

The q -gram distance

Bioinformatics Algorithms (Fundamental Algorithms, module 2)

Zsuzsanna Lipták

Masters in Medical Bioinformatics
academic year 2018/19, II. semester

The q -gram distance

- In many situations, edit distance is a good model for differences / similarity between strings.
- But sometimes, other distance functions serve the purpose better.

2 / 21

The q -gram distance

- In many situations, edit distance is a good model for differences / similarity between strings.
- But sometimes, other distance functions serve the purpose better.

Motivations for using q -gram distance

1. If two parts of a sequence are exchanged (e.g. two paragraphs, two long substrings, two genes), then one can argue that the resulting strings still have high similarity; however, the edit distance will be big. The q -gram distance can be more appropriate in this case.
2. The edit distance needs quadratic computation time, but this is often too slow. The q -gram distance can be computed in linear time.

Let Σ be the alphabet, with $|\Sigma| = \sigma$.

Def.

A **q -gram** is a string of length q .

What is a q -gram?

3 / 21

What is a q -gram?

Let Σ be the alphabet, with $|\Sigma| = \sigma$.

Def.

A **q -gram** is a string of length q .

Note

q -grams are also called **k -mers**, **w -words**, or **k -tuples**. Typically, q (or k , w , etc.) is small, much smaller than the strings we will want to compare.

We will fix q , and use the number of occurrences of q -grams to compute distances between strings.

Let s be a string of length $n \geq q$, and u be a q -gram. The **occurrence count** of u in s is

$$N(s, u) = |\{i : s_i \dots s_{i+q-1} = u\}|,$$

the number of times q -gram u occurs in s .

Ex.

Let $s = ACAGGGCA$ and $q = 2$.

Occurrence count

4 / 21

3 / 21

Occurrence count

Let s be a string of length $n \geq q$, and u be a q -gram. The **occurrence count** of u in s is

$$N(s, u) = |\{i : s_i \dots s_{i+q-1} = u\}|,$$

the number of times q -gram u occurs in s .

Ex.

Let $s = ACAGGGCA$ and $q = 2$. Then

$N(s, AC) = N(s, AG) = N(s, GC) = 1$, $N(s, CA) = N(s, GG) = 2$, and for all other q -grams u over Σ , $N(s, u) = 0$.

4 / 21

5 / 21

q -gram profile

Fix some enumeration (listing) of Σ^q , i.e. some order in which we want to list all q -grams; e.g. the lexicographic order.

Def.

Let s be a string over Σ , $|s| \geq q$. The **q -gram profile** of s , $P_q(s)$ is an array of size σ^q , where the i th entry is

$$P_q(s)[i] = N(s, u_i),$$

and u_i is the i th q -gram in the enumeration.

Example:

Let $\Sigma = \{A, C, G, T\}$ and $q = 2$.

Let

$s = ACAGGGCA$,

$t = GGGCAACA$,

$v = AAGGACA$.

Then the q -gram profiles of s, t, v are shown on the right.

Notice that the sum of all entries of $P_q(s) = |s| - q + 1 = \text{total number of } q\text{-gram occurrences in } s = \text{number of distinct positions in } s \text{ where a } q\text{-gram starts.}$

| u | $P_q(s)$ | $P_q(t)$ | $P_q(v)$ |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| AA | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| AC | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AG | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| AT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CA | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| CC | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CG | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GA | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GC | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GG | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| GT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TC | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TG | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TT | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6 / 21

5 / 21

q -gram distance

(Introduced by Ukkonen, 1992)

Def.: Given two strings s, t , the **q -gram distance** of s and t is

$$\text{dist}_{q\text{-gram}}(s, t) = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^q} |N(s, u) - N(t, u)|.$$

Equivalent def.: Given two strings s, t , the **q -gram distance** of s and t is

$$\text{dist}_{q\text{-gram}}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma^q} |P_q(s)[i] - P_q(t)[i]|,$$

which is the **Manhattan distance**¹ of the q -gram profiles of s and t .

¹The Manhattan distance, or L_1 -distance, of two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as $\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$.

7 / 21

q -gram distance

In the previous example ($q = 2$, $s = ACAGGGCA$, $t = GGGCAACA$, and $v = AAGGACA$), we have

$$\text{dist}_{2\text{-gram}}(s, t) = 2, \text{dist}_{2\text{-gram}}(s, v) = 5, \text{ and } \text{dist}_{2\text{-gram}}(t, v) = 5.$$

Note that it is possible to have distinct strings with q -gram distance 0, e.g.

for $w = AGGCACCA$, we have $\text{dist}_{2\text{-gram}}(s, w) = 0$.

(Don't just believe this, double check it!)

