Names associated with items (parentheses at right in small type) are at specification level (question or screen programming) and are not the names of release variables.

ANES 2012 PRE-ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE   *01/14/2013*

INCLUDED IN BOTH FTF AND INTERNET MODES:

- Items not labeled for mode were administered in both the face-to-face and internet instruments

- Items labeled **CASI** *(not "CASI ONLY")* were administered using CASI for some items of the FTF instrument and were also present in the internet version

INCLUDED FTF ONLY OR INTERNET ONLY:

"FTF ONLY" -   administered face-to-face by an interviewer using CAPI but not included in the internet instrument;

"CASI ONLY" -   administered using Computer-Assisted Self Interviewing for the face-to-face interview but not included
                in the internet instrument;

"WEB ONLY" -   included in the internet instrument but not in the face-to-face interview.

Question text:
1. **bold** words or phrases are to be emphasized [FTF].
2. ( ) parentheses indicate a word or phrase that is optional, that is, included at the discretion of the IWR [FTF].
3. [preload:] indicates programmed insertion of preload text or value into question text.
4. [/] indicates wording alternatives separated by '/' within the square brackets.
5. { } brackets are used for interviewer instructions which appear onscreen after spacing following the question text [FTF].
6. Variable names prepg_a through prepg_z are reserved for references to unique pages in Respondent Booklet; multiple questions may refer to the same page number. Page number values will be assigned to prepg variable when content is final.

Response options:
1. [preload:] indicates insertion of preload text or value into response option label.
2. {VOL} indicates a response option not indicated in the question text but acceptable as a coded value when given as a response [FTF].
3. {SPECIFY} usually appears with the 'Other' category and indicates that after entering the code value a text box should appear for entry of specific verbatim response [FTF].
4. Dates, time durations, and monetary unit numeric entries are to be confirmed [FTF]
5. DK and RF are assumed default missing data categories unless indicated otherwise. Any indicated "nondefault" nonresponse categories are additional to default DK and RF unless indicated otherwise.

section: **GEND**

"FTF ONLY: INTERVIEWER: Is R male or female (Observation)"   **(GEND_GENDOBS)**

....
IS THE RESPONDENT MALE OR FEMALE?
IF UNSURE, CODE DK.
....
1. Male
2. Female

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
RF not allowed

section: **WEBINTRO**

"WEB ONLY: Web introduction/consent screen"   **(WEBINTRO_INTRO)**

....
[STUDY NAME REDACTED]

For this study, we would like you to complete a series of four surveys over the next two to three months. In appreciation of your participation, we can give you $10 for completing each survey. Each one should take about 30 minutes. In addition, because we really need you to complete all four surveys, if you complete all four then we will give you another $25 at the end of the study. If you do all four surveys, that's a total of $65.

The [study name redacted] is sponsored by Stanford University and the University of Michigan. If you have any questions or comments about the survey, you may contact *[NAME REDACTED]* at *[PHONE REDACTED]*, or by email at *[E-MAIL REDACTED]*.

If you are not satisfied with how this study is being conducted, or if you have any concerns, complaints, or general questions about the research or your rights as a participant, please contact *[REDACTED]* to speak to someone independent of the research team toll free at *[PHONE REDACTED]*. You can also write to *[REDACTED]*.

....

<u>response type</u>:   Display only

---

section: **CAMPINT**

"How often does R pay attn to politics and elections"   **(CAMPINT_POLATTREV)**

....
How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics?
[**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Forward/Reverse order

"Interested in following campaigns standard"   **(CAMPINT_CAMPINTSTD)**

....
Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you?
Would you say that you have been [**very much** interested, **somewhat** interested or **not much** interested/ **not much** interested, **somewhat** interested or **very much** interested] in the political campaigns so far this year?
....
1. Very much interested
2. Somewhat interested
3. Not much interested

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Forward/Reverse order

"Does R know where to go to vote in neighborhood"   (CAMPINT_WHEREVOTE)

....
Do you happen to know where people who live in your neighborhood go to vote?
....
1. Yes
2. No
3. Vote by mail only {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, do not display response option 3.

"Did R vote for President in 2008"   (CAMPINT_PRESVTLAST)

....
In 2008 Barack Obama ran on the Democratic ticket against John McCain for the Republicans. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

{DO NOT PROBE 'DON'T KNOW' RESPONSES}
....
1. Yes, voted
2. No, didn't vote

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Recall of last (2008) Presidential vote choice"   (CAMPINT_PREVVTWHO)

IF R SAYS VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 2008:
....
Which one did you vote for?

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}
....
1. Barack Obama
2. John McCain
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for option 5 in place of "SPECIFY."

section: **PRMEDIA**

"HH Internet use"   (PRMEDIA_USEINET)

....
Do you or anyone in this household use the Internet at any location?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Days in typical week review news on internet"   **(PRMEDIA_WKINEWS)**

IF ANYONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD USES THE INTERNET AT ANY LOCATION.

....
During a typical week, how many days do you watch, read, or listen to news on the Internet, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF 'EVERY DAY' ASK FOR NUMBER}

....
0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, disregard the question logic/universe condition and ask this of everyone.

"Attention to internet news"   **(PRMEDIA_ATINEWS)**

IF NUMBER OF DAYS ATTENDING NEWS ON INTERNET IS MORE THAN 0:

....
How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on the Internet? [**a great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **none at all** /**none at all**, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**]?

....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Days in typical week watch news on TV"   **(PRMEDIA_WKTVNWS)**

....
During a typical week, how many days do you watch national news on TV, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF 'EVERY DAY' ASK FOR NUMBER}

....
0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Attention to TV news"   (PRMEDIA_ATTVNEWS)

IF NUMBER OF DAYS R WATCHES NEWS ON TV IS MORE THAN 0:

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on TV?
[**a great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **none at all** /
none at all, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**]?

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Days in typical wk read news in print newspaper"   (PRMEDIA_WKPAPRNWS)

During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed
newspaper, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF 'EVERY DAY' ASK FOR NUMBER}

0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Attention to printed newspaper news"   (PRMEDIA_ATPPRNEWS)

IF NUMBER OF DAYS R READS PRINT NEWSPAPER >0:

How much attention do you pay to news about national politics in
printed newspapers? [**a great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**,**a
little**, or **none at all** / **none at all**, **a little**, **a moderate amount**,**a
lot**, or **a great deal**]?

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Days in typical week listen news on radio"   (PRMEDIA_WKRDNWS)

During a typical week, how many days do you listen to news on
the radio, not including sports?

{PROBE: IF 'EVERY DAY' ASK FOR NUMBER}

....
0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Attention to radio news"   (PRMEDIA_ATRDNEWS)

IF NUMBER OF DAYS R LISTENS TO NEWS ON RADIO IS MORE THAN 0:

....
How much attention do you pay to news about national politics on
the radio? [**a great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**,
or **none at all** / **none at all**, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a
lot**, or **a great deal**]?
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

---

section: **PREVOTE**

"R registered to vote (pre-election)"   (PREVOTE_REGIST)

....
Now on a different topic.
Are you registered to vote at this address, registered at a different address,
or not currently registered?
....
1. Registered at this address
2. Registered at a different address
3. Not currently registered

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, ask this Q if R's address is on file, and fill "this address"
with the R's home address on file.

"RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 etc"   (PREVOTE_REG)

IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:

....
What is the address where you are registered to vote now?
....

response type: Text entry

"Is R registered to vote in preload county (resid)"   **(PREVOTE_REGINCNTY)**

IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:
IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:
....
Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?
....
1. Yes, registered in [preload: hhcounty]
2. No, registered in other county
5. [preload:hhcounty] is incorrect {VOL} {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How long has R been registered at location"   **(PREVOTE_REGYRS)**

IF R REGISTERED AT CURRENT ADDRESS /
IF R NOT REGISTERED AT CURRENT ADDRESS AND PROVIDED ADDRESS OF
REGISTRATION:
....
How many years have you been registered to vote at [this/that] address?

{ENTER 0 IF RESPONSE IS LESS THAN 1 YEAR}
....

response type: Numeric entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include instruction text: "If less than a year, type 0."

"RESTRICTED: name under which R registered to vote"   **(PREVOTE_REGNAME)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
....
Some people register to vote under their full name and others use
different versions of their name. Others might have changed their
name since they registered.

What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?

{INTERVIEWER: PROBE GENTLY FOR THE NAME. DO NOT PROBE REFUSALS}
....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, add the following text: '(Your name remains confidential and
will never be shared outside of the KnowledgePanel.)'

"Party of registration"   **(PREVOTE_REGPTY)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:
....
What political party are you registered with, if any?
....
1. Democratic party
2. Republican party
4. None or 'independent'
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of "SPECIFY".

"Does R intend to register to vote"   **(PREVOTE_REGINT)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR DK IF REGISTERED TO VOTE:
....

Do you intend to register to vote before the November 6 elections, or do you not intend to register?
....

1. Yes, intend to register
2. No, do not intend to register

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did R vote in the Presidential primary or caucus"   **(PREVOTE_PRIMV)**

....
Did you vote in a Presidential primary election or caucus this year?
....

1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus
2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For which candidate did R vote in Presidential prim"   **(PREVOTE_PRIMVWHO)**

IF R VOTED IN A PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY OR CAUCUS:
....
Looking at page [preload: prepg_q] in the booklet
In the Presidential primary or caucus, who did you vote for?

{SHOW RESPONDENT BOOKLET}
....

01. Mitt Romney
02. Barack Obama
03. Rick Santorum
04. Newt Gingrich
05. Ron Paul
06. Rick Perry
07. Michele Bachmann
08. Jon Huntsman
09. Herman Cain
95. Someone else {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text "Looking at page [preload: prepg_q] in the booklet". Include a small text box for option 95 in place of "SPECIFY".

"Already voted in General Election"   **(PREVOTE_VOTED)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
....

This question is not about the primary elections and caucuses that were held a few months ago.

Instead, we'd like to ask you about the election for President to be held on November 6, in which [preload: rep_pcname] is running against [preload: dem_pcname].

Have you already voted in that election, or have you not voted?
....
1. Have voted
2. Have not voted

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Confirmation voted (early) in November 6 election"   (PREVOTE_VCONF)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
....
Just to be clear, I'm recording that you already voted in the election that is scheduled to take place on November 6. Is that right?
....
1. Yes, voted
2. No, have not voted

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, replace "I'm" with "we are" in the question text.

"In what manner did R vote"   (PREVOTE_HOWVOTE)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING FOR THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
....
Which one of the following best describes how you voted?

- Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day
- Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before election day
- Definitely voted in some other way
- Not completely sure whether you voted or not
....
1. Definitely voted in person at a polling place before election day
2. Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before electon day
3. Definitely voted in some other way
4. Not completely sure whether you voted or not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed

"FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Pre ballot card"   (PREVOTE_CKBCARD)

IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS:
IF R IS IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:
....
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS: [preload: hhstate] [preload: hhdist].

ASSIGNED BALLOT COLOR IS: [var: ballotcolor].
PLEASE DESCRIBE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE CORRECT BALLOT CARD FOR THE RESPONDENT:
....
1. Yes, have [blue/pink] color ballot card for R's district
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards.

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed

"Did R vote for President"   (PREVOTE_PRESVT)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
....
How about the election for President? Did you vote for a
candidate for **President**]?
....
1. Yes, voted for President
2. No, didn't vote for President

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom did R vote for President"   (PREVOTE_PRESVTWHO)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST /
IF IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:
....
Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
....
1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of names in question text

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of
"SPECIFY".

"Preference strong for Pres cand for whom R voted"   (PREVOTE_PRESSTR)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
....
Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not stong?
....
1. Strong

2. Not strong

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed

"How long before election R made decision Pres vote"   **(PREVOTE_VTPRESDEC)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:
....

How long before you voted did you decide that you
were going to vote the way you did?

{PROBE IF NECESSARY: WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE
YOU VOTED, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?}
....

<u>response type</u>:	Text entry

"Does R intend to vote for President"   **(PREVOTE_INTPRES)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
....

How about the election for President? Do you intend to vote for a
candidate for **President**?
....

1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for President"   **(PREVOTE_INTPRESWHO)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED
BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT:
....

Who do you think you will vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname],
[preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]],
or someone else?

{PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT
OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER.
BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO
YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN
NOVEMBER.}
....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"Pref strng for Pres cand for whom R intends to vote"   **(PREVOTE_INTPRESST)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R's INTENDED VOTE FOR PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE IS NOT DK/NA:
....

Would you say your preference for this candidate is
strong or **not strong**?
....

1. Strong
2. Not strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Does R prefer Pres cand (no intent to register)"   **(PREVOTE_PREFPRES)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
....

How about the election for President? Do you prefer one of the
candidates for **President**?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Preference for Pres cand (no intent to register)"   **(PREVOTE_PREFPRWHO)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....

Who do you prefer? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?
....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"Preference strong for Pres cand (no intent to reg)"   **(PREVOTE_PREFPRSTR)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF R PREFERS A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/NA:
....

Would you say your preference for this candidate is
strong or **not strong**?
....

1. Strong
2. Not strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives"   **(PREVOTE_VOTEHS)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....
[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the **U.S. House of Representatives**? /
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the **U.S. House of Representatives**?]

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]
....
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)"   **(PREVOTE_HSVTBC)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
....
Who did you vote for?

{FOR CODE 4 PLEASE RECORD RELEVANT COMMENTS IN COMMENT BOX}
....
1. [preload: dem_hsename] / 2. [preload: rep_hsename]
2. [preload: rep_hsename] / 1. [preload: dem_hsename]
3. [preload: ind_hsename]
4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct {VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN}
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response
option 5. Omit response option 4.

"For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)"   **(PREVOTE_HSVTNOBC)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

....
Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}
....

response type: Text entry

"Does R intend to vote for U.S. House"   (PREVOTE_INTHS)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....
[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in
Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for
the **U.S. House of Representatives**?/
How about the election for the House of Representatives in
Washington. Do you intend to vote in the election for
the **U.S. House of Representatives**?]

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_INTHSBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT
....
Who do you think you will vote for?

{FOR CODE 4 PLEASE RECORD RELEVANT COMMENTS IN COMMENT BOX}
....
1. [preload: dem_hsename] / 2. [preload: rep_hsename]
2. [preload: rep_hsename] / 1. [preload: dem_hsename]
3. [preload: ind_hsename]
4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct {VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT
SCREEN}
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response
option 5. Omit response option 4.

"For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. House (no ballot cd)"   (PREVOTE_INTHSNOBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR THE U.S. HOUSE:
IF IWR R DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R

INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:
....
Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}
....

response type: Text entry

"Does R prefer U.S. House candidate"   (PREVOTE_HSPREF)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
....
[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. **House of Representatives**?/
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. **House of Representatives**?]

