Viscosity solution of parabolic equation

Question:

Consider the parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} v - cv + f = 0, & \text{on } [0, T) \times \mathbb{T} \\ v(T, x) = 0, & \text{on } x \in \mathbb{T} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Prove that if $c, f \in C([0,T) \times \mathbb{T})$, there exists a viscosity solution $v \in C([0,T) \times \mathbb{T})$. Moreover, the v(t,x) has a probability representation of

$$v(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T} \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{s} c(r, X^{t,x}(r)) dr\right\} f(s, X^{t,x}(s)) ds\right]$$
 (2)

where

$$X^{t,x}(s) = x + (s-t) + W(s) - W(t)$$
(3)

for some Brownian motion W.

Solution:

Firstly, we show that the value function (2) is a viscosity supersolution of the equation

$$-\partial_t v - (\partial_x v + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}v - cv + f) = 0$$

on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{T}$. As $c, f \in C([0,T) \times \mathbb{T})$, we have $|u|_0 \leq e^{|c|_0 T} |f|_0 T$, then v is bounded on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{T}$. Let $(\bar{t},\bar{x}) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{T}$ and let $\varphi \in C^2([0,T) \times \mathbb{T})$ be a test function such that

$$0 = (v_* - \varphi)(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) = \min_{(t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{T}} (v_* - \varphi)(t,x), \tag{4}$$

where $v_*(t, x)$ is the lower-semicontinuous envelope of v(t, x). By the definition of $v_*(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$, there exists a sequence $\{(t_m, x_m)\}$ in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$(t_m, x_m) \to (\bar{t}, \bar{x})$$
 and $v(t_m, x_m) \to v_*(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$

when m goes to infinity. By the continuity of φ , when $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\eta_m = v(t_m, x_m) - \varphi(t_m, x_m) \to 0.$$

We denote by $X_s^{t_m,x_m}$ the associated process with the initial data $X_{t_m} = x_m$. Let θ_m be the stopping time given by

$$\theta_m = \inf\{s \ge t_m : (s - t_m, X_s^{t_m, x_m} - x_m) \notin [0, h_m) \times \alpha B\}$$
 (5)

where $\alpha > 0$ is some given constant, B denotes the unit ball of T, and

$$h_m = \sqrt{\eta_m} \, \mathbb{I}_{\{\eta_m \neq 0\}} + m^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{\{\eta_m = 0\}}.$$

Then we have $\theta_m \to \bar{t}$ as $m \to \infty$ since h_m converges to 0. Applying the dynamic programming principle for $v(t_m, x_m)$ to θ_m and get

$$v(t_m, x_m) \ge \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \beta(t_m, s) f(s, X_s^{t_m, x_m}) \, ds + \beta(t_m, \theta_m) v(\theta_m, X_{\theta_m}^{t_m, x_m})\Big],$$

where

$$\beta(t_m, s) = \exp\Big\{-\int_t^s c(r, X^{t_m, x_m}(r)) dr\Big\}.$$

Since $v \geq v_* \geq \varphi$, then

$$\varphi(t_m, x_m) + \eta_m \ge \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \beta(t_m, s) f(s, X_s^{t_m, x_m}) \, ds + \beta(t_m, \theta_m) \varphi(\theta_m, X_{\theta_m}^{t_m, x_m})\Big].$$

Applying the Itô's formula to the smooth test function φ , and since $\beta(t_m, s)D\varphi(s, X_s^{t_m, x_m})$ is bounded on the interval $[t_m, \theta_m]$, then we have

$$\frac{\eta_m}{h_m} + \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{h_m} \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \beta(t_m, s) \Big(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - L\varphi - f \Big) (s, X_s^{t_m, x_m}) \, ds \Big] \ge 0,$$

where $L\varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} - c\varphi$. By a.s. continuity of the trajectory $X_s^{t_m, x_m}$, it follows that for m sufficient large, $\theta_m(\omega) = t_m + h_m$ a.s. Thus by mean value theorem, the random variable inside the expectation converges to

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) - L\varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) - f(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$$

when $m \to \infty$. Moreover, this random variable is bounded by a constant independent of m. We then obtain

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) + c\varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) - f(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

when m goes to infinity by dominate convergence theorem.

