

DECIDABILITY OF TIMED COMMUNICATING AUTOMATA

by L. Clemente

Definitions:

A Communication Topology
is a directed graph $\{P, C\}$
Where P is finite set of
Processes, $C \subseteq P^2$

$p, q \in C$ represents a
channel from p to q

Definitions:

A System of Timed Communicating Automata is a tuple

$$S := \langle \gamma, M, (x^c)_{c \in C}, (A^p)_{p \in P} \rangle$$

Definitions:

A System of Timed Communicating Automata is a tuple

$$S := \langle \tau, M, (x^c)_{c \in C}, (A^p)_{p \in P} \rangle$$

Where $\tau \rightarrow$ Communications
Topology

Definitions:

A System of Timed Communicating Automata is a tuple

$$S := \langle \tau, M, (X^c)_{c \in C}, (A^P)_{P \in P} \rangle$$

Where $\tau \rightarrow$ Communications Topology

$M \rightarrow$ Finite set of messages

Definitions:

A System of Timed Communicating Automata is a tuple

$$S := \langle \tau, M, (x^c)_{c \in C}, (A^P)_{P \in P} \rangle$$

Where $\tau \rightarrow$ Communications Topology

$M \rightarrow$ Finite set of messages

$x^c \rightarrow$ Global clocks for each channel c

Definitions:

A System of Timed Communicating Automata is a tuple

$$S := \langle \gamma, M, (X^c)_{c \in C}, (A^P)_{P \in P} \rangle$$

Where

A^P is a Timed Automata

for each process P

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, O_P^P, A^P \rangle$$

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, O_P^P, \Delta^P \rangle$$

Where

L^P : Set of locations

$l_I^P, l_F^P \in L^P$ initial & final
locations

X^P : Local clocks

Δ^P : $l_1^P \xrightarrow{op} l_2^P$

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, O_P^P, A^P \rangle$$

- NOP local op. without any effects

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, O_P^P, A^P \rangle$$

- NOP local op. without any effects
- elapse global time elapse

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, Op^P, \Delta^P \rangle$$

- NOP local op. without any effects
- elapse global time elapse
- Test(φ) guards for X^P

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, Op^P, A^P \rangle$$

- nop local op. without any effects
- elapse global time elapse
- $\text{Test}(\varphi)$ guards for X^P
- $\text{reset}(x^P)$

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, Op^P, A^P \rangle$$

- nop local op. without any effects
- elapse global time elapse
- $\text{Test}(\varphi)$ guards for X^P
- $\text{reset}(x^P)$
- $\text{Send}(pq, m : \psi)$ sends m to q
 ψ over $X^P \cup X^{Pq}$ specifies
the initial values of X^{Pq}

Definitions:

$$A^P := \langle L^P, l_I^P, l_F^P, X^P, Op^P, A^P \rangle$$

- nop local op. without any effects
- elapse global time elapse
- $\text{Test}(\varphi)$ guards for X^P
- $\text{reset}(x^P)$
- $\text{Send}(q, m : \psi)$ sends m to q
 ψ over $X^P \cup X^{Pq}$ specifies
the initial values of X^{Pq}
- $\text{receive}(q, P, m : \psi)$ receives m from q
 ψ over $X^P \cup X^{qP}$ specifies the final value

Definitions:

Clocks : Classical

Integral

Fractional

Definitions:

Clocks: Classical

Integral

Fractional

Guards:

diag: $x_0 \leq k$ ineq. modular order
 $x_0 \equiv_m k$ $y_0 = 0$

non-diag: $x_0 - x_1 \leq k$ $x_0 - x_1 \equiv_m k$ $y_0 \leq y_1$

$m \in \mathbb{N}$ $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Definitions:

A channel $c \in C$ has inequality test if there exist atleast one op. Send $(c, m; \psi)$ or Receive $(c, m; \psi)$

s.t. ψ is inequality test

(over classical or integral clocks)

Definitions:

