Criteria				Rat	tings			Pts	
1 PAGE (MAX) Executive Summary summary of feedback and changes made to this Project from initial version until now	0.53 pts Full Marks 1 page in length summary		1 page m your i	(max) reflection on major chang nitial proposal to now and what changes.	es M	hanges to thi	MARY expect a short 1 page (max) reflection on major s project from your initial proposal to now and k influenced those changes.	0.53 pt	
PDF file in the GIVEN ORDER (Summary, Project Outline, Database Outline, ERD, and Schema, Screen captures)	(Summary, Database	the GIVEN ORDER Project Outline, Outline, ERD, and creen captures)		0.16 pts SLIGHTLY WRONG ORDER NO MORE THAN ONE section Order of PDF presentation is: S Outline, Database Outline, ERD Screen captures.	ummary	y, Project	0.0 pts PDF file WRONG ORDER MORE THAN ONE section in wrong order. Order of PDF presentation is: Summary, Project Outline, Database Outline, ERD, and Schema, Screen captures.		
SUMMARY Is REFLECTION on major changes to this project from your initial proposal to now and what feedback influenced those changes.	on major of project fro proposal to	correctly reflects hanges to this im your initial o now and what influenced those	Makes major of propos those of	MPTS to Summarize attempt to summarize and refle changes to this project from you all to now and what feedback in changes, though incomplete or red by poor writing quality or	ır initial	Note the asked for this step major ch	ry is NOT A REFLECTION at summary is different from previous steps which or copy/paste of feedback and your response. In owe expect a short 1 page (max) reflection on nanges to this project from your initial proposal to d what feedback influenced those changes.	0.53 pt	
PROJECT and DB OUTLINES	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes u		he Proje	ect and Database outline		o pts SSING updated versions ssing updated versions of the Project and Database outline			
PROJECT and DB OUTLINES writing quality	layman the your Proje	fficient to give a e complete idea of ct and it's universe e able to navigate	Son req to s	2 pts FEMPTS to give LAYMAN idea ne effort to give layman idea, but layman would uire SOME additional information from you in orde uccessfully have a complete idea of your Project it's universe and thus be able to navigate the usite.			0.0 pts DOES NOT give LAYMAN idea A layman would require a SIGNIFICANT amount of additional information from you in order to have a complete idea of your Project and it's universe and be able to navigate the website.		
ER DIAGRAMS	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes u in the class	pdated versions of E	ERD usir	ng the notations that we use	0.0 pts MISSING updated versions Missing updated versions of the ERD using the notations that we use in the class.				
ER DIAGRAMS quality Models consistent with Project and Databases suffine	relationshi representa	s, attributes and lps are consistent wi ations in Project and Outline as well as So		0.32 pts ATTEMPTS to be CONSISTEI Some minor inconsistencies b entities, attributes and relatio representations in Project an Outline as well as Schema. Av	etween nships a l Databa	and with ase			
SCHEMA The updated version of the schema. Again, please stick to the notations that we use in the class.	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes u use in the	pdated version of th	ne schen	na using the notations that we	Missi	ING updated	I versions versions of the schema using the notations that we	0.48 pt	
SCHEMA quality Consistent with Project and Database Outline and relationships and keys are set up correctly	0.48 pts Full Marks All entities, attributes and relationships are correct and consistent with representations in Project and Database Outline as well as ERD . High quality.			0.32 pts ATTEMPTS to be CONSISTI Some minor errors although attributes and relationships. consistent with representati Database Outline as well as quality.	MOST are corr ons in P	rect and Project and	0.0 pts NOT CONSISTENT Significant (2 or more) errors in entities, attributes and/or relationships and NOT consistent with representations in Project and Database Outline as well as ERD .Needs improvement.	0.48 pt	

UI Screen Shots Screen captures of each of the UI pages on your website.	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes Screen captures of each of the UI pages on your website					0.0 pts MISSING UI Screen Shots Missing Screen captures of each of the UI pages on your website.				0.48 pts
UI Screen Shot Title quality	0.48 pts Full Marks All titles immediately above each Screen capture which explains the CRUD step. E.g. "READ/BROWSE/DISPLAY Customers page" or "CREATE/INSERT/ADD NEW Invoice page.". High quality.			0.32 pts ATTEMPTs to explain CRUD step Has a title but title HAS MINOR flaws in fully explain the CRUD step. E.g. "READ/BROWSE/DISPLAY Customers page" or "CREATE/INSERT/ADD NEW Invoice page.". Average work.			aws in mers	0.0 pts No Titles OR NOT Descriptive Significant (2 or more) errors. E.g. title missing OR DOES NOT explains the CRUD step. E.g. "READ/BROWSE/DISPLAY Customers page" or "CREATE/INSERT/ADD NEW Invoice page." Needs improvement.		
