CGU Math 381 (Image Processing), Spring '18 – Homework #3

Corner deteection, and an introduction to Fourier Analysis.

Due on Friday 3/30, in the box in front of Prof. Micheli's office. Song, Zhengming, Claremont Graduate University

Reading: Fourier Analysis is treated in Chapters 7, 8 and 9 of *Principles of Digital Image Processing:* Core Algorithms (i.e. Volume 2) by Burger and Burge. The Harris corner detector is treated Chapter 4 of the same volume, and (in more detail) in the instructor's class notes, that are now available online.

Write, on top of the first page of your assignment: Name (<u>LAST</u>, First), your University or College, the HW#, and acknowledge other students with whom you may have worked (just write "Worked with ..."). For the computational problems where you are asked to write computer code you may choose the programming language that you prefer, such as Python (I am learning it myself!) or Matlab.

Problem 3.1 (time shifting). Consider the *shifted* delta function $\delta_{t_0}(t) = \delta(t - t_0)$. (a) Show that for any continuous-time signal s(t), $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it is the case that $(s * \delta_{t_0})(t) = s(t - t_0)$ (that is, the convolution with δ_{t_0} hs the effect of time-shifting by t_0). (b) find the Fourier Transform $\mathcal{F}[\delta_{t_0}](f)$ by direct computation. (c) Finally, combine the above results with the convolution theorem (it states that $\mathcal{F}[g*h](f) = G(f)H(f)$) to find the Fourier Transform of the shifted signal $s(t - t_0)$ in terms of the Fourier Transform S(f) of s(t).

Solution 3.1. a

$$(s * \delta_{t_0})(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(\tau) \delta_{t_0}(t - \tau) d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(\tau) \delta(t - t_0 - \tau) d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(t - t_0) \delta(t - t_0 - \tau) d\tau$$

$$= s(t - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t - t_0 - \tau) d\tau$$

$$= s(t - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\tau - (t - t_0)) d\tau$$

$$= s(t - t_0) \cdot 1$$

$$= s(t - t_0)$$

b

$$\mathcal{F}[\delta t_0](f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta_{t_0}(\tau) e^{-i2\pi f \tau} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\tau - t_0) e^{-i2\pi f \tau} d\tau$$

$$\stackrel{t=\tau-t_0}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t) e^{-i2\pi f(t+t_0)} dt$$

$$= e^{-i2\pi t_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t) e^{-i2\pi f t} dt$$

$$= e^{-i2\pi t_0} \cdot e^{-i2\pi f 0} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(t) dt$$

$$= e^{-i2\pi t_0} \cdot 1 \cdot 1$$

$$= e^{-i2\pi t_0}$$

 \mathbf{c}

$$\mathcal{F}[s(t-t_0)](f) = \mathcal{F}[s * \delta_{t_0}(t)](f)$$

$$= \mathcal{S}(f)\mathcal{D}(f)$$

$$= \mathcal{S}(f) \cdot \mathcal{F}[\delta_{t_0}](f)$$

$$= e^{-i2\pi f t_0} \mathcal{S}(f)$$

Problem 3.2 (the causal exponential). Fix a constant $\alpha > 0$, and consider the continuous-time signal $s(t) = e^{-\alpha t}H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, known as the *causal exponential*, where H(t) is the Heaviside function (that is, H(t) = 1 for $t \geq 0$ and H(t) = 0 for t < 0). (a) Compute its Fourier transform S(f), $f \in \mathbb{R}$ (this is example #26 in the Fourier pairs table that was distributed in class). (b) Compute the convolution $r(t) = (s * s)(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ (this may be the first and last time that you actually compute a convolution 'by hand', but it iss the kind of thing that you should do at least once in your life!). (c) Finally, using the result from part (a), what is the Fourier transform R(f) of the continuous-time signal r(t) from part (b)?

