## Linear Algebra and Vector Calculus Notes and Exercises

Somnath Sikdar

February 3, 2020

# **Contents**

1	Linear Algebra Basics		2
	1.1	Linear Functions	2
	1.2	Image and Kernel of a Linear Function	2
	1.3	Quadratic Forms	3
		1.3.1 Definiteness	4

## Chapter 1

## **Linear Algebra Basics**

### 1.1 Linear Functions

A function  $L: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear function if for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$L(ax + by) = aL(x) + bL(y).$$

It follows (by induction) that for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and all  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$L(a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_rx_r) = a_1L(x_1) + \cdots + a_rL(x_r).$$

**Theorem 1.1.** A linear function  $L: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is completely determined by its effect on the standard basis vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . An arbitrary choice of vectors  $L(e_1), \ldots, L(e_m)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  determines a linear function from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Given any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we can express it as a unique linear combination  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i$  of the basis vectors. By the linearity of L,  $L(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i L(e_i)$  which is completely specified by  $L(e_1), \ldots, L(e_m)$ .

Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_m$  be any vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define a map L from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as follows: for  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i b_i$ . Then  $L(e_i) = b_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le m$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$L(ax + by) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (ax_i + by_i)b_i = a\sum_i x_i b_i + b\sum_i y_i b_i = aL(x) + bL(y)$$

Note that the domain of definition of a linear function must be a vector space. An non-linear function can be defined on a subset of a vector space.

## 1.2 Image and Kernel of a Linear Function

The image Im(L) of a linear function  $L: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that L maps  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to. In symbols,  $\text{Im}(L) := \{L(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$ . The kernel Ker(L) of L is the set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  that L maps to the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\text{Ker}(L) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : L(x) = 0_n\}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $L: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear function. Then the following hold:

- 1. Im(L) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- 2. Ker(L) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ;
- 3.  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(L)) + \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(L)) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^m) = m$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1) and (2) are similar. For proving (1), let  $z_1, z_2 \in \text{Im}(L)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in R^m$  such that

$$L(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2,$$

implying that  $\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2 \in \text{Im}(L)$ . Since Im(L) is closed under vector addition and multiplication by scalars, it is a vector space. Since it is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it must be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

To prove (3), let us assume that  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}(L)) = k$  and that  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$  is a basis of  $\operatorname{Ker}(L)$ . Extend this basis to a basis  $b_1, \ldots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_m$  for  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Then for every vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m$  there exist scalars  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i b_i$ . Moreover since L is linear,

$$egin{aligned} L(oldsymbol{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m eta_i L(oldsymbol{b}_i) \ &= \sum_{i=k+1}^m eta_i L(oldsymbol{b}_i). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that every vector in Im(L) can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $L(b_{k+1}), \ldots, L(b_m)$ . To show that they form a basis of Im(L), it is sufficient to show that they are linearly independent. Suppose not. Then there exist scalars  $\beta_{k+1}, \ldots, \beta_m$ , not all zero, such that  $\sum_{i=k+1}^m \beta_i L(b_i) = \mathbf{0}_n$ . By the linearity of L, we have  $L(\sum_{i=k+1}^m \beta_i b_i) = \mathbf{0}_n$  and hence  $\mathbf{0}_m \neq \sum_{i=k+1}^m \beta_i b_i \in Ker(L)$ . This is a contradiction since the vectors  $b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_m$  are not in the space spanned by the vectors  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Thus the vectors  $\beta_{k+1}, \ldots, \beta_m$  must be independent and form a basis of Im(L). This proves (3).

### 1.3 Quadratic Forms

A function  $q: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a *quadratic form* if there exists a real symmetric  $m \times m$  matrix A such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$q(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}} A x$$
.

The right-hand side of the equation may be written as:

$$(x_{1},...,x_{m})\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{m} \end{pmatrix} = (x_{1},...,x_{m})[x_{1}A_{*1} + \cdots + x_{m}A_{*m}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i}a_{ij}x_{j}.$$

The symbol  $A_{*j}$  denotes the jth column of A. The name "quadratic" form arises from the last expression which is what a quadratic expression in m variables looks like.

### **Example 1.1.** The function

$$q(h_1, h_2, h_3) = h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_3^2 + 2h_1h_2 + 2h_2h_3 + 2h_1h_3 = (h_1 + h_2 + h_3)^2$$

can be expressed as  $h^{T}Ah$ , where A is the all-ones 3 × 3 matrix.

### 1.3.1 Definiteness

A quadratic form  $q: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  is

- 1. positive definite if for all non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , q(x) > 0;
- 2. negative definite if for all non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , q(x) > 0;
- 3. *indefinite* if q(x) takes on both positive and negative values.

**Example 1.2.** Let  $q(h) = -h_1^2 + 2h_2^2 - h_3^2$  such that the matrix associated with q is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The q(0,1,0) = 2 and q(1,0,1) = -2. Thus the quardatic form q is indefinite.

