

1st February, 2022

Definition A Lie algebra L is said to be Abelian if $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Example

$S(n, F)$ = the set of all $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with entries in F is abelian.

Derivations of an algebra

Let A be an F -algebra, need not be associative

and need not be finite dimensional.

For example, it may be a Lie algebra.

Definition By a derivation of A , we mean an \mathbb{F} -linear map $s: A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$s(ab) = a \cdot s(b) + (s(a)) \cdot b$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

For example, if $A = L$, a Lie algebra, then a derivation of L is an \mathbb{F} -linear map

$$s: L \rightarrow L \text{ such that}$$

$\delta([x,y]) = [x,\delta y] + [\delta x,y]$
for all $x, y \in L$.

For an F -algebra A , let
 $\text{Der } A$ denote the set
of all derivations of A .

Lemma $\text{Der } A$ is a
Lie subalgebra
of $\text{gl}(A)$.

Proof — Clearly, $\text{Der}(A)$
is a vector subspace of $\text{gl}(A)$.

To prove that $\text{Der } A$ is a
Lie subalgebra of

$\delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1 \in \text{Der}(A)$, it suffices to prove that

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] = \delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1 \in \text{Der}(A)$$

for all $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}(A)$.

So, let $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}(A)$, and let $a, b \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_1, \delta_2](ab) &= (\delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1)(ab) \\ &= \delta_1(a \delta_2(b) + \delta_2(a) \cdot b) \\ &\quad - \delta_2(a \delta_1(b) + \delta_1(a) \cdot b) \\ &= a \delta_1 \delta_2(b) + \underline{\delta_1(a) \delta_2(b)} + \underline{\delta_2(a) \delta_1(b)} \\ &\quad + \delta_1 \delta_2(a) \cdot b - a \delta_2 \delta_1(b) - \underline{\delta_2(a) \delta_1(b)} \\ &\quad - \underline{\delta_1(a) \cdot \delta_2(b)} - \delta_2 \delta_1(a) \cdot b \\ &= a (\delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1)(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (\delta_1 \delta_2 - \delta_2 \delta_1)(a) \cdot b$$

$$= a [\delta_1, \delta_2](b) + [\delta_1, \delta_2](a) \cdot b$$

$\therefore [\delta_1, \delta_2] \in \text{Der}(A).$

Let L be a Lie algebra.

Now, we give an example of a derivation of L .

Let $x \in L$.

Consider the map

$\text{ad}_x : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $\text{ad}_L(x)(y) = [x, y]$, $y \in L$.

Since $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear

$\text{ad}_L x$ is linear.

$\therefore \text{ad}_L(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$.

Lemme $\text{ad}_L(\alpha) \in \text{Der}(L)$.

That is $\text{ad}_L(\alpha)$ is a derivation of L .

Proof — Let $y, z \in L$.

Now, $\text{ad}_L(\alpha)([y, z]) = [x, [y, z]]$
 $= -[y, [z, x]] - [z, [\alpha, y]]$,
by Jacobi identity.

Further $-[y, [z, x]] = [[y, x], z]$

since $[z, x] = -[x, z]$.

Further, we have

$-[[z, x], y] = [[x, y], z]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \text{ad}_L(x)([y, z]) &= [y, [x, z]] + [[x, y], z] \\ &= [y, \text{ad}_L(x)(z)] + [\text{ad}_L(x)y, z]\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \text{ad}_L x$ is a derivation
of L .

Actually, it is important
to write $\text{ad}_L x$, $\text{ad}_K x$
in general to
avoid ambiguity.

However, we will simply
denote $\text{ad}_L x$ by $\text{ad} x$
when the context
is clear.

Ideal of L

Definition Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} .

A vector subspace I of L is said to be an ideal of L if

$[x, y] \in I$ for all $x \in L$
and for all $y \in I$.

Since $[x, y] = -[y, x]$,
 I is an ideal of L iff
 $[y, x] \in I$ for all $x \in L$
and $y \in I$.

Clearly 0 and L are

ideals of L .

Center of L

Let L be a Lie algebra.