Solution 3.2. a

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \mathcal{F}[s](f)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha t} H(t) e^{-i2\pi f t} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha t} e^{-i2\pi f t} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha + i2\pi f t} dt$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\alpha + i2\pi f)t}}{-(\alpha + i2\pi f)} \Big|_{0}^{+\infty}$$

$$= 0 - \frac{-1}{\alpha + i2\pi f}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha + i2\pi f}$$

b

$$\begin{split} r(t) &= & (s*s)(t) \\ &= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(\tau)s(t-\tau)d\tau \\ &= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha\tau}H(\tau)e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)}H(t-\tau)d\tau \\ &= & e^{-\alpha t}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(\tau)H(t-\tau)d\tau \\ &= & e^{-\alpha t}tH(t) \end{split}$$

 \mathbf{c}

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \mathcal{F}[r](f) = \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{S}(f) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + i2\pi f)^2}$$

Problem 3.3 (FT of separable 2D signals). Suppose that a signal s(x, y), with $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, may be written as: s(x, y) = f(x)g(y), where f and g are (continuous-space) signals. Such 2D signals are called *separable*. Show that the Fourier transform of s is S(u, v) = F(u)G(v), where $F(u) = \mathcal{F}[f](u)$ and $G(v) = \mathcal{F}[g](v)$.

Solution 3.3.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}(u,v) &= \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) e^{-i2\pi(ux+vy)} dx dy \\ &= \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) g(y) e^{-i2\pi(ux+vy)} dx dy \\ &= \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(y) e^{-i2\pi vy} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-i2\pi ux} dx dy \\ &= \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(y) e^{-i2\pi vy} \mathcal{F}(u) dy \\ &= \quad \mathcal{F}(u) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(y) e^{-i2\pi vy} dy \\ &= \quad \mathcal{F}(u) \mathcal{G}(v) \end{split}$$

Problem 3.4 (differential operators and convolution). Consider two continuous-space signals f(x,y) and g(x,y), with $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. (a) Show that $\nabla^2(f*g) = f*(\nabla^2 g)$, where ∇^2 donotes the Laplace operator in the variables (x,y). (b) Express the Fourier transform of $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ in terms of $F(u,v) = \mathcal{F}[f](u,v)$.

Solution 3.4. a

$$\nabla^{2}(f * g)(x, y) = \nabla^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(u, v)g(x - u, y - v)dudv$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(u, v) \cdot \nabla^{2}g(x - u, y - v)dudv$$

$$= (f * \nabla^{2}g)(x, y)$$

b

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (f) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u, v) e^{i2\pi(ux + vy)} du dv) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e^{i2\pi(ux + vy)} du dv \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i2\pi u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u, v) e^{i2\pi(ux + vy)} du dv \right] \\ &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i2\pi u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{i2\pi(ux + vy)} du dv \right] \\ &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (i2\pi)^2 u v F(u, v) e^{i2\pi(ux + vy)} du dv \right] \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}\right](u, v) = -4\pi^2 u v F(u, v)$$

Problem 3.5 (symmetries). Consider a continuous-space signal s(x,y), $s(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that if the signal s is real and has even symmetry, i.e. $s(x,y) = \overline{s(x,y)} = \overline{s(-x,-y)} = s(-x,-y)$, (where the bar indicates complex conjugation) then its Fourier transform s(u,v) is also real, with even symmetry.

Solution 3.5.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}(u,v) &= \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) e^{-i2\pi(ux+vy)} dx dy \\ &= \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) [\cos(2\pi(ux+vy)) - i\sin(2\pi(ux+vy))] dx dy \\ &= \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) \cos(2\pi(ux+vy)) dx dy + -i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) \sin(2\pi(ux+vy)) dx dy \\ &= \qquad \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x,y) \cos(2\pi(ux+vy)) dx dy + 0 \end{split}$$

The last equality hold because $\sin(\cdot)$ is a odd function, while s(x,y) is a event function, hence, the product of those two functions are odd, and the integral of a odd function is 0. In addition, since both s(x,y) and $\cos(\cdot)$ are real, S(u,v) is real.

$$\mathcal{S}(-u, -v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x, y) e^{i2\pi(-ux - vy)} dx dy$$

$$\stackrel{\mathbf{x} = -\alpha, \mathbf{y} = -\beta}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(x, y) e^{-i2\pi(u\alpha + v\beta)} d\alpha d\beta$$

$$= \mathcal{S}(u, v)$$

Problem 3.6 (UMF in the frequency domain). Remember that the sharpening technique known as unmask filtering is defined as follows, for continuous-space images f(x,y). First of all, a smoothing (low-pass) fiter, such as a Gaussian filter $h(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2+y^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$ is chosen (note that since h has volume 1, we have H(0,0)=1). Then the smooth compunent of f is computed via convolution: m=h*f. The sharp component of the image f(x,y) (also known as the unsharp mask) is s(x,y)=f(x,y)-m(x,y).