A channel valuation is a family

$\omega = (\omega^c)_{c \in C}$ of sequences

$\omega^c \in (M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{x^c})^*$ of pairs (m, u)

where m is the message and u is
the channel clock valuation

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

C: set of Config. of the form

$$\langle (e^p)_{p \in P}, \mu, (\omega^c)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

μ : a local clock valuation

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

C: set of Config. of the form

$$\langle (l^P)_{P \in P}, \mu, (\omega^c)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

μ : a local clock valuation

C^I : Initial Config

$$\langle (l_I^P)_{P \in P}, \bar{0}, (\epsilon)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[S] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

C: set of Config. of the form

$$\langle (l^P)_{p \in P}, \mu, (\omega^c)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

μ : a local clock valuation

c_I : Initial Config

$$\langle (l_I)^P, \bar{0}, (\epsilon)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

c_F : Final Config

$$\langle (l_F)^P, \bar{0}, (\epsilon)_{c \in C} \rangle$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

$$A = \bigcup_{p \in P} O_p^P \cup \emptyset_{\geq 0}$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

Set of actions:

$$\langle (e^P)_P, u, v \rangle \xrightarrow{\delta_i} \langle (t^P)_P, x, y \rangle$$

if for all process P , there
is a elapse transition

$$e^P \xrightarrow{\text{elapse}} t^P$$

$$x = u + \delta \quad y = v + \delta$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

Set of actions:

$$\langle (e^P)_P, u, u \rangle \xrightarrow{op \in O_P^q} \langle (t^P)_P, \delta, v \rangle$$

$$\text{for } q \neq r: \quad t^r = e^s$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

Set of actions:

$$\langle (e^P)_P, u, v \rangle \xrightarrow{op \in Op^q} \langle (e^P)_P, \vartheta, v \rangle$$

- nop : $\mu = \vartheta, u = v$
- $\text{test } (\varphi)$: $\mu \models \varphi, \vartheta = \mu, v = u$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[\![S]\!] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

Set of actions:

$$\langle (e^P)_P, u, v \rangle \xrightarrow{op \in Op^q} \langle (e^P)_P, d, w \rangle$$

- nop : $d = u, w = v$
- $\text{test } (\varphi)$: $u \models \varphi, d = u, w = u$
- $\text{Send } (qP, m; \psi)$: $d = u$

$$\exists u^{qP} \in \bigcup_{\geq 0} X^{qP} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u \cup u^{qP} \models \psi$$

$$v^{qP} = (m, u^{qP}) \cdot u^{qP}$$

$$v^c = u^c \quad \text{for } c \neq qP$$

Definitions:

Set of actions:

$$\langle (\ell^P)_P, \mu, u \rangle \xrightarrow{op \in Op^q} \langle (\ell^P)_P, \lambda, v \rangle$$

- **nop:** $\lambda = \gamma$, $u = v$
- **test(ψ):** $\mu \models \psi$, $\lambda = \mu$, $v = u$
- **Send($pq, m; \psi$):** there exist
 $\mu^{qp} \in \bigoplus_{\geq 0} X^{qp}$ s.t. $\mu \cup \mu^{qp} \models \psi$
 $v^{qp} = (m, \mu^{qp}) \cdot u^{qp}$
 $v^c = u^c$ for $c \neq pq$
- **Receive($pq, m; \psi$):** $\lambda = \mu$

$$u^{pq} = (m, \mu^{qp}) v^{pq}$$

$$u^c = v^c \quad c \neq pq$$

Definitions:

The semantics of TCA is

$$[S] = \langle C, c_I, c_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

S is non-empty, if

there exists an execution

in the semantics with

pre defined initial and

the final config.

Main Theorem:

Non-emptiness of TCA
is decidable



The communication topology
is a poly forest s.t. in
each poly tree, there is
at most one channel with
ineq. test

Only if direction:

- If the topology is not poly forest, non-emptiness is undecidable in untimed settings [Br'83, Pa'82]

Only if direction:

- If the topology is not poly forest, non-emptiness is undecidable in untimed settings [B-R '83, Pac '82]
- Poly forest but more than one channel inequ. test in a tree
⇒ Non-emptiness undecidable

[C-H-S '13]

If direction:

A TCA S is Simple TCA
if it contains only integral
& fractional clocks.

