








Design Noir

The Secret Life of Electronic Objects





Design Noir: The Secret Life of Electronic Objects

Anthony Dunne and Fiona Raby

Published by August / Birkhäuser

N

72

E53

D86

2001

## Contents

---

### Section 01: DIY Realities

---

Notopia	6
Amateur subversions and beta-testers	6
Consumers as anti-heroes: some cautionary tales	7
When objects dream...	8

### Section 02: Hertzian Space

---

Nowhere to hide	15
Spectral geographies	18
Electrosmog	20
Radiant objects	22
Immaterial sensuality	26
Connoisseurs	38
Electro-sensitives	39

### Section 03: Design Noir

---

Electronic product as neglected medium	45
Product genres	45
Design noir	46

### Section 04: Designer as Author

---

Design is ideological	58
Critical design	58
(Un)Popular design	59
Complicated pleasure	63

### Section 05: The Secret Life of Electronic Objects

---

Placebo project	75
Objects	76
Interviews	90

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress, Washington DC, USA

Deutsche Bibliothek  
Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Design Noir : The Secret Life of Electronic Objects / Anthony Dunne and Fiona Raby - Basel ; Boston ; Berlin : Birkhäuser, 2001  
ISBN 3-7643-6566-8

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in databases. For any kind of use, permission of the copyright owner must be obtained.

© 2001 Birkhäuser - Publishers for Architecture, P.O. Box 133, CH-4010 Basel, Switzerland  
Member of the BertelsmannSpringer Publishing Group  
© 2001 August Media Ltd,  
116-120 Golden Lane,  
London EC1Y 0TL, UK  
Text © 2001 Anthony Dunne and Fiona Raby

Printed on acid-free paper produced of chlorine-free pulp tcf ~  
Production co-ordinated by:  
Uwe Kraus GmbH  
Printed in Italy

<http://www.augustmedia.co.uk>  
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>

Concept: Anthony Dunne & Fiona Raby  
Design: Alex Rich  
Photography: Jason Evans  
Editor: Alex Stetter  
Publishing Director: Nick Barley

Authors' Acknowledgements:  
Gillian Crampton Smith, Irene McAra-McWilliam, Jon Rogers, Shona Kitchen, Brendan Walker, Jake Beevor and Lynne Williams, CRD Research Studio, Royal College of Art; Sorrell Hershberg, Sue Lambert and Susan McCormack, Contemporary Team, Victoria & Albert Museum; Clare Cumberlidge and Andree Cooke at London Arts; Durrel Bishop and Platform 3, Design Products, Royal College of Art; Ben Legg, Eddy Mundy, Imelda O'Connor, Fiona Rattray and Alex Rich

The adopters: Diane Smyth and Arabella Ramsay; Emma and Constance Dent Coad; Dick, Lorna and Lizzie Bower; Lauren Parker and Jan; Sophie Park; Dionyssios Antypos, Lida and Harry, Sophie Gee and Neil Scotten; Tracey Maitland

The Placebo project was supported by the Royal College of Art, Interval Research and London Arts

Publisher's Acknowledgements:  
Laurent Benner, Maki and Kajsa, Michael Marriott, Sean Murphy, Wendy Slemen, Ruth Ward

ISBN 3-7643-6566-8  
9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •  
• • Section 01: DIY Realities  
• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

---

## Notopia

Beneath the glossy surface of official design lurks a dark and strange world driven by real human needs. A place where electronic objects co-star in a noir thriller, working with like-minded individuals to escape normalisation and ensure that even a totally manufactured environment has room for danger, adventure and transgression. We don't think that design can ever fully anticipate the richness of this unofficial world and neither should it. But it can draw inspiration from it and develop new design approaches and roles so that as our new environment evolves, there is still scope for rich and complex human pleasure.

Corporate futurologists force-feed us a 'happy-ever-after' portrayal of life where technology is the solution to every problem. There is no room for doubt or complexity in their techno-utopian visions. Everyone is a stereotype, and social and cultural roles remain unchanged. Despite the fact that technology is evolving, the imagined products that feature in their fantasies reassure us that nothing essential will change, everything will stay the same. These future forecasters have a conservative role, predicting patterns of behaviour in relation to technological developments. They draw from what we already know about people, and weave new ideas into existing realities. The resulting scenarios extend pre-existent reality into the future and so reinforce the status quo rather than challenging it. Their slick surface distracts us from the dystopian vision of life they wish for. By designing the props for the videos produced to show us what the future could be like, design works to keep official values in place.

An occasional glance through almost any newspaper reveals a very different view of everyday life, where complex emotions, desires and needs are played out through the misuse and abuse of electronic products and systems. A mother shoots her son after an argument over which television channel to watch; a parent is outraged by a speaking doll made in China which sounds like it swears; the police set a trap for scanner snoopers – people who listen in to emergency radio frequencies illegally – by broadcasting a message that a UFO has landed in a local forest, within minutes several cars arrive and their scanners are confiscated. Many of these stories illustrate the narrative space entered by using and misusing a simple electronic product, how interaction with everyday electronic technologies can generate rich narratives that challenge the conformity of everyday life by short-circuiting our emotions and states of mind. These stories blend the physical reality of place with electronically mediated experience and mental affect. They form part of a pathology of material culture that includes aberrations, transgressions and obsessions, the consequences of and motivations for the misuse of objects, and object malfunctions. They provide glimpses of another more complex reality hidden beneath the slick surface of electronic consumerism.

---

## Amateur subversions and beta-testers

When an object's use is subverted, it is as though the protagonist is cheating the system and deriving more pleasure than is his or her due. The subversion of function relates to a breakdown of order; something else becomes visible, unnameable, unable to find a correspondence in the material world. This subversion of function is related to not being able to find the right word, leading to the coining of neologisms that bend language to accommodate something new. Desire leads to a subversion of the

environment creating an opportunity to reconfigure it to suit our 'illegitimate' needs, establishing new and unofficial narratives.

Some people already exploit the potentially subversive possibilities of this parallel world of illicit pleasures stolen from commodified experience. They seek out (ab)user-friendly products that lend themselves to imaginative possibilities for short-circuiting the combinatorial limits suggested by electronic products. This ranges from terrorists fashioning bombs and weapons out of mundane everyday objects, many of which are listed in the Anarchist Cookbook, to Otaku magazines showing Japanese gadget geeks how to modify standard electronic products to squeeze extra functionality out of them. There are no futurologists at work here. The main players in this world are beta-testers, tweaking and adjusting reality on a day-to-day basis. They are dissatisfied with the version of reality on offer, but rather than escaping or dropping out, they adjust it to suit themselves. Concerned with software not hardware, they invent new uses for existing technologies and promote interaction with 'designed' objects that subvert their anticipated uses. In doing so, they challenge the mechanisms that legitimise the conceptual models embodied in the design of the product or system and demonstrate behaviours towards technology that invite others to follow.

Beta-testers have learnt how to derive enjoyment from electronic materiality, from rejecting the material realities on offer and constructing their own. They display a level of pleasure in customisation currently limited to home DIY and custom car hobbyists. Many specialist magazines and books are already available that show readers how to modify or tweak everyday electronic products. Most of them are a little technical, but only because knowledge of electronics is still not as common as other forms of practical know-how. After all, an ever-growing number of home improvement magazines and TV programmes thrive on the pleasure people get from modifying their environments themselves – of customising reality. Maybe in the future we will see popular electronics magazines that show us how to turn our mobile phones into eavesdropping devices in three easy steps?

---

#### Consumers as anti-heroes: some cautionary tales

The almost unbelievable stories reported in newspapers testify to the unpredictable potential of human beings to establish new situations despite the constraints on everyday life imposed through electronic objects. We are interested in people who have assimilated electronic technologies so fully into their lives that they feel comfortable doing things others would think of as almost too sacred or highly charged for technology. These individuals can be thought of as sad, based on the view that playing out deeply human narratives through technological objects is degrading and inferior to more traditional media. Or they can be seen as early adopters, able to find meaning and recognise the potential of new technologies for supporting complex human emotions and desires.

Teenagers are now using their mobile phones to intimidate each other. A new form of bullying has emerged since Christmas 1999, when a huge number of teenagers in Britain received pre-paid mobile phones as gifts. Earlier in the year, a 15-year-old was driven to suicide after receiving up to 20 silent calls in half an hour. The teenager left a suicide text message on her mobile phone the night before she died. The fact that her suicide note was in the form of a text message rather than handwritten will seem even more tragic to some, but to this girl text messages played a more vital role in her life than letters.

As a society we are struggling to define and communicate the safe use of new media to teenagers. Just as we have developed models of safe behaviour for the street and for dealing with strangers in cars, we will have to do so for phones and computers. It is not that these technologies are in themselves harmful, it is their use and misuse that we need to understand. Another distressing example is that of the 16-year-old schoolgirl raped by a man she chatted up with phone text messages. She swapped messages for weeks before agreeing to meet the stranger in a car park. For many teenagers, the mobile phone is a gateway to romance, and new hybrid services are fusing the lonely hearts column with text messaging. It is only a matter of time before purely text-based romancing matures as a genre of its own.

A more humorous example is the man in Australia who married his TV. During the ceremony, he placed a gold wedding ring on top of the TV set and one on his finger. He even promised to 'love, honour and obey' the product. One day it just occurred to him that his TV was the best companion he had ever had – he watched up to ten hours a day. It is easy to criticise people who watch so much TV, but in many ways this form of happiness shows what might be in store for the rest of us as society becomes even more electronically mediated. Though it is not necessarily a good thing, some people clearly find the company of electronic products more satisfying than that of people. These individuals are not rejecting other people because of technology; they have found happiness with technology instead. Before the advent of television and the web, they might have been lonely.

Maybe these obsessive behaviours provide glimpses of a future where electronic products have been fully assimilated into everyday culture and our psyche. They are cautionary tales; they push our relationship with the medium of electronic technology to the limit. This is despite the design of the products: in fact there is a contrast between the banal design of many electronic products and the extreme misuses they are subjected to. Products could offer more complex and demanding aesthetic experiences if designers referred to this bizarre world of the 'infra-ordinary', where stories show that truth is indeed stranger than fiction, and prove that our experience of everyday life lived through conventional electronic products is aesthetically impoverished.


---

#### When objects dream...

Electronic objects, from mobile phones to washing machines, are often described as 'smart'. But using this term to describe objects with enhanced electronic functionality encourages a bland interpretation of the things that are an integral part of our daily lives. Electronic objects are not only 'smart', they 'dream' – in the sense that they leak radiation into the space and objects surrounding them, including our bodies. Despite the images of control and efficiency conveyed through a beige visual language of intelligibility and smartness, electronic objects, it might be imagined, are irrational – or at least they allow their thoughts to wander. Thinking of them in terms of dreaminess rather than smartness opens them up to more interesting interpretations.

The dreams of electronic objects are made from electromagnetic radiation. These dreams radiate outwards from the object, creating a new, invisible but physical environment that we call hertzian space. It is here that the secret life of electronic objects is played out, secret not only because we rarely glimpse it, but also because we are only just beginning to understand it. The electromagnetic spectrum covers an enormous spread of frequencies, ranging from the fields given off by electrical wiring (50 Hz),

Love  
Honour  
and  
Obey



Cosmic Rays

Gamma Rays

X-rays

Ultra-violet

Visible Light

Infra-red

Radio Waves

300 GHz Extremely High Frequency

Earth and Space Exploration

30 GHz Super High Frequency

Traffic Radar

Radio Navigation

3000 MHz Ultra High Frequency

Bluetooth

Civilian Global Positioning Satellites

Microwave Ovens

Mobile Phones

UK TV

300 MHz Very High Frequency

Radio controlled model aircraft

Illegal Bugging Devices

Baby Intercoms

30 MHz High Frequency

Short Wave Radio

CB radio

Vehicle Alarms (radio keys)

3000 KHz Medium Frequency

Medium Wave Radio

300 KHz Low Frequency

Long Wave Radio

Radio Astronomy

30 KHz Very Low Frequency

Natural Radio (Whistlers)

3 KHz Electric Waves


through the different radio frequencies (LW, MW, SW), into the region used by TV (UHF, VHF), radar, mobile phones, microwave ovens and satellites, on to infra-red (TV remotes), then into visible light, and finally x-rays and beyond. Our sense organs function as transducers, converting environmental energy into neural signals, but they cannot transduce radio waves or other wavelengths outside the narrow bandwidth of visible light and infra-red energy, which is sensed through the skin as warmth.

When we look at a television set or a computer, we are aware only of what is radiated at the frequency of visible light, what we can see. In fact, every electronic object is spread over many frequencies of the electromagnetic spectrum, some of them visible, others not. If our eyes could see (or tune into) energy of a lower frequency, these objects would not only appear different, but their boundaries would extend much further into space, interpenetrating other objects that would be considered discrete at the frequency of light. All electronic objects are a form of radio, hybrids of radiation and matter. Objects not only 'dematerialise' metaphorically, in response to miniaturisation and replacement by services, but literally dematerialise into radiation.

The extra-sensory nature of electromagnetic fields often leads to the EM spectrum being treated as something notional. But while cyberspace is a metaphor that spatialises what happens in computers around the world, hertzian space is real. Cyberspace describes what lies beyond the screen (or electronic object), a virtual world accessed through electronic devices, where computer games are played and the internet is surfed. Hertzian space describes what happens in front of the screen, outside of the object, it is part of the space our bodies inhabit, even though our senses detect only a tiny part of it.

Hertzian space is considered a medium for carrying information, an invisible alternative to wires and cables. People normally only become aware of it when they use their mobile phones, listen to the radio or watch TV. But in fact, it is all around us: like light, electromagnetic radiation is emitted by some objects, reflected by others and absorbed by others again. Hertzian space is three-dimensional and spatial. It is an environment that needs to be fully understood if it is to be made habitable. As the spectrum becomes better understood, it will begin to shape architecture and other objects. Buildings will evolve to provide shelter from it, filter it, furnish views and allow for privacy. Just as different building types evolve in relation to specific climatic conditions, so too will new building typologies evolve in response to different electro-climatic conditions.

Electromagnetic waves radiating from a telephone,  
a computer, a printer and a fax machine, p.12



## Section 02: Hertzian Space

---



### Nowhere to hide

As designers, we are interested in the interaction between devices, hertzian space and the imagination. We prefer to think of the electromagnetic spectrum as an inhabitable landscape, with its own electroclimate and electrogeography. But like other 'natural' environments, the electromagnetic spectrum is constantly under threat from commercial over-development. Unsurprisingly, industry views hertzian space solely as something to be bought and sold and commercially developed for use in broadcasting and telecommunications. The spectrum is highly regulated by the state and nearly all uses of it require a license – unauthorised use is viewed as trespassing. The high value of electromagnetic real estate has encouraged the government to explore radical plans to raise billions from the part privatisation of the spectrum. If these plans go through, it will revolutionise the allocation of spectrum to media, telecommunications and public sectors. Not-for-profit and community-based organisations will find it more and more difficult to compete for access with aggressively commercial companies.

The electromagnetic spectrum underwent intense militarisation during the twentieth century. Enormous parts of it are reserved for military communications, and extensive research has led to EM weapons such as the controversial non-lethal 'ray gun', which makes victims feel as if their skin is burning even though it is not. However, it is the efforts being made to render the EM spectrum totally transparent that are most disturbing. There are several ongoing debates about the extent to which governments should be allowed to eavesdrop on ordinary citizens' electronic communications. The European Union, for example, is seriously considering the idea that all electronically mediated communications should be stored for seven years to aid policing, but probably the most alarming use or, more accurately, mis-use of the spectrum by governments is Echelon, a global spy system established by the US National Security Agency (NSA) in 1948. Other partners in the project are the Government Communications Head Quarters (GCHQ) of England, the Communications Security Establishment (CSE) of Canada, the Australian Defence Security Directorate (DSD) and the General Communications Security Bureau (GCSB) of New Zealand. Echelon consists of listening posts positioned all over the globe which can monitor and analyse practically any fax, e-mail or phone call sent anywhere in the world. As information is gathered it is processed by the vast NSA computer system, any words that match a special Echelon dictionary are flagged. When a key word is found, the message is transcribed and kept for future reference.

The government monopolisation of the EM spectrum is taken to the extreme in Craig Baldwin's cult film *Spectres of the Spectrum* (1999). The film, an idiosyncratic take on media archaeology, plunders

Foam gun (top), drawn by Jon Hares,  
Ray gun (bottom), drawn by Tom Gauld, p.15



the electromagnetic imaginary for its material. It mixes spiritualism, mesmerism, telepathy and time travel with a potted history of the use of electromagnetism for communications, weapons and medicine, and features characters such as Tesla, Alexander Graham Bell and Wilhelm Reich. Set in 2007, the film uses a montage of sound, live action and clips from a 1950s educational TV programme called *Science in Action* to tell the story of Booboo, a telepathic woman who travels back through radio space to find a coded message inserted in the airwaves by her grandmother. The message holds the key to overthrowing the New Electromagnetic Order (NEO) and their complete corporate colonisation of the imagination through a misuse of HAARP, the High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program set up by the US Air Force and the US Navy in 1993 in order to 'understand, simulate and control ionospheric processes that might alter the performance of communication and surveillance systems'.

