

```

% Problem (OCP)_2 from Pset 6 - Hermite-Simpson Rule

clear all; clf; clc; format long;

% Parameters
global N; N = 6; % Choose here the number of discretization points
global mu; mu = 3.9915e14;
global rE; rE = 6378145;
global h0; h0 = 7500;
global D; D = 5e-3;
global b; b = 1e-3;
global uMax; uMax = 1.2e5;

% Scenario
global T; T = 258.;
global y0; y0 = 0.;
global v0; v0 = 0.;
global m0; m0 = 12000;
global mf; mf = 1000;

% Bound on the state: better conditioning the formulation (see below)
global yMax; yMax = 5e6;
global vMax; vMax = 2000;

% Since this optimal control problem is highly nonlinear, without
% an appropriate initialization direct methods unlikely converge.
% In the following lines, we provide such initialization by recalling the
% solution that we obtained for the simplified Goddard problem in the Pset 5.
% For the height, we just select a straight-line in time connecting y0 to
% 1.5e5 (which is more or less the final height that we found in Pset 5).
% For the velocity, we select the average v(t) = vMax/2 in [0,tf].
% For the mass, we select a straight-line in time between 0 and tSw, the
% switching time computed in Pset 5 (see below).
% Finally, for the control, we select the maximal value u(t) = uMax in [0,tf].

% Finding what index NSw the time tSw corresponds to
global tSw; tSw = (m0 - mf)/(b*uMax);
h = (1.0*T/(1.0*N));
NSw = 0; indexFound = 0; iterator = 0;
while indexFound == 0
    % If iterator*h <= tSw < iteartor*h + h, then we have found the index
    if iterator*h <= tSw && tSw < (iterator + 1)*h
        NSw = iterator + 1;
        indexFound = 1;
    end
    iterator = iterator + 1;
end
uInit = zeros(N+1,1);
yInit = zeros(N+1,1);
vInit = 0.5*vMax*ones(N+1,1);
mInit = mf*ones(N+1,1);
% Initialization exxplained above
for i=1:N+1
    yInit(i) = y0*(1. - (i-1)*1.0/N) + 1.5e5*(i-1)*1.0/N;
    if (i-1) <= NSw
        mInit(i) = m0*(1. - (i-1)*1.0/NSw) + mf*(i-1)*1.0/NSw;
    end
    if i<= N
        if (i-1)*1.0*T/N < tSw
            uInit(i) = uMax;
        end
    end
end
% Initialization for fmincon
varInit = [yInit; vInit; mInit; uInit];

% Lower and upper bounds.

```

```

lb = zeros(4*N+4,1); ub = uMax*ones(4*N+4,1); % For the control: 0 \le u \le uMax
ub(1:N+1) = yMax; % For the state y : 0 \le y \le yMax
ub(N+2:2*N+2) = vMax; % For the state v : 0 \le v \le vMax
lb(2*N+3:3*N+3) = mf; ub(2*N+3:3*N+3) = m0; % For the state m : mf \le v \le m0

% Solving the probleme via fmincon
options=optimoptions('fmincon','Display','iter','Algorithm','sqp','MaxFunEvals',10000,'MaxIter',10000);
% options=optimoptions('fmincon','Display','iter','Algorithm','sqp','MaxFunctionEvaluations',10000,'MaxIterations',10000);
[var,Fval,convergence] = fmincon(@cost,varInit,[],[],[],[],lb,ub,@constraint,options); % Solving the problem
convergence % = 1, good

% Collecting the solution. Note that var = [y;v;m;u]
y = var(1:N+1); v = var(N+2:2*N+2); m = var(2*N+3:3*N+3); u = var(3*N+4:4*N+4); % Collecting the solution
tState = zeros(N+1,1);
for i = 1:N
    tState(i+1) = tState(i) + (1.0*T/(1.0*N));
end
t = zeros(N+1,1);
for i = 1:N
    t(i+1) = t(i) + (1.0*T/(1.0*N));
end

% Plotting
% subplot(221); plot(tState,y,'linewidth',3);
% title('\textbf{a} Height','interpreter','latex','FontSize',22,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlabel('\boldmath{\$t\$} \ \ \textbf{(s)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% ylabel('\boldmath{\$h\$} \ \ \textbf{(m)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlim([-inf inf]);
% ylim([-inf inf]);
% grid on;
% subplot(222); plot(tState,v,'linewidth',3) ;
% title('\textbf{b} Velocity','interpreter','latex','FontSize',22,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlabel('\boldmath{\$t\$} \ \ \textbf{(s)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% ylabel('\boldmath{\$v\$} \ \ \textbf{(m/s)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlim([-inf inf]);
% ylim([-inf inf]);
% grid on;
% subplot(223); plot(tState,m,'linewidth',3) ;
% title('\textbf{c} Mass','interpreter','latex','FontSize',22,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlabel('\boldmath{\$t\$} \ \ \textbf{(s)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% ylabel('\boldmath{\$m\$} \ \ \textbf{(kg)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlim([-inf inf]);
% ylim([-inf inf]);
% grid on;
% subplot(224); plot(t,u,'linewidth',3);
% title('\textbf{d} Optimal Control','interpreter','latex','FontSize',22,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlabel('\boldmath{\$t\$} \ \ \textbf{(s)}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% ylabel('\boldmath{\$u\$}','interpreter','latex','FontSize',20,'FontWeight','bold');
% xlim([-inf inf]);
% ylim([-inf inf]);
% grid on;