The sharpened image is then defined as $g(x,y) = f(x,y) + a \cdot s(x,y)$, where the constant a > 0 is the sharpening strentgh. For a generic smoothing convolutional kernel h(x,y) with Fourier transform H(u,v), show (as we did in class) that we can write, in frequency, $G(u,v) = L(u,v) \cdot F(u,v)$; express the frequency response L(u,v) in terms of the function H(u,v) and the constant a. Also, write an explicit expression for L(u,v) when h(x,y) is the Gaussian function given above, and plot the graph of z = L(u,v) for one or two particular choices of σ and a (since L(u,v) turns out to be a radial function, i.e. such that its graph is symmetric with respect to rotations about the z-axis, you may just plot the cross section for v = 0).

Solution 3.6.

$$g(x,y) = f(x,y) + a \cdot s(x,y)$$
$$= f(x,y) + a \cdot f(x,y) - a \cdot h(x,y) * f(x,y)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(u,v) = F(u,v) + aF(u,v) + aH(u,v)F(u,v) = (1 + a + aH(u,v))F(u,v)$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}(u,v) = (1 + a + aH(u,v)) = (1 + a - ae^{-2\pi a^2(u^2 + v^2)})$$

Problem 3.7 (DFT and IDFT). In class we defined the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of a discreteand finite-time signal s_n , n = 0, 1, ..., M - 1 as follows:

$$S_k = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} s_n e^{-i2\pi kn/M}$$
 $k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1.$

Show that s_n can be recovered via the Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform: $s_n = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} S_k e^{+i2\pi kn/M}$.

Hint: You should first prove and then use the following orthogonality of discrete complex exponentials:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{i2\pi km/M} e^{-i2\pi kn/M} = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } m=n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Solution 3.7. First let's prove the orthogonality of discrete complex exponential:

Proof.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{i2\pi km/M} e^{-i2\pi kn/M} = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{2\pi ki(m-n)/M}$$

if m = n, we have

$$\sum e^{2\pi ki(m-n)/M} = \sum 1 = M$$

if $m \neq n$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum e^{2\pi k i (m-n)/M} &= \sum [e^{\frac{2\pi i (m-n)}{M}}]^k \\ &= \frac{1 - (e^{\frac{2\pi i (m-n)}{M}})^M}{1 - e^{\frac{2\pi i (m-n)}{M}}} \end{split}$$

Given that $m, n \in [0, 1, \dots, M-1]$ hence, the denominator cannot be 0. however, in the numerator,

$$e^{2\pi i(m-n)} = \cos(2\pi(m-n)) + i\sin(2\pi(m-n)) = 1 + 0$$

, which makes the numerator 0.

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{i2\pi km/M} e^{-i2\pi kn/M} = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } m=n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Next, we proof the main statement of this problem

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} s_n = & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} S_k e^{i2\pi k n/M} \\ = & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} s_m e^{-i2\pi k m/M} e^{i2\pi k n/M} \\ = & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} s_m \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} e^{-i2\pi k m/M} e^{i2\pi k n/M} \\ = & \frac{1}{M} \cdot s_n \cdot M \\ = & s_n \end{array}$$

Problem 3.8 (2D DFT of sine function). Consider the 2D signal: $s_{m,n} = \sin(2\pi k_0 m + 2\pi \ell_0 m)$, with $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$; also, $k_0 \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{M}, \frac{2}{M}, \ldots, \frac{M-1}{M}\right\}$ and $\ell_0 \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \ldots, \frac{N-1}{N}\right\}$ are constants. Show that its DFT is $S_{k\ell} = \frac{iMN}{2} \left[\delta(k+Mk_0, \ell+N\ell_0) - \delta(k-Mk_0, \ell-N\ell_0)\right]$, where δ is the 2D discrete delta function: $\delta(k,\ell) = \left\{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \text{for } k = \ell = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq M-1 \text{ or } 1 \leq k \leq N-1. \end{array}\right.$

Solution 3.8.