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_HSPREFBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
....
Who do you prefer?

{FOR CODE 4 PLEASE RECORD RELEVANT COMMENTS IN COMMENT BOX}
....
1. [preload: dem_hsename] / 2. [preload: rep_hsename]
2. [preload: rep_hsename] / 1. [preload: dem_hsename]
3. [preload: ind_hsename]
4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct {VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN}
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response
option 5. Omit response option 4.

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_HSPREFNOBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE:

*IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE OR IF R
INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:*

....

Who do you prefer? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

....

response type: Text entry

"Did R vote for U.S. Senate"   (PREVOTE_VOTESEN)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a
candidate for the **U.S. Senate**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

....

1. Yes, voted for Senate
2. No, didn't vote for Senate

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_VTSENBC)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

Who did you vote for?

....

1. [preload: dem_senname] / 2. [preload: rep_senname]
2. [preload: rep_senname] / 1. [preload: dem_senname]
3. [preload: ind_senname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_VTSENNOBC)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

....

response type: Text entry

"Does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate"   (PREVOTE_INTSEN)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

How about the election for the U.S. Senate. Do you intend to vote in the
election for the **U.S. Senate**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card"   (PREVOTE_INTSENBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

Who do you think you will vote for?

....

1. [preload: dem_senname] / 2. [preload: rep_senname]
2. [preload: rep_senname] / 1. [preload: dem_senname]
3. [preload: ind_senname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for US. Senate (no ballot cd)"   (PREVOTE_INTSENNOBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

....

response type: Text entry

"Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate"   (PREVOTE_SENPREF)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:

IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:

....

How about the election for the United States Senate? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the **U.S. Senate**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_SENPREFBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE

....

Who do you prefer?

....

1. [preload: dem_senname] / 2. [preload: rep_senname]
2. [preload: rep_senname] / 1. [preload: dem_senname]
3. [preload: ind_senname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_SENPREFNOBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE

....

Who do you prefer? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

....

response type: Text entry

"Did R vote for governor"   (PREVOTE_VOTEGOV)

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

....

How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for **governor**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

....

1. Yes, voted for governor
2. No, didn't vote for governor

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"R's vote for governor(ballot card)"   **(PREVOTE_VTGOVBC)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....
Who did you vote for?
....
1. [preload: dem_govname] / 2. [preload: rep_govname]
2. [preload: rep_govname] / 1. [preload: dem_govname]
3. [preload: ind_govname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"R's vote for governor (no ballot card)"   **(PREVOTE_VTGOVNOBC)**

IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R INDICATED VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF HAS CONFIRMED ALREADY VOTING IN THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTIONS:
IF R HAS NOT INDICATED UNSURE WHETHER VOTED WHEN DESCRIBING HOW VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN STATE OF REGISTRATION:
IF R VOTED FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....
Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}
....

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"Does R intend to vote for governor"   **(PREVOTE_INTGOV)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....
How about the election for governor. Do you intend to vote for a candidate
for **governor**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for governor (ballot card)"　　　**(PREVOTE_INTGOVBC)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....

Who do you think you will vote for?
....

1. [preload: dem_govname] / 2. [preload: rep_govname]
2. [preload: rep_govname] / 1. [preload: dem_govname]
3. [preload: ind_govname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Order as listed

"For whom does R intend to vote for governor (no ballot cd)"　　**(PREVOTE_INTGOVNOBC)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS
REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R INTENDS TO VOTE FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:
....

Who do you think you will vote for? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}
....

response type:　　Text entry

"Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate"　　**(PREVOTE_GOVPREF)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
....

How about the election for governor? Do you prefer one of the candidates
for **governor**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for governor (ballot card)"　　**(PREVOTE_GOVPREFBC)**

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
....

Who do you prefer?
....

1. [preload: dem_govname] / 2. [preload: rep_govname]
2. [preload: rep_govname] / 1. [preload: dem_govname]
3. [preload: ind_govname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"Whom does R prefer for governor (no ballot card)"   (PREVOTE_GOVPREFNOBC)

IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R DOES NOT INTEND TO REGISTER TO VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IS BEING HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERS A CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNOR:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE:
....
Who do you prefer? Which party is that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}
....

response type: Text entry

section: **CANDLIK**

"INTRO PRES CAND LIKES-DISLIKES"   (CANDLIK_CLIKINT)
....
Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change "I'd" to "we would".

"Is there anything R likes about Democratic Pres cand"   (CANDLIK_LIKEDPC)
....
Is there anything in particular about [preload: **dem_pcname**] that might make you want to vote for him?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

"What is it that R likes about Democratic Pres cand"   (CANDLIK_LIKEWHATDPC)

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:
....
(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}
....

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand" (CANDLIK_DISLDPC)

Is there anything in particular about [preload: **dem_pcname**] that might make you want to vote **against** him?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

"What is it that R dislikes about Democratic Pres cand" (CANDLIK_DISLWHATDPC)

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST DEMOCRATIC PRES CAND:

(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R likes about Republican Pres cand" (CANDLIK_LIKERPC)

Is there anything in particular about [preload: **rep_pcname**] that might make you want to vote for him?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

"What is it that R likes about Republican Pres cand" (CANDLIK_LIKEWHATRPC)

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR REPUBLICAN PRES CAND:

(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Pres cand"   **(CANDLIK_DISLRPC)**

Is there anything in particular about [preload: **rep_pcname**] that might make you want to vote **against** him?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

"What is it that R dislikes about Republican Pres cand"   **(CANDLIK_DISLWHATRPC)**

IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST REPUBLICAN PRES CAND:

(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO}

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of Presidential candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

section: **CONGAPP**

"Approval of Congress handling its job"   **(CONGAPP_CONGJOB)**

Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Strength appr/disapprove Congress handling its job"   **(CONGAPP_CONGJOBST)**

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB /
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

Do you [approve/disapprove] **strongly** or **not strongly**?

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **PRESAPP**

"Are things in the country on right track"   **(PRESAPP_TRACK)**

....

Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the **right direction**, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the **wrong track**?

....

1. Right direction
2. Wrong track

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Approve or disapprove President handling job as Pres"   **(PRESAPP_APPPRES)**

....

Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

....

1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much approve or disapp Pres handling job"   **(PRESAPP_APPDISSTR)**

IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT /
IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

....

Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?

....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the previous item.

"Approve or disapprove President handling economy"   **(PRESAPP_ECONPRES)**

....

Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way Barack Obama is handling the economy?

....

1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much approve or disapprove Pres handling economy"   **(PRESAPP_ECONPRESST)**

IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY /
IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING ECONOMY:

....

Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?

··
1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the
previous item.

"Approve or disapprove President handling foreign rel"   (PRESAPP_FRNPRES)

··
Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way Barack Obama is handling
relations with foreign countries?
··
1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much approve/disapprove Pres handling foreign rel"   (PRESAPP_FRNPRESST)

IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS /
IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:
··
Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?
··
1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with (that is, display on the same screen as) the
previous item.

"Approve or disapprove President handling health care"   (PRESAPP_HTHPRES)

··
Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way Barack Obama is handling
health care?
··
1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much approve/disapprove Pres handling health care"   (PRESAPP_HTHPRESST)

IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE /
IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING HEALTH CARE:
··
Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?
··
1. Strongly

2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item.

"Approve or disapprove President handling war in Afghanst"   (PRESAPP_WARPRES)
....
Do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way Barack Obama is handling
the war in Afghanistan?
....
1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much approve/disapprove Pres handling war in Afghanst"   (PRESAPP_WARPRESST)

IF R APPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN /
IF R DISAPPROVES BARACK OBAMA HANDLING WAR IN AFGHANISTAN:
....
Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?
....
1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item.

section: **THERMPRE**

"INTRO PRE-ELECTION POLITICAL FIGURE THERMOMETERS"   (THERMPRE_THINTRO)
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet.
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political
leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll
read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person
using something we call the feeling thermometer.
Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel
favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees
and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the
person and that you don't care too much for that person. You
would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel
particularly warm or cold toward the person.
If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't
need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the
next one.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, do not use the standard nonresponse prompt;

just move on to the next question. Also, use the following question text:
"Please look at the graphic below.
We would like to get your feelings toward some of our political
leaders and other people who are in the news these days. We will show
the name of a person and we'd like you to rate that person
using something we call the feeling thermometer.
Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel
favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees
and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the
person and that you don't care too much for that person. You
would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel
particularly warm or cold toward the person.
If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't
need to rate that person. Just click "Next" and we'll move on to the
next one."
Also, display the feeling thermometer graphic onscreen on this intro
screen and with each item in this section.

"Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Presidential cand"　　　(THERMPRE_THDPC)

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **dem_pcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type:　　Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization:　　Order of Presidential cands
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: Republican Presidential cand"　　　(THERMPRE_THRPC)

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **rep_pcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type:　　Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization:　　Order of Presidential cands
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Vice-Pres cand"　　　(THERMPRE_THDVPC)

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **dem_vpcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of political figure thermometers after Pres cands
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: Republican Vice-Pres cand"   **(THERMPRE_THRVPC)**

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **rep_vpcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: Hillary Clinton"   **(THERMPRE_THHILLARY)**

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU
MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'.}

....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: GW Bush"   **(THERMPRE_THGWBUSH)**

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU
MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'.}

....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order political figure thermometers after Pres cands

"Feeling Thermometer: Democratic Party"　　(THERMPRE_THDPTY)

....

(Looking at page [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
the **Democratic party**

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type:　　Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization:　　Order of major parties
DK not allowed

"Feeling Thermometer: Republican Party"　　(THERMPRE_THRPTY)

....

(Looking at [preload: prepg_c] of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
the **Republican party**

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

....

response type:　　Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization:　　Order of major parties
DK not allowed

section: **PTYLIK**

"INTRO MAJOR PARTY LIKES-DISLIKES"　　(PTYLIK_PTYLIKINT)

....

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points
about the two **national parties**.

....

response type:　　Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change "I'd" to "We would".

"Is there anything R likes about Democratic Party"　　(PTYLIK_LIKDP)

....

Is there anything in particular that you **like** about the
Democratic party?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type:　　Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

"What does R like about Democratic party"   **(PTYLIK_LWHATDP)**

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
....
(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.}
....

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R dislikes about Democratic Party"   **(PTYLIK_DISLDP)**

....
Is there anything in particular that you **don't like** about the
Democratic party?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

"What does R dislike about the Democratic party"   **(PTYLIK_DWHATDP)**

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
....
(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.}
....

response type: Text entry
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R likes about Republican Party"   **(PTYLIK_LIKRP)**

....
Is there anything in particular that you **like** about the
Republican party?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

"What does R like about Republican party"   (PTYLIK_LWHATRP)

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

....

(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

....

<u>response type</u>:	Text entry
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"Is there anything R dislikes about Republican Party"   (PTYLIK_DISLRP)

....

Is there anything in particular that you **don't like** about the
Republican party?

....

1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of major parties

"What does R dislike about the Republican party"   (PTYLIK_DWHATRP)

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

....

(What is that?)

{PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.}

....

<u>response type</u>:	Text entry
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

section: **FINANCE**

"R living with how many family members"   (FINANCE_FINFAM)

....

Now on another topic.
How many family members are living with you?

....

<u>response type</u>:	Numeric entry

"R better/worse off than 1 year ago"   (FINANCE_FINPAST)

IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS /
IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:

....

We are interested in how people are getting along financially

these days.
Would you say that [you/you and your family living here] are **better** off
or **worse** off than you were a year ago?
....
1. Better
2. Worse
3. The same {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 3.

"R how much better/worse off than 1 year ago"   **(FINANCE_FINPASTAMT)**

IF R HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR /
IF R HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:
....
[**Much** better or **somewhat** better /
Much worse or **somewhat** worse]?
....
1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item.

"Will R be financially better/worse off one year from now"   **(FINANCE_FINNEXT)**

IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS /
IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
....
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now [you / you and
your family living here] will be **better off** financially, **worse
off**, or **just about the same** as now?
....
1. Better
2. Worse
3. The same

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R how much better/worse off 1 year from now"   **(FINANCE_FINNEXTAMT)**

IF R THINKS WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW /
IF R THINKS WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:
....
[**Much** better or **somewhat** better/
Much worse or **somewhat** worse]?
....
1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item.

<div style="background:#f5ead5; padding:4px;">section: **HLTH**</div>

"Does R have health insurance"   (HLTH_HAVEHINS)

....

Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Favor or oppose 2010 health care law"   (HLTH_LAW)

....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the health care reform law passed in 2010? This law requires all Americans to buy health insurance and requires health insurance companies to accept everyone.

....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Strength of support or oppose 2010 law"   (HLTH_FAVLAW)

IF R FAVORS THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:

....

Do you favor that [a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal]?

....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Strength of support or oppose 2010 law"   (HLTH_OPPLAW)

IF R OPPOSES THE 2010 HEALTH CARE LAW:

....

Do you oppose that (a great deal, moderately, or a little / a little, moderately, or a great deal)?

....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Health of R"   (HLTH_RHEALTH)

Would you say that in general your health is [excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor / poor, fair, good, very good, or excellent]?

1. Excellent
2. Very Good
3. Good
4. Fair
5. Poor

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Smoked Cigarettes"   (HLTH_CIG)

Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your **entire life**?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"If smoked, how many now"   (HLTH_CIGAMT)

IF R HAS SMOKED AT LEAST 100 CIGARETTES IN R'S LIFE:

Do you **now** smoke cigarettes [every day, some days, or not at all / not at all, or some days or every day]?