Data Definition Queries The updated version of SQL file used to host your CS340 database.	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes updated version of SQL file to create DB. Import is successful and creates tables per schema. O.24 pts Missing comments Includes updated version of SQL file to create D successful and creates tables per schema. MISSI should have brief comments mentioning what functionality/entity/relationship the query is rela					a. MISSING: what	NG: SQL files DB. OR the .SQL file cannot be imported or does not create tables as your Schema			0.48 pts
Data Manipulation Queries all your DML queries in the format described providing the functionalities listed in the CS340 Project Guide	0.48 pts Full Marks Includes updated version of S DB. Queries would run unde conditions. SQL files have bri mentioning what functionality/entity/relations related to.	le nts	0.24 pts Missing comments Includes updated version of SQL file to query Queries would run under reasonable conditio MISSING: SQL files have brief comments mentioning what functionality/entity/relation the query is related to.				tions.	0.0 pts SQL Missing or Does Not Work Missing updated version of SQL file to create DB. OR the .SQL file cannot be imported or does not create tables as your Schema describes. You lose all of these points.	0.48 pts	
READ/BROWSE/DISPLAY Does the UI utilize a SELECT for every table in the schema? In other words, data from each table in the schema should be displayed on the UI. Note: it is generally not acceptable for just a single query to join all tables and displays them.	The UI does utilize a SELECT for every table in the schema. In other words, data from each table in the schema is displayed on the UI. High quality.			mpts to utilize a SELECT for every Missing T Attempts THAN OI THAN OI UI. In other words, data on one table in the schema is NOT layed on the UI. Acceptable			Missing T Attempts THAN ON UI. In other	g Tables Its to utilize a SELECT for every table MORE ONE table in the schema is NOT displayed on the ther words, data from more than one table in the is NOT displayed on the UI. Needs work.		
CREATE/INSERT/ADD NEW Does the UI implement an INSERT for every table in the schema? In other words, there should be UI input fields that correspond to each table and attribute in that table.	0.4 pts Full Marks The UI does implement an IN table and nonkey attribute in other words, there are UI inp correspond to each table and in that table. High quality.	na. In nat	O.2 pts Attempts to utilize INSERT for every nonkey attribute No more than three non-key attributes in the schema are missing INSERT. In other words, there are no Ul input fields that correspond to at most three non key table attributes. Acceptable quality.			ibutes in In other ds that	words, there are no UI input fields that correspond to at more than three non key		0.4 pts	
CREATE/INSERT/ADD NEW FK Does each INSERT also add the corresponding FK attributes, including at least one M:M relationship?	Each INSERT also adds the corresponding FK attributes, including at least one M:M relationship with more than one FK updated. High quality.			THAN words if there is a M:M relation new Order (e.g. orderID, cuthe intersection table, e.g. line_total). Needs work.			ert is missing a corresponding foreign key insert. In other I relationship between Orders and Products, INSERTing a D, customeriD, date, total), should also INSERT row(s) in e.g. OrderDetails (orderID, productID, qty, price and			0.4 pts
DELETE Is there at least one DELETE and does at least one DELETE remove things from a M:M relationship?	0.4 pts Full Marks There at least one DELETE in	d. High q	Miss			Missir	0.0 pts Missing DELETE Missing one DELETE. Needs work.		0.4 pts	

Delete removes M:M Does at least one DELETE remove things from a M:M relationship?	Full Marks Delete removes rows from intersection table. Or		0.0 pts Does not Delete from Intersection table Does not have one DELETE remove things from a M:M relationship. In other words, if an order is deleted from the Orders table, it should also delete the corresponding rows from the OrderDetails table, BUT it should not delete any Products or Customers. Needs work.							