$$\begin{split} S_{k,l} &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_{m,n} e^{-i2\pi (\frac{mk}{M} + \frac{nl}{N})} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sin(2\pi k_0 m + 2\pi l_0 n) e^{-i2\pi (\frac{mk}{M} + \frac{nl}{N})} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[-\frac{i}{2} (e^{i(2\pi k_0 m + 2\pi l_0 n)} - e^{-i(2\pi k_0 m + 2\pi l_0 n)}) \right] e^{-i2\pi (\frac{mk}{M} + \frac{nl}{N})} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[e^{-i2\pi (k_0 m + l_0 n) - i2\pi (\frac{mk}{M} + \frac{nl}{N})} - e^{i2\pi (k_0 n + l_0 n) - i2\pi (\frac{mk}{M} + \frac{nl}{N})} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{-i2\pi m (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-i2\pi n (l_0 + \frac{l}{N})} - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{i2\pi m (k_0 - \frac{k}{M})} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{i2\pi n (l_0 - \frac{l}{N})} \right\} \end{split}$$

if $Mk_0 + k = 0$, $\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{-i2\pi m(k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} 1 = M$, else,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{-i2\pi m(k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left[e^{-i2\pi (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} \right]^m$$

$$= \frac{1 - \left(e^{-i2\pi (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} \right)^M}{1 - e^{e^{-i2\pi (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})}}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - e^{-i2\pi (Mk_0 + \frac{k}{M})}}{1 - e^{e^{-i2\pi (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})}}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \left(\cos(2\pi (Mk_0 + k)) - i \sin(2\pi (Mk_0 + k)) \right)}{1 - e^{e^{-i2\pi (k_0 + \frac{k}{M})}}}$$

$$= 0$$

Hence, we can write $\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{-i2\pi m(k_0 + \frac{k}{M})} = M\delta(k+Mk_0), \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-i2\pi n(l_0 + \frac{l}{N})} = N\delta(l+Nl_0), \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{i2\pi m(k_0 - \frac{k}{M})} = M\delta(k-Mk_0),$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{i2\pi n(l_0 - \frac{l}{N})} = N\delta(l-Nl_0).$ Then, we have:

$$S_{k\ell} = \frac{iMN}{2} [\delta(k + Mk_0, \ell + N\ell_0) - \delta(k - Mk_0, \ell - N\ell_0)]$$

Problem 3.9 (Harris corner detector¹). In class we introduced the Harris corner detector:

$$E_{(i,j)}(u,v) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} w(k-i,\ell-j) [I(k,\ell) - I(k-u,\ell-v)]^{2},$$

which measures the change of the image I around the location (i, j) due to a small displacement (u, v). Typically, w is a $(2K + 1) \times (2K + 1)$ filter of ones centered around the origin, but is could also be a rotationally invariant Gaussian mask. In class we showed² that we can approximate (\star) with

$$E_{(i,j)}(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{M}(i,j) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\overline{M}(i,j) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} w(k-i,\ell-j) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2(k,\ell) & I_x(k,\ell)I_y(k,\ell) \\ I_x(k,\ell)I_y(k,\ell) & I_y^2(k,\ell) \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the *local structure matrix*. We saw that we have evidence of the existence of a corner at (i, j) when both eigenvalues $\lambda_1(i, j)$ and $\lambda_2(i, j)$ of $\overline{M}(i, j)$ are large, and of comparable magnitude. This happens when the so-called *corner response function* $Q_{\alpha}(i, j) = \det \left(\overline{M}(i, j)\right) - \alpha \left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\overline{M}(i, j)\right)\right]^2$

is largest (typically the parameter α is chosen somewhere in the range between 0.04 and 0.15; this is discussed at the very end of the class notes).

- (a) Choose $\alpha = 0.10$, and produce a contour plot of the corner response function with respect the eigenvalues (i.e., of $Q = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \alpha(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$). You should get a plot similar to Fig. 5 of Harris' paper¹. (Feel free to use *Mathematica*, as it has one-line commands that allow you to achieve this.)
- (b) Now download the images image-polygons.gif, image-house.gif, and other images of your own choice. Write code that computes the function $Q_{\alpha}(i,j)$, and plot, on top the image, markers (e.g. red crosses or bullets) that correspond to points within the image where Q_{α} is above a threshold t of your choice. Remark: There are several parameters that you can play with. For my own code, I have used a 9×9 filter w of ones, $\alpha = 0.05$, and a threshold for Q_{α} of $t = 10^7$.

¹C. Harris and M. Stephens: A Combined Corner and Edge Detector. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Alvey Vision Conference*, pages 147–151. University of Manchester, August 31—September 2, 1988.

²The fact that (u, v) is small allowed us to use a first-order Taylor expansion for the term in square brackets in (\star) .