1. Every day
2. Some days
3. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **CANDAFF**

"INTRO PRES CAND AFFECTS"   (CANDAFF_AFFPCINT)

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he or she has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

response type: Display only

"Affect for Democratic Pres cand: angry"   (CANDAFF_ANGDPC)

IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :

[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Angry /
Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel:
Angry]

....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Order of Pres cands; order of affects

---

"How often affect angry about Democratic Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_ANGDPCOFT)

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
....
How often would you say you've felt angry -- [**always**, **most of the time**,
about half the time, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the**
time, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:   Order of Pres cands; order of affects

---

"Affect for Democratic Pres cand: hopeful"   (CANDAFF_HPDPC)

IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Hopeful /
Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel:
Hopeful]
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Order of Pres cands; order of affects

---

"How often affect hopeful about Democratic Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_HPDPCOFT)

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
....
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- [**always**, **most of the time**,
about half the time, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the**
time, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:　　Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:　　Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:　　Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Democratic Pres cand: afraid"　　　(CANDAFF_AFRDPC)

IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Afraid /
Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel:
Afraid]
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:　　Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:　　Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:　　Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect afraid about Democratic Pres cand"　　　(CANDAFF_AFRDPCOFT)

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:
....
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- [**always**, **most of the time**,
about half the time, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the
time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:　　Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:　　Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:　　Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Democratic Pres cand: proud"　　　(CANDAFF_PRDDPC)

IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
Has [preload: dem_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Proud /
Has [preload: dem_pcname] ever made you feel:
Proud]
....
1. Yes

2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect proud about Democratic Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_PRDDPCOFT)

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

···
How often would you say you've felt proud -- [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never / never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
···
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Republican Pres cand: angry"   (CANDAFF_ANGRPC)

IF ANGRY IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF ANGRY IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :

···
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Angry /
Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel:
Angry]
···
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect angry about Republican Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_ANGRPCOFT)

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

···
How often would you say you've felt angry -- [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never / never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
···
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Republican Pres cand: hopeful"   (CANDAFF_HPRPC)

IF HOPEFUL IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF HOPEFUL IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Hopeful /
Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel:
Hopeful]
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect hopeful about Republican Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_HPRPCOFT)

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
....
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- [**always**, **most of the time**,
about half the time, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the
time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Forward/Reverse order
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Republican Pres cand: afraid"   (CANDAFF_AFRRPC)

IF AFRAID IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF AFRAID IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or
because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Afraid /
Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel:
Afraid]
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:	Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:	Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect afraid about Republican Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_AFRRPCOFT)

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

....
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Forward/Reverse order
randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"Affect for Republican Pres cand: proud"   (CANDAFF_PRDRPC)

IF PROUD IS 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF PROUD IS NOT 1ST AFFECT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE :
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
Has [preload: rep_pcname] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
Proud /
Has [preload: rep_pcname] ever made you feel:
Proud]
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Order as listed
randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

"How often affect proud about Republican Pres cand"   (CANDAFF_PRDRPCOFT)

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
....
How often would you say you've felt proud -- [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Forward/Reverse order
randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of affects

section: **LIBCPRE**

"INTRO LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-RATING"   (LIBCPRE_LCINT)
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_d] of the booklet.
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people

might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with next item, LCSELF, and omit the text, 'Please look at page [preload: prepg_d] of the booklet.' Include the 'liberal conservative graphic.jpg' image after the question text. (This is the same graphic as page 3 of the respondent booklet.)

"7pt scale Liberal/conservative self-placement"   **(LIBCPRE_LCSELF)**

....
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

{DO NOT PROBE}
....
1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this {DO NOT PROBE}

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item.

"If R had to choose liberal or conservative self-placemt"   **(LIBCPRE_LCCHOOSE)**

IF LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:
....
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a **liberal** or a **conservative**?
....
1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, do not display "{VOL}" with response option 3; display "Moderate".

"7pt scale liberal/conservative - Dem Pres cand"   **(LIBCPRE_LCDPC)**

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])
Where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**] on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal

4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text "(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])".

"7pt scale liberal/conservative - Rep Pres cand"   (LIBCPRE_LCRPC)

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])
Where would you place [preload: **rep_pcname**] on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

....
1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text "(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])".

"7pt scale liberal/conservative Dem party"   (LIBCPRE_LCPTYD)

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])
Where would you place the **Democratic party** on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

....
1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text "(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])".

"7pt scale liberal/conservative Rep party"   (LIBCPRE_LCPTYR)

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])
Where would you place the **Republican party** on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text "(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_d])".

section: **DIVGOV**

"Party Control or split government"   (DIVGOV_SPLITGOV)

....
Do you think it is better when **one party controls** both the presidency
and Congress, better when **control is split** between the Democrats and
Republicans, or **doesn't it matter**?
....
1. Better when one party controls both
2. Better when control is split
3. It doesn't matter

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **LIKELYPCT**

"[1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov"   (LIKELYPCT_HOWLIKVT1)

IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2B LATER IN IW:
....
How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November?
[extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not
likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely,
or extremely likely]?
....
1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Moderately likely
4. Slightly likely
5. Not likely at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov"   (LIKELYPCT_WHATPCT1)

IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A LATER IN IW:
....
What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election

this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100 where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100 means you are absolutely certain.

{INTERVIEWER: FOR REFUSED ENTER -1, FOR DON'T KNOW ENTER -2}

....

response type: Numeric entry

section: **CAMPFIN**

"Should gov be able to limit corporate contributions"   **(CAMPFIN_LIMCORP)**

....

Do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** the government being able to place limits on how much money corporations and unions can give to a political candidate?

....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Ban corporate/union ads for candidates"   **(CAMPFIN_BANADS)**

....

Do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** the federal government banning political ads sponsored by corporations or unions that directly support or oppose political candidates?

....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **INEQ**

"Income gap today more or less than 20 years ago"   **(INEQ_INCGAP)**

....

Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is **larger**, **smaller**, or **about the same** as it was 20 years ago?

....

1. Larger
2. Smaller
3. About the same

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much larger is income gap today"   **(INEQ_GAPMORE)**

IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS LARGER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:

....

(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
Much larger or **somewhat** larger?
....
1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

"How much smaller is income gap today"   (INEQ_GAPLESS)

IF INCOME GAP TODAY IS SMALLER THAN IT WAS 20 YEARS AGO:
....
(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
Much smaller or **somewhat** smaller?
....
1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses.

---

section: **EFFIC**

"[STD] INTRO EFFICACY"   (EFFIC_STDINT)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_e] of the booklet.
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life.
I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you
agree or disagree with each of them.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the following question text:
"We will show you a few statements about public life. Please tell us
how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them."

"[STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand"   (EFFIC_COMPLICSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a
person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
Do you **agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** with this statement?
....
1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] Good understanding of political issues"   (EFFIC_UNDSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet)
'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important
political issues facing our country.'
(Do you **agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** with this statement?)
....
1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.

"[STD] Publ officials don't care what people think"   (EFFIC_CARESTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet)
'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'
(Do you **agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** with this statement?)
....
1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.

"[STD] Have no say about what govt does"   (EFFIC_SAYSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
(Looking at page [preload: prepg_e] in the booklet)
'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
(Do you **agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** with this statement?)
....
1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the text in parentheses referring to the booklet.

"[REV] Politics/govt too complicated to understand"   **(EFFIC_COMPLICREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How often do politics and government seem so complicated that
you can't really understand what's going on? [**always**, **most
of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never /
never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**,
or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Good understanding of political issues"   **(EFFIC_UNDREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How well do you understand the important political issues facing
our country? [**extremely well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly
well**, or **not well at all / not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**]?
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Publ officials don't care what people think"   **(EFFIC_CAREREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How much do public officials care what people like you think?
[**A great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **not at all**/
not at all, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**]?
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Have no say about what govt does"　　(EFFIC_SAYREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How much can people like you affect what the government does?
[**A great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **not at all** /
not at all, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**]?
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Forward/Reverse order

section:　**ECON**

"Current economy good or bad"　　(ECON_ECNOW)
....
What do you think about the state of the economy these days in the
United States? Would you say the state of the economy is [very good,
good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad / very bad, bad, neither
good nor bad, good, or very good]?
....
1. Very good
2. Good
3. Neither good nor bad
4. Bad
5. Very bad

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Forward/Reverse order

"National economy better/worse in last year"　　(ECON_ECPAST)
....
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would
you say that over the past year the nation's economy has **gotten
better**, **stayed about the same**, or **gotten worse**?
....
1. Gotten better
2. Stayed about the same
3. Gotten worse

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Order as listed

"How much national economy better/worse in last year"　　(ECON_ECPASTAMT)

IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER IN THE PAST YEAR /
IF R THINKS THE ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE IN THE PAST YEAR:
....
[**Much** better or **somewhat** better? /
Much worse or **somewhat** worse?]
....
1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item.

"Economy better or worse in next 12 months"   (ECON_ECNEXT)

....

What about the next 12 months? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to **get better**, **stay about the same**, or **get worse**?

....

1. Get better
2. Stay about the same
3. Get worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much econ better/worse next 12 months"   (ECON_ECNEXTAMT)

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT 12 MONTHS /
IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT 12 MONTHS:

....

[**Much** better or **somewhat** better /
Much worse or **somewhat** worse]?

....

1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item.

"Unemployment better or worse in last year"   (ECON_UNPAST)

....

Would you say that **over the past year**, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten **better**, stayed **about the same**, or gotten **worse**?

....

1. Better
2. About the same
3. Worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much unemployment better or worse in last year"   (ECON_UNAMT)

IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN BETTER /
IF R SAYS UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

....

[Would you say **much** better or **somewhat** better /
Would you say **much** worse or **somewhat** worse]?

....

1. Much
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item.

"More or less unemployment in next year"   **(ECON_UNNEXT)**

....
How about people out of work during **the coming** 12 **months**-- do
you think that there will be **more** unemployment than now, **about
the same**, or **less**?
....

1. More
2. About the same
3. Less

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **ECBLAME**

"INTRO BLAME FOR POOR ECONOMIC CONDITIONS"   **(ECBLAME_BLAMEINT)**

....
Now I will ask you separately about several people or groups and
whether they are to blame for the poor economic conditions of the past
several years.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in the question text change "I" to "we".

"How much is President to blame for poor econ conditions"   **(ECBLAME_BLPRES)**

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much is President Obama to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate
amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate
amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much is President Obama to blame (for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate
amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate
amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much former President to blame for poor econ conds"   **(ECBLAME_BLFMPR)**

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much is former President Bush to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much is former President Bush to blame (for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years)? ([A great deal, a lot, a moderate
amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all, a little, a moderate
amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much Dems in Congress to blame for poor econ conds"   **(ECBLAME_BLDEM)**

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much are Democrats in Congress to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much are Democrats in Congress to blame (for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years)?
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much Reps in Congress to blame for poor econ conds"   **(ECBLAME_BLREP)**

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much are Republicans in Congress to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much are Republicans in Congress to blame (for the poor economic

conditions of the past several years)?
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much Wall St. bankers to blame for poor econ conds"   (ECBLAME_BLBANK)

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much are Wall Street bankers to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much are Wall Street bankers to blame (for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years)?
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much consumers to blame for poor economic conds"   (ECBLAME_BLCONS)

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame
for the poor economic conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? /
Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much are consumers who borrowed too much money to blame
(for the poor economic conditions of the past several years)?
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? /
Not at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch

response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

"How much lenders to blame for poor economic conditions" (ECBLAME_BLLEND)

IF QUESTION IS 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS /
IF QUESTION IS NOT 1ST OR 2ND IN RANDOMIZED ORDER OF ECONOMIC BLAME ITEMS:
....
How much are mortgage lenders to blame for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?]
/
How much are mortgage lenders to blame (for the poor economic
conditions of the past several years)?
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or not at all? / Not at all,
a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal?])
....
1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of economic blame items

section: **PTYPERF**

"Which party better: handling nations economy" (PTYPERF_PTYECON)
....
Which party do you think would do a better job of **handling
the nation**'S **economy**... [the **Democrats**, the **Republicans** /
the **Republicans**, the **Democrats**], or **wouldn't there be much
difference** between them?

{IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE}
....
1. Democrats
2. Republicans
3. Not much difference between them
4. Neither party {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties in question text

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 4.

section: **PRESWIN**

"Care who wins Presidential Election" (PRESWIN_PRESCARE)
....
Generally speaking, would you say that you personally **care
a good deal** who wins the presidential election this fall, or
that you **don't care very much** who wins?
....

1. Care a good deal
2. Don't care very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Who does R think will be elected President"   **(PRESWIN_PRESPRED)**

....
Who do you think will be elected President in November?
....
1. [preload: dem_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname]
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box in place of "SPECIFY" in option 5.

"Will Pres race be a close or will (winner) win by a lot"   **(PRESWIN_PRCLOSE)**

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:
....
Do you think the Presidential race will be **close** or will
[preload: dem_pcname / preload:rep_pcname /preswin_prespred
other name / one candidate] **win by quite a bit** ?
....
1. Will be close
2. Win by quite a bit

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Which Pres cand will carry state"   **(PRESWIN_PRWINST)**

....
What about here in [preload: hhstate]?
Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state?

{IF NECESSARY: WHICH CANDIDATE WILL WIN IN THIS STATE?}
{IF PRELOADED STATE INCORRECT, SUBSTITUTE WITH CORRECT STATE
AND NOTE CORRECT STATE IN COMMENT FIELD}
....
1. [preload: dem_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname]
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box in place of "SPECIFY" in option 5.

"Will Pres race be close in state"   **(PRESWIN_PRCLOSEST)**

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PRES CANDIDATE WILL WIN ELECTION /
IF R THINKS OTHER PRES CAND WILL WIN ELECTION /

IF R DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:

....

Do you think the Presidential race will be **close** here in [preload: hhstate]
or will [preload: dem_pcname / preswin_prwinst other name /
preload:rep_pcname /one candidate] **win by quite a bit** ?

{IF PRELOADED STATE INCORRECT, SUBSTITUTE WITH CORRECT STATE}

....

1. Will be close
2. Win by quite a bit

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"[VERSION 1A] Does R consider voting a duty or choice"   (PRESWIN_DUTYCHOICE)

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1A OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B SPLICE:

....

Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting
is a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter
how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is
a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on how
they feel about the candidates and parties.
For you personally, is voting mainly a duty, mainly a choice, or
neither a duty nor a choice?

....

1. Mainly a duty
2. Mainly a choice
3. Neither a duty nor a choice

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"[VERSION 1B] Does R consider voting a choice or duty"   (PRESWIN_CHOICEDUTY)

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1B OF VERSION 1A / VERSION 1B SPLICE:

....

Different people feel differently about voting. For some, voting
is a choice - they feel free to vote or not to vote, depending on
how they feel about the candidates and parties. For others voting is
a duty - they feel they should vote in every election no matter how
they feel about the candidates and parties.
For you personally, is voting mainly a choice, mainly a duty, or
neither a choice nor a duty?

....

1. Mainly a choice
2. Mainly a duty
3. Neither a duty nor a choice

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"How strongly does R feel that voting is a duty"   (PRESWIN_DUTYST)

IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A DUTY:

....

How strongly do you feel that voting is a duty? [very strongly, moderately
strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or
very strongly]?

....

1. Very strongly
2. Moderately strongly
3. A little strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How strongly does R feel that voting is a choice" (PRESWIN_CHOICEST)

IF R CONSIDERS VOTING A CHOICE:
....
How strongly do you feel that voting is a choice? [very strongly, moderately
strongly, or a little strongly / a little strongly, moderately strongly, or
very strongly]?
....
1. Very strongly
2. Moderately strongly
3. A little strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **USWORLD**

"During last year, U.S. position in world weaker/str" (USWORLD_USSTR)

....
Turning to some other types of issues facing the country.
During the past year, would you say that the United States'
position in the world has grown **weaker**, stayed **about the same**,
or has it grown **stronger**?
....
1. Weaker
2. Stayed about the same
3. Stronger

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Country would be better off if we just stayed home" (USWORLD_USISOL)

....
Do you **agree** or **disagree** with this statement:
'This country would be better off if we just stayed home
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts
of the world.'
....
1. Agree
2. Disagree

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **PTYID**

"Party ID: Does R think of self as Dem, Rep, Ind or what" (PTYID_RPTYID)

....
Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a

[**Democrat**, a **Republican** / a **Republican**, a **Democrat**], an **independent**, or what?