The reality is not so dramatic. Now that so many of us are connected to the spectrum through mobile phones, it is becoming a convenient medium for the state to communicate with its citizens and sometimes monitor them. At one point, the UK government planned to send text messages to the mobile phones and pagers of unemployed people to test their basic maths and English skills. If they did not score highly enough, they would be asked to do a course or face a reduction in their benefits. It is not all one-way though: in the UK, many first-time voters expressed a desire to vote using their phones, and many people who did not vote in the last general election said they would have done if they could have used their mobile phones. It was widely reported that more people voted to eliminate contestants in the reality TV programme *Big Brother* than voted for the ruling Labour Party in the last election.

A more imaginative application, borrowed from the Amsterdam police force, is to send 'text bombs' to stolen mobiles so that they are unusable. After an owner reports their GMS phone stolen, the police start sending text messages every minute:

17:56pm	Menu
THIS HANDSET IS	
STOLEN, BUYING OR	
SELLING IT IS A CRIME	
- THE POLICE	
Back	

The messages are sent by a computer system specially designed for the police. The system can still work even if the handset's Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) card is removed, as it uses the phone's International Mobile Equipment Identity Number to track down the mobile phone number currently being used on the phone.

An early hint of the UK Labour Party's fascination with mobile technology and the EM spectrum was shown when politicians used their pagers to receive information during parliamentary exchanges at the dispatch box. A team of researchers working in the party's 'rebuttal' unit at their Millbank headquarters in London would search a database of opposition politicians' quotes and statements for information related to specific questions. So when a researcher discovered that a Tory backbencher who had asked

the Prime Minister a question about the insurance industry was a paid consultant to the Institute of Insurance Brokers, this fact was passed on to a Labour MP via his pager. Tory MPs seemed more upset by the way the politician read directly from his screen than by the use of the pager. This is an example of the spectrum being used as a sort of central nervous system, controlling the different limbs of the party.

Another use of spectrum as a nervous system, though on a rather larger scale, was recently discovered by scientists at Roke Manor Research Laboratories. They have developed a way of using the network of cellular phone masts to detect stealth bombers, which were designed not to show up on radar screens. The mobile phone network only exists when it is actually being used: it is like a sensitive skin stretched over the country, woven from the signals linking callers to base stations and each other. By measuring any loss of signal strength occurring through absorption by a foreign body, such a computerised nervous system can detect where it has been touched. Specially developed devices and software can sense the distortions and deflections in the telecommunications network created by bombers flying over the UK, right through the network. The advantage of this system over radar is that the entire network would have to be destroyed in order for it to be disabled, which would mean flattening the whole country.

---


### Spectral geographies


Although we cannot sense electromagnetic radiation, it is actual and very real, and interacts with the physical world to produce a new in-between landscape of shadows and hotspots we call an electrogeography. This hybrid landscape connects with rural and urban landscapes in a variety of different ways. Computer models produced by telecommunication research laboratories show how radio waves interact with the urban environment, and demonstrate clearly how hertzian space sits within the physical realm.

Different regions are now recognised as having different electrogeographies with specific features. For example, experiments to test the effectiveness of electronic tagging for criminals were conducted in three different locations: Manchester was chosen as an inner city area with numerous high-rise buildings, Norfolk because of its widely dispersed population and flat terrain, and Reading because it is a low-rise town with a highly developed technological infrastructure. The assumption was that high-rise buildings would block signals and create shadows, flat terrain would allow signals to travel freely over great distances and a dense technological infrastructure might generate electronic interference.

Tools developed for visualising the spectrum can also help us to understand hertzian space as a real landscape. Situated in an electrically quiet location 60 km north of London, the Baldock Radio Station operates 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, monitoring the spectrum. Although its main purpose is to track down sources of interference, it also helps the Radiocommunications Authority to identify rogue broadcasters. The station can monitor activity on any part of the radio spectrum, outputting the results as 'waterfall' charts. In these images consisting of offset layered snapshots taken over a period of time, the spectrum is portrayed as a landscape. Radio stations appear as regular, permanent features in the landscape, while rogue stations zigzag through the spectrum, trying to avoid detection.

Stealth bomber detector, drawn by Tom Gauld, p.18





The hertzian landscape even has its own natural preserves. In the US, West Virginia state legislature uses the Radio Astronomy Zoning Act to create a National Radio Quite Zone. This 13,000 square mile area is designed to be an electromagnetic sanctuary, relatively free from electromagnetic pollution. Situated close to the state border between Virginia and West Virginia, the zone is shielded from the nearest city by a mountain range, there are no high-powered radio or TV stations nearby and only a few electric power transmission lines pass through the landscape. Commercial airlines do not fly overhead, so there are no radar signals, and heavy trucks and buses are only allowed to pass on the other side of the mountains. The NRQZ was established by the Federal Communications Commission in 1958 to minimise the risk of interference to the National Radio Astronomy Observatory located at Green Bank. The area is also home to listening post run by the US Navy at Sugar Grove, which was once intended to be the site for the world's biggest bug. Even today, the area is still shrouded in secrecy.

---

#### Electrosmog

Electronic objects are disembodied machines with extended invisible skins. They couple and decouple with our bodies without us knowing. Working on microscopic scales, often pathogenic, many electromagnetic fields interfere with the cellular structure of the body. Paranoia accompanies dealings with such hertzian machines. How do they touch us? Do they merely reflect off our skin, or the surface of our internal organs? In other words, do they merely 'see' us, or can they 'read' us too, extracting personal information about our identity, status, and health?

Vatican Radio broadcasts the Pope's speeches and events to the furthest corners of the world in 40 languages via a forest of 58 antennae located at Santa Maria di Galeria near Rome. Following concern at

the disproportionately high incidence of leukaemia in children living near the transmitter site, in March 2001 the Italian Environment Minister charged three senior officials of the radio station with exceeding Italian laws on electromagnetic emissions. The Vatican denied causing a health hazard, and only agreed to reduce the number of transmissions as a goodwill gesture after the government threatened to cut off the electricity supply to its radio station. As the Vatican enjoys the legal status of an independent city-state, its lawyers also claimed immunity from Italian laws in this case, and argued that the station's emission levels did comply with the less stringent international standards. This fusion of religious content, electromagnetic space, health concerns and government regulations is a particularly colourful example of struggles occurring all over the developed world between large corporations, governments and increasingly concerned citizens.

The rapid expansion of uses for the electromagnetic spectrum has resulted in a new form of pollution, or electrosmog. Many different organisations exist to raise awareness of these issues, from the official, like the FEB (The Swedish Association for the Electro-sensitive) to the grassroots, like the EMF Guru website. There are also specialist centres such as the Breakspear Hospital in England, which specialises in treating environmental illnesses, including hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields. But much of the information available on the effects of electromagnetic pollution is quite technical and difficult to understand. Powerlines (1997), a poetic documentary film by Helen Hall, uses dance and music to examine the mystery of electromagnetic fields, the promise of new energy, and the dangers of electromagnetic pollution. It is an artistic interpretation of a scientific area and introduces the topic to an audience who otherwise might be alienated by the technical subject matter. Located on the edge of a global electronic culture, it explores the shifts beginning to occur in the ways we relate to our environment, especially when we have to move beyond our senses:

'As the environment becomes flooded with electromagnetic radiation, all our senses are swamped with energy and information. While the entire world becomes electrified we are being overloaded by a vast world of electronic images, lights, and sounds, as huge amounts of information travel around the world at the speed of light and interact with the millions of electrical processes in every living cell of our bodies.'

Helen Hall, from the script of Powerlines.

The uncertainty about the effects of electro-pollution has resulted in a plethora of companies producing and selling protective devices, many of which seem highly unscientific. One company called LessEMF manufactures and sells protective underwear via the internet under the category of personal protection devices:

'Gain control of your inner environment – very sheer, comfortable undergarments you can wear over your regular underwear to shield yourself from powerline and computer electric fields, and microwave, radar, and TV radiation. This silver-plated, stretchable, washable nylon mesh is electrically conductive. It reflects radiation. Plus you won't get those static shocks as you used to in dry weather and your clothes won't cling to you! Fabric provides up to 35 dB of shielding at 100 MHz. Made in USA. Surround what you want to protect!'

<http://www.lessemf.com>

LessEMF also sells scientific meters for measuring different kinds of radiation, but the underwear is particularly interesting because these items illustrate a new and different idea of comfort. They might not be physically comfortable, but they obviously offer psychological protection for the wearer. It is as if the manufacturer and buyers of these products realise that we need to start developing objects that redefine our relationship to this new environment. However, many of the objects available now are not so convincing, and come across as slightly paranoid.

---

#### Radiant objects

Pathological products based on paranoia or eccentricity often reveal more about the aesthetic possibilities of this new space than more conventional objects. Many devices designed to make private situations and information public depend on the 'leakiness' of electronic objects, tuning into the dreams of radiant products. The 'computer intercept system' sold by the Surveillance Technology Group is an excellent example.

'Without entering the premises, electromagnetic radiating from unshielded computer screens and ancillary equipment can be intercepted from a remote location. The Computer Intercept System's highly sensitive receiver logs all radiating signals into its 100 channel memory. These emissions are then stabilised, processed and reassembled into clear reproduction of the intercepted data onto its built-in monitor.'



#### Surveillance Technology Group brochure

The realisation that computers leak has led to a radically different way of thinking about electronic products compared to non-electronic objects. In 1985, Dutch scientist Wim van Eck published a paper which caused a panic within the US government and was immediately classified. Wim van Eck proved that computer VDUs emitted electromagnetic radiation similar to radio waves and that they could be intercepted. This surveillance technique has become known as Tempest monitoring. Tempest stands for Transient Electromagnetic Pulse Standard. It is the standard by which the US government measures electromagnetic computer emissions and details what is safe (allowed to leak) from monitoring. It is also sometimes called van Eck monitoring or van Eck phreaking.

This electromagnetic leakiness gives rise to some very interesting issues to do with privacy, territory and the edges or boundaries of one's own identity, space and objects. Many of the most interesting debates in this area are taking place in the field of law. In 1992 police in California scanned a house using a thermal imaging device. The scanner showed that the building was generating excessive heat, and on the basis of this information, the tenant's electricity records and the testimony of an informant, the police obtained a search warrant. Inside the house, they found more than 100 marijuana plants growing under high-intensity lights.

In court, the defendant's lawyers claimed that by using a thermal imaging device the police had 'entered' his home, and should therefore have applied for a search warrant beforehand, just as they would have to if they were to physically enter the house. The prosecution countered that the defendant's home had 'leaked' into the street, and no privacy laws were violated. The issue was summed up by one

Tempest computer monitoring and interception system, drawn by  
Rei Terao (top) and Tom Gauld (bottom), p.22



academic when he asked: 'Does this (scanner) take someone from outside (a home) and put them in or take information from inside and take it out?'

The US government compared the scanning to watching a house from the outside, which does not require a warrant. The US Solicitor General's Office wrote: 'Thermal imagers do not literally or figuratively penetrate the home and reveal private activities within... Unlike a hypothetical sophisticated X-ray device or microphone that could perceive activity through solid walls observations that would amount to searches, a thermal imaging device passively detects only heat gradients on exterior surfaces.' Another example of attempts to legally define ambiguous private and public boundaries within hertzian space occurred when a man was imprisoned on the basis of material picked up by a neighbour on his cordless phone. The court ruled that no one had invaded his privacy, as by using a cordless phone he had 'no reasonable expectation of privacy'.



In Britain, television licensing authorities exploit the semi-mythic status of TV detector vans, as license inspectors cannot physically enter your house to check if you own a TV set or not without your permission. No one seems to know how these vehicles, which supposedly roam the city cross-referencing TV signals, addresses and TV license data, work, or if they even exist. As we begin to redefine inside and outside legally in relation to leaky products and devices, the question of how these devices actually work could become very important. Do they 'enter' our homes? Or do the electronic objects in our homes 'leak' into the street? These examples show that even such basic concepts as inside and outside are redundant when we start to talk about inhabiting hertzian space.

It is not just the difference between inside and outside, private and public, that is causing difficulties. Defining the exact moment when a piece of digital information becomes yours is also proving elusive. A Canadian police anti-pornography unit claimed that possession of computer pornography occurs when an image is saved on a computer's hard drive or a diskette. Most people have no idea what is being saved to their hard drive: the computer can make a copy of an illegal picture and save it to disc when a person is just peeking at an illegal image out of curiosity but has no intention of downloading it. It has still not been determined whether or not a person is guilty of possession of illegal pornography if it has been inadvertently saved to their hard disc without their knowledge.

The growing awareness that computers radiate is also beginning to influence the way we think about them in relation to our bodies. After one of our lectures, a woman approached us with a story. When her father died, she decided to clear out all his belongings. She found a new home for everything accept his computer. He had spent so much time sitting in front of it working, that she felt he had somehow exchanged energy with it, she felt as though some of his energy or radiation had become mixed up with the computer's radiation. She could not bring herself to get rid of his computer, and ended up with a slightly spooky situation she did not know how to resolve. The house was completely empty accept for one room where the computer sat on the floor in a corner.

As awareness of the existence of electromagnetic fields grows, our notions of the 'haunted' might begin to evolve in new directions, as well. In *The Ring* (1998), a film by Hideo Nakata, a mysterious video cassette transmits a psychic virus to anyone who watches it. Within ten minutes of the film ending, the telephone rings, and unless the tape is passed on to someone else, the person dies within seven days. In a way, you could say that the haunted house has been superseded by the haunted product. Ghosts move

TV detector van, drawn by Tom Gauld (top) and Anthony Dunne (bottom), p.24



tv license detection telepaths training (they work in threes to avoid disputes)

through the magnetic fields and electronic workings of video cassettes, video players, TVs and the telephone system rather than hallways and cellars. There is something poetic about the idea of a ghost existing in a magnetic medium, breaking into everyday life through products that shape and transform the very same media.

Lawyers, criminals and the superstitious are already aware of these issues, designers and architects need to explore them too. Not just by finding new ways of exploiting the electromagnetic spectrum as a medium, but by defining and giving tangible expression to new thresholds between inside and outside, public and private, mine and yours, within a cultural context.

---


#### Immaterial sensuality

As a result of these changing notions and shifting boundaries, a whole host of technologies, devices and materials have been developed to offer protection or shelter from the spectrum. These objects and materials could be defined as 'radiogenic', that is they interact directly with electromagnetic waves, either reflecting energy, converting it or diverting it. Radiogenic objects and materials function as unwitting interfaces between the abstract space of electromagnetism and the material culture of everyday life, revealing unexpected points of contact between them. This fusion of the immaterial and sensual can generate some intriguing situations, objects and aesthetic possibilities.

The challenge today is not to create electronic space, but electronic-free space. The extent of hertzian space is reflected in the difficulty of finding electromagnetically unpolluted parts of the globe as sites for intelligence gathering 'antenna farms' and the use of Faraday cages to create 'empty' zero-field spaces for isolating sensitive equipment. A modern war is won by the side that best exploits the electromagnetic spectrum, denying the enemy its effective use and protecting friendly electromagnetic systems against electronic attack. In order to prevent electronic eavesdropping, many office buildings are now designed to function as Faraday cages, utilising electromagnetic shielding materials throughout the structure. Ceramic conductive coatings or fine blackened copper wire meshes are laminated in glass to create 'datasafe' windows. The same technology is used to protect sensitive equipment inside buildings from bursts of external radiation.

As electronic products escape their cases and leak into the space surrounding them, it might become necessary for us to seek shelter in specially constructed non-radio spaces, or negative radios. With the Faraday Chair (1998), for example, we used a conductive ceramic coating to shield the occupant. This utilitarian shelter of minimum dimensions and comfort might even be a retreat, a new place to dream, away from the constant bombardment by the radiation of telecommunications. We just do not know what the real effects of the new space that has been constructed are, but to completely shield our homes is a luxury only the rich could afford.

Antenna test-sites and other specially designed technical environments like anechoic chambers are used to measure an object's 'leakiness' in order to predict its effect on other objects. The complexity and specificity of these spaces show just how difficult it is to create fully-shielded environments. Most protective environments concentrate on blocking only particular wavelengths. In City of Façades (2001), architect Oliver Michell has developed a range of prototype Faraday Curtains that make use of



this shielding technology. His project proposes a new settlement populated by radio enthusiasts broadcasting opinions and (dis)information from a very dense site in Berlin. The city's fabric consists of a layering of protective surfaces, or façades, which protect broadcasters from the electromagnetic waves generated. In the true spirit of radio hams, the city is to be assembled by its inhabitants themselves, using a selection of designed parts and a set of construction guidelines.

Most of the materials Michell has chosen to focus on are familiar elements of domestic surface decoration such as wallpaper and net curtains, modified to filter out electromagnetic fields. His Faraday Curtains consist of readily available domestic net curtains soaked in clear resin before being vacuum metalicised with copper. Although a design proposal, this project is intended to be technically feasible. For instance, the lace used for the curtains was checked to ensure the holes were of a suitable dimension to shield against short wave radio waves. The final result expresses a hertzian domesticity, acknowledging the need for privacy and homeliness while providing psychological and physical protection from electromagnetic fields.

A different approach to shelters was explored by another architect, Pedro Sepulveda-Sandoval, as part of his ongoing research into digital shelters for the scanscape. His kit for making temporary zones of privacy consists of specially made tape with the words 'digital shelter' printed on it and a waveshield mobile phone jammer. When the electromagnetic shelter is set up, the only visible indication of its existence is a taped rectangle marking the functional limits of the phone jammer. This project very clearly demonstrates the environmental qualities of electromagnetic fields: when somebody steps inside the taped boundary, their mobile phone stops working. It is as though they have stepped into an invisible shelter that prevents telephone signals from penetrating its walls.


