**:

# THE JUSTIFICATIONS PRESHEAF

Let  $\mathscr{K}_A$ : **FinSet**<sup>Wop</sup> be the presheaf of constructions of A true effected "so far" for each canonical proposition A.

We now can give a precise, but non-deterministic, dynamics to **require**:

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \Downarrow A' \quad M \in \mathcal{X}_{A'}(w) \quad w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow N'}{w \Vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \Downarrow N'}$$
 (\*)

#### **ELIMINATING NON-DETERMINISM WITH A SPREAD**

We need a way to deterministically choose a representative of  $\mathcal{K}_A(w)$ . First, let  $\varkappa_A$  be the choice sequence of lists given by enumerating  $\mathcal{K}_A(w)$  at each stage w, in order of time.

#### **ELIMINATING NON-DETERMINISM WITH A SPREAD**

We need a way to deterministically choose a representative of  $\mathcal{H}_A(w)$ . First, let  $\mathcal{H}_A$  be the choice sequence of lists given by enumerating  $\mathcal{H}_A(w)$  at each stage w, in order of time.

Idea: reformulate Type Theory relative to a choice sequence of "choosers".

A spread direction  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a restriction on choice sequences which is defined by a condition on their finite approximations (prefixes, neighborhoods), subject to the following laws:

A spread direction  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a restriction on choice sequences which is defined by a condition on their finite approximations (prefixes, neighborhoods), subject to the following laws:

1. the empty neighborhood shall be admitted



A spread direction  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a restriction on choice sequences which is defined by a condition on their finite approximations (prefixes, neighborhoods), subject to the following laws:

1. the empty neighborhood shall be admitted

$$\mathfrak{S}(\langle \rangle)$$

2. if a neighborhood is admitted, so shall all its subneighborhoods

$$|_{\vec{u},m} \mathfrak{S}(\vec{u}) \left( \mathfrak{S}(\vec{u} - m) \right)$$

A spread direction  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a restriction on choice sequences which is defined by a condition on their finite approximations (prefixes, neighborhoods), subject to the following laws:

1. the empty neighborhood shall be admitted

$$\mathfrak{S}(\langle \rangle)$$

2. if a neighborhood is admitted, so shall all its subneighborhoods

$$|_{\vec{u},m} \mathfrak{S}(\vec{u}) (\mathfrak{S}(\vec{u} \sim m))$$

3. a neighborhood may always be refined within the spread

$$|_{\vec{u}} \Im(\vec{u} - m) (\Im(\vec{u}))$$



#### A CONSERVATIVE EXTENSION OF TYPE THEORY

A spread direction for index-choosers:

$$\frac{\Xi(\vec{u}) \quad |_{n} \rho(n) < n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}^{+})}{\Xi(\vec{u} - \rho)}$$
 (spread law)

#### A CONSERVATIVE EXTENSION OF TYPE THEORY

A spread direction for index-choosers:

$$\frac{\Xi(\vec{u}) \quad |_{n} \rho(n) < n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}^{+})}{\Xi(\vec{u} - \rho)}$$
 (spread law)

Reformulate type theory relative to an arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$ ! For instance:

$$\frac{w \Vdash M \Downarrow_{\alpha} M' \quad w \Vdash N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N' \quad \mathcal{V}[\![A]\!]_{w}^{\alpha}(M',N')}{w \Vdash M = N \in_{\alpha} A}$$

# require — DYNAMICS

Deterministic choice for  $\varkappa_A$ :

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \Downarrow_{\alpha} A' \quad |\varkappa_{A'}(w)| = \ell \quad \operatorname{hd}(\alpha)(\ell) = i \quad \varkappa_{A'}(w)(i) = M}{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M}$$

# require — DYNAMICS

Deterministic choice for  $\varkappa_A$ :

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \Downarrow_{\alpha} A' \quad |\varkappa_{A'}(w)| = \ell \quad \operatorname{hd}(\alpha)(\ell) = i \quad \varkappa_{A'}(w)(i) = M}{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M}$$

Deterministic dynamics for require:

$$\frac{w \Vdash \varkappa_A \ni_{\alpha} M \quad w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_{\mathsf{tl}(\alpha)} N'}{w \Vdash \mathsf{require} \ x : A \mathsf{ in} \ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \qquad (\mathsf{for} \ \alpha \in \mathfrak{S})$$