If direction:

A TCA S is Simple TCA

if it contains only integral
& fractional clocks.

Send Constraints: $x^c = 0$

Receive Constraints:

$$\text{int. clocks} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^c \sim k \\ x^e \equiv_M k \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{frac clocks} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^{pq} = y^q \end{array} \right.$$

If direction:

Lemma

Non-emptiness for TCA

reduces to Non-emptiness

for Simple TCA

More definitions



Desynchronised Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[\![S]\!]^{\text{de}} = \langle C^{\text{de}}, C_I, C_F^{\text{de}}, A, \rightarrow^{\text{de}} \rangle$$

More definitions



Desynchronised Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{de} = \langle C^{de}, C_I, C_F^{de}, A, \rightarrow^{de} \rangle$$

($\forall P, \exists x_0^P \in X^P$, s.t. x_0^P is never reset, never appears in any constraints)

More definitions



Desynchronised Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{de} = \langle C^{de}, C_I, C_F^{de}, A, \rightarrow^{de} \rangle$$

$$C^{de} : \left\{ L(\ell^P)_{\Phi \in P}, \mu, \nu \right\} \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall P \in C \\ \mu(x_0^P) \leq \mu(x_0^Q) \end{array}$$

More definitions



Desynchronised Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{de} = \langle C^{de}, C_I, C_F^{de}, A, \rightarrow^{de} \rangle$$

$$C^{de} : \left\{ L(l^P)_{P \in P}, \mu, u \right\} \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall P \in C \\ u(x_0^P) \leq u(x_0^Q) \end{array}$$

$$C_F^{de} : \left\{ (l_F^P)_{P \in P}, \mu, (\varepsilon)_{c \in C} \right\}$$

$$\mu_1(x^P) = 0$$

$$\forall x^P \neq x_c^P$$

More definitions



Desynchronised Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{de} = \langle C^{de}, C_I, C_F^{de}, A, \rightarrow^{de} \rangle$$

$Op = \text{Send}(p_2, m : \psi)$

$\exists \mu^{p_2} \in \bigcirc_{\geq 0}^{x^{p_2}}$ s.t.

$\mu, \mu^{p_2} \models \psi \quad v^{p_2} = (m, \mu^{p_2} + \delta) \cup^{p_2}$

where $\delta := \mu(x_0^a) - \mu(x_0^b) \geq 0$

More definitions



$\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket S \rrbracket^{\text{de}}$ are equivalent in terms of non-emptiness problem

$\llbracket \text{C-H-S } '13 \rrbracket$

More definitions



Rendezvous Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{rv} = \langle C^{rv}, C_I, C_F, A^{rv}, \rightarrow^{rv} \rangle$$

$$C^{rv} : \left\{ L(\ell^P)_{\Phi \in P}, u, (\varepsilon)_c \right\}_{c \in C}$$

More definitions



Rendezvous Semantics

of TCA S is

$$[S]^{rv} = \langle C^{rv}, C_I, C_F, A^{rv}, \rightarrow^{rv} \rangle$$

Modifying Send & Receive op.

in to one rule :

$$\langle (t^P)_P, \mu, (\varepsilon)_c \rangle \xrightarrow{OP^P, OP^Q} \langle (t^P)_P, \mu, (\varepsilon)_c \rangle$$

OP^P : Send

OP^Q : Recieve

If direction:

Lemma:

Over Simple TCA S

$\llbracket S \rrbracket^{sv} \equiv \llbracket S \rrbracket^{de}$ in terms

of non-emptiness decidability

[H-L-M-S '12]