UPDATE Is there at least one UPDATE for any one entity? In other words, in the case of Products, can productName, listPrice, qtyOnHand, e.g. be updated for a single ProductID record?	0.6 pts Full Marks There at least one UPDATE implemented. High quality.						0.0 pts Missing UPDATE Missing one UPDATE. Needs work.			
Update is NULLable Is at least one relationship NULLable?	0.6 pts Full Marks At least one relationship is NULLable. High quality. 0.0 pts Not NULLable DOES NOT IMPLEMENT at least one relationship NULLable. In other words, there should be at least one optional relationship, e.g. having an Employee might be optional for any Order. Thus it should be feasible to edit an Order an change the value of Employee to be empty. Needs work.								0.6 pts	
SEARCH/FILTER search using text or filter using a dynamically populated list of properties. This search/filter functionality should be present for at least one entity.	Search using text OR filter using a dynamically populated list of dynamically				oopulated list of ity BUT WITH N	rch using text O properties is pr MINOR PROBLE	esent for at	O.0 pts Missing Search/Filter MISSING search using text or filter using a dynamically populated list of properties for at least one entity. Needs work.	0.32 pts	
Website Functionality URL The URL of the website should be included in the PDF as well as a comment on the submission.	0.4 pts Full Marks The URL of the website is be included in the Pt comment on the submission.				rell as a	0.0 pts MISSING Proje Missing the UF comment on th	site included in the PDF as well as a	0.4 pts		
Website Source Code This includes files containing CSS, HTML, JS and the code for server-side platform that you decided to use.	4.54 pts Full Marks Submission includes files containing CSS, HTM and the code for server-side platform that you decided to use. High quality.				3.41 pts Some files missing "JS MISSING SOME non-essential files (e.g. CSS, HTML, JS). Includes ALL the code for server-side platform.			0.0 pts Missing Files MISSING MORE THAN THREE non- essential files OR MISSING the code for server-side platform.	4.54 pt	
Source Code Comments Brief comments mentioning what functionality/entity/relationship is being implemented	Submission includes brief comments mentioning what functionality/entity/relationship implemente				omments mentioning what MISSIN relentity/relationship is being function implements although SOME ADDITIONAL implements of the control of the			ng comments ING brief comments mentioning what ionality/entity/relationship is being mented, although SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL nation would be needed to follow the code		
Website Style Your website should be reasonably easy to navigate. We are not expecting CSS. But tabular data should be displayed in tables and form elements should be reasonably grouped. Section headers for parts of your pages/forms would definitely make your site easier to navigate.	0.6 pts Full Marks EXCEPTIONALLY easy to navigate. Tabular data displayed in tables and form elements AESTHETICALLY grouped. INCLUDES section headers for parts of your pages/forms. High quality.			0.45 pts ATTEMPTS to be Easy to Navigate SATISFACTORILY easy to navigate. Tabular data displayed in tables and form elements LOGICALLY grouped. SOME section headers for parts of your pages/forms. Satisfactory quality.			Tabular Nements ta	0.1 pts NOT Easy to Navigate NOT easy to navigate. DIFFICULT to read abular data and form elements. MISSING DR INCORRECT section headers for parts of your pages/forms. Needs improvement.	0.6 pts	
								Total Po	oints: 16.	