```

Iter		F-count		f(x)	Feasibility	Steplength	Norm of First-order	
							step	optimality
0	29	-1.500000e+05	2.195e+04					1.000e+00
1	58	-1.300265e+05	1.408e+02	1.000e+00	6.641e+04	1.483e+06		
2	87	-1.302402e+05	5.410e-01	1.000e+00	1.256e+03	1.517e+04		
3	116	-1.302413e+05	1.637e-05	1.000e+00	3.926e+00	2.542e+01		
4	145	-1.302419e+05	3.305e-07	1.000e+00	8.138e-01	4.686e+00		
5	174	-1.302449e+05	6.053e-06	1.000e+00	4.069e+00	4.686e+00		
6	203	-1.302600e+05	1.458e-04	1.000e+00	2.034e+01	4.686e+00		
7	232	-1.303357e+05	3.643e-03	1.000e+00	1.017e+02	4.686e+00		
8	261	-1.305159e+05	2.088e-02	1.000e+00	2.422e+02	4.685e+00		
9	290	-1.305188e+05	1.050e-05	1.000e+00	4.154e+00	4.685e+00		
10	319	-1.305325e+05	2.386e-04	1.000e+00	2.021e+01	4.685e+00		
11	348	-1.306013e+05	6.002e-03	1.000e+00	1.011e+02	4.685e+00		
12	377	-1.309454e+05	1.512e-01	1.000e+00	5.056e+02	4.685e+00		
13	406	-1.310556e+05	1.555e-02	1.000e+00	1.618e+02	4.685e+00		

14	435	-1.310562e+05	3.920e-07	1.000e+00	1.055e+00	4.685e+00
15	464	-1.310589e+05	1.172e-05	1.000e+00	4.982e+00	4.686e+00
16	493	-1.310720e+05	2.956e-04	1.000e+00	2.491e+01	4.686e+00
17	522	-1.311378e+05	7.465e-03	1.000e+00	1.246e+02	4.691e+00
18	551	-1.314671e+05	1.878e-01	1.000e+00	6.232e+02	4.714e+00
19	580	-1.324321e+05	1.631e+00	1.000e+00	1.825e+03	4.781e+00
20	609	-1.324546e+05	6.150e-04	1.000e+00	4.081e+01	4.782e+00
21	638	-1.325160e+05	7.243e-03	1.000e+00	1.159e+02	4.787e+00
22	667	-1.328238e+05	1.825e-01	1.000e+00	5.813e+02	4.808e+00
23	696	-1.338126e+05	1.903e+00	1.000e+00	1.866e+03	4.879e+00
24	725	-1.338182e+05	7.383e-08	1.000e+00	9.419e+00	4.865e+00
25	754	-1.338186e+05	1.038e-07	1.000e+00	8.835e-01	4.865e+00
26	783	-1.338207e+05	2.724e-06	1.000e+00	4.418e+00	4.867e+00
27	812	-1.338308e+05	6.745e-05	1.000e+00	2.209e+01	4.876e+00
28	841	-1.338816e+05	1.686e-03	1.000e+00	1.105e+02	4.921e+00
29	870	-1.341357e+05	4.229e-02	1.000e+00	5.527e+02	5.148e+00
30	899	-1.354107e+05	1.075e+00	1.000e+00	2.771e+03	6.304e+00

Iter	F-count	f(x)	Feasibility	Steplength	Norm of First-order step	optimality
31	928	-1.413389e+05	2.438e+01	1.000e+00	1.283e+04	1.211e+01
32	957	-1.420058e+05	1.624e-01	1.000e+00	1.316e+03	1.260e+01
33	986	-1.420188e+05	4.979e-05	1.000e+00	3.210e+01	1.261e+01
34	1015	-1.420192e+05	2.789e-07	1.000e+00	8.408e-01	1.261e+01
35	1044	-1.420213e+05	7.602e-06	1.000e+00	4.199e+00	1.261e+01
36	1073	-1.420319e+05	1.884e-04	1.000e+00	2.100e+01	1.263e+01
37	1102	-1.420849e+05	4.593e-03	1.000e+00	1.050e+02	1.271e+01
38	1131	-1.423506e+05	1.157e-01	1.000e+00	5.262e+02	1.313e+01
39	1160	-1.432112e+05	1.219e+00	1.000e+00	1.701e+03	5.343e+00
40	1189	-1.432192e+05	1.178e-07	1.000e+00	1.221e+01	5.042e+00
41	1218	-1.432192e+05	1.819e-11	1.000e+00	8.017e-07	5.684e-14

Local minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in feasible directions, to within the default value of the function tolerance, and constraints are satisfied to within the default value of the constraint tolerance.

convergence =

1