Waveshield devices are currently used in cinemas and restaurants to minimise 'social pollution'; they work by generating a radio signal that prevents the telephone from communicating with a base station, thereby losing its connection with the network. The UK distributor for these devices requires government approval before one can be sold. Using a jammer constitutes a form of trespass.


Like all supposedly immaterial media, hertzian space has its material props. In the case of mobile phone networks, it is the unsightly masts dotted throughout the countryside and perched on buildings in all major cities and towns. There are believed to be more than 20,000 such masts in Britain and an estimated 100,000 more will be needed over the next decade. Several American companies including ARCNET of New Jersey, the Larson Company of Arizona, Valmont Industries of Nebraska, and AT&T are jointly creating camouflaged antennas disguised as trees, like the 40 m white pine. These masts are covered with epoxy-resin bark, and antennas are concealed inside branches. Royal palm and saguaro cactus designs are also available, to suit different environments.

Hundreds of church spires already carry some form of telecommunications equipment, and in return the churches receive a rent of between £3,000 - £30,000 per year. One of the most intriguing stealth antennas is located in Guildford cathedral in Surrey. The telecommunications company One-to-One offered to re-gild the cathedral's 5 m angel weather vane with gold leaf at a cost of £20,000 if it was allowed to place a radio mast inside it. The pole on which the vane rotates has been replaced by a new steel structure concealing three transmitters.

Solutions like these are produced outside of a conventional design context. Whereas a professional designer might try to express the meaning of the antenna, or create a 'modernist' sculptural statement to house the technology, the angel antenna simply juxtaposes the needs of two usually separate worlds. The visual culture of religious paraphernalia and the efficiency-driven exploitation of the EM spectrum join to create an accidentally poetic landmark. Electronic technology gives existing objects, in this case a weather vane, new and almost magical qualities. The Guildford angel expresses beautifully the poetic potential of ubiquitous computing, that is, the belief that computers will cease to exist as discrete objects and will be absorbed, like the electric motor before, into pre-existing artefacts and environments. Rather than forcing material culture to express this fusion, the angel antenna is an example of how juxtaposition could lead to a more enjoyable, if cerebral, meeting of material and electronic cultures.

Peel the backing off the Digital Shelter adhesive tape and stick to the floor in the chosen space. Attach mobile phone jammer to the wall. Stand inside the line to use the shelter.






Camouflaged antenna, Guildford Cathedral, p.28




Camouflaged antenna, USA, p.28

Ref level 85 dB $\mu$ V.  
Scale 10 dB/div.  
T/hold -5 dB $\mu$ V.

Date:  
7 Feb 2000  
(Monday)



'Waterfall chart' produced by Baldock Radio Station, p.18




Computer model of radio waves interacting with buildings, p.18


**NO PERFUME  
NO PERFUMED PRODUCTS  
NO AFTERSHAVE  
NO SMOKING  
NO FLOWERS**



Personal protection devices by LessEMF, p.21



Faraday Chair by Dunne & Raby, p.26



Faraday Curtains by Oliver Michell, p.26

## Connoisseurs

Some people have developed a refined appreciation of the aesthetic potential of the new electromagnetic landscape, and know how to enjoy it before the rest of us do. These electro-connoisseurs hint at what might be as these strange pleasures seep into everyday life. Radio Hams have long taken pleasure in the spectrum, but a more recent development is radio orienteering. The transmitter hunters, or 'foxhunters' as they are also known, derive enjoyment from the spectrum by treating it as a real environment and playground. Five low-power transmitters (foxes) are set up to automatically transmit on the same frequency for exactly one minute each, one after the other. The contestants attempt to locate as many as possible within a two-hour time limit, carrying a card to mark with unique punches found at each fox. Scoring is determined primarily by the number of transmitters found and secondly by the amount of time elapsed. Contestants are individually timed and set out at five-minute intervals, coinciding with the start of transmissions from fox number one. The people who place the transmitters are true connoisseurs of the radioscape and have a special skill: they have internalised the qualities of the new environment to such an extent that they can tell how the radio waves will reflect or be absorbed by different materials and features in the landscape. Radio orienteering is not just a sport, but also a community bound together by specialist knowledge and a sensitive appreciation of a new environment.

Another group of people with a heightened and more romantic appreciation of the radioscape are 'whistler hunters', natural radio enthusiasts who tune into radio transmissions created by atmospheric events. They search out VLF (very low frequency) radio waves or 'sferics', short for 'atmospherics': natural radio-frequency emissions in the ionosphere, caused by electromagnetic energy radiated from lightning. These signals – resonant clicks and pops called 'tweaks' and 'bonks' by scientists – occur in the audible range and may be picked up by antennas and amplified for listening. Occasionally sferics get caught on, and travel long distances along, the magnetic flux lines around the earth, producing 'whistlers', downward gliding signals which may last up to three seconds. These sounds are best received at night, far away from power lines and electromagnetic pollution. Whistler hunters travel far to unpolluted sites, sometimes camping out for days, listening for the elusive sounds of natural radio. Although the sounds are fleetingly beautiful, out of context they lose much of their charm; their beauty is entwined with the effort endured and the symbolic significance of receiving them, which for some is quasi-mystical, for others a defiant gesture against people's careless attitude towards nature.

Pylon enthusiasts, connoisseurs of the material culture of electromagnetic space, extend the pleasures of trainspotting into the electronic world, recording data and savouring the rare and the unusual. One website gathers information about pylons from all over the world and even has a section updated monthly called the 'pylon polemic'.

'This site is dedicated to the humble electricity pylon, whose beauty remains tragically unrecognised. Railed against by mis-guided environmentalists, these delightful constructions enhance and beautify their surroundings, providing a comforting reminder of Man's harnessing of the forces of nature. They also provide children and adults alike with the opportunity to engage in the fascinating and rewarding hobby of electricity pylon number collecting.'


<http://users.tinyonline.co.uk/bigh/bigh/pylonof.htm>

Pylon polemic 17, for example, argues strongly for the notion of 'pylon tourism' and the benefits it would bring local communities. Apparently a site on the outskirts of Rochdale is a mecca for pylon enthusiasts. Just off the A680 to the north-west of the town is a pylon – National Grid Company Plc's ZP 226 – nicknamed 'The Pink Pylon', painted that colour for an appearance in a British film called 'Among Giants'. The author of the polemic regards this pylon as a potential revenue generator for the town.

#### Electro-sensitives

A growing number of people are discovering they are 'allergic' to electromagnetic fields and suffer from electrical sensitivity. The Breakspear Hospital near London specialises in the treatment of environmental illnesses including electrical sensitivity. Its director Dr Jean Munro writes:

'Just as abnormal food and chemical sensitivities can be tested for, so can electrical ones. The procedure is simply to sit in the same room as an electrical oscillator at about TV viewing distance. The tester then tunes the oscillator through all the frequencies likely to be giving problems. This is usually from less than the heart rate frequency of 1 Hertz (cycle per second) to more than 1 GHz (one-billion cycles per second). The patient reports on any symptoms felt. These will usually be the same as those triggered during the foods and chemical testing. The frequencies at which the symptoms are triggered and neutralised are recorded. There are usually one or more frequencies at which all the symptoms clear up. In addition, patients often get great relief in realising that symptoms that they have suffered from for years can be turned on, and off, at will from an electrical oscillator, not connected to them in any way.'




VLF radio, front

Many sufferers have developed their own DIY treatments to alleviate their symptoms, which can include nausea and the onset of headaches when using a telephone. One of the most common methods is described by Marcus Trower writing about the syndrome in Red magazine: 'When she can't sleep, Pauline plugs herself into the mains to drain her body of electricity. She shows me the flex she uses. At one end is a bare wire loop she puts round her fingers or big toe; at the other end is a plug with a single earth pin. She wears a helmet made from tinfoil when she has an attack. To cook she turns on the microwave, then runs to another room until it's finished cooking.' Another woman in Ireland lives in a small two-bedroom wooden chalet called a Scandinavian home. She has no electricity there, uses oil heating and lamps, gas to cook, and has two old fashioned irons that she heats on the fire. She tried a battery operated TV, but this still affected her. If she has to use the phone, she goes to the main house where her husband lives and takes out the main fuse first.

Environments and treatments designed for these electro-sensitive people embody knowledge and ideas about well-being and comfort that may eventually find their way into the mainstream. In the near future, more of us may feel the effects of the inevitable increase in usage of the EM spectrum. Hyper-sensitive people are the pathfinders for this changing environment, 'human canaries' alerting us to dangers and concerns that are bound to become more common as more technology becomes wireless.

We are not recommending that designers try to predict misuses of products, but rather that they refer to this rich narrative space as a context of use instead of the models of normality usually referred to when new functional possibilities are being developed. Designers could draw on the specialist knowledge, concerns and pleasures of beta-testers, early adopters, electro-connoisseurs and hypersensitives to evolve a deeper understanding of how to make ourselves at home in this new environment.




VLF radio, back



Pylons featured on the Pylon of the Month website, p.38



Transmitter hunters, p.38



DIY treatment for electro-sensitives, p.40

•  
• • Section 03: Design Noir •  
• •

---

### Electronic product as neglected medium

The unique narrative potential of consumer electronic products has received surprisingly little attention from artists and designers. Even though industrial design plays a part in the design of extreme pain (e.g. weapons) and pleasure (e.g. sex aids), the range of emotions offered through most electronic products is pathetically narrow.

When the Sony Walkman was introduced in the early 1980s, it offered people a new kind of relationship to urban space. It allowed the wearer to create their own portable micro-environment, and it provided a soundtrack for travel through the city, encouraging different readings of familiar settings. It functioned as an urban interface. Nearly twenty years on, there are hundreds of variations on the original Walkman, but the relationship it created to the city remains the same. This scenario reflects how product designers have responded to the aesthetic challenge of electronic technology. They have accepted a role as a semiotician, a companion of packaging designers and marketeers, creating semiotic skins for incomprehensible technologies. The electronic product accordingly occupies a strange place in the world of material culture, closer to washing powder and cough mixture than furniture and architecture. Form and texture are manipulated to evoke a world of fantasy and fiction, blurring distinctions between everyday life and the hyper-reality of advertising and branding.

---

### Product genres

This is just one approach to product design, one genre if you like, which offers a very limited experience. Like a Hollywood movie, the emphasis is on easy pleasure and conformist values. This genre reinforces the status quo rather than challenging it. We are surrounded by products that give us an illusion of choice and encourage passivity. But industrial design's position at the heart of consumer culture (it is fuelled by the capitalist system, after all) could be subverted for more socially beneficial ends by providing a unique aesthetic medium that engages the user's imagination in ways a film might, without being utopian or prescribing how things ought to be.

Electronic products and services could enrich and expand our experience of everyday life rather than closing it down; they could become a medium for experiencing complex aesthetic situations. To achieve this, designers would have to think about products and services very differently. There could be so many other genres of product beyond the bland Hollywood mainstream: arthouse, porn, romance, horror – noir, even – that exploit the unique and exciting functional and aesthetic potential of electronic technology. Although many products already fall into genres – Alessi products attempt design as comedy, designs for weapons and medical equipment can shock and horrify, sex-aids are obviously a form of design porn and white goods express a wholesome and romantic idea of settled domesticity – they do not aesthetically challenge or disturb.

If the current situation in product design is analogous to the Hollywood blockbuster, then an interesting place to explore in more detail might be its opposite: Design Noir. As a genre, it would focus on how the psychological dimensions of experiences offered through electronic products can be expanded. By referring to the world of product misuse and abuse, where desire overflows its material limits and subverts the function of everyday objects, this product genre would address the darker, conceptual models of need that are usually limited to cinema and literature.

Noir products would be conceptual products, a medium that fuses complex narratives with everyday life. This is very different from conceptual design, which uses design proposals as a medium for exploring what these products might be like. Conceptual design can exist comfortably in book or video form, it is about life whereas conceptual products are part of life. With this form of design, the 'product' would be a fusion of psychological and external 'realities', the user would become a protagonist and co-producer of narrative experience rather than a passive consumer of a product's meaning. The mental interface between the individual and the product is where the 'experience' lies. Electronic technology makes this meeting more fluid, more complex and more interesting.

Like in Film Noir, the emphasis would be on existentialism. Imagine objects that generate 'existential moments' – a dilemma, for instance – which they would stage or dramatise. These objects would not help people to adapt to existing social, cultural and political values. Instead, the product would force a decision onto the user, revealing how limited choices are usually hard-wired into products for us.

On another level, we could simply enjoy the wickedness of the values embedded in these products and services. Their very existence is enough to create pleasure.

Many interesting examples of noir products already exist, but they are not created by designers. The best examples of how design responds to the psychological and behavioural dimensions of electronics can be found at the edges of anonymous design. These products and services work on a radically different aesthetic principle from traditional products: it is what they do that creates pleasure, not how they look and feel. It is the thrill of transgression that counts here. Even if we do not use them, just imagining these objects in use creates a strong and perversely enjoyable experience. They show how design products and services can function as a medium for producing complex psychological experiences.

The Truth Phone, a real product produced by the Counter Spy shop, is one example of how a Noir product might work. It combines a voice stress analyser with a telephone, and shows how electronic products have the potential to generate a chain of events which together form a story. If you consider products in this way, the focus of the design shifts from concerns of physical interaction (passive button pushing) to the potential psychological experiences inherent in the product. Imagine speaking to your mother or a lover while the Truth Phone suggests they are lying. The user becomes a protagonist and the designer becomes a co-author of the experience, the product creates dilemmas rather than resolving them. By using the phone, the owner explores boundaries between himself and the paranoid user suggested by the product, entering into a psychological adventure.

The Truth Phone and similar electronic objects generate a conceptual space where interactivity can challenge and enlarge the scheme through which we interpret our experiences of using everyday electronic objects and the social experiences they mediate. The effect is not only limited to products: as its name suggests, Ace-Alibi.com is a service for creating false alibis. When you subscribe, you might choose an option that involves being sent a letter inviting you to a conference. The letter will be postmarked with the correct area code, and you can also arrange to leave a contact number which will be answered in the correct regional accent. Franchises of this service are available, although the people behind the scheme are nervous about offering the service in the United States, in case they are sued for their part in helping employees bunk off work. We find this service interesting because it meets a real need not fulfilled anywhere else. You may not agree with it or choose to use it, but many people use this service. The pleasure provided by the existence of a service like this lies is in resolving the dilemma it presents. It is as though the internet reflects human nature in all its imperfections while the material world of consumer products only reflects idealised notions of correct behaviour.

Along similar lines to Ace-Alibi.com is the Alibi CD produced in Germany by Silenzio. It contains recordings of street sounds, airport announcements from different countries, train stations, bars and beaches. Designed for those 'little white lies in between', the CD is intended to be played in the background while you are making a telephone call from a place you should not be. This soundtrack CD allows you to cut and paste reality. Its very existence triggers a chain of thoughts and narratives in the imagination.

#### TERMS, CONDITIONS & DISCLAIMER

Documentation is issued by Fast Track Services to the client for the purposes of substantiating an alibi to help ensure that a relationship remains stable and is not to be used in conjunction with Tax Returns, Vat Purposes or for any other financial gain. All documentation is fictitious in nature, and as such, cannot be used in conjunction with any criminal act whatsoever. Fast Track Services will not be held responsible for the break down of any relationship or any court action or litigation whatsoever. Fast Track Services offer a service to help protect the family unit or relationship from distress caused by emotional or any other turmoil which may occur due to the disclosure of any actions taking place outside of the normal relationship. Fast Track Services do not condone or disagree with said actions. Although all possible safeguards and measures are taken, no guarantee is given to any member to ensure that their partner will not become aware of "other" relationships. No Refunds whatsoever are given under any circumstances.


In Japan they have taken this idea one step further. One love hotel there allows you to select a variety of background environmental sounds to give the impression you are calling from a train station, street, bar etc. while you are really on the phone in your hotel room. Like Ace-Alibi.com, this service may not be to everyone's taste, but it uses technology to satisfy unacknowledged but genuine needs, rather than manufactured ones. On another level, this love hotel is an interesting counterpoint to the typical 'smart home' familiar from futurologists' design predictions, with fridges that automatically order more supplies when you are running low on milk. This is an example of what a really smart home would be like: it would help us lie.

The company that produces the Alibi CD also produce Nie Mehr Allein (Alone No More), a CD of the familiar sounds of everyday domestic tasks that became a cult hit. Bernd Klosterfelde had the idea for this product shortly after finding himself living alone after a divorce. He asked a friend to invite his girlfriend around, and then proceeded to record her doing everything from the washing up and the laundry to reading the newspaper. Imagine if this were one of many radio stations you could tune into. The producer claims this CD is a manifesto for singletons. This product not only recognises loneliness, but celebrates it.

#### Alone no more

1. The fridge is full again at last
2. Cappuccino break
3. Reading the paper
4. Time to do the washing up
5. A shirt is quickly ironed
6. Baking a cake for the beloved
7. A bath is just the thing
8. And straight onto the sunbed
9. Getting out the hairdryer
10. Nature calls
11. Forgot to do the vacuuming
12. Just typing up that letter on the computer
13. There's nothing on TV again, at least the crisps are good
14. Better off reading and having a smoke
15. Slammering a roast into the oven


Many products like these have an existential theme. They perplex rather than comfort, even just thinking about them raises many important issues. Objects can be existential in other ways too, for instance in the form of computer-aided existentialism. A suicide computer built to kill patients legally was developed by Dr. Philip Nitschke in the Northern Territory of Australia, where euthanasia was legal for a brief period in the 1990s. The machine consisted of a computer that asked the patient three times whether they really wanted to die. If the patient agreed each time, then 100 ml of liquid Nembutal was pumped through a needle into the patient's arm. They fell asleep and died within a few minutes. The machine was first used in Darwin in 1996, and was bought by the Science Museum in London in 2001.



suicide computer  
attach to the arm  
voice comes from  
the speaker  
answer the question  
with the bottoms



needle will come out to inject  
Nembutal when patient answer  
the yes to the question  
3 times,



Orgasm implant

.....  
this object is similar in size to a washing machine  
.....