···
0. No preference {VOL}
1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Independent
5. Other party {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties in question text

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box in place of "SPECIFY" in option 5.
Omit response option 0.

"Party Identification strong - Democrat/Republican"   **(PTYID_STRPTYID)**

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT: /
IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN :
···
Would you call yourself a **strong** [Democrat / Republican] or a **not very strong** Democrat / Republican]?
···
1. Strong
2. Not very strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"No Party Identification - closer to Dems or Reps"   **(PTYID_LEANPTY)**

IF R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:
···
Do you think of yourself as **closer** to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?
···
1. Closer to Republican
2. Neither {VOL}
3. Closer to Democratic

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include response option 2 but omit the "{VOL}" text.

section: **TEAPTY**

"Tea Party Support"   **(TEAPTY_SUPP)**
···
Do you support, oppose, or neither support nor oppose the Tea Party movement?
···
1. Support
2. Oppose
3. Neither Support nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Strength support or oppose tea party"   **(TEAPTY_SUPPSTR)**

IF R SUPPORTS THE TEA PARTY /
IF R OPPOSES THE TEA PARTY:
....
Would you say your [support/opposition] is strong or not very strong?
....
1. Strong
2. Not very strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, TEAPTY_SUPP.

"Neither - closer to support or oppose"   **(TEAPTY_SUPPLN)**

IF R DOES NOT SUPPORT NOR OPPOSE THE TEA PARTY:
....
Do you lean toward supporting, lean toward opposing,
or do you not lean either way?
....
1. Lean toward supporting
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with TEAPTY_SUPP.

section: **CTRAIT**

"INTRO PRES CAND TRAITS"   **(CTRAIT_PCTRAITINT)**
....
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to
describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or
phrase describes the candidate I name.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use this question text:
'We will show you a list of words and phrases people may use to
describe political figures. For each, please tell us whether the word
or phrase describes the candidate we name.'

"Pres Dem cand trait moral"   **(CTRAIT_DPCMORAL)**

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase

'he is **moral**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**moral**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Dem cand trait strong leadership"   (CTRAIT_DPCLEAD)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he **provides strong leadership**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**provides strong leadership**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Dem cand trait really cares"   (CTRAIT_DPCCARE)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he **really cares about people like you**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**really cares about people like you**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Dem cand trait knowledgeable"   (CTRAIT_DPCKNOW)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **knowledgeable**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**knowledgeable**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Dem cand trait intelligent"   (CTRAIT_DPCINT)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **intelligent**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**intelligent**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Dem cand trait honest"   (CTRAIT_DPCHONST)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **dem_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **honest**'
describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**honest**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: dem_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Rep cand trait moral"   (CTRAIT_RPCMORAL)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **moral**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**moral**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Rep cand trait strong leadership"   (CTRAIT_RPCLEAD)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he **provides strong leadership**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?

/
(What about)
'provides strong leadership'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Rep cand trait really cares"   (CTRAIT_RPCCARE)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he **really cares about people like you**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'really cares about people like you'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Rep cand trait knowledgeable"   (CTRAIT_RPCKNOW)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....

[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **knowledgeable**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**knowledgeable**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parentheses in the question text.

"Pres Rep cand trait intelligent"   **(CTRAIT_RPCINT)**

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **intelligent**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**intelligent**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of Pres cands; order of traits

"Pres Rep cand trait honest" (CTRAIT_RPCHONST)

IF TRAIT IS 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE /
IF TRAIT IS NOT 1ST TRAIT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
....
[Think about [preload: **rep_pcname**].
In your opinion, does the phrase
'he is **honest**'
describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely well**, **very well**,
moderately well, **slightly well**, or **not well at all**/
not well at all, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very
well**, or **extremely well**]?
/
(What about)
'**honest**'?
(Does this phrase describe [preload: rep_pcname] [**extremely
well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or
not well at all?/ **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately
well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**)]

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
....
1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Forward/Reverse order
randomization:	Order of Pres cands; order of traits

---

section: **WAR**

"Was war worth the cost" (WAR_WARWRTH)

....
Now we want to ask you about the current war in Afghanistan.
Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Afghanistan
has been **worth the cost** or **not**?
....
1. Worth it
2. Not worth it

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Order as listed

"War increased or decreased threat of terrorism" (WAR_WARTHRT)

....
As a result of the United States' war in Afghanistan, do
you think the threat of terrorism against the United States has
increased, **decreased**, or stayed **about the same**?
....
1. Increased
2. Decreased

3. Stayed about the same

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **WEB1CLOSE**

"WEB ONLY: KnowledgePanel address"   (WEB1CLOSE_Address)

....
We at KnowledgePanel are updating our records. Is [ADDRESS] your current address?
....

1. This is my current address
2. This is not my current address

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"WEB ONLY: KnowledgePanel address details"   (WEB1CLOSE_Addressinput)

Ask if WEB1CLOSE_ADDRESS=2, else skip
....
What is your current address? This information will be used solely to keep your record up-to-date with KnowledgePanel.
....

response type: Text entry
response order: Order as listed

"WEB ONLY: Closing screen"   (WEB1CLOSE_THANK1)

....
Thank you for completing the first of the four *[STUDY NAME REDACTED]* Surveys. We have safely saved all of your responses. As a token of thanks, we will send you $10. Soon you will receive an email invitation to begin the second survey.
....

response type: Display only
response order: Order as listed

"WEB ONLY: Closing screen comments"   (WEB1CLOSE_COMMENTS)

....
Thinking about this topic, do you have any comments you would like to share?
Any comments welcome!
....

response type: Text entry
response order: Order as listed

"WEB ONLY: End screen"   (WEB1CLOSE_END)

....
Thank you for completing this survey. We have successfully received your responses.
....

response type: Display only
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **WEB2INTRO**

"WEB ONLY: Introduction to pre-election survey 2 online"   **(WEB2INTRO_INTRO2)**

....
[study name redacted] , Survey 2

For this study, we would like you to complete a series of four surveys
over the next two to three months. In appreciation of your
participation, we can give you $10 for completing each survey. Each
one should take about 30 minutes. In addition, because we really need
you to complete all four surveys, if you complete all four then we
will give you another $25 at the end of the study. If you do all four
surveys, that's a total of $65.

The [study name redacted] is sponsored by Stanford University
and the University of Michigan. If you have any questions or comments
about the survey, you may contact *[NAME REDACTED]* at *[PHONE REDACTED]*,
or by email at *[E-MAIL REDACTED]*.

If you are not satisfied with how this study is being conducted, or if
you have any concerns, complaints, or general questions about the
research or your rights as a participant, please contact *[REDACTED]*
to speak to someone independent of the research team toll free at
[PHONE REDACTED]. You can also write to *[REDACTED]*.

....

response type: Display only
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **SPSRVPR**

"INTRO SERVICES-SPENDING ISSUE"   **(SPSRVPR_SSINTRO)**

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services
even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce
spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale,
at point 1.
Other people feel it is important for the government to provide
many more services even if it means an increase in spending.
Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the next item, SSSELF. Omit the first sentence that
refers to the booklet.

"7pt scale spending and Services self-placement"   **(SPSRVPR_SSSELF)**

....
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you thought

much about this?

....
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, SSINTRO. Display response options in
the same format as ANES cross-section survey #2 (fielded May 2011)
item ZR1 group 3 (i.e. a horizontal scale with points labeled 1
through 7), but with text as follows.
Title 'Government Services/Government Spending'
Left label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES: REDUCE
SPENDING A LOT'
Right label: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES: INCREASE
SPENDING A LOT'
Also include 'Haven't thought much about this' as a separate response
option.

"7pt scale spending and Services Dem Presidential cand"   (SPSRVPR_SSDPC)

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical
reference to the booklet.

"7pt scale spending and Services Rep Presidential cand"   (SPSRVPR_SSRPC)

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: **rep_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use same response scale as SSSELF. Omit the parenthetical
reference to the booklet.

"7pt scale spending and Services Dem Party"   **(SPSRVPR_SSDEM)**

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet)
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

"7pt scale spending and Services Rep Party"   **(SPSRVPR_SSREP)**

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_h] of the booklet)
Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

section: **DEFSPPR**

"INTRO DEFENSE SPENDING ISSUE"   **(DEFSPPR_DEFSPINT)**

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_i] of the booklet.
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for
defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at
point 1.
Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.
Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

....

<u>response type</u>:   Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence ("Please look at page…") and start the
question with "Some people…"

"7pt scale defense spending self-placement"   **(DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF)**

....
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you
thought much about this?
....
1. Govt should decrease defense spending
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should increase defense spending

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>nondefault nonresp</u>: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, DEFSPINT. Display response options
horizontally as follows.
Title: 'Defense Spending'
Left: 'GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING'
Right: 'GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING'
Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.

"7pt scale defense spending Dem Pres cand"   **(DEFSPPR_DEFSPDPC)**

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i])
Where would you place[preload: **dem_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should decrease defense spending
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should increase defense spending

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale defense spending Rep Pres cand"   **(DEFSPPR_DSPRERPC)**

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i])
Where would you place[preload: **rep_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....

1. Govt should decrease defense spending
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should increase defense spending

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale defense spending Dem Party"   (DEFSPPR_DSPREDEM)
....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i])
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should decrease defense spending
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should increase defense spending

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale defense spending Rep Party"   (DEFSPPR_DSPREREP)
....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_i])
Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should decrease defense spending
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should increase defense spending

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in DEFSPPR_DEFSPSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"INTRO HEALTH INSURANCE ISSUE"　　　　(INSPRE_INSINTRO)

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_j] of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and
hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government
insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital
expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of
a scale, at point 1.
Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by
individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or
other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other
end, at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.
....

response type:　　Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence ("Please look at page…") and start the
question with "There is much concern…" Bank with the next item.

"7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: self-plmt"　　　(INSPRE_INSSELF)

....
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you
thought much about this?
....
1. Govt insurance plan
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Private insurance plan

response type:　　Single Punch
response order:　　Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response options as
follows.
Title: 'Health Insurance'
Left: 'GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN'
Right: 'PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN'
Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.

"7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Pres cand"　　(INSPRE_INSDPC)

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j])
Where would you place[preload: **dem_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt insurance plan
2.
3.
4.
5.

6.
7. Private insurance plan

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the
parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Pres cxand"   (INSPRE_INSRPC)

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j])
Where would you place[preload: **rep_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

....
1. Govt insurance plan
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Private insurance plan

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the
parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Dem Party"   (INSPRE_INSDEM)

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j])
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

....
1. Govt insurance plan
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Private insurance plan

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the
parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale govt-private medical insur scale: Rep Party"   (INSPRE_INSREP)

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_j])
Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt insurance plan
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Private insurance plan

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in INSPRE_INSSELF. Omit the
parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

section: **GUN**

"Should fed govt make it more difficult to buy a gun"   (GUN_GUNDIFF)
....
Do you think the federal government should make it **more difficult**
for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it **easier** for people to
buy a gun, or **keep these rules about the same** as they are now?
....
1. More difficult
2. Easier
3. Keep these rules about the same

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Importance of gun access issue to R"   (GUN_GUNIMP)
....
How important is this issue to you personally? [**extremely** important,
very important, **somewhat** important, **not too** important, or **not
important at all** / **not important at all**, **not too** important,
somewhat important, **very** important, or **extremely** important/
extremely important, **very** important, **somewhat** important, **not too**
important, or **not important at all**]?
....
1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Somewhat important
4. Not too important
5. Not importantat all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **GUARPR**

"INTRO JOBS-STD LIVING ISSUE"   (GUARPR_GUARINT)
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_k] of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it
that every person has a job and a good standard of living.

Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.
Others think the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end,
at point 7.
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first line that begins "Please look at page…"

"7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: self-placement"   **(GUARPR_GUARSELF)**
....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k])
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you
thought much about this?
....
1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the following response options.
Title: 'Jobs and Good Standard of Living'
Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING'
Right: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN'
Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.

"7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Pres cand"   **(GUARPR_GUARDPC)**
....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k])
Where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Pres cand"   **(GUARPR_GUARRPC)**

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k])
Where would you place [preload: **rep_pcname**] on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

"7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Dem Party"   **(GUARPR_GUARDEM)**

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k])
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

"7pt scale guaranteed job-income scale: Rep Party"   **(GUARPR_GUARREP)**

....
(Still looking at Page [preload: prepg_k])
Where would you place the Republican Party on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Govt should see to jobs and standard of living
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should let each person get ahead on own

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties

WEB LOGIC:

Online, use the response options indicated in GUARPR_GUARSELF. Omit
the parenthetical question text referring to "Still looking at Page…".

<div style="background:#f5e9d8;padding:6px;text-align:right">section: IMMIG</div>

"U.S. government policy toward unauthorized immigrants"   **(IMMIG_IMMPOL)**

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_v] of the booklet.
Which comes closest to your view about what government policy should
be toward unauthorized immigrants now living in the United States?
You can just tell me the number of your choice.
....
1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to
their home country.
2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants
to remain in the United States in order to work, but only for a
limited
amount of time.
3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States and
eventually qualify for U.S. citizenship, but only if they meet
certain
requirements like paying back taxes and fines, learning English, and
passing background checks.
4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in the United States and
eventually qualify for U.S. citizenship, without penalties.

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use this text as the stem: "Which comes closest to your view
about what government policy should be toward unauthorized immigrants
now living in the United States?"

"Opinion on proposal to allow citzship to some illeg aliens"   **(IMMIG_IMMCIT)**

....
There is a proposal to allow people who were illegally brought into
the U.S. as children to become permanent U.S. residents under some
circumstances.
Specifically, citizens of other countries who illegally entered the U.S.
before age 16, who have lived in the U.S. 5 years or longer, and who
graduated high school would be allowed to stay in the U.S. as
permanent residents if they attend college or serve in the military.
From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor
oppose this proposal?
....
1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor or oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Opinion on laws to allow immigr status checks on suspects"   **(IMMIG_IMMPOLC)**

....
Some states have passed a law that will require state and local police
to determine the immigration status of a person if they find that
there is a reasonable suspicion he or she is an undocumented
immigrant. Those found to be in the U.S. without permission will have
broken state law.

