A APPENDIX

A.1 Proof of Lemma 1.

In order to demonstrate the convergence of our update scheme, we make the following assumptions:

- Lipschitzian gradient: f(x) is L-Lipschitz smooth, i.e., $||\nabla f(x) \nabla f(y)|| \le L||x y||$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Bounded variance: the variance of the stochastic gradient, denoted by ξ , is bounded such that $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|^2] \leq \sigma^2$.

Due to the *L*-Lipschitz condition satisfied by f(x), the derivative of the formula $||\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)|| \le L||x - y||$ with respect to x yields $\nabla^2 f(x) \le L$. Therefore, we can obtain the second-order Taylor expansion of f(x) around $\nabla^2 f(x) \le L$.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \le f(\mathbf{y}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \frac{L}{2} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}||^2$$
(1)

Therefore, we can deduce the following proof.

$$\mathbb{E}f(x_{t+1}) - \mathbb{E}f(x_t)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\langle x_{t+1} - x_t, \nabla f(x_t) \rangle + \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\langle -\gamma \nabla g(x_t), \nabla g(x_t) + p\xi_t \rangle + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}||\nabla g(x_t) + p\xi_t||^2 \quad (\nabla g(x) = \nabla f(x) - \xi \text{ and } x_{t+1} = x_t - \gamma \nabla g(x_t))$$

$$\leq -\gamma \mathbb{E}\langle \nabla g(x_t), \nabla g(x_t) + p\xi_t \rangle + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}||\nabla g(x_t) + p\xi_t||^2$$

$$\leq -\gamma \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(x_t)||^2 + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2}p^2\mathbb{E}||\nabla g(x_t)||^2 + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2}p^2\mathbb{E}\xi_t^2 \quad (\mathbb{E}[\xi] = 0)$$

$$\leq (-\gamma + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2})\mathbb{E}||\nabla g(x_t)||^2 + \frac{L\gamma^2}{2}p^2\sigma^2 \quad (\mathbb{E}[||\xi||^2] \leq \sigma^2)$$

Using the method of telescoping sum, we can obtain the following equations.

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \leq (\frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2} - \gamma) \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_{t})||^{2} + \frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2} p^{2} \sigma^{2} T \\ & (\gamma - \frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2}) \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_{t})||^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{t}) + \frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2} p^{2} \sigma^{2} T \\ & (\gamma - \frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2}) \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_{t})||^{2} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{t})}{T} + \frac{L\gamma^{2}}{2} p^{2} \sigma^{2} \\ & \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_{t})||^{2} \leq \frac{2L(\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_{t}))}{T} + p^{2} \sigma^{2} \end{split}$$

Lemma 1 suggests that by setting the probability of selecting hybrid batches for training to $p = 1/\sqrt{T}$, we achieve a convergence rate of O(1/T), equivalent to that of full-vertex batch training.

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_t)||^2 \le \frac{2L(\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \sigma^2}{T}$$
(2)

Setting $p = 1/\sqrt[4]{T}$, however, leads to a convergence rate of $O(1/\sqrt{T})$, akin to mini-batch training.

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}||\nabla g(\mathbf{x}_t)||^2 \le \frac{2L(\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{x}_t))}{T} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{T}}$$
(3)

1