Even if we choose not to interact with this noir landscape ourselves, its existence acknowledges a far more complicated and realistic view of human drives, desires and values than official material culture. Loneliness, deception, paranoia, hopelessness and lust are just a few of the conditions these objects and services respond too. It is not just a matter of noir products, environments can also be designed to cater for complex and specific needs. On the outskirts of Rotterdam in the Netherlands, the local council has created a special drive-in facility for use by prostitutes and drug users called an afwerkplek, which roughly translates as a 'finishing place'. The prostitutes sit in shelters arranged along a well-lit driveway, on display to the potential customers driving slowly past. Once a driver has made his choice, prostitute and client can drive around the corner into one of the numbered cubicles, discreetly screened-off from the others. Rubbish bins are also provided, and there is even a sterile needle point for shooting up. Upfront and practical, this is a typically Dutch approach to dealing with controversial social issues. It also hints at another world where, once again, a realistic approach is taken towards people's needs. Artist Dennis Adams recreated a scale model of one of these places for the exhibition Hortus Conclusus at the Witte de With centre in Rotterdam in 2001. The piece looks like a children's play area: while parents wander through the gallery, their children sit in mini versions of the prostitute's shelters and drive miniature vehicles into the lay-bys.

Even the world of toys has its own parallel darker material culture. Anatomically correct dolls combine the playful and abstract world of children's toys with the sordid world of adult desire. Though these dolls are designed for use by counsellors working with children who have suffered sexual abuse, their anatomical realism, expressed through a language we associate with child-like abstraction, makes them very disturbing indeed. Again, the mere existence of the object acknowledges that all is not well. For us, they are more powerful than artworks.

Not everything about noir has to be disturbing though. This genre also includes humour, albeit a little black. A research company recently designed a prototype non-lethal gun called the A3P3 (A3 stands for Aerosol Arresting Agent, P3 for Pulse Projected Plume) for use by the police in crowd control situations. The gun has a sensor that gauges the distance a person is away from it, and adjusts the level of cayenne pepper spray accordingly. It also has a tiny built-in video camera that records the incident and wirelessly transmits the footage back to police headquarters. We like the idea that somewhere, many hours worth of digital imagery of a particular gun's victims would be stored. Of course this is done for legal reasons, but it has almost metaphysical implications: this is a gun with a memory, a personal history expressed through video clips of gesticulating victims.


Noir also has an erotic dimension. A doctor in North Carolina recently built and patented an implant that produces an orgasm in a woman by electrically stimulating the spinal cord. He had the idea for the device when a patient he was treating for chronic back pain experienced unexpected side effects while electrodes implanted in her spine were tested. Operated via a wireless remote-control, the Orgasmic Dysfunction Device opens up all sorts of interesting possibilities: the existence of objects that activate it through proximity; relinquishing control and handing the remote to a partner; malfunctions where the device is accidentally switched on. A device like this, designed to alleviate genuine medical conditions, would be highly ab-user friendly and could lend itself to many functional variations.

Although discovered by accident, the orgasm generator is part of an almost secret history of inventions for pleasure. Very few of them have made it to the market place, but a look through patent records throws up some very interesting and strange ideas that again tell us more about the diversity of notions of pleasure than anything else. The US Patent Office provides a history of technological pleasure in the form of patents for sex aids collected over the last 150 years. The list includes contraception devices, anti-masturbation devices, wet dream prevention devices, impotence aids, bionic penises, anti-rape technology, mechanical stimulators, sex furniture, training/exercising devices, and safe-sex inventions (including sex robots). The strange narrative of pleasure documented in patent drawings offers a technological reflection of human frustration, fantasy, fear and pleasure. These objects are not science fiction or art, they were documented because they either solved a problem or provided exceptional pleasure. Their inventors were motivated by the hard reality of financial gain. They believed that each of these devices had a potential market, for example the need for methods of making sex safe in the age of AIDS. The contents of the patent office represent a material cultural history of desire.

Today, large corporations know that as many of our basic needs are met, we desire to satisfy more abstract ones, but they are unsure what these might be. The current focus is on wellness and well-being. We think it is necessary to go beyond this and embrace danger, excitement and transgression. In the search for new content, designers would need to become like authors, drawing from the fringes of material culture, where products and services satisfy difficult and unusual needs. Not everyone has to participate, but the fact these things exist means our material culture reflects more accurately the range and complexity of human desire and needs, and we might be faced with real choices at last.




Noir products of the type we propose would not really be designed for mass consumption, they would probably be expensive, often exist only as prototypes or in low numbers. While their effectiveness would eventually wear off with increased familiarity, it would still be valuable to live with them for a while. What if they could be rented? Not like a video or library book – although the function is similar – but like musical instruments are today, and even paintings. We believe there is room for a new category of objects that provide complex aesthetic and psychological experiences within everyday life. They could come in a variety of genres of which noir is just one.

Orgasm implant, drawn by Tom Gauld, p.51






Anatomically correct dolls, p.51



A3 P3 gun drawn by Tom Gauld (top) and Alex Rich (bottom), p.51

— *Safe Sex Inventions for the Age of AIDS* —

Mark Grubman's  
condom apron  
invention tied  
around the user's  
waist and extended  
to mid-thigh.  
No. 4,781,709  
(1988)



## Section 04: Designer as Author

---

### Design is ideological

When technology is developing as rapidly as it is now, reflection and criticism are particularly important. We need to consider alternative visions to those put forward by industry. Design, being accessible, contemporary and part of popular culture, is perfectly positioned to perform this role. But in order to achieve this, some significant shifts need to occur. We need to develop a parallel design activity that questions and challenges industrial agendas.

Most designers, especially industrial designers, view design as somehow neutral, clean and pure. But all design is ideological, the design process is informed by values based on a specific world view, or way of seeing and understanding reality. Design can be described as falling into two very broad categories: affirmative design and critical design. The former reinforces how things are now, it conforms to cultural, social, technical and economic expectation. Most design falls into this category. The latter rejects how things are now as being the only possibility, it provides a critique of the prevailing situation through designs that embody alternative social, cultural, technical or economic values.

---

### Critical design

Critical design, or design that asks carefully crafted questions and makes us think, is just as difficult and just as important as design that solves problems or finds answers. Being provocative and challenging might seem like an obvious role for art, but art is far too removed from the world of mass consumption and electronic consumer products to be effective in this context, even though it is of course part of consumerist culture. There is a place for a form of design that pushes the cultural and aesthetic potential and role of electronic products and services to its limits. Questions must be asked about what we actually need, about the way poetic moments can be intertwined with the everyday and not separated from it. At the moment, this type of design is neglected and regarded as secondary. Today, design's main purpose is still to provide new products – smaller, faster, different, better.

Critical design is related to haute couture, concept cars, design propaganda, and visions of the future, but its purpose is not to present the dreams of industry, attract new business, anticipate new trends or test the market. Its purpose is to stimulate discussion and debate amongst designers, industry and the public about the aesthetic quality of our electronically mediated existence. It differs too from experimental design, which seeks to extend the medium, extending it in the name of progress and aesthetic novelty. Critical design takes as its medium social, psychological, cultural, technical and economic values, in an effort to push the limits of lived experience not the medium. This has always been the case in architecture, but design is struggling to reach this level of intellectual maturity.

---

### (Un)Popular design

Developing a critical perspective in design is made difficult by the fact that the design profession, and product designers in particular, see the social value of their work as inextricably linked to the marketplace. Design outside this arena is viewed with suspicion as escapist or unreal. At the moment, the only alternatives to the Hollywood genre of corporate design are design consultancies promoting themselves to corporate clients with slick mocked-up products that are never intended to be developed any further. These objects are purely about PR, they are designed to sell the consultancy's potential for innovative and creative design thinking.

To be considered successful in the marketplace, design has to sell in large numbers, therefore it has to be popular. Critical design can never be truly popular, and that is its fundamental problem. Objects that are critical of industry's agenda are unlikely to be funded by industry. As a result, they will tend to remain one-offs. Maybe we need a new category to replace the avant-garde: (un)popular design.


The design profession needs to mature and find ways of operating outside the tight constraints of servicing industry. At its worst, product design simply reinforces global capitalist values. It helps to create and maintain desire for new products, ensures obsolescence, encourages dissatisfaction with what we have and merely translates brand values into objects. Design needs to see this for what it is, just one possibility, and develop alternative roles for itself. It needs to establish an intellectual stance of its own, or the design profession is destined to lose all intellectual credibility and be viewed simply as an agent of capitalism.

We are not against industry, although it could direct more of its profits into serious design research rather than facile PR exercises. Industry is after all in the business of making money for its shareholders. More disturbing is the unwillingness of the design profession to take on a more responsible and pro-active role within society. Before this can happen, designers will have to redefine their role, embracing and developing new methods and approaches that simultaneously appeal and challenge in the way a film or book does. More could be learnt from fine art where there is a history of critical strategies for asking questions through objects and stimulating debate in engaging ways.

Instead of thinking about appearance, user-friendliness or corporate identity, industrial designers could develop design proposals that challenge conventional values. But critical design must avoid the pitfalls of the 1970s by developing strategies that link it back to everyday life and fully engage the viewer. Things are far more complex today than they were 30 years ago. It is not enough to simply offer an alternative, new strategies need to be developed that are both critical and optimistic, that engage with and challenge industry's technological agenda.

Global corporations are becoming more powerful than states, as Noreena Hertz points out in *The Silent Takeover* (2001) – the annual values of sales of each of the six largest transnational corporations, ranging between \$111 and \$126 billion, are now exceeded by the GDPs of only 21 nation states, and as a result, governments and politicians are losing power. Corporations have a bigger influence on reality than government, and buying power is more important than voting power. A world where shopping has more political impact than voting is a threat to democracy.

etoy.SHARE-CERTIFICATE No.124,  
courtesy of etoy.SHAREHOLDER  
Richard Zach, representing 1900  
etoy.SHARES (value on  
10.08.2001: \$6'593, actual  
value: [www.etoym.com/value](http://www.etoym.com/value))



There has also been a shift in the intellectual landscape as relations between popular culture, the market and critical positions have changed. The marketplace is viewed as the only reality, or as Thomas Frank writes in *One Market Under God* (2001) a form of 'market populism' has taken hold, where people's true desires are expressed and fulfilled through the marketplace. Anything outside of the marketplace is regarded as suspicious and unreal. This state of affairs makes critical positions almost impossible, they are dismissed as elitist. It is almost taboo for an industrial designer to reject what the market wants.

As the intermediary between the consumer and the corporation, the design profession is in a perfect position to host a debate in the form of design proposals about technology, consumerism and cultural value. But first designers will need to develop new communication strategies and move from narratives of production to narratives of consumption, or the aesthetics of use. That is, they will have to shift emphasis from the object and demonstrating its feasibility to the experiences it can offer.

Designers can learn much about this from the approaches developed by artists during the 1990s, when a general blurring of distinctions between fine art, design and business began to develop. For instance, the artist collective Atelier Van Lieshout has worked on the design of a Dutch abortion ship to be anchored off the coast of Ireland and other catholic countries where abortion is illegal. Liam Gillick, who explores decision making mechanisms in corporate culture and their impact on history, also designs exhibitions, interiors and is working on a building.

Other artists have concentrated on appropriating the business world's organisational structures to produce work that fused fictional and real, legal, economic and cultural systems. Probably the best known example is etoy, a corporation, art group and brand formed in 1994 by a group of architects, lawyers, programmers, artists and designers. Their original aim was to create a purely digital identity ([www.etoym.com](http://www.etoym.com)) and break out of narrow art world constraints. All participating artists agree to sell their individual identity to etoy corporation for shares and to live an anonymous life as etoy agents.

It is not possible to buy etoy products, its art exists solely in the form of stocks. The value of etoy in share units is equivalent to the cultural value of etoy corporation which in turn consists of the electronic brand etoy.

Etoy do not merely adopt the rhetoric of the corporate world though, they play big business at its own game. In 1999 etoy embarked on a campaign called Toywar, financed through experimental investment strategies. This campaign was directed against the multi-national corporation eToys, an on-line toy store ([www.etoys.com](http://www.etoys.com)) that attempted to use its superior size and financial power to force etoy to give up its domain name, even though the artists' site had been established long before the retailer's. Afraid that potential customers might confuse the two similarly named sites, eToys originally tried to buy out the etoy brand, but their \$500,000 offer was turned down. The toy company then set out to sue etoy, accusing the internet artists of unfair competition and trademark delusion. With the help of 1,800 volunteer etoy agents and activists, who served the cause by publicising the case on the net and in the news media, filing counter suits and establishing alliances, etoy succeeded in getting eToys to back off. During the course of the Toywar campaign, the value of the on-line toy store's stock dropped from \$67 to \$15 a share.

Not all artists choose to wage war against the corporate world. Instead of seeking arts funding, Lucy Kimbell preferred to present one of her projects as a business proposition and look for investors. Her proposal was for a vibrating internal pager (VIP) using the same technology as vibrating mobile phones. If you liked someone, you could give them your VIP number and receive a gentle buzz when they called you later. The product was never realised, in fact there is not even a picture of what it looks like. VIP exists as a description, a business proposal and an on-line application form.

Artists presenting themselves as employees of imaginary organisations or companies can also yield some interesting results. Originally from an engineering background, Natalie Jeremijenko now describes herself as a staff engineer working for the Bureau of Inverse Technology (BIT). She has left the idea of artist as individual behind to work on a fictional organisation where she is just one employee. In Suicide Box (1996), BIT installed a motion detector and video camera near San Francisco's Golden Gate Bridge to count the number of people jumping off. Later, a report was produced (engineer's report SB03: Jan 23-97) with recommendations for how the BIT Suicide Box data could be used to calculate a 'robust and market responsive value of life'. There is something more sinister about the idea of an organisation rather than an individual carrying out subversive work like this.

One of the most comprehensive fusions of art and corporate culture has to be Maywa Denki, an art unit set up in 1993 by two Japanese brothers, Nobumichi and Masamichi Tosa. Describing themselves as 'parallel world electricians', they are organised as a business whose core activity is producing a variety of devices. They even produce a Maywa Denki company profile explaining all the company's activities for potential job applicants. During their performances, or product promotions as they like to call them, they wear costumes designed to look like those of a typical Japanese small to medium sized enterprise (SME). Maywa Denki produce three kinds of object: prototypes (NAKI), which are one-of-a-kind products and are not for sale; multiples (GM-NAKI), which are reproductions of NAKI products and are for sale; and industrial Goods (TOY-NAKI) which are mass-produced in a factory and sold in the mainstream marketplace. They also produce CDs, videos, books, uniforms and stationary.

Their NAKI series is a collection of fish-inspired nonsense machines. Many of the products in the NAKI series have a darkly humorous side. Uke-Tel is a cage with a tank at the bottom, with two or three fish swimming around in it. The cage is connected to a speaking clock. When the number is dialled, a spike is released and drops on to the fish below. It may or may not kill one. Sei-Gyo is a cross-shaped, water-filled container mounted on a robotic vehicle. The direction the vehicle takes depends on which arm a fish inside the container swims into. Grafish consists of a sheet of paper surrounded by a box into which a living fish dipped in ink is placed. The dying fish leaves a graphic pattern on the sheet: 'as each fish has a unique life, it also has a unique death'. Maywa Denki's industrial goods (TOY-NAKI) are so popular that some Japanese department stores have a dedicated Maywa Denki department. Most of these products are not unlike the merchandising used to promote a new film – plastic miniature versions of fictional characters.

Although their work borders on entertainment, Maywa Denki offer another way of thinking about design in relation to both art and product markets, cutting across several genres and types of activity. Originally signed to Sony Music Entertainment as musicians producing CDs and performances, they later transferred to the amusement and entertainment division of Yoshimoto Kogyo Co. Ltd, a well-known agency for managing TV personalities and comedians. In 2000 they were awarded 'A good design award for theme category' by the Japan Industrial Design Promotion Organisation. Maywa Denki use design as a form of entertainment, a dark counterpoint to the 'happy-ever-after' world of Alessi products.

Similarly subversive, Surrender Control is a poetic service by Matt Locke and Tim Etchells that was delivered to participants through their mobile phones. An experimental narrative in the form of SMS messages, Surrender Control drew users into an evolving game of textual suggestion, provocation and dare through instructions such as 'break something and pretend it was an accident', or 'call somebody and tell them something that you have already told them. Don't explain'. The idea was to invite people to live life in a strange dialogue with a distant other; to surrender some control.

Television is medium ripe for subversion. Watched by millions, it touches nearly everyone's life but is heavily policed, in the US especially. The fear of being boycotted by the extreme Right, of alienating sponsors and incurring the wrath of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) means serious issues are rarely addressed on the main commercial TV channels. One attempt to change this situation was a project to infiltrate the American soap opera Melrose Place, which is set in a Los Angeles apartment complex. Artist Mel Chin had the idea of using TV as a medium for 'public art' that raises important issues about gender, violence and infectious diseases. He approached the set designers of Melrose Place and offered to provide free art to put in the background. When they agreed, Chin formed the GALA Committee, made up of students and teachers from University of Georgia and CalArts (Los Angeles), to collaborate on the design of props for the show which they called non-commercial PIMs (product insertion manifestations).

