

# 1 Abstract

- We will evaluate the same definite integral
- We use importance sampling to improve its efficiency
- We use inverse transform for exact sampling

# 2 Problem

**Example 1** *Our goal is to compute, using OMC by exact sampling*

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 h(x)dx$$

where

$$h(x) = 100 \cdot I_{(0,1/100]}(x) + 1 \cdot I_{(1/100,1)}(x).$$

Of course, the exact value shall be

$$\alpha = 1.98.$$

Pretended not to know the exact value, we have used OMC with exact sampling of uniform random variable as follows:

---

**Algorithm 1** Integral by MC - Example 1

---

1: <b>procedure</b> MCINTEGRAL( $N$ )	▷ $N$ is total number of samples
2: $s \leftarrow 0$	▷ $s$ is the sum of samples
3: <b>for</b> $i = 1 \dots N$ <b>do</b>	
4:         generate two numbers $Y$ from $U(0, 1)$	▷ use <i>numpy.random.uniform</i>
5: $s \leftarrow s + h(Y)$	
6: <b>return</b> $\frac{s}{N}$	▷ return the average

---

Next, we are going to improve the efficiency by using importance sampling. We also extend our skill on exact sampling by using inverse transform.

# 3 Analysis

## 3.1 Importance sampling

Recall that, to estimate the above integral  $\alpha$ , we use the uniform random variable  $X$ , whose density is  $p(x) = I_{(0,1)}(x)$ , and write

$$\alpha = \mathbb{E}[h(X)|X \sim p] = \int_0^1 h(x)p(x)dx.$$

Naturally, one can sample iid uniform random numbers by computer, denoted by

$$\{X_i \sim p : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

then taking their average for its approximation of  $\alpha$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i).$$

**Example 2** Compute MSE of  $\hat{\alpha}_n$ . Verify it with your code.

**Solution.** Since it is unbiased, MSE is the same as Variance of  $\hat{\alpha}_n$ , and it is again equal to  $1/n$  of

$$\text{Var}[h(X)|X \sim p].$$

Therefore, it is  $\frac{100.99}{n}$ . □

IS considers, with a smart choice of a pdf  $p_1$  (to be determined),

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 h(x) \frac{p(x)}{p_1(x)} p_1(x) dx = \mathbb{E} \left[ h(X) \frac{p(X)}{p_1(X)} \middle| X \sim p_1(x) \right]$$

Since we observe that the interval  $(0, 1/100)$  is much more *important* than  $(1/100, 1)$ , our choice of  $p_1$  is the following:

$$p_1(x) = \frac{1}{C} (2 \cdot I_{(0, 1/100]}(x) + 1 \cdot I_{(1/100, 1)}(x)),$$

where  $C = 101/100$  is the normalizing constant to make  $p_1$  to be a valid pdf.

---

**Algorithm 2** Integral by importance sampling - Example 1

---

1: <b>procedure</b> ISINTEGRAL( $N$ )	▷ $N$ is total number of samples
2: $s \leftarrow 0$	▷ $s$ is the sum of samples
3: <b>for</b> $i = 1 \dots N$ <b>do</b>	
4:         generate a number $Y \sim p_1$ by inverse transform method	▷ ITM to be explained
5: $s \leftarrow s + h(Y) \cdot \frac{p(Y)}{p_1(Y)}$	
6: <b>return</b> $\frac{s}{N}$	▷ return the average

---

**Example 3** Prove that MSE of  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is  $51.4999/n$ . Verify it with your code.

## 3.2 Inverse transform method

To implement the IS, we shall generate  $p_1$  samples. But this is not directly available by python. Inverse transform method provides exact sampling as long as the inverse of CDF is explicitly available. Its theoretic basis is given next.

---

**Algorithm 3** ITM sample generation for  $X \sim F$  given  $F^{-1}$

---

1: <b>procedure</b> ISINTEGRAL( $F^{-1}$ )	▷ $F^{-1}$ is the inverse of CDF
2:     generate a number $Y$ from $U(0, 1)$	▷ use <code>numpy.random.uniform</code>
3: $X = F^{-1}(Y)$	
4: <b>return</b> $X$	

---

**Proposition 1** Suppose  $X$  has its CDF  $F$  and its inverse  $F^{-1}$  exists, then  $F^{-1}(U) \sim X$ , where  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ .

PROOF:

$$\mathbb{P}(F^{-1}(U) \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(U \leq F(x)) = F(x).$$

□