Let $Z(L) := \{z \in L : [x, z] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$

Since $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear,
 $Z(L)$ is a vector subspace
of L .

Clearly, $[x, z] = 0 \in Z(L)$
for all $x \in L$, and $z \in Z(L)$.
 $\therefore Z(L)$ is an ideal of L .

Note that $Z(L) = L$ iff
 L is abelian.

For $L = \mathcal{O}(n, F)$,
 $Z(L) =$ the set of all
 $n \times n$ scalar matrices
with entries in F .

Another example of an
ideal of L :

Let $D'L := [L, L]$.
Recall that $[L, L]$ is
the vector subspace of
 L generated by vectors
of the form $[x, y], x, y \in L$.

That is, $[L, L] := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i, y_i] \mid x_i, y_i \in L, 1 \leq i \leq n, x_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

By definition, $[L, L]$ is a vector subspace of L .

We now prove that $[L, L]$ is an ideal of L .

To do this, it suffices to prove that $[x, [y, z]] \in [L, L]$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

(This is because, an element of $[L, L]$ is a sum of elements of the form $[y, z]$, $y, z \in L$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear.)

So, let $x, y, z \in L$.

$$[x, [y, z]] = [y, [x, z]] + [[x, y], z]$$

Since $\text{ad}_L(x)$ is a derivation of L .

Clearly, both $[y, [x, z]]$ and $[[x, y], z]$ belongs to $[L, L]$.

\therefore By ①, $[x, [y, z]] \in [L, L]$

Note that $[L, L] = 0$
iff L is abelian.

Another example of an ideal: $\text{sl}(n, F)$ is an

ideal of $\text{gl}(n, F)$.

This is because,

$\text{Tr}([\alpha, \gamma]) = 0$ for all
 $\alpha, \gamma \in \text{gl}(n, F)$.

In particular, $\text{Tr}([\alpha, \gamma]) = 0$ $\forall \alpha \in \text{gl}(n, F)$ and $\gamma \in \text{sl}(n, F)$

Exercise

Assume that $\text{char } F = 0$.

Prove the following.

- (i) $[\text{gl}(n, F), \text{gl}(n, F)] = \text{sl}(n, F)$.
- (ii) $[\text{sl}(n, F), \text{sl}(n, F)] = \text{sl}(n, F)$.

You can use (*) for
computational purpose.

Now, let I and J be two ideals of L .

Then the sum $I+J$ defined by $I+J = \{x+y : x \in I, y \in J\}$ is an ideal of L .

Clearly $I+J$ is a vector subspace of L .

To prove that $I+J$ is an ideal of L , let $x \in I$, $y \in J$, $z \in J$

$$[x, y+z] = [x, y] + [x, z]$$

$$\frac{P}{I} \quad \frac{P}{J}$$

$$\therefore [x, y+z] \in I+J.$$

Further for any two ideal I and J of L
 $[I, J]$ is also an ideal of L .

Recall $[I, J] =$ the vector subspace of L generated by elements of the form $[x, y], x \in I, y \in J$.

So, let $x \in L, y \in I, z \in J$.

$$[x, [y, z]] = [y, [x, z]] + [b, [y, z]]$$

Since $\text{ad}_L x$ is a derivation of L .

Further, since J is an ideal of L , and $z \in J$, we have $\sum x_i z \in J$.

$$\therefore [y, [x, z]] \in [I, J]$$

Similarly, we have

$$[x, y, z] \in [I, J], \text{ since } y \in I.$$

Since $[I, J]$ is the vector subspace of L generated by elements of the form $[x_i y]$ with $x_i \in I$, $y \in J$, and $ad_L(x)$ is linear for any $x \in L$, we have $ad_L(x)(w) \in [I, J] \forall w \in [I, J]$. $\therefore [I, J]$ is an ideal of L .

Simple Lie algebras

A Lie algebra L is said to be simple if $[L, L] \neq 0$ (that is, L is not abelian) and 0 and L are the only ideals of L .

For example, one dimensional Lie algebras are not simple.

Note that if L is simple then we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(L) = 0 \text{ and } [L, L] = L.$$