

# MATH 102: IDEAS OF MATH

## WORKSHEET 5

### 1. Set

**Definition 1.** A *set* is a collection of objects.

Set of objects  $x$  satisfying some property  $P(x)$  is denoted by

$$(1.1) \quad \{x \mid P(x)\}.$$

Denote

- (1) The set of all integers to be  $\mathbb{Z}$
- (2) The set of all natural numbers to be  $\mathbb{N}$
- (3) the set of all rational numbers to be  $\mathbb{Q}$

*Problem 1.* From high school knowledge, try to describe  $\mathbb{Q}$  in terms of  $\mathbb{Z}$  using set notation (1.1).

*Problem 2.* What's the difference between a logical formula and a propositional formula?

**Definition 2** (Notations). The following are standard notations

- (1)  $x \in X$  represents “ $x$  belongs to set  $X$ ”.
- (2)  $x \notin X$  represents “ $x$  does not belong to set  $X$ ”.

Typically, a set is written by a capitalized letter, unless it is a special set such as  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}$ .

### 2. Logical formula

Last time, we talked briefly about universal quantifier and existential quantifier.

**Definition 3 (The universal quantifier  $\forall$ ).** If  $p(x)$  is a logical formula with free variable with free variable  $x$  with domain  $X$ , then  $\forall x \in X, p(x)$  is the logical formula defined according to the following rules:

---

*Date:* September 21, 2023.

- If  $p(x)$  can be derived from the assumption that  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $X$ , then  $\forall x \in X, p(x)$  is true;
- If  $a \in X$  and  $\forall x \in X, p(x)$  is true, then  $p(a)$  is true.

**Definition 4 (The existential quantifier  $\exists$ ).** If  $p(x)$  is a logical formula with free variable  $x$  with domain  $X$ , then  $\exists x \in X, p(x)$  is the logical formula defined according to the following rules:

- If  $a \in X$  and  $p(a)$  is true, then  $\exists x \in X, p(x)$  is true;
- If  $\exists x \in X, p(x)$  is true, and  $q$  can be derived from the assumption that  $p(a)$  is true for some fixed  $a \in X$ , then  $q$  is true.

*Problem 3.* In your own words, re-interpret the definitions of the quantifiers.

*Problem 4.* Represent the following sentences in logical formula form. Some sentences need to be rephrased so that things are clear to identify variables and predicates.

- (1)  $x - y$  is rational.
- (2) Every even natural number  $n \geq 2$  is divisible by  $k$ .
- (3) There is an integer that is divisible by every integer.
- (4) There is no greatest odd integer.
- (5) Between any two distinct rational numbers is a third distinct rational number.
- (6) If any integer has a rational square root, then that root is an integer.

*Problem 5.* Translate the following into English.

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, (a \geq 0 \implies \exists b \in \mathbb{R}, a = b^2).$$

*Problem 6.* Let  $P$  be the set of all prime numbers.

- (1) Translate the following logical formulas into English.
  - (a)  $\forall n \in P, (n > 2 \implies (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k + 1))$ .
  - (b)  $\neg \exists n \in P, (n > 2 \wedge (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k))$ .
- (2) Are they true? How would you go on to prove them?
- (3) Are both statements talk about the same thing?

*Problem 7.* From the previous problem, find equivalent statements to the following

$$\neg(\exists x \in X, P(x)),$$

and

$$\neg(\forall x \in X, P(x)).$$

Hint: re-call the following from Worksheet 4

**Theorem 2.1** (De Morgan's laws for logical operators). *Let  $P, Q$  be propositional variables. Then,*

- (1)  $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv (\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q),$
- (2)  $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv (\neg P) \vee (\neg Q) .$