On closer inspection, many of the GALA paintings hanging in the Melrose Place apartments turn out to depict infamous LA locations where horrible violence or death occurred – Marilyn Monroe's bungalow on the day she died, the apartment from which Rodney King's beating was videoed, Nicole Brown Simpson's house. Having noticed that characters on the show have a lot of sex but are never shown using condoms, GALA produced bed linen for one bedroom scene that is covered in images of unrolled

condoms. Although it is not clear how many people actually noticed these subtle interventions, it is a fresh and playful combination of set design and art.

---

### Complicated pleasure

We believe that in order for conceptual design to be effective, it must provide pleasure, or more specifically, provide a type of experience that Martin Amis has called 'complicated pleasure'. One way this could happen in design is through the development of value fictions. If in science fiction, the technology is often futuristic while social values are conservative, the opposite is true in value fictions. In these scenarios, the technologies are realistic but the social and cultural values are often fictional, or at least highly ambiguous. The aim is to encourage the viewers to ask themselves why the values embodied in the proposal seem 'fictional' or 'unreal', and to question the social and cultural mechanisms that define what is real or fictional. The idea is not to be negative, but to stimulate discussion and debate amongst designers, industry and the public about electronic technology and everyday life. This is done by developing alternative and often gently provocative artefacts which set out to engage people through humour, insight, surprise and wonder.

The suspension of disbelief is crucial – if the artefacts are too strange they are dismissed, they have to be grounded in how people really do behave. The approach is based on viewing values as raw material and shaping them into objects. Materialising unusual values in products is one way that design can be a very powerful form of social critique. The design proposals portrayed in value fictions derive their interest through their potential functionality and use. One of the main challenges of using value fictions is how they are communicated: we need to see them in use, placed in everyday life, but in a way that leaves room for the viewer's imagination. We don't actually have to use the proposed products ourselves, it is by imagining them being used that they have an effect on us. Value fictions cannot be too clear or they blend into what we already know. A slight strangeness is the key – too weird and they are instantly dismissed, not strange enough and they're absorbed into everyday reality.

The following examples, drawn from recent graduate projects at the Royal College of Art in London, show how design proposals like this might work. The projects explore the psychological and behavioural dimensions of our relationship to objects and services, rather than the technical, formal or structural possibilities of consumer technologies. The emphasis is shifted from the aesthetics of production to the aesthetics of consumption, an imagined aesthetics of use. Like the examples from the art world described earlier, these projects mix fiction and reality, borrow commercial structures and combine different media in an effort to engage and challenge the viewer.

Ippei Matsumoto uses product design to explore the powerful need for individual identity and meaning within a context of global culture. With Life Counter (2001), you choose how many years you would like to or expect to live for and start the counter. Once activated, it counts down the selected time span at four different rates: the number of years, days, hours or seconds to go are shown on different faces. Depending on which face you choose to display, you may feel very relaxed as the years stretch out ahead or begin to panic as you see your life speed away before your eyes. The counter is designed to be visually unassuming and could easily fit into the slightly retro-futuristic style of the moment. It is a classic noir product, its power lies in its precise function and low key display of disturbing information.

In *After-Life* (2001), Jimmy Loizeau employs design to dramatise a taboo subject. Although he is an atheist, Loizeau felt a need for an alternative idea to a spiritual afterlife when a relative and then a pet died. He imagined the consequences of a long term cultural shift where people fully embraced an electronically mediated culture, a time when electrical culture took on some of the dignity of more traditional cultures. Could a battery be as significant as a ceramic urn? Loizeau's exploration of value resulted in a device for maintaining an 'after life'. It consists of a raised bed/trolley, body bag, zinc/copper wet cell battery, extraction tube, voltage meter and 1.5v battery and holder. When someone dies, their stomach contents are drained off to provide acid to charge a special 'after-life' battery, which is engraved in the way a gravestone might be. As an object, it allows an atheist to grieve. Once the battery is charged, it can be used to power all sorts of existing and specially designed devices: night lights, torches, vibrators.

In Noam Toran's short film *Object for Lonely Men* (2001) the protagonist desires to be at one with Jean-Luc Godard's 1959 film *A bout de souffle*. Toran explains: 'In the film, the whole set-up was that the character was preparing for his night out, which was no more absurd than our normal nights out. He stays in, and goes out in a different way.' The project explores not only our relationship to electronic objects but how these objects mediate fantasies. The physical design consists of an extended TV dinner tray which includes among other things a child's steering wheel, a female head and a cut-out gun. It could be used as a kit you borrow when you rent a video, or it could be a place-setting in a special restaurant for lonely men where you choose your meal, and you interact.

Another project by Noam Toran, *Accessories for Lonely Men* (2001), consists of a collection of eight products designed to provide some of the incidental pleasures of shared existence for those who live alone. The idea for these products arose when the designer began to wonder whether we missed an individual or the generic traces they leave. During the night, the Sheet Stealer winds the bedclothes up into a tube attached to the side of the bed. Once woken by the cold, the sleeper can pull the sheet out again and reclaim it for himself. In another piece, a cut-out female silhouette is placed in front of a light to throw a shadow. The lamp even has a small drawer to store the silhouette in. Other objects are devised with more intimate moments in mind: once placed on the user's body, the steel finger of the Chest-Hair Curler starts to rotate gently, playing with his chest hair, while Shared Cigarette comes into its own after a solitary sex act. This device has two holes, one for the cigarette, and the other for exhaling smoke. The rapid-fire Plate Thrower, on the other hand, is to be used in moments of high passion. The collection also includes a pair of cold feet-like objects to place at the bottom end of the bed, an alarm clock that wakes you up by flicking a strand of hair across your face, and a device that expels breath-like bursts of warm air, to be placed on the pillow while you drift off to sleep.

These objects are clearly not intended for production, but are designed to provide mental pleasure and stimulate reflection. They are products for the mind. Their generic form raises issues about the use of form in conceptual design. If they are too realistic – that is, if they look as if they really should be used – objects like these can quickly become ridiculous. Their abstract form signals that they are intended to be used in the imagination.

Rather than designing objects that mimic people's actions, James Auger explores the psychological aspects of technologically augmenting our bodies, and uses value fictions to draw attention to values that could well emerge in the future, even though they are very different from anything we have now.

He is interested in how new technological possibilities will affect the way we treat other people in our search for new pleasures, and asks us to think about the desirability of his scenarios becoming reality.

Auger's device allows someone to be somewhere they are not. Wearing a head-mounted display, the user receives information from a second person whose own headset is equipped with a video camera and binaural microphones. So for example, a person might be hired to spend time in a peep show, attend a meeting, go on a blind date or even shopping on somebody else's behalf – verbal instructions would be relayed from the user to the host via a speaker in their helmet. Should the host be able to enjoy the experience too, or are they just renting out their body? One version of the device masks the host's ears and eyes, dehumanising them and clearly reminding them that they are just a rented body. In another scenario, a dog is used as a host, transmitting images and sounds of the countryside back to the customer. A more advanced service might allow the customer to tune into a range of different hosts as though they were TV channels. Of course, this device could have socially beneficial uses too, providing the housebound with a means of connection to their environment, for instance.


Design proposals like these can really only exist outside the marketplace, as a form of 'conceptual design' – meaning not the conceptual stage of a design project, but a design proposal intended to challenge preconceptions about how electronics shape our lives. These ideas might even be expressed in the form of films and books rather than products. Designers need to explore how such design thinking might re-enter everyday life in ways that maintain the design proposal's critical integrity and effectiveness, while facing accusations of escapism, utopianism or fantasy.

One way this could happen is if the design profession took on more social responsibility and developed its own independent vision, working with the public to demand more from industry than is currently on offer. This would require not only a shift in the way designers view their own position, but also how professional design organisations and associations see their role. Perhaps they could follow the lead of some architecture institutions, and focus on the need to encourage diverse visions through competitions and workshops for practising designers, as well as trying to engage the public through more challenging exhibitions and publications.

Or is this a role for 'academic' designers? Rather than writing papers and seeking conventional academic approval, they could exploit their privileged position to explore a subversive role for design as social critique. Free from commercial restrictions and based in an educational environment, they could develop provocative design proposals to challenge the simplistic Hollywood vision of the consumer electronics industry. Design proposals could be used as a medium to stimulate debate and discussion amongst the public, designers, and industry. The challenge is to blur the boundaries between the real and the fictional, so that the conceptual becomes more real and the real is seen as just one limited possibility among many.



Three products by Maywa Denki: Sei-Gyo, Uke-TEL and Grafish, p.62






After-Life, by Jimmy Loizeau, p. 64



Life Counter, by Ippei Matsumoto, p.63




Social Tele-Presence, by James Auger, p.65



Object for Lonely Men, by Noam Toran, p.64



Accessories for Lonely Men, by Noam Toran, p.65



•  
•  
• • Section 05: The Secret Life of Electronic Objects • • • • •

---

## Placebo project


The Placebo project is an experiment in taking conceptual design beyond the gallery into everyday life. We devised and made eight prototype objects to investigate peoples' attitudes to and experiences of electromagnetic fields in the home, and placed them with volunteers. Made from MDF and usually one other specialist material, the objects are purposely diagrammatic and vaguely familiar. They are open-ended enough to prompt stories but not so open as to bewilder.


Once electronic objects enter people's homes, they develop private lives, or at least ones that are hidden from human vision. Occasionally we catch a glimpse of this life when objects interfere with each other, or malfunction. Many people believe that mobile phones heat up their ears, or feel their skin tingle when they sit near a TV, and almost everyone has heard stories of people picking up radio broadcasts in their fillings. We are not interested in whether these stories are true or scientific, but we are interested in the narratives people develop to explain and relate to electronic technologies, especially the invisible electromagnetic waves their electronic objects emit.

The Placebo objects are designed to elicit stories about the secret life of electronic objects – both factual and imagined. Homes for the objects were found through a variety of means, including adverts in a London listings magazine, workshops at the Victoria & Albert museum, a window display in Selfridges department store on Oxford Street and an article in a national newspaper. Potential adopters filled out application forms detailing any unusual experiences with electronic products, their attitude to electromagnetic waves and their reasons for choosing a particular object. Once their allotted time with the adopted object was up, we interviewed the people taking part in the project and collaborated with photographer Jason Evans to create images that pick up on and amplify details revealed during the interviews.


Designers cannot always solve problems, we cannot switch off the vast electromagnetic networks surrounding us all. Although we cannot change reality, we can change people's perception of it. Like a medical placebo, the objects in this project do not actually remove or counteract the cause for concern, but they can provide psychological comfort. The Placebo project is definitely not scientific: although aware of ethnographic and anthropological methodologies, we chose to adopt a more informal process in this case. We wanted to find out if people are more receptive to radical ideas than industry acknowledges, and to test our ideas about aesthetic meaning and electronic technology. We accept that the group of adopters was self-selecting. We also accept that they are probably exceptional people. But they are real people, and anything we discovered would be grounded in reality rather than fiction.

It is unlikely that any of the Placebo prototypes will make it into 'reality', at least not through the commercial marketplace. As one-offs, these products would be prohibitively expensive, and even if they were affordable, or mass-producible, their highly specific aesthetic function would mean they might only be useful for a limited period of time, like a book or video. We like the idea that these products would be available for rent, providing a service in the form of a reflective experience. Living with them for a while might encourage the borrower to think about their environment in a different way, especially in relation to electromagnetic fields.







5.



6.



7.



8.

#### ----- 1. Parasite light

This light is a ‘needy object’ - it only works when it is placed near an electronic product. Its shape provides a place to put an electronic product like a radio, and allows the lamp to be integrated into a stack of books to achieve the right height. The parasite light does not feed off EM fields and is in fact battery powered. Like the nipple chair, it uses an electric field sensor to relate the intensity of its function - in this case the amount of light emitted from 20 LEDs - to the strength of the field it senses.

#### ----- 2. Compass table

This table reminds you that electronic objects extend beyond their visible limits. The 25 compasses set into its surface twitch and spin when objects like mobile phones or laptop computers are placed on it. The twitching needles can be interpreted as being either sinister or charming, depending on the viewer’s state of mind. When we designed the compass table, we wondered if a neat-freak might try to make all the needles line up, ignoring the architectural space of the room in favour of the Earth’s magnetic field.

#### ----- 3. Nipple chair

An electric field sensor and antenna are mounted beneath the seat of the chair. When the chair is placed in an electromagnetic field, two nipples set into the back start to vibrate, and the sitter is made aware of the radio waves penetrating their torso. It is up to them whether they stay and enjoy the gentle buzz, or move to a ‘quieter’ spot. As fields can also flow up through the sitter’s body from electric wiring running underneath the floor, the chair has footrests so that you can isolate your feet from the ground. We like that it is slightly anthropomorphic; it’s as though you are sitting on its lap.

#### ----- 4. Electro-draught excluder

This object is a classic placebo. Though the draught excluder is made from conductive foam, it is not grounded, and therefore does not really absorb radiation. We were interested in whether or not it would make the owner feel more comfortable. If you are working near a TV, for example, you might place the object between you and the TV to create a sort of shadow - a comfort zone where you simply feel better.

-----  
5. Loft

This lead-clad box on top of a ladder is a place to store precious magnetic mementoes such as answerphone messages, audio cassettes or floppy discs away from potentially harmful electromagnetic fields. It is a loft for people who live in flats. This object signals to visitors that you have a special place for special items, but that it is out of bounds. Accessing the loft might become part of a ritual.

-----  
6. Electricity drain


Some people who are hyper-sensitive to electricity drain excess electricity from their bodies to alleviate their symptoms. They wrap a piece of wire around their fingers which is connected to a plug that only has an earth pin. This literally grounds the person, by enabling electricity to flow from the body out into the system. This stool works in the same way: you plug it in and sit naked on a stainless steel plate in the seat. We are particularly interested where people will keep this object: in the bathroom? Bedroom? Sitting room? Is it a hygiene product, meditative piece or functional chair?

-----  
7. GPS table

This table has a global positioning sensor inside it. It can only display its position in the world when it has a clear view of the satellites, the rest of the time it is lost and indicates this fact. The ideal owner will need a conservatory or large window, or a garden so that they can at least bring the table outdoors from time to time so it can connect with a satellite and fulfil its potential. We like the idea that people might feel a little cruel keeping it indoors.

-----  
8. Phone table

This table is an attempt to domesticate the mobile telephone, whose synthetic and urgent squawk can be difficult to resist. On returning home, the phone is placed inside the table with its ringer switched off. Whenever the phone is called, the top of the table glows gently. The table suggests how electronic objects can use a more gentle language to capture our attention or mediate human contact. When it does glow, it is much easier to resist than a ringing phone. The phone table can be positioned behind the TV if a call is expected, or out of sight if you would prefer not to be disturbed.




Diane & Arabella

9/06/01



Emma & Constance

11/06/01



Neil

11/06/01




Dick

10/06/01




Lauren

6/07/01




Sophie

7/07/01




Denis, Harry & Lida

9/07/01



Tracey

5/07/01



## Electricity Drain - Emma and Constance (aged 3)

When you talked to your friends, how did you describe the object?

A table that's supposed to drain the additional crackling waves of electricity and radiation humming around in your room. I am personally very sensitive to static. There are certainly times when you have lots of radio waves whizzing around in this room, particularly. So that's how I've described it: as something that I hope would have an effect on those potentially harmful invisible things flying around.

Where is the object now? Where has it ended up in your home?

It is by the television in the middle of our sitting room, in the hub of activity really. I certainly spend 90% of my time at home in here.

Why wouldn't you consider having it in the bedroom or bathroom or any other area in the house, do you think?

I suppose because in the bedroom I only use light, but in here there are so many different sorts of electrical equipment.

What did you actually use it for?

Apart from it just being there, my daughter would play with it sometimes, she would put toys on it and so on. It was used as a sort of passing place for objects going on to other places in the house, and newspapers and that kind of thing.

Would you sit on it as a chair?

Yes, it did get sat on sometimes.

So did you try any experiments?

When I was using my mobile phone I put hand on it sometimes to see if it would stop my fillings hurting.

Did that work?

It did have a slight effect. I think I could be on the phone a bit longer without my fillings hurting. I certainly used it for static when I was ironing, nylon stuff would crackle and I would put it on there. The static definitely drained out. I tried to have my daughter sit on it when I was brushing her hair, but she wasn't interested.

The television suffers from a magnetic field problem - the top of the picture disappears every now and then, and apparently that is to do with the magnetic field. So we were hoping that something would happen magically, that's why it's beside the television. But that hasn't actually happened, I'm afraid. I also put the aerial on it as we were having problems getting a good enough picture.

Does that help?

Sometimes I think it helped, yes.

Has the experience of looking after the object had any effect on the way you think about electromagnetic waves at all?

It certainly made me realise how much we must have in the house, because it has made me aware of how many objects are on. We have had a lot of strange experiences in here. Light bulbs - they don't just go out, they'd bang. We had about six months of the top lights not working at all. And I have to say, whether it is to do with the table or not, they are now working and they just seemed to heal themselves.

Have there been other experiences of electronic things? Do you have any theories why?

