Multiparticle Representations of The Poincare Group

Rasim Yılmaz

November 27, 2024

Introduction

- Representations of Poincare group are important in the construction of S-matrix
- S-matrix is the overlap between quantum states representing free particles in the asymptotic times
- In-out states of S-matrix approach products of one particle representations of Poincare group
- Should we extend the definition of multiparticle representations beyond tensor products?

One-Particle Representations

- Method of induced representations (Wigner) to classify one-particle states by mass and little group
- Little group is subgroup of Lorentz transformations that leaves a particular reference momentum invariant
- For massive particles, choose the rest frame and the little group becomes SU(2) double cover of SO(3) rotations
- For massless particles no rest frame, choose reference momentum in z-direction and the little group is U(1)

• Hilbert space for single particle spanned by momentum eigenstates $|p; \sigma>$ which satisfy

$$P^{\mu}|p;\sigma>=p^{\mu}|p;\sigma>$$
 (1)

- To define precise meaning of extra quantum numbers σ , choose a common reference momentum k for every particle
- For massive particles k=(m,0,0,0), little group is SU(2)
 For massless particles k=(E,0,0,E), little group is U(1)
- Label σ fix transformation of $|k, \sigma|$ under little group

• For massive particles, σ stands for both total spin s and z-component of spin s_z and for all $W \in SU(2)$

$$U(W)|k;s,s_z\rangle = D_{s_z's_z}^s(W)|k;s,s_z'\rangle$$
 (2)

- For massless particles σ stands for helicity h and little group transformation is just phase $e^{ih\phi}$, ϕ is U(1) rotation angle
- Define a Lorentz transform by $p = L_p k$. Then the corresponding quantum state becomes

$$|p;\sigma>=U(L_p)|k;\sigma>$$
 (3)

Its Lorentz transformation

$$U(\Lambda)|\rho;\sigma>=U(L_{\Lambda_{\rho}})U(W)|k;\sigma>=D_{\sigma'\sigma}(W)|\Lambda\rho;\sigma'> \qquad (4)$$



Multiparticle Representation

- In standard construction of S-matrix, multiparticle scattering states assumed to be tensor products of one particle states
- For two-particle states one needs to specify two masses and two spins
- 1972: Zwanziger pointed out that for scattering of electric and magnetic charges, these quantum numbers not sufficient
- Additional quantum number needed to characterize the relative transform of two-particle state w.r.t. tensor product state

- Extend the definition of asymptotic multi-particle states of the S-matrix, beyond the tensor products of one-particle states.
- Identify new quantum numbers called pairwise helicities
- The states will reduce to
 - Tensor product states for vanishing pairwise helicity
 - Zwanziger's scalar dyon states for vanishing spin
- Key insight: For multiparticle states, in addition to little groups of each particle, one also needs pairwise litte groups

Define generalized 2-particle state as

$$|p_1, p_2, (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2); \sigma \rangle = |p_1; \sigma_1 \rangle \otimes |p_2; \sigma_2 \rangle \otimes |(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2); q_{12} \rangle$$
 (5)

- Similar to single particle case, define the reference momenta.
- For single particle momenta p_1 and p_2 , we can choose k_1 , k_2 defined as in the single particle case.
- To define reference momenta $(\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2)$ corresponding to the pair $(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2)$, go to the pair's COM frame with the two particles are both moving along the z-axis.

$$\tilde{k}_1 = (\tilde{E}_1, 0, 0, \tilde{p}_c) \quad \tilde{k}_2 = (\tilde{E}_2, 0, 0, \tilde{p}_c)$$
 (6)



Corresponding Lorentz transformations

$$p_1 = L_{p_1}^1 k_1, \quad p_2 = L_{p_2}^2 k_2, \quad (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p_2}) = (\tilde{L}_{\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2}^{12} \tilde{k}_1, \tilde{L}_{\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2}^{12} \tilde{k}_2) \quad (7)$$

Generic state defined by

$$|p_{1}, p_{2}, (\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2}); \sigma > = (U(L_{p_{1}}^{1}) | k_{1}; \sigma_{1} >) \otimes$$

$$(U(L_{p_{2}}^{2}) | k_{2}; \sigma_{2} >) \otimes (U(\tilde{L}_{\tilde{p}_{1}}^{12}) | (\tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}); q_{12} >)$$
(8)

• Now find representations of Lorentz transformations $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_{12}) \in P_1 \times P_2 \times \tilde{P}_{12}$ on this state

The transformation of the generic state defined by

$$U(\Lambda)|p_{1}, p_{2}, (\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2}); \sigma > =$$

$$(D_{\sigma'_{1}\sigma_{1}}(W_{1}) |\Lambda_{1}p_{1}; \sigma'_{1} >) \otimes (D_{\sigma'_{2}\sigma_{2}}(W_{2}) |\Lambda_{2}p_{2}; \sigma'_{2} >) \otimes \qquad (9)$$

$$(U(\tilde{L}^{12}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{12}\tilde{D}_{1}, \tilde{\Lambda}_{12}\tilde{p}_{2}}) U(\tilde{W}_{12}) |(\tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}); q_{12} >)$$