From what you have heard, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose these immigration laws?
....
1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither Favor or oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **AIDBPR**

"INTRO AID TO BLACKS 7PT SCALE" (AIDBPR_AIDBINT)
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_m] of the booklet.
Some people feel that the government in Washington should
make every effort to improve the social and economic position
of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale,
at point 1.)
Others feel that the government should not make any special
effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.
(Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.)
And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first line that begins "Please look at page…"; bank
with the next item.

"7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: self-placemt" (AIDBPR_AIDBSELF)
....
Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you
thought much about this?
....
1. Govt should help blacks
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Blacks should help themselves

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with the previous item and use the following response
option labels.
Title: 'Government Help to Blacks'
Left: 'GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS'
Right: 'BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES'
Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.

"7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Dem Pres cand" (AIDBPR_AIDBDPC)
....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_m] of the booklet.)
Where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**]

on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

....
1. Govt should help blacks
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Blacks should help themselves

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the
response options from AIDBSELF.

"7pt scale govt assistance to blacks scale: Rep Pres cand"   **(AIDBPR_AIDBRPC)**

....
(Still looking at page [preload: prepg_m] of the booklet.)
Where would you place [preload: **rep_pcname**]
on this issue?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

....
1. Govt should help blacks
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Blacks should help themselves

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parenthetical text about the booklet page and use the
response options from AIDBSELF.

section: **ENVJOB**

"INTRO: ENVIRONMENT-JOBS TRADEOFF"   **(ENVJOB_ENVJOBINT)**

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_t] of the booklet.
Some people think the federal government needs to regulate
business to protect the environment. They think that efforts to
protect the environment will also create jobs. Let us say this is
point 1 on a 1-7 scale.
Others think that the federal government should not regulate
business to protect the environment. They think this regulation
will not do much to help the environment and will cost us jobs.
Let us say this is point 7 on a 1-7 scale.
And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in
between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first question sentence, "Please look at page…". Bank
with the next item, ENVJOBSELF.

"7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff self-placement"   **(ENVJOB_ENVJOBSELF)**
....
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought
much about this?
....
1. Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, INSINTRO. Display response option
labels as follows.
Title: 'Environmental Regulation/Jobs'
Left: 'Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs'
Right: 'No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs'
Include 'Haven't thought much about this' option.

"7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Dem Pres cand"   **(ENVJOB_ENVJOBDPC)**
....
Where would you place [preload: dem_pcname] on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.

"7pt scale environment-jobs tradeoff Rep Pres cand"   **(ENVJOB_ENVJOBRPC)**
....
Where would you place [preload: rep_pcname] on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}
....
1. Regulate business to protect the environment and create jobs
2.
3.
4.

5.

6.

7. No regulation because it will not work and will cost jobs

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the response scale from ENVJOBSELF.

section: **AFFPRE**

"Does R favor or oppose affirmative action in universities" (AFFPRE_AAUNI)

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to increase the number of black students studying at their schools by considering race along with other factors when choosing students?

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much does R favor affirmative action in universities" (AFFPRE_AAUNIFAV)

IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:

Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?

1. A great deal
2. A moderate amount
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much does R oppose affirmative action in universities" (AFFPRE_AAUNIOPP)

IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT UNIVERSITIES:

Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?

1. A great deal
2. A moderate amount
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Does R favor or oppose affirmative action at work" (AFFPRE_AAWORK)

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing companies

to increase the number of black workers by considering race along with other factors when choosing employees?
....
1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much does R favor affirmative action at work"   (AFFPRE_AAWKFAV)

IF R FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
....
Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
....
1. A great deal
2. A moderate amount
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much does R oppose affirmative action at work"   (AFFPRE_AAWKOPP)

IF R OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AT WORK:
....
Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal]?
....
1. A great deal
2. A moderate amount
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **FEDSPEND**

"INTRO FEDERAL SPENDING ON PROGRAMS"   (FEDSPEND_FSPENDINT)

....
Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs.
For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would
like to see spending **increased** or **decreased**.
The first program is:
....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the following question text: "Next we will show you a list
of federal programs. For each one, please say whether you would like
to see spending increased or decreased."

"Federal Budget Spending: Social Security"   (FEDSPEND_FSSS)

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':

....
(What about)
Social Security
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)
....
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: public schools"   **(FEDSPEND_FSPUBS)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
....
(What about)
public schools
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)
....
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: science and technology"   **(FEDSPEND_FSTECH)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
....
(What about)
science and technology
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)
....
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: dealing with crime"   **(FEDSPEND_FSCRM)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':

....

(What about)
dealing with crime
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)

....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: welfare programs"   **(FEDSPEND_FSWELF)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':

....

(What about)
welfare programs
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)

....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: child care"   **(FEDSPEND_FSCHC)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':

....

(What about)
child care
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)

....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed
<u>randomization</u>:   Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: aid to the poor"   **(FEDSPEND_FSPR)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
....

(What about)
aid to the poor
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)
....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

"Federal Budget Spending: protecting the environment"   **(FEDSPEND_FSENV)**

IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'ABOUT THE SAME'/
IF R IS SELECTED TO BE ADMINISTERED WITH RESPONSE OPTION 'THE SAME':
....

(What about)
protecting the environment
(Should federal spending on Social Security be **increased**,
decreased, or kept [**about the same** / **the same**]?)
....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. [Kept about the same/ Kept the same]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Randomize order of federal spending items; randomize assignment to 'about the same' or 'the same' wording

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show the parenthetical question text but omit the parentheses.

section: **CANDREL**

"What is the religion of the Democratic Presidential cand"   **(CANDREL_RELDPC)**
....
Now we would like to ask you some questions about the religion of the
presidential candidates. Would you say that [preload: dem_pcname] is
Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or
is he not religious?
....

01. Protestant
02. Catholic
03. Jewish
04. Muslim
05. Mormon
06. Not religious
95. Something else {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of "SPECIFY."

"What is the religion of the Republican Presidential cand"   (CANDREL_RELRPC)

....
Would you say that [preload: rep_pcname] is Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, Mormon, some other religion, or is he not religious?
....
01. Protestant
02. Catholic
03. Jewish
04. Muslim
05. Mormon
06. Not religious
95. Something else {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for option 95 in place of "SPECIFY."

---

section: **TRUSTGOV**

"[REV] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right"   (TRUSTGOV_TRUSTGREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How often can you trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right? [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?
....
1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[STD] How often trust govt in Wash to do what is right"   (TRUSTGOV_TRUSTGSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- [**just about always**, **most of the time**, or **only some of the time** / **only some of the time**, **most of the time**, or **just about always**]?
....
1. Just about always
2. Most of the time
3. Only some of the time
4. Never {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 4.

"Govt run by a few big interests or for benefit of all"   **(TRUSTGOV_BIGINTRST)**

....

Would you say the government is pretty much **run by a few big interests** looking out for themselves or that it is run **for the benefit of all the people**?

....

1. Run by a few big interests
2. For the benefit of all the people

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Does government waste much tax money"   **(TRUSTGOV_WASTE)**

....

Do you think that people in government [**waste a lot** of the money we pay in taxes, **waste some** of it, or **don't waste very much** of it / **don't waste very much** of the money we pay in taxes, **waste some** of it, or **waste a lot** of it]?

....

1. Waste a lot
2. Waste some
3. Don't waste very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How many in government are corrupt"   **(TRUSTGOV_CORRPT)**

....

How many of the people running the government are corrupt? [All, most, about half, a few, or none? / None, a few, about half, most, or all?]

....

1. All
2. Most
3. About half
4. A few
5. None

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **TRUST**

"How often can people be trusted"   **(TRUST_SOCTRUST)**

....

Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people?
[**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?

....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

<div align="right">section: **RESPONS**</div>

"Elections make govt pay attention"   (RESPONS_ELECTCARE)

....
How much do you feel that having elections makes the
government pay attention to what the people think?
[**A good deal**, **some**, or **not much** / **not much**, **some**,
or **a good deal**]?
....

1. A good deal
2. Some
3. Not much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

<div align="right">section: **ECONCAND**</div>

"Economy better or worse if Dem Pres cand wins"   (ECONCAND_ECDWIN)

....
If [preload: dem_pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy,
in the country as a whole, to **get better**, **stay about the same**, or **get
worse**?
....

1. Get better
2. Stay about the same
3. Get worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Economy better or worse if Rep Pres cand wins"   (ECONCAND_ECRWIN)

....
If [preload: rep_pcname] wins the election, do you expect the economy,
in the country as a whole, to **get better**, **stay about the same**, or **get
worse**?
....

1. Get better
2. Stay about the same
3. Get worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

<div align="right">section: **ENVIR**</div>

"Favor or oppose increased U.S. offshore drilling"   (ENVIR_DRILL)

....
Now on another topic.
Do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** increased offshore
drilling for oil and natural gas in U.S. waters?
....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Should US have more or fewer nuclear power plants"   (ENVIR_NUCPOW)

Do you think the United States should have **more** nuclear power plants, **fewer** nuclear power plants, or the same number it has now?

1. More
2. Fewer
3. The same number

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Is global warming happening or not"   (ENVIR_GWARM)

You may have heard about the idea that the world's temperature may have been going up slowly over the past 100 years. What is your personal opinion on this? Do you think this has probably been happening, or do you think it probably hasn't been happening?

1. Has probably been happening
2. Probably hasn't been happening

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Rising temperatures good or bad"   (ENVIR_GWGOOD)

IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/
IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:

[If / Assuming it's happening, if] temperatures continue to go up in the future, would this be good, bad, or neither good nor bad?

1. Good
2. Bad
3. Neither good nor bad

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Anthropogenic climate change"   (ENVIR_GWHOW)

IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY BEEN OCCURRING/
IF R THINKS GLOBAL WARMING HAS PROBABLY NOT BEEN OCCURRING OR DK/RF:

[Do / Assuming it's happening, do] you think a rise in the world's temperatures would be caused mostly by human activity, mostly by natural causes, or about equally by human activity and by natural causes?

1. Mostly by human activity
2. Mostly by natural causes
3. About equally by human activity and natural causes

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[REV] Should laws protect gays/lesbians against job discrim"   **(GAYRT_GDISCREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
Do you **favor** or **oppose** laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination?
....
1. Favor
2. Oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[REV] How mch fav/opp laws protect gays/lesbians job discr"   **(GAYRT_GDISCSTREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
IF R FAVORS PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/
IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING GAYS AND LESBIANS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
....
[Do you favor such laws **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you oppose such laws **strongly** or **not strongly**?]
....
1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] Should laws protect homosexuals against job discrim"   **(GAYRT_GDISCSTD)**

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
....
Do you **favor** or **oppose** laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?
....
1. Favor
2. Oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] How mch fav/opp laws protect homosexuals job discrim"   **(GAYRT_GDISCSTSTD)**

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICES:
IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION/
IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
....
[Do you favor such laws **strongly** or **not strongly**? /
Do you oppose such laws **strongly** or **not strongly**?]
....
1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[REV] Should gays and lesbians serve in U.S. armed forces"   **(GAYRT_GMILREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

....

Do you think gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve in the
United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

....

1. Gays and lesbians should be allowed to serve
2. Gays and lesbians should not be allowed to serve

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[REV] Strength favor/opp allow gays serve in armed forces"   **(GAYRT_GMILSTREV)**

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
IF R SAYS ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/
IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW GAYS AND LESBIANS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

....

[Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that gays and lesbians should
be allowed to serve? /
Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that gays and lesbians should not
be allowed to serve?]

....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] Should homosexuals serve in U.S. armed forces"   **(GAYRT_GMILSTD)**

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

....

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the
United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

....

1. Homosexuals should be allowed to serve
2. Homosexuals should not be allowed to serve

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] Strength fav/opp allow homosexuals serve armed forces"   **(GAYRT_GMILSTSTD)**

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:
IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES/
IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

....

[Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that homosexuals should
be allowed to serve? /
Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that homosexuals should not
be allowed to serve?]

....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Should gay and lesbian couples be allowed to adopt"   (GAYRT_GAYADOPT)

....
Do you think gay or lesbian couples should be legally permitted to adopt children?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R position on gay marriage"   (GAYRT_GAYMARR)

....
Looking at page [preload: prepg_z] of the booklet.
Which comes closest to your view?
You can just tell me the number of your choice.
....
1. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry.
2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry.
3. There should be no legal recognition of a gay or lesbian couple's relationship.

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **ABORTPRE**

"[STD] Abortion: self-placement"   (ABORTPRE_ABSELFSTD)

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_f] of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years.
Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
....
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first and last sentences of the question stem so the stem reads as follows: "There has been some discussion of abortion in recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view?".
Also, omit response option 5.

section: **PENALTY**

"R favor/oppose death penalty"   (PENALTY_FAVDPEN)

....

(Not looking at the booklet now.)
Do you **favor** or **oppose** the death penalty for persons convicted
of murder?

....

1. Favor
2. Oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the parenthetical reference to the booklet.

"Strength R favors/opposes death penalty"   (PENALTY_DPENSTR)

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER /
IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

....

Do you [favor / oppose] the death penalty for persons convicted of murder
strongly or **not strongly**?

....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **PRESADM**

"U.S. more or less secure than when Pres took office"   (PRESADM_PRSECR)

....

Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is
more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't
this changed very much?

....

1. More secure
2. Less secure
3. No change

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **PCTLIKELY**

"[1/2 SAMPLE 2B] What is percent chance R will vote in Nov"   (PCTLIKELY_WHATPCT2)

IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE PERCENT 2B HERE AND VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2A EARLIER IN IW:

....

What is the percent chance that you will vote in the general election
this November? You can answer with any number between 0 and 100
where 0 means no chance, 45-55 means a fairly even chance, and 100
means you are absolutely certain.

....

response type: Numeric entry

"[1/2 SAMPLE 2A] How likely is it that R will vote in Nov" (PCTLIKELY_HOWLIKVT2)

IF R HAS NOT INDICATED DEFINITELY VOTED EARLY IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:
IF R SELECTED FOR VOTE LIKELIHOOD 2B HERE AND VOTE PERCENT 2A EARLIER
IN IW:

....

How likely is it that you will vote in the general election this November?
[Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not
likely at all / Not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very
likely, or extremely likely]?

....

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Moderately likely
4. Slightly likely
5. Not likely at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **RELIG**

"Is religion important part of R life" (RELIG_RELIMP)

....

Now on another topic....
Do you consider religion to be an **important** part of your life,
or **not**?

....

1. Important
2. Not important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the ellipsis and render the item as follows: 'Now on
another topic: Do you consider religion to be an important part of
your life, or not?'

"Religion provides guidance in day-to-day living" (RELIG_RELGUIDE)

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:

....

Would you say your religion provides [**some** guidance in your
day-to-day living, **quite a bit** of guidance, or a **great deal** of
guidance / a **great deal** of guidance in your day-to-day living,
quite a bit of guidance, or **some** guidance] in your day-to-day life?