**Example 1.3.** The quadratic form  $q(h_1, h_2, h_3) = h_1^2 + h_2^2 + 4h_3^2 + 2h_1h_2 - 4h_2h_3 - 4h_1h_3$  is positive definite, since we may re-write  $q(h_1, h_2, h_3)$  as  $(h_1 + h_2 - 2h_3)^2$  which is strictly positive for all  $(h_1, h_2, h_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ .

To characterize the definiteness of quadratic forms, we make use of the following properties of real symmetric matrices.

**Lemma 1.1.** The eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix A are real.

*Proof.* Suppose that *A* is an  $m \times m$  matrix and suppose that some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is complex. Let x be a eigenvector corresponding to it, which can be a complex vector. Then

$$Ax = \lambda x. \tag{1.1}$$

Taking complex conjugates on each side, we get:

$$A\bar{x} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{x}.\tag{1.2}$$

Pre-multiply (1.1) by  $\bar{x}^{\dagger}$  and (1.2) by  $x^{\dagger}$  to obtain:

$$\bar{x}^{\mathsf{T}} A x = \bar{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda x \tag{1.3}$$

$$x^{\mathsf{T}} A \bar{x} = x^{\mathsf{T}} \bar{\lambda} \bar{x}. \tag{1.4}$$

Take the transpose of equation (1.4), we obtain:  $\bar{x}^{\dagger}Ax = \bar{x}^{\dagger}\bar{\lambda}x$ , where we made use of the fact that *A* is symmetric. Now subtracting this equation from (1.3), we obtain:

$$x^{\dagger}(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})\bar{x} = 0.$$

Since  $x^{\mathsf{T}}\bar{x}$  is the sum of products of complex conjugates, it is not zero unless each component of x is zero. Since this is not the case (x is an eigenvector), we must have  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$  and hence  $\lambda$  is real.

#### **Example 1.4.** Consider the unit matrix

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This has as eigenvalues 1 and 1, which are clearly not distinct. In general,  $I_m$  has m eigenvalues all of which are 1. Note that  $I_m$  has rank m. On the other hand, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank one and has two distinct eigenvalues 0,2 which are the roots of the equation:  $(1-\lambda)^2-1=0$ . Thus the rank of a matrix has nothing to do with the number of distinct eigenvalues.

Now that we know that a real symmetric matrix has only real eigenvalues, what can we say about the corresponding eigenvectors? It turns out that the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We show this using a sequence of lemmas.

**Lemma 1.2.** The eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are mutually orthogonal.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  be two distinct eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix A. Let  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  be eigenvectors corresponding to these eigenvalues. Then  $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$  and  $Ax_j = \lambda_j x_j$ . Pre-multiplying the first of these equations by  $x_i^{\mathsf{T}}$  and the second by  $x_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ , we obtain:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} A \boldsymbol{x}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} A \boldsymbol{x}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \tag{1.6}$$

Taking the transpose of the second of these equations, we obtain:

$$x_j^{\mathsf{T}} A x_i = x_j^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_j x_i. \tag{1.7}$$

Now the right-hand sides of equations (1.5) and (1.6) are identical. Hence  $x_j^{\mathsf{T}}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)x_i = 0$  and since  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ , it must be that the vectors  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are orthogonal.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue. The eigenvectors belonging to  $\lambda$  form a subspace  $\mathscr{E}_A(\lambda)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are two eigenvectors belonging to  $\lambda$ . Then

$$A(ax_1 + bx_2) = aAx_1 + bAx_2 = \lambda(ax_1 + bx_2).$$

Thus any linear combination of the eigenvectors is also an eigenvector of  $\lambda$ , showing that this set of vectors is indeed a subspace.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be a real symmetric matrix. Then the algebraic multiplicity of each eigenvalue of A equals its geometric multiplicity.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_i$  be an eigenvalue with algebraic multiplicity k. Suppose that  $\dim(\mathscr{E}_A(\lambda_i)) = r$  and let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathscr{E}_A(\lambda_i)$ . Extend this to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_m\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Define  $S = [v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m]$  to be the  $m \times m$  matrix whose columns are the basis vectors. Then S is an orthonormal matrix and  $S^{-1} = S^{\mathsf{T}}$ . Consider the matrix  $S^{-1}AS$ . This is similar to A and therefore has the same eigenvalues as A including the same multiplicities.

We may write  $S^{-1}AS$  as:

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} - \\ \vdots \\ -\boldsymbol{v}_{m}^{\mathsf{T}} - \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} & \boldsymbol{v}_{2} & \dots & \boldsymbol{v}_{m} \\ \mid & \mid & & \mid \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} - \\ \vdots \\ -\boldsymbol{v}_{m}^{\mathsf{T}} - \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i}\boldsymbol{v}_{1} & \dots & \lambda_{i}\boldsymbol{v}_{r} & A\boldsymbol{v}_{r+1} & \dots & A\boldsymbol{v}_{m} \\ \mid & & \mid & & \mid \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i}I_{r} & \boldsymbol{0}_{r,m-r} \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{m-r,r} & C^{\mathsf{T}}AC \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $C = [\boldsymbol{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_m]$ .