

MODELOWANIE REGRESJI PORZĄDKOWEJ PRZY UŻYCIU PROCESU GAUSSOWSKIEGO

$\{f(x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ - realizacja procesu gaussowskiego o średniej 0 i macierzy kowariancji Σ zadanej wzorem:

$$\Sigma = (K(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1\dots n} = \left(e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\xi=1}^d (x_i^\xi - x_j^\xi)^2} \right)_{i,j=1\dots n},$$

gdzie $\kappa > 0$, a x_i^ξ to ξ -ty element x_i .

Wtedy \mathbf{f} ma rozkład łączny o gęstości:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{Z_f} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f}},$$

gdzie $Z_f = (2\Pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, a $\mathbf{f} = [f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)]^T$.

Wtedy:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i)),$$

gdzie $\mathcal{D} = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$.

Intuicyjnie:

$$\mathbb{P}_{ideal}(y_i|f(x_i)) = \mathbb{I}\{f(x_i) \in (b_{y_i-1}, b_{y_i}]\},$$

gdzie $b_0 = -\infty, b_r = +\infty$.

Można wygodniej sparametryzować b_i jako: $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_i = \sum_{t=2}^j \Delta_t + b_1$, gdzie $\Delta_t > 0$ oraz $j = 2, \dots, r-1$. Bardzo rzadko mamy jednak do czynienia z idealną sytuacją, dlatego będziemy budować model zakładając dodatkowy szum δ o rozkładzie $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. Wtedy prawdopodobieństwo zmienia się następująco:

$$\mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i)) = \Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i),$$

gdzie $z_1^i := \frac{b_{y_i} - f(x_i)}{\sigma}$ oraz $z_2^i := \frac{b_{y_i-1} - f(x_i)}{\sigma}$.

Dowód

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i)) &= \int \mathbb{P}_{ideal}(y_i|f(x_i) + \delta_i) d\delta_i = \int \mathbb{P}(\delta_i) \mathbb{I}\{f(x_i) + \delta_i \in (b_{y_i-1}, b_{y_i}]\} d\delta_i = \\ &= \int \frac{1}{2\Pi\sigma} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \mathbb{I}\{u \in (b_{y_i-1} - f(x_i), b_{y_i} - f(x_i)]\} du = \int_{b_{y_i-1}-f(x_i)}^{b_{y_i}-f(x_i)} \frac{1}{2\Pi\sigma} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} du = \\ &= \int_{\frac{b_{y_i-1}-f(x_i)}{\sigma}}^{\frac{b_{y_i}-f(x_i)}{\sigma}} \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du = \Phi\left(\frac{b_{y_i} - f(x_i)}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{b_{y_i-1} - f(x_i)}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

■

Wprowadźmy następującą funkcję straty:

$$l(y_i, f(x_i)) := -\ln \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))$$

Jej pochodne to:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial l(y_i, f(x_i))}{\partial f(x_i)} &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l(y_i, f(x_i))}{\partial^2 f(x_i)} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{z_1^i \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - z_2^i \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)}\end{aligned}$$

Dowód

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial l(y_i, f(x_i))}{\partial f(x_i)} &= -\ln[\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)] = -\frac{1}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \cdot \Phi'(z_1^i) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi'(z_2^i) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l(y_i, f(x_i))}{\partial^2 f(x_i)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial f(x_i)} \left(\frac{\partial l(y_i, f(x_i))}{\partial f(x_i)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f(x_i)} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{[\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)]^2} \left\{ [\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)] \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot z_1^i\right) \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot z_2^i\right) \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \right] - \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}} \right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}} \right) \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{z_1^i \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_1^i{}^2}{2}} - z_2^i \frac{1}{2\Pi} e^{-\frac{z_2^i{}^2}{2}}}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)}\end{aligned}$$

■

Prawdopodobieństwo a posteriori wygląda następująco:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D})},$$

gdzie $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}) = \int \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f})\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f})d\mathbf{f}$.

Dowód

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D})} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f})\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f})}{\int \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{D})d\mathbf{f}} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))}{\int \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{D})d\mathbf{f}}$$

■

Parametry, które chcemy estymować oznaczmy wektorem $\Theta = [\kappa, \sigma, b_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{r-1}]^T$.

Szukamy takiego \mathbf{f} , które:

$$\mathbf{f}_{MAP} := \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{f}} \{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D})\} \equiv \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{f}} \{\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D})\} \equiv \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{f}} \{-\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D})\}$$

Zdefiniujmy:

$$S(\mathbf{f}) := -\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D})$$

Wtedy:

$$S(\mathbf{f}) \propto \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i, f(x_i)) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f}$$

Dowód

$$\begin{aligned} S(f) &= -\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}) = -\ln \left[\frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D})} \right] = -\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) + \ln \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}) - \ln \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i)) \right] \propto \\ &\propto -\ln \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f}) - \ln \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i)) \right] = -\ln \left[\frac{1}{Z_f} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f}} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n [-\ln \mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f} + \ln Z_f + \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i|f(x_i)) \propto \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i|f(x_i)) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f} \end{aligned}$$

■

Policzmy pochodne $S(f)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(\mathbf{f})}{\partial \mathbf{f}} &= \mathbf{f}^T \Sigma^{-1} + \left[\frac{\partial l(y_1, f(x_1))}{\partial f(x_1)}, \dots, \frac{\partial l(y_n, f(x_n))}{\partial f(x_n)} \right] \\ \frac{\partial^2 S(\mathbf{f})}{\partial \mathbf{f} \partial \mathbf{f}^T} &= \Sigma^{-1} + \Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

gdzie

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 l(y_1, f(x_1))}{\partial^2 f(x_1)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 l(y_n, f(x_n))}{\partial^2 f(x_n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Korzystając z przybliżenia Laplace'a otrzymujemy:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\Theta) \simeq e^{-S(\mathbf{f}_{MAP})} |\mathbf{I} + \Sigma \Lambda_{MAP}|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

gdzie \mathbf{I} jest macierzą jednostkową $n \times n$.

Dowód

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\Theta) &= \int \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f})\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f})d\mathbf{f} = \int \frac{1}{Z_f}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{f}}\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))d\mathbf{f} = \\
&= \int \frac{1}{Z_f}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{f}}e^{\ln[\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))]}d\mathbf{f} = \int \frac{1}{Z_f}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{f}+\sum_{i=1}^n[-\ln\mathbb{P}(y_i|f(x_i))]}d\mathbf{f} = \\
&= \int \frac{1}{Z_f}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{f}+\sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i|f(x_i))}d\mathbf{f} = \int \frac{1}{Z_f}e^{-S(\mathbf{f})}d\mathbf{f} \stackrel{\substack{\text{przybliżenie} \\ \text{Laplace'a}}}{\approx} \\
&\approx \frac{1}{Z_f}(2\Pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}|\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}+\mathbf{\Lambda}_{MAP}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-S(\mathbf{f}_{MAP})} = \frac{1}{\cancel{(2\Pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\cancel{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}}\cancel{(2\Pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\cancel{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{MAP})|^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-S(\mathbf{f}_{MAP})} = \\
&= \frac{1}{\cancel{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{n}{2}}}}\cancel{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{n}{2}}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{MAP})|^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-S(\mathbf{f}_{MAP})} = |\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{MAP}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-S(\mathbf{f}_{MAP})}
\end{aligned}$$

■