....

1. Some
2. Quite a bit
3. A great deal

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How often does R pray (forward/reverse images)" (RELIG_PRAYOFTEN)

IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS FORWARD /
IF RESPONSE OPTION ORDER IS REVERSE:

....
Please look at page [[preload: prepg_r]/[preload: prepg_b]] of the booklet.
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of
attending religious services, do you pray [**several times a day**,
once a day, **a few times a week**, **once a week or less**, or **never**/
never, **once a week or less**, **a few times a week**, **once a day**, or
several times a day]?
....
01. Several times a day
02. Once a day
03. A few times a week
04. Once a week or less
05. Never
95. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence of the question, "Please look at page…".

"Is Bible word of God or men"   (RELIG_RELBIBLE)
....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_s] of the booklet.
Which of these statements comes closest to describing your
feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of
your choice.
....
1. The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally,
word for word.
2. The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken
literally, word for word.
3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence of the question, "Please look at
page…". Also, omit the last sentence, "You can just give me the number
of your choice." Also, omit response option 5.

"Ever attend church or religious services"   (RELIG_CHURCH)
....
Lots of things come up that keep people from attending
religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your
life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart
from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Attend religious services how often"   (RELIG_CHURCHOFT)

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
....
Do you go to religious services [**every week**, **almost every week**,

once or twice a month, **a few times a year**, or **never/ never,
a few times a year**, **once or twice a month**, **almost every week**,
or **every week**]?

....

1. Every week
2. Almost every week
3. Once or twice a month
4. A few times a year
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Attend church more often than once a week"   **(RELIG_CHURCHWK)**

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

....

Would you say you go to religious services **once a week** or
more often than once a week?

....

1. Once a week
2. More often than once a week

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Ever think of self as part of church or denomination"   **(RELIG_CHMEMBER)**

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

....

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do
you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or
denomination?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"(Attends church) R major religious group"   **(RELIG_RELGRPA)**

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

....

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is **Protestant**, **Roman
Catholic**, **Jewish**, or **something else**?

....

1. Protestant
2. Catholic
3. Jewish
4. Other

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change response option 4 to "Something else".

"(Nonattendance) R major religious group"   **(RELIG_RELGRPNA)**

*IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:*

....

Do you consider yourself **Protestant**, **Roman Catholic**, **Jewish**, or **something else**?

....

1. Protestant
2. Catholic
3. Jewish
4. Other

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change response option 4 to "Something else".

"Major relig denomination"   **(RELIG_RELDENOM)**

*IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':*

....

What church or denomination is that?

....

01. Baptist
02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England
03. Lutheran
04. Methodist
05. Just Protestant
06. Presbyterian
07. Reformed
08. Brethren
09. Evangelical United Brethren
10. Christian or just Christian
11. Christian Scientist
12. Church (or Churches) of Christ
13. United Church of Christ
14. Disciples of Christ
15. Church of God
16. Assembly of God
17. Congregationalist
18. Holiness
19. Pentecostal
20. Friends, Quaker
21. Orthodox {SPECIFY}
22. Non-denominational - Protestant
23. Mormons
24. Jehovah's Witnesses
25. Latter Day Saints
26. Unitarian/Universalist
27. Buddhist
28. Hindu
29. Muslim/Islam
30. Native American
95. Other {SPECIFY}

response type:	Single Punch
response order:	Order as listed

"Specific Baptist denomination"   (RELIG_BAPT)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:

....

With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist
Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association,
the National Baptist Convention, an independent Baptist
church, or some other Baptist group?

....

1. Southern Baptist Convention
2. American Baptist Churches in USA
3. American Baptist Association
4. National Baptist Convention
5. Independent Baptist
95. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Specific indep. Baptist denomination"   (RELIG_INDBAPT)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST:
IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

....

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this
strictly a local church?

....

1. Larger Baptist group {SPECIFY}
2. Local

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Specific Lutheran denomination"   (RELIG_LUTH)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN:

....

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America,
the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

....

1. Evangelical Lutheran Church
2. Missouri Synod
5. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Specific Methodist denomination"   (RELIG_METH)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST:

....
Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African
Methodist Episcopal Church, African Methodist Episcopal Zion
Church, or some other Methodist group?
....

1. United Methodist Church
2. African Methodist Episcopal
3. African Methodist Episcopal Zion
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Presbyterian denomination"   (RELIG_PRESB)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN:
....
Is this the Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. or some other
Presbyterian group?
....

1. Presbyterian Church USA (formerly United Presbyterian Church)
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Reformed denomination"   (RELIG_REFRM)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED:
....
Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in
America or some other Reformed group?
....

1. Christian Reformed Church
2. The Reformed Church in America
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Brethren denomination"   (RELIG_BRETHR)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN:
....
Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren,
or what?
....

1. Church of the Brethren
2. The Plymouth Brethren
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Disciples denomination"   **(RELIG_DISCP)**

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN':

....

When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination
called the 'Christian Church Disciples of Christ,' or
some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say
'I am just a Christian'?

....

1. Disciples of Christ
2. I am just a Christian
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Church of Christ denomination"   **(RELIG_CHCHRST)**

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST:

....

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

....

1. Church of Christ
2. United Church of Christ

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Church of God denomination"   **(RELIG_CHGOD)**

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD:

....

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of
God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or
some other Church of God?

....

1. Anderson, Indiana
2. Cleveland, Tennessee
3. Church of God in Christ
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Specific Holiness/Pentecostal denomination"   **(RELIG_PENT)**

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:

....

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is

the church called?

{THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET
AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.}

....

response type: Text entry

"Specific other denomination"   (RELIG_DENOTHER)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' :
IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':

....

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?

{USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE}

....

response type: Text entry

"Specific other denomination Christian"   (RELIG_OTHXIAN)

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' :
IF R SPECIFIC DENOMINATION 'OTHER':

....

Is that group Christian?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"(Attends) Specific Jewish denomination"   (RELIG_JEWISHA)

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH :

....

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is **orthodox**,
conservative, **reform** or what?

....

1. Orthodox
2. Conservative
3. Reform
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"(Nonattendance) Specific Jewish denomination"   (RELIG_JEWISHNA)

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS
PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:

....

Do you consider yourself **orthodox**, **conservative**, **reform** or what?

....

1. Orthodox
2. Conservative

3. Reform
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Does Christian R consider self born again"   **(RELIG_BORNAGN)**

IF R IS CHRISTIAN:

....

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,
have you personally had a conversion experience related to
Jesus Christ?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Religious identification"   **(RELIG_RELIGIDENT)**

....

Looking at page [preload: prepg_p].
Which of the following terms describe your religious beliefs?
- Progressive
- Non-traditional believer
- Secular
- Agnostic
- Atheist, or
- Spiritual but not religious

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

....

1. Progressive
2. Non-traditional believer
3. Secular
4. Agnostic
5. Atheist
6. Spiritual but not religious
7. None of the above

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence, "Looking at page…" Do not list the
response options in the stem. Just ask, "Which of the following terms
describe your religious beliefs?" and offer the seven check boxes.

section: **DEM**

"Birthdate: month of birth"   **(DEM_BIRTHMO)**

....

What is the month, day and year of your birth?
MONTH:

....

01. January
02. February
03. March
04. April

05. May
06. June
07. July
08. August
09. September
10. October
11. November
12. December

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Birthdate: day of birth"   **(DEM_BIRTHDY)**

....
DAY:
....

response type: Numeric entry

"Birthdate: year of birth"   **(DEM_BIRTHYR)**

....
YEAR:
....

response type: Numeric entry

"Marital status"   **(DEM_MARITAL)**

....
Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married?

{IF RESPONDENT ANSWERS 'MARRIED' WITHOUT MENTIONING THAT
SPOUSE IS ABSENT, CODE 1.
IF R INDICATES UNMARRIED PARTNER, REPEAT THE RESPONSE OPTIONS
IN THE QUESTION TEXT}
....
1. Married: spouse present
2. Married: spouse absent {VOL}
3. Widowed
4. Divorced
5. Separated
6. Never married

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 2 and make response option 1 "Married".

"Domestic partnership status"   **(DEM_PARTN)**

IF R MARITAL STATUS IS NEVER MARRIED, WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED:
IF ONE OR MORE ADDITIONAL PERSONS AGE 17 OR OLDER WERE LISTED IN THE ROSTER:
....
Are you currently living with a partner, or not?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Highest level of Education" (DEM_EDUCR)

What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

01. Less than 1st grade
02. 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th grade
03. 5th or 6th grade
04. 7th or 8th grade
05. 9th grade
06. 10th grade
07. 11th grade
08. 12th grade no diploma
09. High school graduate- high school diploma or equivalent
(for example: GED)
10. Some college but no degree
11. Associate degree in college - Occupational/vocational program
12. Associate degree in college -- Academic program
13. Bachelor's degree (For example: BA, AB, BS)
14. Master's degree (For example: MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MSW, MBA)
15. Professional School Degree (For example: MD,DDS,DVM,LLB,JD)
16. Doctorate degree (For example: PhD, EdD)
95. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R: Diploma or GED" (DEM_DIPGED)

IF R'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:

People can get a high school diploma in a variety of ways, such as graduating from high school or by getting a GED or other equivalent. How did you get your high school diploma?

1. Graduation from High School
2. GED or other equivalent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Spouse/partner: Highest Level of Education" (DEM_EDUCSP)

IF R IS MARRIED/
IF R IS PARTNERED:

What is the highest level of school your [husband or wife / partner] has completed or the highest degree your [husband or wife / partner] has received?

01. Less than 1st grade
02. 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th grade
03. 5th or 6th grade
04. 7th or 8th grade
05. 9th grade
06. 10th grade
07. 11th grade
08. 12th grade no diploma
09. High school graduate- high school diploma or equivalent
(for example: GED)

10. Some college but no degree
11. Associate degree in college - Occupational/vocational program
12. Associate degree in college -- Academic program
13. Bachelor's degree (For example: BA, AB, BS)
14. Master's degree (For example: MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MSW, MBA)
15. Professional School Degree (For example: MD,DDS,DVM,LLB,JD)
16. Doctorate degree (For example: PhD, EdD)
95. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Spouse: Diploma or GED"   (DEM_DIPGEDSP)

IF R IS MARRIED AND SPOUSE'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT /
IF R IS PARTNERED AND PARTNER'S HIGHEST EDUCATIONAL LEVEL IS DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENT:

How did your [husband or wife / partner] get their high school diploma?

1. Graduation from High School
2. GED or other equivalent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R ever served on active duty in Armed Forces"   (DEM_RMILIT)

Did you ever serve on active duty in the U. S. Armed Forces?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"When served?"   (DEM_RMILWHEN)

IF R HAS EVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES:

When did you serve?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY, UP TO 4 MENTIONS}

1. September 2001 or later
2. August 1990 to August 2001
3. May 1975 to July 1990
4. Vietnam Era (August 1964 to April 1975)
5. February 1955 to July 1964
6. Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955)
7. January 1947 to June 1950
8. World War II (December 1941 to December 1946)
9. November 1941 or earlier

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Still in Armed Forces"   (DEM_RMILNOW)

IF R HAS NEVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES/

IF R HAS SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES:

....
Are you [now/still] in the Armed Forces?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Initial employment status"   (DEM_EMPTYPE)

....
Please look at page [preload: prepg_w] of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off,
or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker,
a student, or what?

{INTERVIEWER: ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}
....

1. Working now
2. Temporarily laid off
4. Unemployed
5. Retired
6. Permanently disabled
7. Homemaker
8. Student

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first sentence of the question about the booklet.

"Initial status Homemaker/student: working now"   (DEM_HSWORKG)

IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT:

....
Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Initial status Homemaker/student: job in last 6 mon"   (DEM_HSJOB6MO)

IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT:
IF R IS NOT WORKING FOR PAY NOW:

....
Have you had a job in the **last six months**?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Initial status retiree - when retired" (DEM_RETIREMO)

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:

....
When did you retire?
MONTH:
....
01. January
02. February
03. March
04. April
05. May
06. June
07. July
08. August
09. September
10. October
11. November
12. December

<u>response type</u>: Single Punch
<u>response order</u>: Order as listed

"Initial status retiree - when retired" (DEM_RETIREDY)

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:

....
DAY:
....

<u>response type</u>: Numeric entry

"Initial status retiree - when retired" (DEM_RETIREYR)

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED:

....
YEAR:
....

<u>response type</u>: Numeric entry

"Initial status unemployed/disabled: R ever work for pay" (DEM_EVERWK)

IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED /
IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED :

....
Have you ever done any work for pay?
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>: Single Punch
<u>response order</u>: Order as listed

"Past occupation (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)" (DEM_OCCPAST)

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED /
IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :

....
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job?
(What was your occupation)? What were your most important
activities or duties?)

{CONSULT HELP SCREEN}
....

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"Past industry (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)"   **(DEM_INDPAST)**

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED /
IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
....
What kind of business or industry was that?

{CONSULT HELP SCREEN}
....

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"Past self-empl status (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)"   **(DEM_SEMPLPST)**

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED /
IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
....
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed, or what?
....
1. Someone else
2. Both self and someone else
3. Self-employed

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Past occupation: govt work (R ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/stud)"   **(DEM_EMPGOVPST)**

IF R INITIAL STATUS RETIRED /
IF R INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
IF R DID NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF :
....
Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Initial status unempl/ret/disabled: job in last 6 mo"   **(DEM_RDJOB6MON)**

IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED/
IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
....

Have you had a job in the **last six months**?

....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Recent occupation: how many hours worked avg week"   (DEM_HRSRECENT)

IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS /
IF INITIAL STATUS IS RETIRED AND HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS /
IF INITIAL STATUS IS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT, IS NOT WORKING, HAS WORKED PAST 6 MOS :
....
About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

{ENTER NUMBER OF HOURS 1-168}
....

response type: Numeric entry

"Initial status retired/disabled: working now"   (DEM_RDWORKG)

IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED /
IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
....
Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: look for work"   (DEM_LOOKWK)

IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED AND NOT ALSO WORKING /
IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND NOT ALSO WORKING /
IF INITIAL STATUS HOMEMAKER/STUDENT AND NOT ALSO WORKING /
IF INITIAL STATUS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY :
....
Are you looking for work at the present time?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Init status nonworkg ret/dis/unemp/hmkr/st: worry find job"   (DEM_FINDJOB)

IF INITIAL STATUS RETIRED, NOT ALSO WORKING, AND LOOKING FOR WORK /
IF INITIAL STATUS DISABLED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY, AND LOOKING FOR WORK /
IF INIT STATUS HMKR/STUD, NOT ALSO WORKING, WKD PAST 6 MO, AND LOOKING FOR WORK /
IF INITIAL STATUS IS UNEMPLOYED, HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY AND LOOKING FOR WORK :
....
How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the
near future: [Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried /
extremely, very, moderately, a little or not at all worried]?
....