I just don't know why, but I am very prone to static. I was with my daughter in Safeway in High Street Kensington about six weeks ago when as soon as I walked in I gave her an electric shock. And I kept giving her shocks, I gave her five shocks and she began to get upset and I kissed her and I gave her another shock and she started crying. I was wiping her tears away and while I was doing that, I was giving her electric shocks and I actually had to get someone else to take her out of the trolley and put her down because I was afraid to touch her while we were in the shop. It was very, very bizarre. It's just me and certain shops - as soon as I walk in, I actually feel a bit weird. If I touch things or my children, I give them shocks.

Did you show the object to other people?

I told everybody about it when they came in and some people were fascinated and some people pooh-poohed it, as I expected. Some people were quite worried about it and I had to explain that the plug was just an outlet and not an inlet and then they seemed reassured. But there were so many who were quite worried about what an earth it might be. I had a lot of trouble trying to explain what it was supposed to do because I wasn't sure whether it was doing it myself.

Do you think it actually drains?

Yes, I think so. As I said, when I was on the phone my fillings hurt. That certainly made a difference. It doesn't make me calm and serene, particularly.

Could it be described as a gadget?

No, I certainly saw it as a table. It is more of a special table rather than a gadget that looks like a table.

How do you feel about the idea of plugging something like a chair in, like you would an iron or a cooker?

It is quite strange. People have noticed that it's not something that you would normally do. It's got a slightly wacky character and I like that. I love the fact that it is ironing cable - like an appliance. I wouldn't have liked it so much if it was just all plastic coating.

What kinds of people do you think might want to own an object like this?

I'd say anyone who had lots of electronic gadgets around them and was concerned about the effect they might be having, but who is very happy to have something simple and contemporary and not demanding. It's perfect really to have it just sitting there doing its work, without any input.

Do you think many people believe that it actually works?

Probably not. I can see people wanting to have something with quite a dramatic effect, if they felt something tingle when they touched it or if it cut their phone out, they would consider that to be working.

So you see it as constantly working on the environment - you plug it in and everything in the room has been absorbed. Is that right?

Yes it is, I imagined it sort of quietly working away like a bunch of flowers. You know, something going on, something quite beneficial, very gentle, but you don't necessarily know. That's how I imagine it.

So if we were going make another object like this how would you redesign it?

If there could be some kind of gauge which would show you when something was working... I think that is all that it would need.





Have there been any discussions or disagreements over the meaning?

Certainly, with my son, we talked about it quite a lot. About whether or not it works, how it would work and so on. Not particularly, otherwise. It's passive and you can't really do anything with it, and that's probably why people haven't interacted with it more.

How would you feel if more objects were to have electronic functions?

Oh yes, I like that idea. It would be very nice to have something like a breakfast bar which is the focus of the whole house. It would be lovely to press a button and have your legs warmed in the morning. You could have mobile phone battery re-chargers under there, you could have all sorts of things incorporated into that.

So you're quite happy living with more and more technology. You seem to be welcoming it into your environment.

Some of it is much too complicated to use. I can't stand fifty page instruction books... I think they should be very easy. Like a piano that you can just open and play something, and then as you get cleverer, you can learn how to do more complicated things with it.

When you get a function that is like this, quite esoteric, do you prefer that?

Well, its function is less obvious, isn't it? I am always happy to try things out, and if the effect of them is minor or ephemeral or possibly not there at all, that makes it more interesting, I think.

Would you rent furniture if you could?

I'd love to, that would be wonderful. I like the idea of change. I love moving furniture around, because if you're not going to move house all the time it gives you a fresh aspect.

Do you think this is a good placebo object?

Definitely. Despite the fact that I wasn't really sure whether or not it was working, I was quite happy to go and sit there and put my hand on it while I was on the phone because I felt it might work or have some effect. And I'd put my ironing on it when I had finished: 'It's special now, it's been de-staticed'. So I certainly found it to have a beneficial effect, even if it was very slight.



Compass Table - Diane and Arabella

Where is the object now?

Arabella: In the living room. It's a communal area in our house and it's our social area, where we bring our friends. Everyone was quite intrigued by the table when I described it, and came and had a look.

What did you use it for? Did you put things on it?

Diane: Because of where it is, it's just the sort of place where you put the newspaper, or put a book that you're reading.

Arabella: Yes, but we haven't kept anything on it. I'd feel really bad, because it's got all the dials. It's nice to be able to see them.

If it had a surface you could clean, would you have it in the kitchen?

Diane: That would be quite cool. I suppose there are lots of electrical items in the kitchen. But we didn't find it really reacted to the items, it was more moving it around the room that was interesting.

So what kind of experiments did you try?

Arabella: I don't know, we sort of... waved things at it.

Diane: We tried a hair-dryer which moved it about a bit, but we weren't sure if it was just the flow of air. Mobile phones...

Arabella: Walk-mans, CD players.

Diane: We tried putting it near lights and near the electronic piano and near the TV.

Anything happen in those situations?

Arabella: Not wildly. But it's a bit hard to tell, because most things we realise we've been using have got magnets in them... my tiny little Walkman headphones actually had magnets in.

So tell us about all these drawings.

Diane: This is charting the table around the room.

Arabella: It seems to be a bit obsessed with the radiator and we're not quite sure why.

Diane: It's pretty bizarre. There is a plan underlying it ... (flicks through pages). Here's the room, with the electrical items. We've got a phone, the light, the electrical piano, the light switch, another

little table lamp thing, TV, stereo, and this radio and another light switch.

So tell us your findings.

Diane: So basically most of the room just looks like that (holds up diagram), which is all pointing in one direction, and all quite normal. But around the radiator it does really weird things. Like this is where it is now, they are all pointing toward that light switch, but the last row are sort of deflecting away from the radiator. So then we put it next door to the radiator and it goes like this way up (referring to the diagrams), so the radiator's here, and these ones are reacting away from it and these ones here are pointing as normal.

Arabella: There is a cable up outside for a satellite dish which we don't use, and we wondered if it's got something to do with that.

Diane: So we tested it. We took it outside and they were all completely normal. It seems to be the radiators, we don't know why.

Does it make you think about the radiator in any different way?

Arabella: It's slightly worrying.

Diane: It's a bit ominous.

Arabella: I don't know, it wouldn't be the thing I would expect to make it react with. I would have thought something like the TV.

Diane: Because we tried putting it in front of the TV, turning the TV on and off, but it doesn't make any difference. But it made me think more about what you're living in the whole time. These different currents, that are not tangible... Unless you've got a compass table.


Were there other things that you discovered just by moving it outside?

Diane: Not really, but it was quite funny taking it outside. But we thought maybe, in view of the fact that moving it around the environment was one of the more interesting things, that if it had wheels on it you could wheel it around more... like a hostess trolley?

Would you describe it as a piece of furniture or would you think it belongs with electrical objects?

Arabella: I still view it as a table, as an aesthetically pleasing table which is meant to be looked at. Even though it can be functional, I don't think I would primarily want to use it that way. I quite like the dials actually, I like to see them.

Have any electronic objects in your home created any unusual situations between family members, pets or visitors to your home?



Arabella: I had an alarming habit of blowing light bulbs. Sometimes they dim as well. Since I've lived here, twice I've come in and turned the lights on and the hall lights have blown out. And I am really static. I get electric shocks off everything that's metal. So when I get out of cars I always tap the handle with my nails, without even thinking about it first so that I don't get a shock off the handles.

So have your experiences of looking after the table had an effect on the way that you think about electromagnetic waves?

Diane: Definitely. But also thinking about how many objects have got magnets in them, things like phones that you put up to your head.

Arabella: I think if there was one object in the room which had really made the table go crazy, then I would have been a bit alarmed by that... but there wasn't, really. Fortunately.

Diane: Just the radiator.

Did you show the object to other people? What was their reaction?

Arabella (to a friend in the room): You came and looked at it.

Friend: It sounded like a really intriguing project. It wasn't what I really expected when it got here.

What did you expect?

Friend: Something really amazing that did tricks or something.

Arabella: Perhaps this is our fault though!

Diane: We talked it up so much. My sister was really into it, but she did sciences. She was making her phone do that (waving the phone around a compass) so that the dials went round and round and stuff.

Do you think there is a place for objects like this in people's lives?

Arabella: Yes.

Diane: Yeah, definitely. They make you think a lot more and they are really interesting.

On an everyday level?

Diane: It's nice to think everyday.

Arabella: I think it would just be really boring if everyone had furniture that was just purely functional.

So if this isn't purely functional then what is it?

Arabella: Well, it's got dials on it. Or is that functional?

Diane: It's a curiosity.

Aren't they telling you where north is? Isn't that functional?

Diane: What's the point of knowing that? Why is that functional, knowing where north is?

What about as an outside piece of furniture?

Arabella: I think I would like that most of all.

Diane: Because you move around a piece of garden furniture anyway, don't you?

Friend: It's also more relevant to know which way north is if you're outside, rather than inside. You're not thinking about your place in the world as much.

Diane: You should be though. It's a weird thought, isn't it?

I notice you have written about how useful the dials were as a sort of demarcation so you can actually use the table to make readings.

Diane: You can turn the table round, but the needles would still be pointing in the same way. I thought was quite cool. If it had a north, if you printed it on there, it would have a direction, wouldn't it, but if you leave it plain, it can have any direction. But you could have dials that moved so that you could set it to zero and decide that one place is zero and have it twenty degrees round, or something. Then you could do sort of readings.

Arabella: I can imagine you, of an evening: 'I'll just take some readings...' (everyone laughs)

Diane: I'll get a trainspotting book...

You are talking about it being functional and then you see the compass factor as being non-functional.

Diane: It's more that I want to understand what is happening. I've got those diagrams which I drew, trying to show what happens by the radiator, but because they were drawn by hand, they are a bit all over the place. But you could do readings, you could plot the whole magnetic plan of the room. Which is really interesting.

Arabella: Yes, that would be interesting. (everyone laughs)

Diane: I didn't know I was like that (more laughter). I'm blushing.







the first time I had seen him. He was a tall, thin man with a serious expression, wearing a dark jacket over a light-colored shirt. He was standing in a doorway, looking out into the room where I was sitting. I could see that he was wearing glasses and had a mustache. He was holding a cigarette in his hand. I could hear the sound of traffic outside through the window behind me.

I was sitting at a table in a small restaurant, looking down at my hands. I was wearing a dark t-shirt and jeans. I could see the reflection of the window in the mirror behind me. I was holding a cigarette in my hand. I could hear the sound of traffic outside through the window behind me. I was looking at the table in front of me, which was covered with a white cloth. There were some plates and glasses on the table. I could see the reflection of the window in the mirror behind me. I was holding a cigarette in my hand. I could hear the sound of traffic outside through the window behind me.

I was sitting at a table in a small restaurant, looking down at my hands. I was wearing a dark t-shirt and jeans. I could see the reflection of the window in the mirror behind me. I was holding a cigarette in my hand. I could hear the sound of traffic outside through the window behind me. I was looking at the table in front of me, which was covered with a white cloth. There were some plates and glasses on the table. I could see the reflection of the window in the mirror behind me. I was holding a cigarette in my hand. I could hear the sound of traffic outside through the window behind me.

## Loft – Sophie

When you talk to your friends, how do you describe the object?

A big box on top of a ladder that's propped up against the wall. With a few people who came around, I had to explain: 'Oh, by the way, the big object in my room, it's a loft - and I've adopted it'.

Where is the object now? Why is it positioned there?

It's in my bedroom. It's quite a cluttered room.

What did you use the object for?

I didn't use it as much as I thought I would. I found it hard thinking of things to put in it. Once in position, the ladder fitted into the surroundings very quickly - I stuck things on it when I was revising. I put a few bits and pieces in it, but not a lot. I was more worried about what would be suitable rather than putting things in there.

What kinds of things did you put in there?

Things like tapes I don't listen to very much, ones with sentimental value, and some photographs. I would have put my travel card in there because it kept on getting wiped, so I thought it could be protected, but I need it every day. I'd put things in that would in some ways be quite permanent or not needed very often. Because it was high up and hard to get to, anything I put in there had to earn its place.

And what types of things do you think you might have put in the box?

Things that were sentimental and things which are quite personal, things I just wanted to keep and know where they are, and not lose. Probably in time I'd pick up particular types of objects, it's hard to say straight off what, just like I have got other boxes full of old letters or things from particular times of my life.

What other kinds of boxes or collections of things do you make?

Because I've moved around a lot in the last few years, things have collected in boxes and stayed there. So I have boxes of tapes, boxes of full of letters and postcards, and boxes full of objects that don't really have a home, like boxes of pins and stuff like that.

Do you find it hard to throw things away?

Yeah. I've moved out of home completely recently, so my mum's been making me go through everything. There's just loads and loads of stuff that's accumulated over the years which I don't want to throw away.

Was there anything that you put in the loft and took out immediately?

I had some bits of jewellery I was going to put in there but decided not to, as it's quite a big box and they'd be knocking around and they're fragile. I was trying to think of things that were fragile that needed protecting in some way... more like living things really, but they wouldn't really be suitable to go in.

Living things?

Plants or something like that, seedlings - but that wouldn't work because they wouldn't get their light.

If you had to give the object to someone, who would you give it to?

Possibly my sister, to see what she'd do with it, the slight differences that might be there. She's quite like me and hoards lots of stuff, but then quite different in other ways.

Do you think she would respond to its being made of lead, to this idea of protecting things?

Possibly not as much. I did physics at A-level and she didn't, so I probably know about things like that more than she does. She's less likely to be into that side of it.

If the object was to be passed on to someone else, what advice would you give to the next adopter?

I'd probably tell them it's actually quite easy to have in a way, but it's worth spending some time really trying to work out what you would put in. It's quite easy to let it just sit there and not put anything in, because it doesn't really intrude at all.

What kind of people do you think might want to own this object and why?

I can see it appealing to people who are much more ordered than I am, but it appeals to me. I'm very unordered, all my stuff is everywhere in disarray. It appealed in a way to try and put things in some sort



of order, but it would probably appeal to people who are already like that, who wanted a different kind of space to put things in.

Do you think there is a place for objects like these in people's lives and why?

Yeah, I think there is, but I don't think it would appeal to everyone.

How would you feel if more objects in the home had aesthetic or intellectual functions?

It would be quite nice to have things that make you think a bit more, rather than just being objects with no particular purpose.

Where would you think you might buy and object like this?

Haven't a clue! They'd have them in Homebase...

Do you think they would be sold in Homebase?

No! ... I really don't know where it would be.

If it were to be sold in Homebase, which department would it be in?

Storage.

If it were to be sold in Selfridges, which department would it be in?

Probably more like furniture.

I think this relationship between order and chaos, and what the object represented to you and the way you were thinking about it, is really interesting.

It wasn't something I was consciously thinking of when I had it, but it probably did represent that for me. I'm trying to work out what I want and what I don't want, what you want to keep despite the fact that it has absolutely no purpose at all anymore, but you still want to keep it.

Can you imagine living with an object like this for a long time?

I suppose it's the sort of thing you'd just have and it'd be there almost indefinitely, accumulating things, and then I guess at some point you'd think 'oh lets have a look through there' and throw it out and start again.





Phone Table - Tracey

When you talk to your friends, how do you describe the object?

With difficulty, because I'd describe it as a table but it's not really a table. I start off by saying it's a mobile phone table but more so, it's a way of being notified when your phone is ringing because you switch the ring off and it flashes when you get a call.

Where is the object now? Why is it positioned there?

It's next to the telly in the corner of the living room. This is the room I'd spend most time in. Ordinarily, the focus of the room is the TV, or the window at least. So I moved it over there so it was in the line of sight. Which helped, because sometimes I just completely missed any calls as it was flashing away and I just didn't notice it.

Did you try it in other rooms in the house/flat?

No. You have to be able to see it, so in the bedroom it wouldn't be much use. It would have been interesting to take it into work, I think. My phone goes like the clappers during the day.

So most of the time, if you were in this room, you would leave your phone in the object?

Yes. Sometimes, it didn't necessarily need a phone in it for it to flash. We've been mystified as to why. I think it's people walking past with their phones on the street. I'd rush to the window to see if anyone was there. But sometimes it just flashed momentarily and you'd think, 'I know my mobile phone isn't in there', you'd go and check, but it wouldn't be. It was most effective at night, you could just turn all the lights off and it would glow, which was cool.

Did you forget your phone was in there sometimes?

I did, actually. The funniest experience I had with it was when I was waiting for someone to call, to go out. I sat here for hours, waiting, and then realised that they'd called twice. It wasn't that I missed the call, the table wasn't plugged in. My flatmate unplugged it. So it wasn't even on, it hadn't flashed. So I moved it, so that (a) I could see it and (b) I could see if it was plugged in or not.

Did it make you change the way you think about the role of furniture in the home?

It did make me think about how you use different senses to be alerted by different things, the fact that your phone really is the ring. So it's quite nice not to have a ring, just a gentle subtle indicator that you've got a call.

How would you feel if more objects in the home had electronic functions?

I like the fact that the object was disguising the function of the phone, making it more aesthetic and more soothing. We've got a kettle that whistles its head off when it's ready, but instead you could have a control board with different lights for different things in the house that could flash. It'd be a much more soothing way of being alerted to things.

Has the experience of looking after the object had an affect on the way you think about electromagnetic waves?

I don't know generally about electromagnetic waves, even though I'm in communications. I probably should know that the signal is sent even before a call comes and it lasts afterwards. But yeah, it is interesting, especially when the table glows and you haven't got your phone in there. You realise that there are so many things, naturally or otherwise, around you that emit waves. But I thought it was quite a nice idea converting the waves to something else.