## VALIDITY OF THE REQUIRE RULE

## Theorem

The following rule is valid in our semantics:

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha} \quad w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B}{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \; x : A \; \mathbf{in} \; N \in_{\alpha} B} \; require$$

 $\frac{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha} \quad w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B}{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \; x : A \; \mathbf{in} \; N \in_{\alpha} B} \; require$ 

$$\overline{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha}} \; \mathcal{D} \qquad \overline{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B} \; \mathcal{E}$$

 $\overline{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \mathbf{in} \ N \in_{\alpha} B}$  require

$$\overline{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha}} \; \mathcal{D} \qquad \overline{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B} \; \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\overline{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} \mathbf{N'}}}{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \in_{\alpha} B} \ require}$$
 require

$$\frac{}{w\Vdash A\;true_{\alpha}}\;\mathcal{D}\qquad \frac{}{w\Vdash x:A\vdash_{\alpha}N\in B}\;\mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\overline{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M} \quad \overline{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}}{\underline{w \Vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}} \quad \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \llbracket B \rrbracket_{w}^{\alpha} (N', N')}_{w \Vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \in_{\alpha} B} \text{ require }$$

$$\frac{}{w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha}}\,\mathcal{D}\qquad \frac{}{w\Vdash x:A\vdash_{\alpha}N\in B}\,\mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\sqrt{}}{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha}} \; \mathcal{D}}{\frac{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M}{w \Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}} \frac{}{w \Vdash \text{require} \; x : A \; \text{in} \; N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \frac{}{\mathscr{V}\llbracket B \rrbracket_{w}^{\alpha}(N', N')}} \frac{}{\text{require} \; x : A \; \text{in} \; N \in_{\alpha} B} \text{require}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash |_{y,z} [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B \ \left(y = z \in_{\alpha} A\right)}{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B} \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\sqrt{}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}}{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M} \mathcal{D} \underbrace{\frac{w \Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}}_{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \mathcal{D} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{w}^{\alpha} (N', N')}_{w \vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \in_{\alpha} B} require$$

$$\frac{\overline{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_\alpha B} \ \mathcal{F}}{w \Vdash |y_{,z}[y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_\alpha B \ (y = z \in_\alpha A)} \ \mathcal{E}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\sqrt{}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}}{\frac{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}}{w \Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} \mathbf{N'}}} \frac{w \Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} \mathbf{N'}}{\mathcal{V} \llbracket B \rrbracket_{w}^{\alpha}(N', N')} \frac{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} \mathbf{N}}{w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \ \mathbf{in} \ N \in_{\alpha} B} require$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \overline{\forall u\succeq w. \forall y,z\in \mathscr{D}_{u}.\ u\Vdash y=z\in_{\alpha}A\Rightarrow u\Vdash [y/x]N=[z/x]N\in_{\alpha}B} \ \mathscr{F} \\ \hline w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha} \end{array} \mathscr{F} \\ \hline w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha} \end{array} \mathscr{F} \\ \overline{w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha}} \end{array} \mathscr{F} \\ \frac{\begin{array}{c} \overline{w}\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha} \end{array} \mathscr{F} (w,N,M) \\ \hline w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha} \end{array} \mathscr{F} (w,M,M) \\ \hline w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha} \end{array} \mathscr{F} \\ \overline{w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha}} \mathscr{F} \\ \overline{w\Vdash A\ true_{\alpha}} \mathscr{F} \\ \hline w\Vdash x_{A}\ni_{\alpha} \underline{M} \qquad \overline{w\Vdash [M/x]N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \\ \hline w\Vdash \mathbf{require}\ x: A\ \mathbf{in}\ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N' \qquad \overline{\mathscr{F}} \llbracket B \rrbracket_{w}^{\alpha}(N',N') \\ \hline w\Vdash \mathbf{require}\ x: A\ \mathbf{in}\ N \Downarrow_{\alpha} B \end{array} \end{aligned} require}$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash |y_{,z}| [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B \ (y = z \in_{\alpha} A)} \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \frac{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M}{w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{w} \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} M} \frac{\sqrt{w} \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash require}{w \Vdash require} x : A \text{ in } N \Downarrow_{\alpha} N'$$