Next we show that v(t,x) is a viscosity subsolution of the equation

$$-\partial_t v - (\partial_x v + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}v - cv + f) = 0$$

on $[0,T)\times\mathbb{T}$. Let $(\bar{t},\bar{x})\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{T}$ and $\varphi\in C^2([0,T)\times\mathbb{T})$ be such that

$$0 = (v^* - \varphi)(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) > (v^* - \varphi)(t, x) \tag{7}$$

for $(t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{T}$. In order to prove the required result, we assume that

$$h(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) = \partial_t \varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) + (\partial_x \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} \varphi - c\varphi + f)(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) < 0.$$

We denote $H(t,x,r,p,M)=p(t,x)+\frac{1}{2}M(t,x)+f(t,x)-c(t,x)r(t,x)$, then we can rewrite the above assumption as

$$h(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) = \partial_t \varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) + H(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \partial_x \varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \partial_{xx} \varphi(\bar{t}, \bar{x})) < 0.$$

Since H is continuous, then there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_r = (\bar{t} - r, \bar{t} + r) \times rB(\bar{x})$ of (\bar{t}, \bar{x}) , for some r > 0 such that

$$h = \partial_t \varphi + H(t, x, \varphi, \partial_x \varphi, \partial_{xx} \varphi) < 0$$

on \mathcal{N}_r . By the definition of \mathcal{N}_r and (7), for a constant $\eta > 0$, we have

$$-2\eta e^{r|c|_0} := \max_{\partial \mathcal{N}_\eta} (v^* - \varphi) < 0.$$
 (8)

Let $\{(t_n, x_n)\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{N}_r such that

$$(t_n, x_n) \to (\bar{t}, \bar{x})$$
 and $v(t_n, x_n) \to v^*(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$.

Since $(v-\varphi)(t_n,x_n)\to 0$, we can assume that the sequence (t_n,x_n) also satisfies

$$|(v - \varphi)(t_n, x_n)| \le \eta$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We define the stopping time

$$\theta_n = \inf\{s > t_n : (s, X_s^{t_n, x_n}) \notin \mathcal{N}_r\}$$

and we observe that $(\theta_n, X_{\theta_n}^{t_n, x_n}) \in \partial \mathcal{N}_r$ by the pathwise continuity of the process. Then, with $\beta_s = \beta(t_n, s)$, for the constant η and by formula (8), we have

$$\beta_{\theta_n}\varphi(\theta_n, X_{\theta_n}^{t_{n,x_n}}) \ge 2\eta + \beta_{\theta_n}v^*(\theta_n, X_{\theta_n}^{t_{n,x_n}}). \tag{9}$$

Since $\beta_{t_n} = 1$ and $|(v - \varphi)(t_n, x_n)| \leq \eta$, by the Itô's formula to the smooth test function φ , we have

$$v(t_{n}, x_{n}) \geq -\eta + \varphi(t_{n}, x_{n})$$

$$= -\eta + \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_{\theta_{n}}\varphi(\theta_{n}, X_{\theta_{n}}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) - \int_{t_{n}}^{\theta_{n}} \beta_{s}(\partial_{t} + \mathcal{L})\varphi(s, X_{s}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) ds\right]$$

$$\geq -\eta + \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_{\theta_{n}}\varphi(\theta_{n}, X_{\theta_{n}}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) + \int_{t_{n}}^{\theta_{n}} \beta_{s}(f - h)(s, X_{s}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) ds\right]$$

$$\geq -\eta + \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_{\theta_{n}}\varphi(\theta_{n}, X_{\theta_{n}}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) + \int_{t}^{\theta_{n}} \beta_{s}f(s, X_{s}^{t_{n}, x_{n}}) ds\right].$$

By the (9), we know that

$$v(t_n, x_n) \ge \eta + \mathbb{E}\Big[\beta_{\theta_n} v^*(\theta_n, X_{\theta_n}^{t_n, x_n}) + \int_{t_n}^{\theta_n} \beta_s f(s, X_s^{t_n, x_n}) \, ds\Big],$$

which is in contradiction with the dynamic programming principle as $\eta > 0$.

Thus by the conclusion we got in the above, we know that the value function (2) is a viscosity solution of the equation

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} v - cv + f = 0$$

on $[0,T)\times \mathbb{T}$.