More definitions



let R be set of registers

taking values from

$$\mathbb{I} := \mathcal{G} \cap [0, 1)$$

More definitions



let R be set of registers

taking values from

$$I := \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$$

Cyclic order structure:

$$\mathcal{K} := (I, \kappa)$$

Where $\kappa \subseteq I^3$ is strict
ternary cyclic order on a, b, c

$$\kappa(a, b, c) \equiv a < b < c \vee b < c < a \vee c < a < b$$

More definitions



Register constraint on R

is set of first-order

formulas over \mathcal{X}

More definitions



Register Aut. with counters

$$R := \langle L, l_I, l_F, R, N, \Delta \rangle$$

More definitions



Register Aut. with counters

$$R := \langle L, l_I, l_F, R, N, \Delta \rangle$$

L : Set of locations

l_I, l_F : Initial & Final locations

N : Set of counters

More definitions



Register Aut. with counters

$$R := \langle L, l_I, l_F, R, N, \Delta \rangle$$

L : Set of locations

l_I, l_F : Initial & Final locations

N : Set of Counters

with $n++$ increment op.

$n--$ decrement op.

$n \sim k$ ineq. test

$n \equiv_m k$ modular test

More definitions



Register Aut. with counters

$$R := \langle L, l_I, l_F, R, N, \Delta \rangle$$

L : Set of locations

l_I, l_F : Initial & Final locations

N : Set of Counters

$\text{guess}(x)$: assigning non-det.
value to $x \in R$

$\text{test}(\varphi)$: test register constraint
 φ

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

More definitions



$$[\![\mathcal{R}]\!]:= \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

$$C := \{ \langle l, n, r \rangle \}$$

location Counter Register
valuation valuation valuation
 $\in \mathbb{N}^N$ $\in \mathbb{I}^R$

More definitions



$$[R] := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$$

$$C := \{ \langle l, n, r \rangle \}$$

$$C_I = \langle l_I, \bar{o}, \bar{o} \rangle$$

$$C_F = \langle l_F, \bar{o}, \bar{o} \rangle$$

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

We write $\langle l, n, r \rangle \xrightarrow{op} \langle t, m, s \rangle$

if there is a rule $l \xrightarrow{op} m$ s.t.

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

We write $\langle l, n, r \rangle \xrightarrow{OP} \langle t, m, s \rangle$

if there is a rule $l \xrightarrow{OP} m$ s.t.

- $nOP : m = n, r = s$

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

We write $\langle l, n, r \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} \langle t, m, s \rangle$

if there is a rule $l \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} m$ s.t.

- nop : $m = n, r = 1$
- $x++$: $m = n[n(x) + 1], r = 1$
- $x--$: $m = n[n(x) - 1], r = 8$

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

We write $\langle l, n, r \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} \langle t, m, s \rangle$

if there is a rule $l \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} m$ s.t.

- nop : $m = n$, $r = 1$
- $x++$: $m = n[n(x) + 1]$, $r = 1$
- $x--$: $m = n[n(x) - 1]$, $r = 1$
- $\text{test}(x \sim k)$: $n(x) \sim k$, $m = n$, $r = 1$

More definitions



$\llbracket R \rrbracket := \langle C, C_I, C_F, A, \rightarrow \rangle$

We write $\langle l, n, r \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} \langle t, m, s \rangle$

if there is a rule $l \xrightarrow{\text{OP}} m$ s.t.

- nop : $m = n$, $r = s$
- $x++$: $m = n[n(x) + 1]$, $r = s$
- $x--$: $m = n[n(x) - 1]$, $r = s$
- $\text{test}(x \sim k)$: $n(x) \sim k$, $m = n$, $r = s$
- $\text{guess}(r)$: $m = n$, $\exists x \in \mathbb{I}$
s.t. $r[r \rightarrow x]$
- $\text{test}(\varphi)$: $r \models \varphi$, $r = s$, $m = n$

If direction:

Theorem:

Non-emptiness is decidable
for RAC with ≤ 1 Counter
with inequality tests

If direction:

We can simulate a

Polytree Simple TCA S

by RAC R st.

$$[R] \equiv [S]^{\text{r.v}} \quad \text{in terms}$$

of decidability of

non-emptiness problem

THANK
You