$$require} x \vdash A \text{ in } N \in B$$

 $w \Vdash \mathbf{require} \ x : A \mathbf{in} \ N \in_{\alpha} B$ 

$$\frac{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_\alpha B} \ \mathcal{F} \\ \hline w \Vdash |_{y,z} [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_\alpha B \ \left(y = z \in_\alpha A\right) \\ \hline w \Vdash x : A \vdash_\alpha N \in B \end{array} \mathcal{E} \\ \frac{\sqrt{w} \Vdash A \ true_\alpha}}{w \Vdash M \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w,M,M) \begin{array}{c} \frac{\sqrt{w} \Vdash A \ true_\alpha}}{w \Vdash \varkappa_A \ni_\alpha M} \\ \hline w \Vdash M \in_\alpha A \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\sqrt{}}{w \Vdash A \; true_{\alpha}} \; \mathcal{D}}{\frac{w \Vdash \varkappa_{A} \ni_{\alpha} \; M}{w \Vdash [M/x]N \; \Downarrow_{\alpha} \; N'}} \frac{}{w \Vdash \text{require} \; x : A \; \text{in} \; N \; \Downarrow_{\alpha} \; N'} \frac{}{w \Vdash \text{require} \; x : A \; \text{in} \; N \in_{\alpha} \; B} \text{require}$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y. z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash |_{y,z} [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B (y = z \in_{\alpha} A)} \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \frac{\forall w \Vdash \mathcal{Z}_A \ni_{\alpha} M}{w \Vdash \mathcal{Z}_A \ni_{\alpha} M}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash |y_{,z}| [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B \ (y = z \in_{\alpha} A)} \mathcal{E}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \xrightarrow{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \vdash A$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_\alpha B}{w \Vdash |y,z|} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash |y,z|}{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_\alpha N \in B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_\alpha}{w \Vdash A \ true_\alpha} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{\forall w \Vdash M \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_\alpha B}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w,M,M) \xrightarrow{\frac{\forall w \Vdash A \ true_\alpha}{w \Vdash \varkappa_A \ni_\alpha M}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w,M,M) \xrightarrow{\frac{\forall w \Vdash \varkappa_A \ni_\alpha M}{w \Vdash M \in_\alpha A}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \bowtie_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w,M,M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \Downarrow_\alpha N'} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash require x : A \text{ in } N \Downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \Vdash require x : A \text{ in } N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w,M,M)$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash |y/x| N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash |y/x| [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B \left(y = z \in_{\alpha} A\right)}{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}[B]_{w}^{\alpha}(N', N')} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash require}{w \Vdash require} x : A \ in \ N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'$$

$$require}$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_{\alpha} A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash |y/x| N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash |y/x| [y/x] N = [z/x] N \in_{\alpha} B \left(y = z \in_{\alpha} A\right)}{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_{\alpha} N \in B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}}{w \Vdash A \ true_{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash M \in_{\alpha} A} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \in_{\alpha} B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M)$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'}{w \Vdash [M/x] N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash require}{w \Vdash require} x : A \ in \ N \downarrow_{\alpha} N'$$

$$require}$$

$$\frac{\forall u \succeq w. \forall y, z \in \mathcal{D}_u. \ u \Vdash y = z \in_\alpha A \Rightarrow u \Vdash [y/x]N = [z/x]N \in_\alpha B}{w \Vdash |y/x|N = [z/x]N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash |y/x| [y/x]N = [z/x]N \in_\alpha B}{w \Vdash x : A \vdash_\alpha N \in B} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \ true_\alpha}{w \Vdash A \ true_\alpha} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x]N \in_\alpha B}{w \Vdash [M/x]N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \xrightarrow{\frac{w}{w} \vdash A \ true_\alpha}{w \vdash M \in_\alpha A} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \Vdash [M/x]N \downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \vdash [M/x]N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M) \xrightarrow{\frac{w}{w} \vdash A \ true_\alpha}{w \vdash M \in_\alpha A} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\frac{w \vdash [M/x]N \downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \vdash [M/x]N \downarrow_\alpha N'} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}[B]_w^\alpha(N', N')} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\frac{w \vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \downarrow_\alpha N'}{w \vdash \text{require } x : A \text{ in } N \in_\alpha B} \mathcal{F}(w, M, M)$$