1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Moderately
4. Very
5. Extremely

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Working/TLO now - occupation"   (DEM_OCCNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
What is your main occupation?
(What kind of work do you do? What are your most important
activities or duties?)

{CONSULT HELP}
....

response type: Text entry

"Working/TLO - industry"   (DEM_INDNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
What kind of business or industry is that?

{CONSULT HELP}
....

response type: Text entry

"Working/TLO now - work for self"   (DEM_SEMPLNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?
....
1. Someone else
2. Both self and someone else
3. Self-employed

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Working/TLO now - work for govt"   (DEM_EMPGOVNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
IF R DOES NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF:
....
Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Working/TLO now - how many hours R works"   (DEM_HRSNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

{ENTER NUMBER OF HOURS 1-168}
....

response type: Numeric entry

"Working/TLO now - hours works OK"   (DEM_OKHRSNOW)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/RF:
....
Is that **more** hours than you want to work, **fewer** hours than you
want to work, or generally **about right**?
....
1. More
2. Fewer
3. About right

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Working/TLO now: worry about losing job in near fute"   (DEM_LOSEJOB)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW OR TLO BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
How worried are you about losing your job in the near future?
[Not at all, a little, moderately, very, or extremely worried /
extremely, very, moderately, a little, or not at all worried]?
....
1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Moderately
4. Very
5. Extremely

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Working now: out of work or laid off in last 6 mos"   (DEM_OFFWORK)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....
Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last
six months?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Working now: had reduction in work hrs or pay cut"   (DEM_HRSREDUCE)

IF INITIAL STATUS IS WORKING NOW /
IF INITIAL STATUS NOT WORKING NOW BUT R IS DETERMINED TO BE CURRENTLY WORKING:
....

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your
work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons
other than illness or personal choice?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Spouse/partner working status mention"   (DEM_EMPTYPESP)

IF R IS MARRIED/
IF R IS PARTNERED:
....

Please look at page [preload: prepg_w] of the booklet.
We'd like to know about your [husband or wife / partner].
Is your [husband or wife / partner] working now, temporarily laid off, or
is your [husband or wife /partner] unemployed, retired, permanently
disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}
....

1. Working now
2. Temporarily laid off
4. Unemployed
5. Retired
6. Permanently disabled
7. Homemaker
8. Student

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the first question sentence, "Please look at page
[preload: prepg_w] of the booklet.".

"Spouse/partner job"   (DEM_CSESJOBSP)

IF R IS MARRIED/PARTNERED AND HAS MENTIONED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:
....

What is your [spouse's/partner's] main occupation?
(What kind of work does your [spouse/partner] do? What are the
most important activities or duties?)
....

response type: Text entry

"Anyone in HH belong to labor union"   (DEM_UNION)
....
Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union or to

an employee association similar to a union?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Who in HH belongs to union"   (DEM_UNIONWHO)

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

....

Who is it that belongs?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

....

1. Respondent
2. Spouse/partner
4. Someone else

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display the first response option as "You" instead of
"Respondent".

"Think of self as belonging to class"   (DEM_CLASS)

....

There's been some talk these days about different social classes.
Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the
working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in
one of these classes?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Social Class: working or middle"   (DEM_WHICHCLASS)

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MEMBER OF EITHER MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

....

Which one?

....

0. Lower class or poor {VOL}
1. Middle class
2. Working class
3. Both {VOL}
4. Upper class {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display only response options 1 and 2. Bank with previous
question, CLASS.

"Social Class: had to choose working/middle"   (DEM_CHCLASS)

IF R DOESN'T THINK/ DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
....
Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself
middle class or **working class**?
....
0. Upper class {VOL}
1. Middle class
2. Working class
3. Neither {VOL}
4. Lower class or poor {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display only response options 1 and 2.

"Social class: average or upper working/middle class"   (DEM_AVGCLASS)

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS MIDDLE CLASS /
IF R THINKS OF SELF AS WORKING CLASS:
....
Would you say that you are about **average** [middle/working] class or that
you are in the **upper part** of the [middle/working] class?]
....
0. Lower class or poor {VOL}
1. Average [middle/working] class
2. Upper [middle/working] class
3. Lower [middle/working class] {VOL}
4. Upper class {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display only response options 1 and 2.

"R: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino"   (DEM_HISP)
....
Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?
....
1. Yes
2. No

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"R: Which Hispanic group are you"   (DEM_HISPTYP)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
....
Are you Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban,
Cuban-American, or some other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group?

{INTERVIEWER: THIS QUESTION IS ABOUT ETHNICITY, NOT NATIONALITY OR
CITIZENSHIP}
{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

....
1. Mexican
2. Mexican-American
3. Chicano
4. Puerto Rican
5. Cuban
6. Cuban-American
7. Other

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R: Other Hispanic group"   (DEM_HISPOTH)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
IF SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO GROUP IS 'OTHER' FOR ANY MENTION:
....
What is the name of your other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group?
....

response type: Text entry

"R: Hispanic group R most identifies with"   (DEM_HISPMOST)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
IF R GIVES MORE THAN 1 SPANISH/HISPANIC/LATINO MENTION:
....
Which group do you most closely identify with?
....
1. Mexican
2. Mexican-American
3. Chicano
4. Puerto Rican
5. Cuban
6. Cuban-American
7. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Race of Respondent"   (DEM_RACECPS)

....
I am going to read you a list of five race categories. Please choose
one or more races that you consider yourself to be:
- white;
- black or African-American;
- American Indian or Alaska Native;
- Asian; or
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?

{IF R SAYS HISPANIC, PROBE FOR RACE}
{IF R GIVES A NATIONALITY, NATIONAL ANCESTRY OR RELIGION, PROBE FOR
RACE, REPEATING THE CATEGORIES IN THE QUESTION}
{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}
....
01. White
02. Black or African-American
03. American Indian or Alaska Native
04. Asian
05. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
95. Other {SPECIFY} {DO NOT ACCEPT A NATIONALITY, NATIONAL ANCESTRY,

OR RELIGION AS OTHER}

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch

<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in the stem display only "Please choose one or more races that
you consider yourself to be." For response option 95, display "Other
(please specify)" with a small text box.

"Ethnic or nationality group"   (DEM_ETHNIC)

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic
group or nationality group?

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: number of ethnic mentions"   (DEM_NUMETH)

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R:

{IF UNSURE OF THE NUMBER OF MENTIONS, PLEASE CODE AS 2.
DO NOT COUNT MENTIONS OF 'AMERICAN' OR 'JUST AMERICAN'}

0. None in addition to American (incl DK) and R did not refuse or
indicate no knowledge of own ethnicity
1. One
2. More than one (two or more)
3. Respondent refused to answer or R said no knowledge of own
ethnicity (e.g. adopted)

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch

<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

DK not allowed

RF not allowed

"Ethnic group most close"   (DEM_MOSTETH)

IF R MENTIONED MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, the UNIVERSE for this question is all Rs who type more than 9
characters in response to ETHNIC. The QUESTION text to display is
"With which single ethnic or nationality group do you most closely
identify?"

"Native status of parents"   (DEM_PARENTS)

Where were your parents born? Were they both born in the U.S.,
was only one born in the U.S., or were both born in another country?

1. Both parents born in the U.S.
2. One parent born in the U.S.
3. Neither parent born in the U.S.

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Rs: born U.S., Puerto Rico, or some other count"   (DEM_HISPBORN)

....
In what state, country, or territory were you born?
....

1. A U.S. state or D.C.
2. Puerto Rico
3. Another U.S. territory (Guam, Amer. Samoa, US Virgin Islands)
4. Another country {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"LATINO Rs: how many grandparents born outside the U.S."   (DEM_HISPGPAR)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
....
How many of your grandparents, if any, were born outside the U.S.A.?
....

0. None
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. All

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"LATINO Rs: country of Latino heritage"   (DEM_HISPCNTRY)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
....
Families of Hispanic or Latino background in the United States
come from many different countries.
From which country do you trace your Latino heritage?

{IF MORE THAN 1 COUNTRY GIVEN: 'WHICH COUNTRY DOES MOST OF YOUR
FAMILY COME FROM?
IF RESPONSE IS 'U.S.': 'DO YOU TRACE YOUR LATINO HERITAGE, HOWEVER MANY
GENERATIONS BACK, TO ANY COUNTRY OTHER THAN THE U.S.?'}
....

1. Argentina
2. Bolivia
3. Chile
4. Colombia
5. Costa Rica
6. Cuba
7. Dominican Republic
8. Ecuador
9. El Salvador
10. Guatemala
11. Honduras
12. Mexico
13. Nicaragua
14. Panama
15. Paraguay
16. Peru

17. Puerto Rico
18. Spain
19. Uruguay
20. Venezuela
23. U. S. A.
95. OTHER {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"LATINO Rs: When did R arrive in U.S."   (DEM_HISPARR)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:
....
When did you first arrive to live in the U.S. (mainland)?

{RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR 1910-2012}
....

response type: Numeric entry

"LATINO Rs: In what year did R become a U.S. citizen"   (DEM_HISPCIT)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.
....
In what year did you become a naturalized U.S. citizen?

{RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR 1910-2012 OR:
7777. BORN OUTSIDE THE U.S. BUT BORN A U.S. CITIZEN {VOL}}
....

response type: Numeric entry

"LATINO Rs: language at home"   (DEM_HISPLANG)

IF R INDICATES SELF AS SPANISH, HISPANIC, OR LATINO:
....
What language do you primarily speak at home with your family?
Is it only English, mostly English, only Spanish, mostly
Spanish, or both languages equally?
....
1. Only English
2. Mostly English
3. Both languages equally
4. Mostly Spanish
5. Only Spanish

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **DEM2**

"How many children in HH age 10 and younger"   (DEM2_CH10LESS)

....
How many children age 10 or younger live in this household?

{IF MORE THAN 8 CHILDREN AGES 10 OR YOUNGER IN HOUSEHOLD, NOTE NUMBER

....
00. No children age 10 or younger
01. One child age 10 or younger
02. Two children age 10 or younger
03. Three children age 10 or younger
04. Four children age 10 or younger
05. Five children age 10 or younger
06. Six children age 10 or younger
07. Seven children age 10 or younger
08. Eight children age 10 or younger
95. More than eight children age 10 or younger

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"How many children in HH age 11-17"   **(DEM2_CH11TO17)**

IF R IS NOT 17 YEARS OLD/
IF R IS 17 YEARS OLD:
....
How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household?/
How many children ages 11 to 17 live in this household including you?

{IF MORE THAN 8 CHILDREN AGES 11-17 IN HOUSEHOLD, NOTE NUMBER
IN COMMENT FIELD}
....
00. No children age 11-17
01. One child age 11-17
02. Two children ages 11-17
03. Three children ages 11-17
04. Four children ages 11-17
05. Five children ages 11-17
06. Six children ages 11-17
07. Seven children ages 11-17
08. Eight children ages 11-17
95. More than eight children ages 11-17

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Children's school enrollment"   **(DEM2_SCHENRL)**

IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 1 CHILD AGE 17 OR YOUNGER IN HOUSEHOLD /
IF THERE IS 1 CHILD IN HOUSEHOLD AGE 17 OR YOUNGER AND CHILD IS NOT R /
IF THERE IS 1 CHILD IN HOUSEHOLD AGE 17 OR YOUNGER AND CHILD IS R (17 YEARS OLD):
....
[Are the children in your household/ Is the child in your household/ Are you]
enrolled in public school, private religious school, private non-religious
school, or home school, or not in school?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}
....
1. Public school
2. Private religious school
3. Private non-religious school
4. Home school
5. Not enrolled in school

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Internet use at home"   (DEM2_INETHOME)

Do you or does anyone in this household connect to the Internet from home?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change 'this' to 'your' and set 'from home' in bold type.

"Broadband or dial-up home Internet connection"   (DEM2_INETTYP)

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD CONNECTS TO THE INTERNET FROM HOME:

Do you currently access the Internet at home using a regular 'dial-up' telephone, DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or PDA, or some other broadband internet connection, or something else?

1. A regular 'dial-up' telephone
2. DSL, cable modem, fiber optics, satellite, wireless (such as Wi-Fi), mobile phone or PDA, or some other broadband internet connection
3. Something else

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Number of landline telephone numbers at home"   (DEM2_LANDLINE)

How many residential landline telephone numbers are there in your household? A residential landline number is a phone number that can be used to call your home, that is not only for a business, and that is not a cell phone.

response type: Numeric entry

"Number of cell phone numbers in household"   (DEM2_CELLNUM)

How many cell phone numbers are there in your household that are ever answered for personal calls?

response type: Numeric entry

"Does R personally have a cell phone"   (DEM2_CELLPERS)

IF AT LEAST 1 CELL PHONE NUMBER IN HOUSEHOLD:

Do you personally have a cell phone, or not?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Is R's cell phone a smartphone"   **(DEM2_SMARTPH)**

IF R HAS A CELL PHONE:

....

Do you have a 'smartphone' or not? By 'smartphone,' we mean a cell phone that will run apps and connect to the Internet, like an iPhone, Blackberry, or Android phone.

....

1. Yes, have a smartphone
2. No smartphone

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

---

section: **DEM3**

"Where R grew up"   **(DEM3_GREWUP)**

....

Where was it that you grew up?

{PROBE: 'WHAT STATE OR STATES?' IF R INDICATES LOCATION IN UNITED STATES AND WHICH STATE NOT IDENTIFIED}

....

response type: Text entry

"How long lived in this community YRS"   **(DEM3_CITYYR)**

IF R LIVES IN CITY/
IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/
IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/
IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:

....

How long have you lived in your present community?
YEARS:

{ENTER YEARS, THEN MONTHS.
ENTER YEARS 0-100.
ENTER 0 IF ANSWER WAS GIVEN IN MONTHS ONLY.
IF R SAYS 'ALL MY LIFE' ASK: HOW MANY YEARS?}

....

response type: Numeric entry

"How long lived in this community MONTHS"   **(DEM3_CITYMON)**

IF R LIVES IN CITY/
IF R LIVES IN A TOWN/
IF R LIVES IN A TOWNSHIP/
IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OF COUNTY:

....

MONTHS:

{ENTER 0 IF ANSWER WAS GIVEN IN YEARS ONLY.
DO NOT ENTER AS MONTHS ONLY IF TIME PERIOD MORE THAN 24 MONTHS}

....

response type: Numeric entry

"Zip Code of previous residence"   **(DEM3_PREVZIP)**

IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
....

What was the zip code where you previously lived?

ENTER 00000 FOR 'NONE'
....