• Pairwise little group is U(1) rotation irrespective of whether the particles are massive or massless

• Defining rotation angle by $R_z(ilde{\phi}_{12}) = ilde{W}_{12}$

$$U(\Lambda)|p_{1},p_{2},(\tilde{p}_{1},\tilde{p}_{2});\sigma> = e^{iq_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{12}}$$

$$(10)$$

$$(D_{\sigma'_{1}\sigma_{1}}(W_{1})D_{\sigma'_{2}\sigma_{2}}(W_{2}) |\Lambda_{1}p_{1},\Lambda_{2}p_{2},(\tilde{\Lambda}_{12}\tilde{p}_{1},\tilde{\Lambda}_{12}\tilde{p}_{2});\sigma>)$$

• Projecting onto physical states by $p_1 = \tilde{p}_1$, $p_2 = \tilde{p}_2$, transformation of physical 2-particle state takes the form

$$\begin{split} U(\Lambda)|p_{1},p_{2},;\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2},q_{12}> &=\\ e^{iq_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{12}}D_{\sigma'_{1}\sigma_{1}}(W_{1})D_{\sigma'_{2}\sigma_{2}}(W_{2}) |\Lambda_{1}p_{1},\Lambda_{2}p_{2},;\sigma'_{1},\sigma'_{2},q_{12}>) \end{split} \tag{11}$$

- This 2-particle rep. reduces to tensor product states for $q_{12}=0$ and for $q_{12}=1$, $j_1=j_2=0$ to Zwanziger's 2-scalar dyon states
- Generalization to n particles is straightforward and the general state can be written as

$$|p_1, \cdots, p_n; (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2), \cdots, (\tilde{p}_{n-1}, \tilde{p}_n); \sigma >$$
 (12)

Projecting onto the physical states

$$U(\Lambda) |p_{1}, \dots, p_{n}; \sigma_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{n}; q_{12}, \dots, q_{n-1,n} >$$

$$\prod_{i>j} e^{iq_{ij}\phi_{ij}} \prod_{i} D_{\sigma'_{i}\sigma_{i}}(W_{i}) |\Lambda p_{1}, \dots, \Lambda p_{n}; \sigma'_{1}, \dots, \sigma'_{n}; q_{12}, \dots, q_{n-1,n} >$$

$$(13)$$

Pairwise Helicity From Topology

- The additional phase is a direct consequence of topology.
- The wavefunctions that describe dyons are not functions, but sections of a line bundle that may be topologically non-trivial.
- Consider dynamics of n particles on the config. space

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{x}_j \text{ for } i \neq j \right\}$$
 (14)

• Classification of U(1) principal bundles over \mathcal{M}_n are given by

$$H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n},\mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2}\tag{15}$$

• $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ integers specify the bundles and these integers are associated with particle pairs



 To understand the physical meaning of these integers, consider a classical action on the target space

$$U(1) \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}_n \tag{16}$$

• The base space \mathcal{M}_n admits SO(3) symmetry, generated by

$$\xi^{\alpha} = -i \sum_{i} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\gamma}}$$
(17)

 The lift of the SU(2) action to the full bundle is unique and is generated by

$$\tilde{\xi}^{\alpha} = \xi_{H}^{\alpha} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F\left(\xi^{\beta}, \xi^{\gamma}\right) \eta \tag{18}$$

 When we quantize the theory, the second term will result in the additional phase

Summary

- Usual description of multi-particle Fock spaces as the tensor product of single particle Hilbert spaces needs refinement
- This refinement comes in the form of pairwise helicity
- This pairwise helicity can be derived by extending Wigner's result using the little group of particle pairs
- A clean derivation comes from topology by noting wavefunctions in config. space are sections of line bundles
- Construct the principal bundle over \mathcal{M}_n , lift the symmetries of base space to the full bundle and quantize the theory

Future Directions

- Classifying higher rank bundles over \mathcal{M}_n , corresponding to particles carrying their own internal degrees of freedom
- Even with such a bundle in hand, there may be many lifts of the symmetries of \mathcal{M}_n
- Resolving these can help to derive a full classification of multi-particle Hilbert spaces
- The gravity analog of this situation
- Better understanding of the crossing symmetry violation in electric-magnetic processes

REFERENCES

- 1 Completing the Multi-particle Representations of the Poincare Group (Csaba Csaki et al.)
- Scattering amplitudes for monopoles: pairwise little group and pairwise helicity (Csaba Csaki et al.)
- 3 Angular Distributions and a Selection Rule in Charge-Pole Reactions (Daniel Zwanziger)
- 4 On the Hilbert Space of Dyons (R. Mouland and D. Tong)