8 / 21

9 / 21

The q -gram distance is a pseudo-metric

Lemma

The q -gram distance is a pseudo-metric, i.e. it is non-negative, symmetric, and obeys the triangle inequality (but it is possible to have $x \neq y$ with $\text{dist}_{q\text{-gram}}(x, y) = 0$).

Proof:

The three properties follow from the fact that the Manhattan metric is a metric. The example above shows that $\text{dist}_{q\text{-gram}}(x, y) = 0$ does not imply $x = y$.

Exercise:

Prove the lemma explicitly.

Connection to edit distance

q-gram Lemma

Let $d_{\text{edit}}(s, t)$ denote the (unit-cost) edit distance of s and t . Then

$$\frac{d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, t)}{2q} \leq d_{\text{edit}}(s, t).$$

Proof

Every edit operation contributes to the q -gram distance at most $2q$: Consider the simplest case, a **substitution** in position i of s , where character s_i is substituted by character x , and let s' be the resulting string. If $q \leq i \leq |s| - q + 1$, then there are exactly q q -grams of s affected by the substitution: $s_{i-q+1} \dots s_i$, up to $s_i \dots s_{i+q-1}$ (otherwise fewer); the counts of all these are **decremented** by 1, while the counts of the **new** q -grams $s_{i-1+1} \dots x$, $s_i \dots xs_{i+q}$, etc. are **incremented** by 1. Therefore, $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, s') \leq 2q$ (it could be less because these q -grams need not be all distinct). For a **deletion**, the number of q -grams whose count is decremented is at most q , while those whose count is incremented is at most $q - 1$; for an **insertion** the other way around.—The claim follows by induction on the number of edit operations.

10 / 21

Connection to edit distance

Examples

With the earlier examples, we have

1. Exchange of two long substrings: $d_{\text{edit}}(s, t) = 6$, $d_{\text{edit}}(s, w) = 4$ (compare to: $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, t) = 2$, $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, w) = 0$, with $q = 2$).
2. The q -gram distance is at most $2q$ times edit distance (q -gram lemma): $d_{\text{edit}}(s, v) = 2$ (compare to: $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, v) = 5 \leq 8 = d_{\text{edit}}(s, v) \cdot 2q$, with $q = 2$)

Based on the q -gram lemma and the fact that the q -gram distance can be computed in linear time, we can use the q -gram distance as a filter for edit distance computations.

11 / 21

Computation of the q -gram distance

Basic ideas

- Use a **sliding window** of size q over s and t
- Use an array d_q of size σ^q
- First slide a window over s , increment respective entry for every q -gram seen
- Then slide over t , decrement respective entry for every q -gram seen
- Now $d_q[r] = N(s, u_r) - N(t, u_r)$.
- Sum up the absolute values of the entries: $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, t) = \sum_i |d_q[i]|$

We will see: This algorithm runs in **linear time**.

But: how do we know where to find the entry for the current q -gram?

This is called **ranking** (coming soon)

12 / 21

13 / 21

Computation of the q -gram distance

Algorithm for computing q -gram distance

input: Strings s, t of length $|s| = n$ and $|t| = m$
output: $d_{\text{dist}_{q-\text{gram}}}(s, t)$

1. initialize $d_q[0 \dots \sigma^q - 1]$ with 0s
2. for $i = 1, \dots, n - q + 1 : r \leftarrow \text{rank}(s_i \dots s_{i+q-1})$
 $d_q[r] \leftarrow d_q[r] + 1$
3. for $i = 1, \dots, m - q + 1 : r \leftarrow \text{rank}(t_i \dots t_{i+q-1})$
 $d_q[r] \leftarrow d_q[r] - 1$
4. $d \leftarrow 0$
5. for $i = 0 \dots \sigma^q - 1 : d \leftarrow d + |d_q[i]|$.
6. return d

For an example, see next slide.

Example:

$s = \text{ACAGGGCA}$,
 $t = \text{GGGCAACA}$.

On the right, the array d_q after line 2. of the algo
 (now d_q equals $P_q(s)$)
 and after line 3.
 Finally, we have
 $d_2(s, t) = |-1| + 1 = 2$.

| r | u_r | d_q after the pass thru s | d_q after the pass thru t |
|-----|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0 | AA | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | AC | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | AG | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | AT | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | CA | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | CC | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | CG | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | CT | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | GA | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | GC | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | GG | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | GT | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | TA | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | TC | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | TG | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | TT | 0 | 0 |

Goal

Given q -gram u , we want to know which entry of the array u corresponds to.

Ex.: Where is the q -gram CG? In position 6.