Do you think the object itself is a gadget?

On its own it's a piece of furniture. Combined with the mobile phone it's definitely a gadget. Unless it glowed without the phone: you could have it on phone function or just aesthetic function, so it would act as a lamp or something.

What would you say was the most unexpected thing to come from borrowing the object for a month?

I think I was less concerned about the waves and things. I am conscious of them, but I was more intrigued as to why it was going off rather than where the waves were coming from. I suppose it didn't go off that regularly because it only detected certain specific waves. Perhaps if it was to detect different wavelengths, that would be the scary thing: it would be continuously going off, or if it flashed brighter with a more harmful or more intensity of ray.

Have any electronic objects in your home created any unusual situations between family members or pets or visitors to your home?

The only really annoying thing in this house is the doorbell. It never works. People are always having to use their mobile phones to get into the house, they are always having to ring up and say 'I'm here, can you let me in'. Perhaps we should plug the table into the doorbell, then you would know when someone's at the door.

Did you show the object to other people? What was their reaction?

They thought it looked really cool and thought the idea was cool, but they all thought of ways they could enhance it, like putting a little counter on the front so you could see how many calls you had in case you'd missed them, if you hadn't seen the flashing.

Did the object generate any discussions? Were there any disagreements between you and your friends or flatmate over its meaning?

I think some thought it was totally pointless, because it wasn't a table and it said it was a table, so it's misrepresenting itself as a table. My flatmate agreed that it looked nice, but I don't think she saw why you'd ever have a need for such a thing. She was definitely less enamoured with it than I was. She just thinks it's weird.

If you had to give the object to someone, who would you give it to?

I'd probably give it to my Mum, because I know she's more concerned about waves and things. She always runs out of the room when she turns the microwave on and she has a phobia about mobile phones as well, so it would be interesting to see how the two played off each other.

How would you imagine she would use it?

She'd use it to detect rays and things, she'd be conscious of looking at it really carefully and monitoring it all the time to see when it went off and to see exactly what caused it. She'd probably move it next to all the different electrical objects in the house to see how it reacts to them, especially the microwave. If she could put the microwave in it I'm sure she would, to find out what the pattern was.







## Electro-draught Excluder – Lauren & Jan

When you talk to your friends how do you describe the object?

Lauren: An electric draught excluder or a conceptual design thing. People would then ask 'what do you mean?', and then I describe what it looks like and how you are meant to use it.

Jan: Some people haven't understood at all when you're trying to get across what it is.

Lauren: Square, pink foam, pointy bits, with backboard and handle so you can move it around.

Where is the object now? And why is it positioned there?

Lauren: I ended up using it in two places. One was when I was watching TV: when I first started using it, I felt that it wasn't shielding me completely, so I ended up...

Jan: ... crouching behind it and peaking over it!

Lauren: I ended up creating a little safe space where I'd tuck it in parallel to the television but behind the table. I'd lie on the sofa so it was protecting almost all of me apart from my head, which I found a bit troubling. And the other way I've used it was to take it into the bedroom with me to protect myself from Jan's studio next door. It worked better as a symbolic 'shutting the door', as a gesture of shutting myself away for a little while. But that was less to do with specifically protecting myself from electromagnetic waves and much more to do with creating a little space.

Jan: Are you sure about that?

Lauren: I didn't feel that it was shielding me, but I felt it was defining a space rather than actually doing something.

Jan: It's too small to protect you, really. It can protect parts of your body, but you're going to absorb radiation from around it.

Lauren: Instead of making me feel protected, for the first week the object really made me feel unsafe, just because it suddenly triggered extra feeling of things that were in the house.

It was making certain neuroses explicit that you didn't know you had?

Lauren: Yeah. I've been thinking that the bedroom was a safe space. As soon as I took it in there, I realised it wasn't at all, because I had extension cables, my mobile phone re-charger, plug sockets, iron and hair dryer. Its not a clear space in any sense.

Jan: I'd like to hang it on the wall and get it out the way. It's quite an aesthetic work of art. This absorbing radiation thing, I haven't been able to see it that way. I'm not sure if it does do it.

Has the experience of looking after the object had an affect on the way you think about electromagnetic waves?

Lauren: I'd already been conscious of the subject, but I don't think I was as conscious until I bought the draught excluder into the house.

Jan: I know that Lauren has been using it as a weapon against me. I haven't actually used it in relation to my equipment myself because it's completely pointless... the equipment surrounds the room.

Have any electronic objects in your home created any unusual situations between family members or pets or visitors to your home?

Lauren: The laptop is plugged into big speakers and sometimes when Jan's not here, late at night, suddenly CompuServe speaks out 'you have mail' in high decibels. It startles me. I found it quite scary at first.

Jan: I play music I've downloaded from the net through my stereo, and I just leave the volume high and go away. Three hours later an e-mail will arrive and completely shatters the dead of night.

Did you show the object to other people? What was their reaction?

Lauren: People thought it looked great, people said 'Wow'. I think the pink colouring attracted people.

Jan: I have to say everyone was naturally quite sceptical about its abilities.

Lauren: What, your friends?

Jan: Yeah, my friends.

Lauren: My friends weren't, but my friends are all museum curators...

If you had to give the object to someone who would you give it to?

Jan: I'd try and sell it. I'm sure you can get a few quid.

Lauren: I'd give it to my sister, she's really busy and stressed at the moment. Her room's really small and everything's in there.

Where do you imagine she would put it?

Lauren: She's got a bed and her desk right next to her head, where she's got all her hi-fi equipment, and I can see it going in front of that when she goes to sleep. I think that could work quite well, because it's not there to completely enclose off a space, it's there to be a symbolic protection.

What kind of people do you think might want to own this object and why?

Jan: Probably collectors of some description. You mean people who would actually use it?

Lauren: I think it could work if you are a writer. Maybe it's on your desk and you spend a lot of time at your computer.

Jan: In all fairness, anyone who is going to use this on a regular basis to protect themselves is, if they don't have them already, going to start developing serious weird habits, because you can't go around using the shield protecting yourself from radiation. What about outside your home where there is loads of radiation? Are you gonna walk down the street and thrust it in the air and protect yourself from a passing aerial or whatever? You can't really use an object like this every day without it making you slightly neurotic. There's just radiation everywhere. It's only a little square, it's not really going to protect you from various angles.

If we were going to make another one of these objects, what changes would you make? How would you redesign it?

Lauren: Either have it so that it is easier to carry around, so wherever you went, you could take it with you. And if you were sitting down, you could unfurl it, or to make it bigger and more static, so that you could have a designated area in your house.

Jan: But what you're saying is you would make it more practical, and the whole point of it to an extent is that it isn't practical.

Lauren: That's right. It's whether you want it to be an object that people have the freedom to decide what to do with it or whether you want it to be an object that you're told 'this is your safety shield, when you're working in front of the computer, these are the four steps you need to make it work.' I think this works really well as an imaginative object. I think it work less well as a useful object.

What kind of lifespan might an object like this have before it would end up in a skip?

Jan: If it was a work of art, I don't think it would end up in the skip. I would never throw something like that away. I might sell it!

So do you see it as an art object?

Lauren: Not completely. I think that's part of it, but I was trying to use it as well.

Jan: It's just impractical, that's why it's an art object, because if it was designed as a practical object, it wouldn't look like that.

Lauren: I think I found it quite emotionally and intellectually tiring and wearing to use it after a while.

Jan: So you're pleased to see it go?







Lauren: No, not at all, because I think it's beautiful, but I think that if I was going to have it for a long time, it would probably end up being an art object. I did find the project quite hard work. I had strange dreams.

Tell us about your strange dreams.

Lauren: I think it was just in the first week really, I think I was feeling a bit stressed at the time. The first dream I had was that you were coming to pick the object up early, and I hadn't tidied up. Basically they were about insecurity, there were ones where the object was damaged as well - pink stuff falling off, which was really traumatic.

Jan: See, that's the thing about it: it's supposed to take away the ills of life, it's supposed to protect you, but it did exactly the opposite.

Where would you think you might buy an object like this?

Jan: From one of those trendy furniture shops, like SCP.

Lauren: I don't know if you could, though, because it's...

Jan: ... because it's too conceptual?

Lauren: I think quite a lot of design shops are there to make you think that electromagnetic objects are great, because they want you to buy them. But they are dangerous.

Jan: That's two products sold in one go: here's the telly and here's the thing that goes with it.

Lauren: That's a really good idea: set up our own business: dangerous objects with protective shields.

What would you say was the most unexpected thing to come from borrowing the object for a month?

Lauren: I hadn't expected that it would make me feel more insecure in my house, you just assume you get this protective thing and you'd feel protected. I didn't really think you could have something in your house that just made you much more sensitive to things. And I was really surprised at your reaction, Jan. You've been overly careful with the object, and scared about using it and moving it. I thought you'd be much more gung-ho about it.

Jan: I think the pink powdery residue that it left on the sofa once was something that I found quite shocking. I really never quite recovered from that.





GPS Table - Dick, Lorna & Lizzie

When you talk to your friends about this object, how do you describe it to them?

Dick: When I've spoken about it, it's been 'We've got a work of art in the house. It's a table, but it also has the satellite read-out on the top. It's quite fun - you put your hand over the top of it and it has to readjust, it has to relocate to another satellite.' I see it more as a pet, in a way. That's how I describe it: 'We have this work of art and it's locating satellites.'

Where is the object now?

Dick: It's at the end of our conservatory. There aren't many other places in the house where it would connect with all the satellites. We very rarely take it outside, for obvious reasons. It's a sensitive bit of furniture.

Do you think there are other places in the house it could live? Could it be something you would have in a bedroom or a living space?

Lorna: If I had a big hall, where you could walk round it, that would be quite nice... the idea perhaps that, as you come in, you hang your coat up, you look at it. Because that's the thing about it - you just kind of check it.

So you go and check it? You find yourself just going over and looking?

Lorna: Yes.

Dick: Yes. Every day.

And what kind of condition is it in?

Lorna: It's lost a lot. I drop Lizzy at school in the morning and I come back and I do those certain set routines, clear things away and tidy up a bit, and I check it because it's a part of that. The word 'lost' was ever so clever - I don't know whether it is being parents, but you think, 'Ah, it's lost.' You get a bit worried, really.

Dick: You have to sit and watch and wait for it to find something.

Lorna: That's when we talked about moving it...

Dick: ...but never did. It is interesting, now that you say that, because when I come home from work, there's a sort of automatic routine. You come in, drop the bag and come in here, and I either glance over it or look at it, just to check that it's still there

or that it is located, it's got a position. And I always do it before I go to bed. Last thing I do at night, when all the lights are off down here, I look out of the bedroom window and there it is. When you look down and there's a little green light, it's a little comfort feeling - 'That's fine. All's well with the world. Go to sleep.' Which is why I haven't moved the table from there, because I can see it straight down from there when we go to bed. So I actually check it more often than I thought I did.

What kind of theories do you have about when it's lost and when it's found? What do you think is happening?

Dick: It's silly really, but because the lights flash, because it moves between its three satellites and there are four things you can read, three satellite positions and 'lost', it gives it a sense of being alive. There's no other word for it. I know it's not, obviously, it's an object and it's electronic, but it's interesting that it's a table that's doing that... You get the sense that you have to go 'Is it all right?'. It's silly to talk about treating it as a sort of person, but it is - 'I'd better go and check the table's there.'

Has it made you think about tables in a different way? The nature of what the table's for?

Lorna: I don't really think so.

Dick: No. As a table I thought it was a bit impractical. We didn't use it because you have this read-out slap bang in the middle of it, and you don't want to upset that because you know it's scientifically sensitive. The whole idea of picking up satellites I found very interesting. It was the read-out rather than the table that I found quite fun.

Lorna: If you've got a big enough table, you could actually have all sorts of things down the middle - if you had that GPS read-out and some other scientific read-outs, I think that would be great. And if it became important or interesting to keep an eye on things, like the earth's atmosphere or weather, having that information in the middle of the table would be ideal because that's when you sit down and you think about living. If our houses contained a lot more equipment which is more scientific, automated, computerised and whatever, then I think it's much nicer to have it as part of the furniture than as an instrument you go over to a wall and read. When you see all the space programmes, they go over to a wall and they go 'oh, let's press this and let's see a screen'. If in 50 years' time people still sit at tables, you've got it all there. Then it becomes much more part of life.

Is it something that has an aesthetic function, something that you just enjoy or something functional?

Lorna: Something that struck us is just the sense it gives you of where you are in the universe. It's quite a humbling thought, really. It's the sense that you can go up on the satellite, that you're suddenly aware, as you are never in any other circumstance in a day, that you are this tiny speck on the planet.

Did you show the object to other people? What was their reaction?

Lorna: They didn't notice that it was anything different unless you pointed it out. People would say 'What else does it do?' as if they were expecting tricks of some sort.

If you had to give the object to somebody else, a friend or somebody in the family, who would you give it to, and why?

Lorna: I've got nephews and nieces who are mid-twenties and they would love it. It's a very nice simple design. You like more organic stuff, but I like it, basically the strength and angularity. I think it would fit in more with a more modern way of life.

Dick: One of the things about the table is the relationship that you build up with it during the day. I can imagine both our parents being interested in a table like that, and they're both very different. My parents are farmers stuck out in the wilds of Leicestershire, your parents are over in Suffolk and retired. But I think that because they're with their furniture and their homes all the day, they'd be quite fascinated by it all. I don't think because it's got satellite technology in it, that it has to be necessarily for younger...

Lorna: ...and it doesn't have to be in a modern design.


Dick: You mentioned that point about suddenly having that sense of 'I'm a speck in the universe'. That would certainly interest my mother. I can see her going up to that table and looking at it, then looking out down to the M1 and the wilds of Leicestershire and thinking 'Mm.' I think she'd find it quite an experience.

Lorna: I think she would. Because she's in her seventies and listens to the radio and reads lot and she's very much someone who would put a spiritual turn on it.

Do you think this table falls into the category of the gadget?

Dick: It could do. I personally would see it not as a gadget but much more as an aesthetic thing. It makes you think, and you go and check it. That it simply tells you your position in the world is not always brilliantly useful, unless of course you were at sea.





Lorna: I suppose the way you perceive it would change over time. I could imagine that when you first have it, you see it as a gadget, as a piece of technology. Then as time went on, the design and the use of the table would become more important. It would turn into a piece of furniture. I think it happened very quickly here.

That's a really interesting expression, 'turn into a piece of furniture'. It implies that it's not a piece of furniture now...

Lorna: When you say 'gadget', it implies something which is going to go out of fashion. It's a gimmicky thing. I suppose the table is a gadget in that sense, because in a couple of months' time I'm not going to be as stimulated by the idea of where I am on the planet as I was initially. Then it'll be used as a useful table. No?

Dick: Gadget implies that it's a bit of equipment that has a functional use, always. I don't see it as that. I see it purely as a piece of art. The art is that it's telling you where you are in the universe. That's the fun of it. The fun of it all makes you think solemn and profound thoughts.

Lorna: That's someone like you, though.

What's probably been the most unexpected thing that's happened? The thing that surprised you?

Dick: I suppose the degree of affection we started to have for it. We haven't had it that long, but you do steal little glances and all the rest of it - the way I look out of the bedroom window at night and the little green light's there to reassure you that all's well. Lorna: I'd say the same. It's an odd thing, because we don't tend to attribute human feelings to our furniture.

Do you think it comes back to the emotive quality of the word 'lost'?

Lorna: Yes, it does. It does for me. It's like having a small child... Dick: When you came and installed it and we plugged it in and it said 'lost', I was absolutely shocked. I'm not quite sure why I was shocked. I thought 'Bloody hell, the poor thing's lost.' It's a clever use of the word, because clearly it starts emotions with us. It's a very emotive word, and it's interesting to work out whether you could extend the vocabulary of the table, because that would be great fun. Things like 'trying to find home'. You don't have to have a huge vocabulary. It could be just five words.





## Parasite Light - Denis, Lida and Harry

Where is the object now?

Denis: It's just by the window. The rooms in this house are quite small, and we couldn't put it in its right position, so we had to put it vertically. We moved it around a lot, and that place suited it.

So what did you use the object for?

Denis: Experimenting, mostly. Because we couldn't use it as a lamp, neither for reading nor lighting the place.

Lida: We played with it, mostly.

Denis: We tried it with other objects, and with ourselves. Because we found out that if you put a hand on it, it would light up.

Lida: But not my hands! Because I'm always cold. If I come up from outside and my hands are cold, it wouldn't do anything.

Denis: It worked with my hand and my brother's hand. I think because she is smaller than us, she probably emits less electromagnetic energy than we do.

Lida: But then sometimes it did it!

Denis: Sometimes it does it, yeah. Maybe when she thinks too hard...

What other kinds of experiment did you do?

Denis: We put it together with all of the electric stuff we have. All the remote controls, mobile phones, lamps, hairdryer, electric iron, the GPS receiver, the kettle, the telephones...

Lida: All the watches we have, radios...

Denis: We obviously put the light by the TV, and it was on all the time. We expected it to light up with some things, but it didn't. But it did light up with the lamp.

So you put that table lamp on the light...?

Denis: ...and it lit up, yes. If the lamp is off, but the switch on the socket is on, it still lights up. Something is going on there, probably because the electricity is oscillating, or because the switch on the lamp only switches off one of the two wires. I'm not exactly sure, but I have two or three different theories about it.

What happened with mobile phones?

