

Model Details

The reconstructed CA1 pyramidal neuron model was adapted from that in our previous studies [1,2]. The morphology of the neuron model was obtained from Duke-Southampton Archive of neuronal morphology [3], which included 200 compartments. The passive cable properties and the density and distribution of active conductances in the model neuron were based on published experimental data obtained from hippocampal and cortical pyramidal neurons. Below are the details of the neuron model.

Active Property

For active conductances, the model neuron included voltage-gated sodium conductance g_{Na} , the delayed rectifier potassium conductance g_{K_d} , two variants of A-type potassium conductance $g_{K_A}^p$ and $g_{K_A}^d$ that were applied to the proximal and distal dendrites respectively, and the hyperpolarization-activated conductance g_h . It also contained AMPA, NMDA, GABA_A, and GABA_B receptors, with kinetic properties described previously [4–6]. The receptors of AMPA, NMDA, and GABA_A followed a first-order kinetics of transmitter binding to the postsynaptic receptors

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \alpha \cdot [T] \cdot (1 - R) - \beta \cdot R,$$

where R is the fraction of open receptors, α and β are forward and backward rate constants for transmitter binding, and $[T]$ is the transmitter concentration. The postsynaptic current is given by

$$I_{syn} = g \cdot (V - E_{rev}),$$

where V is the postsynaptic potential, E_{rev} the reversal potential, and g the synaptic conductance. For AMPA and GABA_A receptors,

$$g = g_{max} \cdot R,$$

where g_{max} is the maximum synaptic conductance. And for NMDA receptor,

$$g = \frac{g_{max} \cdot R}{1 + 0.33 \cdot [Mg^{2+}] \cdot e^{-0.06 \cdot V}},$$

where $[Mg^{2+}]$ is the extracellular magnesium concentration. For GABA_B receptor, the kinetic equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dR}{dt} &= K_1 \cdot [T] \cdot (1 - R) - K_2 \cdot R, \\ \frac{dG}{dt} &= K_3 \cdot R - K_4 \cdot G, \end{aligned}$$

where K_1 and K_2 , similar to α and β , are forward and backward transmitter binding rate, K_3 and K_4 the rate constants of G protein production and decay. G is the fraction of activated G proteins;

$$g = \frac{g_{max} \cdot G^n}{G^n + K_D},$$

where K_D is the dissociation constant of potassium channel.

The parameters used in the four types of receptors are largely the same as previous reports [4–8], with minor adjustments to match the results from our previous iontophoretic experiment [1]. Sodium channels were distributed with a largely constant density along the somatodendritic axis [9]. The A-type

potassium channels differed in their kinetics between the proximal and distal populations, and their density increased progressively by more than 6-fold from the soma to a distance of 350 μm along the apical trunk [10, 11]. The density of hyperpolarization-activated cationic current I_h increased by more than 6 folds from the soma to the distal dendrites [12]. The distribution of AMPA receptors was set with a gradient along the dendrite to achieve a distance-dependent scaling property [13–16].

Based upon the above experimental results, the parameters used in the model were set as follows: the peak sodium conductance $g_{Na} = 30 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ in the soma and dendrites, $g_{Na} = 60 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ in the axon; $g_{Kd} = 5 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ (uniform distribution); $g_{KA}^p(x) = g_{KA}^{p_0} \cdot (1 + x/70)$, if the distance from the soma $x \leq 100 \mu\text{m}$; $g_{KA}^d(x) = g_{KA}^{d_0} \cdot (1 + x/70)$, if $100 \mu\text{m} < x \leq 350 \mu\text{m}$; and $g_{KA}^d(x) = 6.5 \cdot g_{KA}^{d_0}$ if $x > 350 \mu\text{m}$, where $g_{KA}^{p_0} = g_{KA}^{d_0} = 5 \text{ mS/cm}^2$; $g_h(x) = g_{h_0} + 9 \cdot g_{h_0}/[1.0 + e^{(300-x)/50}]$, where $g_{h_0} = 20 \mu\text{S/cm}^2$; the ratio of maximal NMDA conductance to AMPA conductance was of the form $r_{N/A} = 0.6/(1 + x/300)$ for the dendrite located in the stratum radiatum and the ratio of GABA_B to GABA_A was set as 0.6.

