HW 5

Sonya Eason

1.

A Pareto Type 1 disribution has the following pdf:

$$f_x = \frac{\alpha x_m^\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}} \mathbf{1}_{x \geq x_m}$$

To determine if the Pareto Type I distribution is a member of the exponential family, I will attempt to write it in the exponential form

$$f_x = h(x) exp(\eta^T(\theta) T(X) - \psi(\theta))$$

Note that in this case, there are two parameters.

$$\theta = (\alpha, x_m)$$

I immediately notice when using the normal exponentiating the logarithm of the density method that the indicator can not be coerced into the exponential in order to preserve its meaning.

I write it as:

$$\mathbf{1}_{x \geq x_m} \exp(log(\alpha) + \alpha log(x_m) + (\alpha + 1) log(x))$$

Note that the indicator is a function of $\theta = (\alpha, x_m)$ and that we are unable to express our Pareto Type 1 distribution in the exponential family form as an exponential family, so NO, the Pareto Type I distribution with two unknown parameters is not a member of the exponential family.

2.

a)

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = X_{n \times p} \beta_{p \times 1},$$

Note that X_i^T is a row vector where its dimension is ($1 \times p$). Provide the log-likelihood function as a function of the regression parameters. That is, show that

The log-likelihood function for logistic regression is

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{y_i}(1-p)^{1-y_i},$$

$$\log \mathcal{L}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i \log(p) + \log(1-p) - y_i \log(1-p)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i \log \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) + \log(1-p) \right].$$

Recall that

$$(1) \log \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = X_i^T \beta$$

We need to determine $log(1-p)$, which can be done by rearranging this:

$$log(p) - log(1-p) = X_i^T \beta$$

$$log(p) - X_i^T \beta = log(1-p)$$

$$log(\frac{e^{X_i^T \beta}}{1+e^{X_i^T \beta}}) - X_i^T \beta = log(1-p)$$

The following is equivalent:

$$log(\frac{e^{X_i^T \beta}}{1+e^{X_i^T \beta}}) - log(e^{X_i^T \beta}) = log(1-p)$$

I weaponize that log subtraction is the same as the log of the quotient:

$$log(\frac{1}{1+e^{X_i^T \beta}}) = log(1-p)$$

$$(2) - log(1 + e^{X_i^T \beta}) = log(1 - p)$$

With (1) and (2), we rewrite the initial log likelihood as

$$\log \mathcal{L}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i X_i^T \beta - \log(1 + \exp(X_i^T \beta)) \right].$$

In matrix notation, we can write the expression as

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\beta) = y^T X \beta - \mathbf{1}^T \log(1 + \exp(X\beta)).$$

b)

We take the derivative of the log likelihood with respect to β

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \mathcal{L}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i X_i^T \beta - \log(1 + \exp(X_i^T \beta)) \right] \right].$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i X_i^T \beta \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i X_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(X_i^T \beta)) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{exp(X_i^T \beta)}{1 + exp(X_i^T \beta)}$$

$$\nabla_\beta \log \mathcal{L}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i \mathbf{X}_i - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \mathbf{X}_i \right].$$

I can verify this by seeing that our component makes sense as we end up with dimension $p \times 1$.

You may also write the expression in matrix notation as follows:

Define

$$\pi_i = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}.$$

Then,

$$\nabla_\beta \log \mathcal{L}(p) = \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{y} - \pi).$$

c)

$$\nabla_\beta^2 \log \mathcal{L}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \nabla_\beta \log \mathcal{L}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i \mathbf{X}_i - \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \mathbf{X}_i \right].$$

Since our first term does not contain β in the term, we know that the derivative causes that term to disappear.

We note the derivative of the second term by considering the product rule from calculus.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[-\frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \mathbf{X}_i \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \right] \times \mathbf{X}_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathbf{X}_i \times \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}$$

This simplifies to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \right] \times \mathbf{X}_i$$

To solve for the partial derivative of the first term, we need to utilize quotient rule.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \right] \times \mathbf{X}_i = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \cdot \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^T$$

We can write our Hessian as:

$$\nabla_\beta^2 \log \mathcal{L}(p) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \cdot \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_i^T \beta)} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^T \right].$$

Let $W = diag(\pi_1(1 - \pi_1), \ldots, \pi_n(1 - \pi_n))$
It follows that the Hessian can be written as

$$-\mathbf{X}^T W \mathbf{X}.$$

d)

Logistic Newton Raphson Algorithm

```
logistic_Newton_Raphson <- function(x, y, b_init = rep(0, ncol(x)),
                                     tol = 1*10^(-8))
{
  change <- Inf
  b_old <- b_init

  while(change>tol)
```

```
  {
    eta <- x %*% b_old
    pie <- exp(eta) / (1 + exp(eta))
    w <- diag(as.vector(pie * (1 - pie)), nrow = length(pie), ncol = length(pie))

    b_new <- b_old + solve(t(x)%*% w %*% x) %*% t(x) %*% (y-pie)

    change <- sqrt(sum((b_new-b_old)^2))

    b_old <- b_new
  }

  b_new
}
```

e)

```
df <- read.csv('https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stat/data/binary.csv')
```

```
#pre-processing

y <- df$admit

X <- as.matrix(df[, c("gre", "gpa", "rank")])
int <- rep(1, nrow(df))
X <- cbind(int, X)
```

```
logistic_Newton_Raphson(X, y)
```

```
            [,1]
int   -3.44954840
gre    0.00229396
gpa    0.77701357
rank -0.56003139
```

This matches the glm.

3.

Proposed question

The Rayleigh distribution can be used to model wave displacement.

X_i represents the displacement of a wave, which is i.i.d. and follows the following distribution

$$f_x = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2}$$

Find the sufficient statistic of a sample of n displacement waves. Use the canonical parameterization to calculate the MLE for σ^2.

Citation: Utilized the MIT open courseware notes at https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/18-655-mathematical-statistics-spring-2016/resources/mit18_655s16_lecnote7/ for the canonical parameterization.

Solution below:

$$f_x = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2}$$

We exponentiate the log of this to gain try to find the exponential family formulation:

$$exp(log(\frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2}))$$

We are able to rewrite the density to see the exponential family formulation:

$$f_x = \frac{1}{x} e^{-log(\sigma^2) - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

and recover the parameters as:

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$
$$T(x) = x^2$$

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$A(\eta) = log(-\frac{1}{2\eta})$$

The sufficient statistic of the sample would be

$$T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{\,2}$$

We can recover the MLE through

$$\nabla_\eta A(\eta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(X_i)$$

We can take the gradient of the log partition function:

$$\nabla_\eta A(\eta) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\eta^2}}{\frac{-1}{2\eta}} = \frac{-1}{\eta} = 2\sigma^2$$

We can utilize the sufficient statistic and method of moments:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$$

Then we set the gradient of log partition function to the empirical mean of the sufficient statistic.

$$2\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$$

This is the MLE:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$$

Rubric (1) finds the sufficient statistic. (1) shows evidence of correct exponential family understanding (i.e., may have messed up the fact that we need the sample sufficient statistic, but still used exponential family concept to attempt to recover sufficient statistic). (1) finds the correct MLE. (1) utilizes the canonical parameterization to find the MLE.