Denis: When they started ringing, or even before they started ringing, when they received the signal, it started to light up. It lit up and

went off. And when the phone started ringing, after a couple of seconds, it didn't light up any more. Which means that all the intensity of the energy coming from the mobile phone was just before and a little bit after it started ringing.

So has the experience of looking after the object had an effect on the way you think about electromagnetic waves or fields?

Denis: We thought about it a lot and discussed about it a lot in a way that never occurred to us before. And I think even in the future we might still be thinking of electromagnetism in a different way. We will be paying attention, somehow. Or I will!

Have any electronic objects in your home created any unusual situations, between family members, or pets, or visitors to your home?

Lida: We have all these gadgets, which I think most times are useless, especially the GPS. Before we go anywhere, he has to sit for three hours, doing the GPS, working away with a map. He has this thing about his gadgets, and I have this thing about not wanting any gadgets, because nothing works with me. So we always fight about how many gadgets we can have, and how many I will throw away.

You have a gadget that's a remote control for using the other remote controls.

Denis: Because we fight between us who's going to have the remote.

Lida: And I don't know how to switch the TV on. Only one channel.

So what does each of these do? (pointing to the 7 remote controls on the coffee table)

Denis: Right, this is for the TV, this is for the digital satellite, this is for the other satellite receiver, this is for one of the two video recorders, this is for the other video recorder, this is for the stereo and this is for everything.

Do you see the parasite light as a gadget?

Denis: I don't think it's a gadget because it doesn't really do anything. I think it's more of a design object.

Lida: It looks nice and it's fun - that doesn't make it a gadget.

What would make it a gadget?

Lida: If it would bleep, you know, be more complicated.

Denis: It doesn't have a screen. All gadgets that respect themselves have to have a screen. Whether it's a GPS screen or a mini TV screen, it has to display something to be a proper gadget. If it displayed, say, the humidity and the temperature, that would be a big step towards being a gadget. Or the time, maybe.

Lida: It would have to show something more complicated... What if you had something that could measure electromagnetic fields?

Did you show the object to other people? What was their reaction?

Denis: Well, mixed reactions, really. Some pretended they didn't like it, but I think they felt jealous they hadn't found out about it earlier! Everybody loved the fact that if you put a hand on, it lit up or not. With some of the people it worked, with some it did not. So we thought the object had its favourites.

Did you give a technical explanation at all?

Denis: Most of them weren't interested in the internals of the object. Just that it worked, and it worked with electromagnetism.

Did many of them know about electromagnetic radiation?

Denis: The public is aware now that there is some kind of energy emitted from mobile phones. What that's called they're not sure, but they know it's some kind of weird electro-something energy. The object was a way of demonstrating the effect of that energy.

If you were to pass this object to someone else, what advice would you give them?

Denis: I would let them find out for themselves. I wouldn't tell them anything. After a week or two, I would want to know what they did with the object, and then I might offer some tips...

What kind of people do you think might like an object like this?

Lida: People like Denis, people who are gadget maniacs.

Denis: Technical minded people and modern art-minded people, I guess. People who like design and unusual items would like it.

How would you feel if more objects in the home had this kind of intellectual or aesthetic value as opposed to being purely functional?

Lida: We're completely different: I would buy something just because it looks really nice, but Denis wouldn't.





Denis: I think people wouldn't buy something that's complicated to work. If it's complicated, someone will buy it because it looks nice, but they won't work it. That would be a shame. Most people will use an object for 10, 20 per cent of what it can do.

We've also been asking people if they might rent objects like these. Apparently you can rent musical instruments, and you can also rent paintings from the National Gallery.

Denis: What you're saying really is that it would be too expensive to buy, so you have to rent it.

Not necessarily. I guess it's about commitment to an object. Maybe they would be expensive to make, and you're not sure whether it's something you might want, so you can try it out.

Denis: And then have the option to buy?

Lida: It's such a good marketing strategy.

Denis: Yes, you try it and if you like it... You can get people interested.

Lida: Exactly. You can say to people, 'This is really new, so you have to try it first.' It's not just furniture, it's something new.

Denis: And then you can deduct the rent money from the actual price in the end.

If we were going to make another one of these objects, what changes would you make? How would you redesign it?

Lida: Well, one: that you should charge it less frequently.

Denis: And its shape isn't the easiest shape to have in the house. It should be more vertical than horizontal. Your other objects weren't like that, they didn't take up much space on the horizontal plane.

Lida: And maybe you could use it as a lamp, as a real lamp. And more powerful, because now you can only see that it works, and that's all.

Denis: It looked a bit easy to break. It didn't look sturdy enough.

Lida: It looks expensive, to tell you the truth.

Denis: Maybe if you could change the length of it, if it were telescopic like, so it goes longer and shorter...

Lida: What did you like about the object?


(laughter)

Denis: No no, these are just thoughts of how you could change it in the future. I could have very many ideas on this and other objects...

Ok, I think we're done.

Denis: So when are you having your next project?





## Nipple Chair - Neil

When you talk to your friends, how do you describe the object?

It's really difficult to get the concept across - you tell them it's a nipple chair and they think of something really kinky. Then you try and explain that it's a bit of furniture which detects electromagnetic fields. Most people just say 'Why would you do that?'. I just say it's interesting, it's like having a sort of living thing in your house. We haven't got any cats or anything, so this is the next best thing. You really get quite attached to it after a while, it definitely responds to things that are going on. It's sort of aware, in a sense.

Where is the object now? Why is it there?

It's where it is now because I'm working at the desk - we used it as a proper chair rather than a curiosity. If you're sick of thinking, you can change your awareness to listening to what the chair's doing... when I'm not typing, I try and see if I can use my brain to change the rate it's vibrating at. Of course I can't, but I do try.

Did you try it in other rooms?

We've tried it in the kitchen. We put it in the bathroom for a bit, then we thought it would get damp so we took it out. The bedroom doesn't have any fields, it's much quieter. If you put the chair up against the window there, it takes a little while to settle down, but it settles down to almost nothing. We've tried it everywhere, but the living room is where it always comes back to, different positions in this room. This is a pretty hot corner, not just because of the computer but because there's more activity even if the computer's off.

Why do you think it's particularly active in that location?

Downstairs I think they've got a big-screen TV. Either that or some big stereo system, I think that affects it. There's no overhead power lines here, but I don't know where the underground power lines are. Sometimes, unaccountably, it'll just start really going and you can't figure out why.

Did you try any experiments?

We tried practically every sort of electronic thing we have, putting it near it, underneath it, perhaps touching the antenna underneath to see whether that would make any difference. We've tried it with tape

recorders, cameras, radios, shavers... Putting it near the television only affects it when it starts up, and when you put it on the right-hand side. There does seem to be one particular position that it likes. Some things really do affect it and other things have no effect at all. Some things seem to slow it down, which is a bit suspicious.

How about in relation to your body?

Oh yes. If you stand close to it, it tends to go faster. It slows down if you touch the antenna. It changes if you go near it.

And if other people are around, or moving around the object?

When we first had the chair, we had about four people staying here in this tiny space and it seemed to slow it right down. So maybe it likes company. The faster it gets, the more anxious it is, perhaps.

So you started to interpret its behaviour.

Yes, I think so. When Sophie phones up she always wants to hear the chair, to see if it's ok. I suppose it has the same thing as those interactive pets, like a Furby or something like that. They respond in a really rudimentary way, but it can be interpreted. This has that same thing because it does actually respond. When you come home at night, it speeds up and you think 'Oh, it's pleased to see me.' Poor deluded person that I am.


Some people will say things like Furby and the Tamagotchi are gadgets and slightly gimmicky.

The charm of this chair is that it's disqualified from being in the Furby category because it's not presented as a gadget. It's completely concealed. When we first got it, it didn't even have a switch. It's not gadget-like at all. It wouldn't be nearly as attractive if you could change the sensitivity, or programme it to come on at different times or it had an alarm clock built into it. It would just be another gadget. But presented as it is, it's something completely different.

Do you find yourself regularly checking on it?

Yes. We charge it whenever it needs it, and I notice pretty quickly if it's not working. I don't have to really check it because I'm aware of it anyway, probably more so since Sophie went back to Canada. You're partly aware of it but at the same time it's not really a distraction.

Has it made you think about furniture in a different way?





I think this object would encourage me, if I did buy any furniture, to customise it in some way, so that you can form a different kind of relationship. Maybe I'd feel differently about this chair if I knew that there was more than one, if it was mass-produced.

How would you feel if things that you wouldn't assume had electronic functions started to have different electronic elements to them?

I suppose it's difficult to get your head around whether it's a piece of furniture or a gadget, because as soon as you put any kind of electronics into something, does that become the main thing? I don't know of anything at the moment that is both a functional piece of furniture and has some other thing that it could do as well.

Has the experience of looking after the object had an effect on the way you think about electromagnetic waves?

Yes. I know about electromagnetic waves - there's this whole network, all these interfering signals which come from different things, becoming much more complex. So many things are using electromagnetic energy, not just gadgets but things that transmit, like mobile phones. I don't know whether I think of it as a kind of pollution, but just this whole complex of interchange of energy that's going on and we don't really know anything about it.

If you had to give the object to somebody else, who would you give it to and why?

I would give it to somebody who I think would appreciate it. It's that cat thing again: you wouldn't give your cat to just anybody to look after. Perhaps it would be different if it was a chair that you just had to plug in to the mains, but because it's a chair that you have to feed, you have to plug it in every couple of days, I'd want to give it to somebody who I could trust to do that.

What kinds of people might want to own an object like this?

I like the chair, but I wouldn't give the chair to a person like me because I like gadgets too much, and I definitely wouldn't give the chair to people who like gadgets.

Why?

I don't think it is a gadget.

But you like gadgets.

Yes, but none of the reasons that I like this chair has anything to do with any of the reasons I like gadgets.

Why do you like gadgets?

I don't know what it is. With most gadgets, a microsecond after you've bought them you regret it, because you know it's not going to give you what you want. Quite what it is I want when I buy a gadget, I don't know. I suppose it's that element of control over something. If you buy an electronic organiser you think it's going to transform your life, but all it does is remind you how disorganised your life really is. This seems to be different.

Maybe that's why it's a good thing to give to people who like gadgets?

I don't think gadgety people would like it. Well, maybe they would. But I would have thought one of the big things about electronic gadgets is the interface, and this doesn't have one. I think gadget people would want to be able to programme the megacycles and stuff.

If we were to redesign the object, what recommendations would you make, or how would you like to redesign it?

I would like to keep it like this. I wouldn't want to put any kind of interface on to it. I like that the workings of it are a mystery. There's not a big electronic bulge sticking out or any evidence of wires or anything. You could do that, but I think you would run the risk of turning it into something completely different. It might as well be made out of grey plastic. It's definitely a manufactured object, but it's not trying to be a gadget. Gadgets seem so impersonal.

If it had no sound at all, how would that change the chair?

It would be very different if you just had the vibration of the nipples without the noise, because when it gets faster it sounds more urgent, like it is getting excited or worried. I guess I just respond to it on a stupid level. I do think of it as having this little personality, sad person that I am.

Is there a place for objects like this in people's lives?

I really think so. What's attractive about it is that it gives you information about our environment, living conditions, about the noise that we're generating of various kinds. I suppose it puts us in touch with these invisible changes which are happening.

Does anything really annoy you about the object?

I don't find it annoying at all. It's nice to have. I just wish I understood a bit more... Sometimes, it's almost as if you're trying to speak to it in a different language, when you hold a shaver up to it like you're going to communicate with this thing, and you switch the shaver on and it doesn't do anything.

Did you and Sophie ever disagree about the object's meaning?

She thought I would think of it as a gadget, and I didn't. I guess it's that divide between a gadget and something which is aesthetic... Can something be a gadget and aesthetic as well? Or can the gadgety thing that it does be more aesthetic than the object itself?

I found it interesting that the chair's merged into the background.

When it gets faster, some part of you is already aware of something happening, though you may not be able to identify what that is. The chair is only responding to things in the same environment that we're in. I think you must be bodily aware of electromagnetics or radiation, and the chair just amplifies it and turns it into this sound.

What kind of photographs did you take of it?

I had all these pictures going through my head of, like, people posing by the chair. Standing by it like it was a person or something.

What kind of length of life might an object like this have?

I can't get away from this artificial divide I'm making between something which is a bit of furniture and something which is gadget. If it's a gadget you toss it out of the window after a couple of years. But furniture lasts for ever, really. So it occupies a space between those things. I don't know. I suppose it is a sort of a gadget, so I don't think it has a long span, which is a shame.

How long would you put up with it?

If it automatically charged itself, I would never chuck it out. I'd probably get tired of finding the charger and plugging it in - as you get more gadgets, you accumulate more chargers. It could be one of those things that after five years or so, it's a kind of a classic. You wouldn't want the latest model. You'd want something resembling the prototype as a thing in itself. This is the sort of pure form, before they added all those extra buttons you didn't really need.



**ADOPTION FORM**

Please fill out form as thoughtfully as possible. Each application is carefully considered.

WE REGRET THAT WE CANNOT DO LONG DISTANCE ADOPTIONS.

Name:.....

Address:.....

Postcode:.....

Telephone number (residential):.....

Telephone number (business):..... Application date:.....

**OBJECT SELECTION**

Please stick the object you would like to adopt into the box and say a few words about why you are interested in that object.

**FIRST CHOICE:***stick here***SECOND CHOICE:***stick here*

Why?.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Why?.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Please describe places in your home where you think you might like to keep the object and reasons why?

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

How many adults in your household?.....

How many children in your household?.....

How old are they?.....

Any pets?.....

Have any electronic objects in your home created any unusual situations between family members (or pets) or visitors to your home? (e.g. remote controls, video recorders, mobile phones, answerphones, fax machines, home security, digital cameras, digital TV, etc.)

Are you worried about electromagnetic waves?  
(Please say why you are worried or why you are not worried)

Have you had any previous electromagnetic experiences?

Where did you hear about this project?.....

FORMS MUST BE RETURNED BY SATURDAY 3 MARCH 2001.

Please send then to: Placebo project, CRD Research, RCA, Stevens building, Kensington Gore, London SW7 2EU.  
For further information call Sorrell on 020 7942 2426 or email [s.hershberg@vam.ac.uk](mailto:s.hershberg@vam.ac.uk)

## Picture Credits

Front and Back Cover photographs: Jason Evans

Endpapers: Samuel Nyholm (front), Michael Marriott (back)

### Section 01: DIY Realities

p.10-11: illustration by Alex Rich

p.13: drawing by Anthony Dunne

### Section 02: Hertzian Space

p.16: drawings by Jon Hares, Tom Gauld

p.19: drawing by Tom Gauld

p.20: illustration by Alex Rich

p.23: drawings by Rei Terao, Tom Gauld

p.25: drawings by Tom Gauld, Anthony Dunne

p.27: courtesy of Pedro Sepulveda-Sandoval

p.30: courtesy of Alan Wright and one2one

p.31: courtesy of ARCNET

p.32: courtesy of Radiocommunications Agency

p.33: courtesy of British Telecom Research Laboratories

p.34: illustration by Alex Rich

p.35: photographs by Nigel Jackson

p.36: photograph by Lubra Hammoud

p.37: courtesy of Oliver Michell

p.39-40: illustration by Alex Rich

p.42: photographs by Raphael Hefti

p.43: photograph by Howard Sooley

### Section 03: Design Noir

p.49: drawings by Tom Gauld and Rei Terao

p.50: drawing by Jon Hares

p.53: drawing by Tom Gauld

p.54: © Independent on Sunday

p.55: drawings by Tom Gauld and Alex Rich

p.56: from American Sex Machines by Hoag Levins.

Copyright © 1996, Hoag Levins. Used by permission  
of Adams Media Corporation. All rights reserved

### Section 04: Designer as Author

p.60: courtesy of etoy

p.66-7: © Yoshimoto Kogyo Co., Ltd./Maywa Denki

p.68: courtesy of Jimmy Loizeau

p.69: courtesy of Ippei Matsumoto

p.70: courtesy of James Auger

p.71-3: courtesy of Noam Toran

### Section 05: The Secret Life of Electronic Objects

p.76-7: illustration by Anthony Dunne

p.81-157: photographs by Jason Evans

Re: The myth of the tv detector van

Fri, Jul 20, 2001, 4:30 pm

I've always thought that tv detector vans never really made sense. Surely, to spend all that time and money; developing the technology, equipping the vans and getting personnel to drive them about, could never be as direct and effective as simply subtracting every tv licence holder's address from every address in the country, to give you the few remaining addresses that don't have a licence? Then they could just nip round there and listen at the front door or something?

Michael

BOBST LIBRARY



3 1142 03196 0530

New York University

#### Phone Renewal:

212 009 2487

New York University  
Bobst, Circulation Department  
70 Washington Square South  
New York, NY 10012-1091

*Web Renewals:*  
<http://library.nyu.edu>  
*Circulation policies*  
<http://library.nyu.edu/about>

**THIS ITEM IS SUBJECT TO RECALL AT ANY TIME**

DUE DATE JAN 06 2011 BOBST LIBRARY CIRCULATION		DUE DATE OCT 6 2010 RETURMED SEP 26 2010 BOBST LIBRARY CIRCULATION

**NOTE NEW DUE DATE WHEN RENEWING BOOKS ONLINE**

**NOTE NEW DUE DATE WHEN RENEWING BOOKS ONLINE**

RENEWING VIA WEB/PHONE!

0195



ISBN 3-7643-6566-8



9 783764 365660