Passive Property

The resting membrane resistance R_m was set to be nonuniform along the dendritic tree [17]. The passive biophysical properties include: $R_m = R_{m_0} + (R_{m_1} - R_{m_0})/[1.0 + e^{-(x-300)/50}]$, where $R_{m_0} = 60 \text{ k}\Omega\text{cm}^2$ and $R_{m_1} = 20 \text{ k}\Omega\text{cm}^2$; the axial resistance $R_i = 80 \Omega\text{cm}$; the capacitance $C_m = 1 \mu\text{F/cm}^2$. The temperature was 34 $^\circ\text{C}$ and the resting membrane potential was -70 mV . Reversal potentials were set as: $E_{Na} = +55 \text{ mV}$, $E_K = -90 \text{ mV}$, $E_h = -30 \text{ mV}$, $E_{\text{AMPA}} = E_{\text{NMDA}} = 0 \text{ mV}$, $E_{\text{GABA}_A} = -80 \text{ mV}$, $E_{\text{GABA}_B} = -90 \text{ mV}$.

References

- [1] Hao J, Wang Xd, Dan Y, Poo Mm, Zhang Xh (2009) An arithmetic rule for spatial summation of excitatory and inhibitory inputs in pyramidal neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* : pnas-0912022106.
- [2] Li S, Liu N, Zhang Xh, Zhou D, Cai D (2014) Bilinearity in spatiotemporal integration of synaptic inputs. *PLoS computational biology* 10: e1004014.
- [3] Cannon R, Turner D, Pyapali G, Wheal H (1998) An on-line archive of reconstructed hippocampal neurons. *Journal of neuroscience methods* 84: 49–54.
- [4] Destexhe A, Mainen ZF, Sejnowski TJ (1994) An efficient method for computing synaptic conductances based on a kinetic model of receptor binding. *Neural computation* 6: 14–18.
- [5] Destexhe A, Mainen ZF, Sejnowski TJ (1994) Synthesis of models for excitable membranes, synaptic transmission and neuromodulation using a common kinetic formalism. *Journal of computational neuroscience* 1: 195–230.
- [6] Destexhe A, Mainen ZF, Sejnowski TJ (1998) Kinetic models of synaptic transmission. *Methods in neuronal modeling* 2: 1–25.
- [7] Poirazi P, Brannon T, Mel BW (2003) Arithmetic of subthreshold synaptic summation in a model cal pyramidal cell. *Neuron* 37: 977–987.
- [8] Poirazi P, Brannon T, Mel BW (2003) Pyramidal neuron as two-layer neural network. *Neuron* 37: 989–999.

- [9] Magee JC, Johnston D (1995) Characterization of single voltage-gated Na^+ and Ca^{2+} channels in apical dendrites of rat Ca1 pyramidal neurons. *The Journal of physiology* 487: 67–90.
- [10] Hoffman DA, Magee JC, Colbert CM, Johnston D (1997) K^+ channel regulation of signal propagation in dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Nature* 387: 869.
- [11] Migliore M, Hoffman DA, Magee JC, Johnston D (1999) Role of an A -type K^+ conductance in the back-propagation of action potentials in the dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Journal of computational neuroscience* 7: 5–15.
- [12] Magee JC (1998) Dendritic hyperpolarization-activated currents modify the integrative properties of hippocampal Ca1 pyramidal neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18: 7613–7624.
- [13] Magee JC, Cook EP (2000) Somatic EPSP amplitude is independent of synapse location in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Nature neuroscience* 3: 895.
- [14] Andr  sfalvy BK, Magee JC (2001) Distance-dependent increase in AMPA receptor number in the dendrites of adult hippocampal Ca1 pyramidal neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 21: 9151–9159.
- [15] Smith MA, Ellis-Davies GC, Magee JC (2003) Mechanism of the distance-dependent scaling of Schaffer collateral synapses in rat Ca1 pyramidal neurons. *The Journal of physiology* 548: 245–258.
- [16] Nicholson DA, Trana R, Katz Y, Kath WL, Spruston N, et al. (2006) Distance-dependent differences in synapse number and AMPA receptor expression in hippocampal Ca1 pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* 50: 431–442.
- [17] Stuart G, Spruston N (1998) Determinants of voltage attenuation in neocortical pyramidal neuron dendrites. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18: 3501–3510.