Ranking functions

- A **ranking function** is a bijection $\text{rank} : \Sigma^q \rightarrow [0 \dots \sigma^q - 1]$.
- $\text{rank}(u)$ gives us the position of u in the enumeration of Σ^q
- needs to be very efficiently computable
- the ranking function we use will give us **constant time** per q -gram of s

| r | u_r | d_q after the pass thru s |
|-----|-------|-------------------------------|
| 0 | AA | 0 |
| 1 | AC | 1 |
| 2 | AG | 1 |
| 3 | AT | 0 |
| 4 | CA | 2 |
| 5 | CC | 0 |
| 6 | CG | 0 |
| 7 | CT | 0 |
| 8 | GA | 0 |
| 9 | GC | 1 |
| 10 | GG | 2 |
| 11 | GT | 0 |
| 12 | TA | 0 |
| 13 | TC | 0 |
| 14 | TG | 0 |
| 15 | TT | 0 |

14 / 21

15 / 21

Ranking function

- **Basic idea:** We will interpret the q -gram itself as a number: a number base σ . In our case: $\sigma = 4$.
- **First,** we assign numbers $0, \dots, \sigma - 1$ (here: $0, 1, 2, 3$) to the characters:

$$f : A \mapsto 0, C \mapsto 1, G \mapsto 2, T \mapsto 3$$
- **Second,** we extend this to strings: e.g. CG becomes $12_4 = 1 \cdot 4^1 + 2 \cdot 4^0 = 6_{10}$. (i.e. 12 in base 4 equals 6 in base 10.)
- **In general,** for $u = u_1 \dots u_q$, the $\text{rank}(u)$ is given by:

$$\text{rank}(u) = f(u_1) \cdot \sigma^{q-1} + f(u_2) \cdot \sigma^{q-2} + \dots + f(u_{q-1}) \cdot \sigma^1 + f(u_q) \cdot \sigma^0.$$
- **E.g.** $\text{rank}(\text{CATT}) = 1 \cdot 4^3 + 0 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 1 = 64 + 0 + 12 + 3 = 79$.

16 / 21

Sliding window

Crucial trick

The rank of the q -gram starting in position $i + 1$ can be computed from the rank of the q -gram starting in position i in constant time.

Example

Let $s = \text{GACATTGACGAT}$, and let $q = 4$. Let's compare the rank of CATT and ATTG, two consecutive q -grams:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(\text{CATT}) &= 1 \cdot 4^3 + 0 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 4^1 + 3 \cdot 4^0 \\ \text{rank}(\text{ATTG}) &= 0 \cdot 4^3 + 3 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 4^1 + 2 \cdot 4^0\end{aligned}$$

So $1 \cdot 4^3$ has to be subtracted, the rest multiplied by 4, and finally $2 \cdot 4^0 = 2$ added.

17 / 21

Sliding window

In general:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(s_i \dots s_{i+q-1}) &= f(s_i) \cdot \sigma^{q-1} + f(s_{i+1}) \cdot \sigma^{q-2} + \dots + f(s_{i+q-1}) \\ \text{rank}(s_{i+1} \dots s_{i+q}) &= f(s_{i+1}) \cdot \sigma^{q-1} + \dots + f(s_{i+q-1}) \cdot \sigma + f(s_{i+q})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\text{rank}(s_i \dots s_{i+q-1}) = C$, then

$$\text{rank}(s_{i+1} \dots s_{i+q}) = (C - f(s_i) \cdot \sigma^{q-1}) \cdot \sigma + f(s_{i+q})$$

Ex. $\text{rank}(\text{ATTG}) = (\text{rank}(\text{CATT}) - 1 \cdot 4^3) \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 4^0 = (79 - 64) \cdot 4 + 2 = 62$.
 Double check: $\text{rank}(\text{ATTG}) = 0 \cdot 4^3 + 3 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 4 + 2 = 48 + 12 + 2 = 62$.

18 / 21

19 / 21

Analysis

- computing the rank of the first q -gram: $O(q)$ time
- computing rank of the $(i + 1)$ st q -gram, given the rank of the i th q -gram: constant time ($O(1)$)

Analysis (cont.)

Computing the q -gram distance of two strings s, t of length n resp. m :

- initialize array d_q : $O(\sigma^q)$ time
- slide window of size q over s : there are $n - q + 1$ windows, for each, we have to compute its rank r and then update the entry $d_q(r)$; rank of first window takes $O(q)$ time, for all following windows $O(1)$, while updating entry is always constant time: $O(1)$ time
- slide window of size q over t : similarly, $O(m)$ time
- compute sum of absolute values: $O(\sigma^q)$ time

Putting it together:

- Total time: $O(n + m + \sigma^q)$
- Total space: $O(\sigma^q)$, for the array d_q
- If we choose

$$q \leq \log_\sigma(n), \log_\sigma(m),$$

then $\sigma^q = O(n + m)$, so we have linear time and space $O(n + m)$.

20 / 21

21 / 21

Analysis (cont.)