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"City/state of previous residence"   **(DEM3_PREVCTY)**

IF R HAS LIVED IN CURRENT COMMUNITY LESS THAN 2 YEARS:
IF R DOES NOT KNOW THE ZIP CODE OF WHERE PREVIOUSLY LIVED:
....

What was the city and state where you previously lived?
....

<u>response type</u>:   Text entry

"Does R family own/rent home"   **(DEM3_OWNHOME)**

IF R IS NOT LIVING AT HOME WITH FAMILY MEMBERS /
IF R IS LIVING AT HOME WITH 1 OR MORE FAMILY MEMBERS:
....

[Do you/Does your family] own your home, pay rent, or what?
....

1. Own home
2. Pay rent
5. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Name ever changed"   **(DEM3_NAMECH)**

IF R IS NOT FEMALE/
IF R IS FEMALE:
....

Has your name ever changed or have you never changed your first or last name?/
Has your name ever changed (for example, because you got married), or have you never changed your first or last name?
....

1. Have changed name
2. Never changed name

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"Year of most recent change"   **(DEM3_NAMECHYR)**

IF R HAS CHANGED NAME:
....

What year did you most recently change your name?
....

<u>response type</u>:   Numeric entry

"Use of alternate names" (DEM3_ALTNAME)

Have you ever gone by an alternative or different name?

1. Yes
2 . No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Years R lived at address" (DEM3_LENADDR)

How many years have you lived at this address?

{IWR INSTRUCTION: IF LESS THAN ONE YEAR OR LESS THAN 12 MONTHS, ENTER 0.}

response type: Numeric entry

"Where did R live five years ago" (DEM3_ADD5YR)

IF R HAS LIVED AT CURRENT ADDRESS LESS THAN 5 YEARS:

Where did you live five years ago? Please tell me the city, state, and ZIP code if you remember it.

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change "tell me" to "enter".

"Have Driver's License" (DEM3_DRIVLIC)

Do you have a non-expired Driver's License?

1. Have a non-expired driver's license
2. Do not have one

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Have passport" (DEM3_PASSPORT)

Do you now have a non-expired U.S. passport, or do you not have one?

1. Have a valid U.S. passport
2. Do not have one

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Have government issued ID" (DEM3_GOVTID)

IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED DRIVER'S LICENSE:
IF R DOES NOT HAVE A NON-EXPIRED U.S. PASSPORT:

....
Do you have another form of non-expired, government issued photo ID,
such as a state-issued ID or military ID?
....
1. Have another form of ID
2. Do not have

<u>response type</u>:   Single Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

---

section: **CASISTART**

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 1"   **(CASISTART_IWRSET1)**
....
Interviewer: **hand the tablet to the respondent after reading the following**:

Next, I'd like to ask you to answer some questions privately using this
tablet computer. Before you start, we'll go through a short practice
session so you can learn how to use it.
....

<u>response type</u>:   Display only

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 2"   **(CASISTART_IWRSET2)**
....
These items will help you enter your answers into the tablet.

[**hold up the stylus**] This is the pen you will use. Touch the point to the
response you choose to select the response. You can also use your fingers if
that is more comfortable.
[**point to the next button**] This is the next button. When you've entered
your response, you can click this button to go to the next screen.

[**point to the keyboard**] This is the keyboard
[**point to the enter key**] The Enter key is here,
[**point to the space bar**] the space bar is here,
[**point to the backspace key**] and the Backspace key is here.

click [>>] **to continue**.
....

<u>response type</u>:   Display only

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 3"   **(CASISTART_IWRSET3)**
....
With this tablet you can control the interview and answer privately. You will
learn how to use the table and complete some practice questions.

Please press the next [>>] button to get started.
....

<u>response type</u>:   Display only

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 4"   **(CASISTART_IWRSET4)**
....
You answer questions by touching the response option with the pen or your
finger. When a response is selected, it will turn orange.

To answer a question, touch the response you want and then press next [>>].

Do you have a dog?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 6"   (CASISTART_IWRSET6)
....
Other questions will ask you to type an answer in words instead of selecting a response from a list.

This morning what did you eat for breakfast?

Type in what you ate for breakfast and press next [>>].
....

response type: Text entry

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 7"   (CASISTART_IWRSET7)
....
If you have any problems or issues while answering questions on the tablet, please speak with the interviewer.

You will see some buttons labeled Refused and Don't Know. These are for the interviewer's use only. Please do not choose them.

If you have any questions now, ask your interviewer before you begin. Otherwise, press next [>>] to begin.
....

response type: Display only

section: **SELFGEND**

"CASI ONLY: are you (R) male or female"   (SELFGEND_RGENDSELF)

IF IWR HAS NOT RECORDED OBSERVATION OF GENDER AT START OF INTERVIEW:
....
Are you male or female?
....
1. Male
2. Female

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed

section: **WEALTH**

"CASI Money invested in Stock Market"   **(WEALTH_STOCKS)**

....
Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any **money invested in the stock market** right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI work or own investments"   **(WEALTH_WHOINVEST)**

IF R HAS MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET:
....
Is that from investments through work, investments made on your own, or both?
....

1. Investments through work
2. Investments made on your own
3. Both

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous question, STOCKS.

"CASI Owned vacation home"   **(WEALTH_VACHOME)**

....
Which, if any, of the following have you done?

Have you ever owned a vacation home that was not your main residence, or not?
....

1. Yes, have done this
2. No, have not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display items 63.25-2 through 63.25-6 on the same screen in a grid. The stem should ask "Which, if any, of the following have you ever done?" The response columns should be labeled "Have done this" and "Have not done this". The stems should all consist only of the verb phrases:
owned a vacation home that was not your main residence?
owned property that you rented to someone else?
been awarded stock grants or options from your employer?
etc.

"CASI Owned property that you rented"   **(WEALTH_OWNRENTAL)**

....
Have you ever owned property that you rented to someone else, or have you never done this?
....

1. Yes, have done this
2. No, have not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Awarded stock grants or options"   (WEALTH_STOCKGRNTS)

....

(Have you ever)
Been awarded stock grants or options from your employer?

....

1. Yes, have done this
2. No, have not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Owned underdeveloped land"   (WEALTH_UNDLAND)

....

(Have you ever)
Owned undeveloped land as an investment or for future development?

....

1. Yes, have done this
2. No, have not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Invested in someone's business"   (WEALTH_INVESTBUS)

....

(Have you ever)
Invested in someone starting a business?

....

1. Yes, have done this
2. No, have not

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **INC**

"CASI Total income amount"   (INC_TOTINC)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD /
IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your
family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes.
This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries,
wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other
income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members
living here / your total income in 2011]?

ENTER AS WHOLE NUMBERS, NO COMMAS, DECIMALS, SPACES, OR DOLLAR SIGNS. ENTER -2 FOR DK

YOUR BEST GUESS IS FINE

ENTER -2 FOR DON'T KNOW

....

response type: Numeric entry

"CASI Confirm total income amount"   (INC_TOTINCCK)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R IS LESS THAN $10,000 OR GREATER THAN $99,000:
IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD /
IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Just to confirm, you said [the total income of all the members of
your family living here / your income] was [**inc_totinc**].
Is that right or do you need to change it?

....

1. Is right
2. Need to change it

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K"   (INC_TOTMISS40)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $40,000 or more, or less than that?

....

1. $40,000 or more
2. Less than $40,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K"   (INC_TOTMISS20)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $20,000 or more, or less than that?

....

1. $20,000 or more
2. Less than $20,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K"   (INC_TOTL20)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $20,000:

....
[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
....
1. Under $5,000
2. $5,000-9,999
3. $10,000-12,499
4. $12,500-14,999
5. $15,000-17,499
6. $17,500-19,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K"   (INC_TOTG20L40)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $20,000 OR MORE:

....
[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
....
07. $20,000-22,499
08. $22,500-24,999
09. $25,000-27,499
10. $27,500-29,999
11. $30,000-34,999
12. $35,000-39,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K"   (INC_TOTMISS70)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:

....
[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $70,000 or more, or less than that?
....
1. $70,000 or more
2. Less than $70,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K"   (INC_TOTG40L70)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $70,000:
....
[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?
]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.
....
13. $40,000-44,999
14. $45,000-49,999
15. $50,000-54,999
16. $55,000-59,999
17. $60,000-64,999
18. $65,000-69,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K"   (INC_TOTMISS100)

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:
....
[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $100,000 or more, or less than that?
....
1. $100,000 or more
2. Less than $100,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K"   **(INC_TOTG70L100)**

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $100,000:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

....

19. $70,000-74,999
20. $75,000-79,999
21. $80,000-89,999
22. $90,000-99,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K"   **(INC_TOTG100)**

IF R HAS NOT RESPONDED ONCE TO THIS QUESTION WITH DK OR RF /
IF R HAS RESPONDED ONCE WITH DK OR RF:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS DK OR RF:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $100,000 OR MORE:

....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

....

23. $100,000-109,999
24. $110,000-124,999
25. $125,000-149,999
26. $150,000-174,999
27. $175,000-249,999
28. $250,000 or more

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **MEDSRC**

"CASI Media sources has R followed about the Pres"   **(MEDSRC_CAMPSRCS)**

....
From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the
presidential campaign?

ENTER ALL THAT APPLY

....
1. Television news programs (morning or evening)

...

2. Newspapers
3. Television talk shows, public affairs, or news analysis programs
4. Internet sites, chat rooms, or blogs
5. Radio news or talk shows
6. Have not heard anything about the presidential campaign
from any of these sources

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order as listed

"CASI Which television programs R watches regularly"   (MEDSRC_TVPROGS1)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON TV NEWS/TALK/PUBLIC AFFAIRS/ NEWS ANALYSIS PROGRAM(S):
....
Which of the following television programs do you watch regularly?
Please check any that you watch at least once a month
....
01. [preload: tvprog[1]]
02. [preload: tvprog[2]]
03. [preload: tvprog[3]]
04. [preload: tvprog[4]]
05. [preload: tvprog[5]]
06. [preload: tvprog[6]]
07. [preload: tvprog[7]]
08. [preload: tvprog[8]]
09. [preload: tvprog[9]]
10. [preload: tvprog[10]]
11. [preload: tvprog[11]]
12. [preload: tvprog[12]]
13. [preload: tvprog[13]]
14. [preload: tvprog[14]]
15. [preload: tvprog[15]]
16. [preload: tvprog[16]]
20. None of the above

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Randomized order
Note: If R is not Hispanic, 48 general-audience TV show names are displayed on 3 screens
If R is Hispanic 16 TV shows intended for a Hispanic audience are displayed in addition to
the 48 general-audience television show names, for a total of 64 TV show names on 4 screens.
General TV Shows:
20/20; 60 Minutes; ABC News Nightline; ABC World News Tonight; America Live; America This Morning;
America's Newsroom; American Idol; Anderson Cooper; The Big Bang Theory; CBS Evening News;
CBS This Morning; Chris Matthew s Show; Colbert Report; Daily Show with Jon Stewart; Dancing with the
Stars; Dateline NBC; Doctors; The Ellen DeGeneres Show; Face the Nation; The Five; Fox Report ; Frontline;
Good Morning America; Hannity; Huckabee; Insider; Jimmy Kimmel Live; Key & Peele; The Late Late Show
with Craig Ferguson; Late Show with David Letterman; Meet the Press; The Mentalist; NBC Nightly News;
NCIS; O'Reilly Factor; On the Record with Greta Van Susteren; Person of Interest; Rock Center with Brian
Williams; Saturday Night Live; Special Report with Bret Baier; Tavis Smiley; Sunday Morning; The View;
This Week; Today Show; The Voice; The Talk.
Hispanic TV shows:
Al Punto; Al Rojo Vivo; Aqui y Ahora; CNN en Espanol; Despierta America; El Gordo y La Flaca; Enfoque;
Hoy; Lo Mejor de Caso Cerrado; Noticiero Enrique Gratas; Noticiero Telemundo; Noticiero Univision;
Pa'lante con Cristina; Pequenos Gigantes; Primer Impacto; Sabado Gigante.

"CASI Which television programs R watches regularly"   (MEDSRC_TVPROGS2)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON TV NEWS/TALK/PUBLIC AFFAIRS/ NEWS ANALYSIS PROGRAM(S):
....
Which of the following television programs do you watch regularly?
Please check any that you watch at least once a month
....
01. [preload: tvprog[17]]
02. [preload: tvprog[18]]

03. [preload: tvprog[19]]
04. [preload: tvprog[20]]
05. [preload: tvprog[21]]
06. [preload: tvprog[22]]
07. [preload: tvprog[23]]
08. [preload: tvprog[24]]
09. [preload: tvprog[25]]
10. [preload: tvprog[26]]
11. [preload: tvprog[27]]
12. [preload: tvprog[28]]
13. [preload: tvprog[29]]
14. [preload: tvprog[30]]
15. [preload: tvprog[31]]
16. [preload: tvprog[32]]
20. None of the above

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Randomized order
See note MEDSRC_TVPROGS1

"CASI Which television programs R watches regularly"   (MEDSRC_TVPROGS3)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON TV NEWS/TALK/PUBLIC AFFAIRS/ NEWS ANALYSIS PROGRAM(S):

....
Which of the following television programs do you watch regularly?
Please check any that you watch at least once a month
....
01. [preload: tvprog[33]]
02. [preload: tvprog[34]]
03. [preload: tvprog[35]]
04. [preload: tvprog[36]]
05. [preload: tvprog[37]]
06. [preload: tvprog[38]]
07. [preload: tvprog[39]]
08. [preload: tvprog[40]]
09. [preload: tvprog[41]]
10. [preload: tvprog[42]]
11. [preload: tvprog[43]]
12. [preload: tvprog[44]]
13. [preload: tvprog[45]]
14. [preload: tvprog[46]]
15. [preload: tvprog[47]]
16. [preload: tvprog[48]]
20. None of the above

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Randomized order
See note MEDSRC_TVPROGS1

"CASI Which television programs R watches regularly"   (MEDSRC_TVPROGS4)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON TV NEWS/TALK/PUBLIC AFFAIRS/ NEWS ANALYSIS PROGRAM(S):
IF R IS HISPANIC:

....
Which of the following television programs do you watch regularly?
Please check any that you watch at least once a month
....
01. [preload: tvprog[49]]
02. [preload: tvprog[50]]
03. [preload: tvprog[51]]
04. [preload: tvprog[52]]
05. [preload: tvprog[53]]

06. [preload: tvprog[54]]
07. [preload: tvprog[55]]
08. [preload: tvprog[56]]
09. [preload: tvprog[57]]
10. [preload: tvprog[58]]
11. [preload: tvprog[59]]
12. [preload: tvprog[60]]
13. [preload: tvprog[61]]
14. [preload: tvprog[62]]
15. [preload: tvprog[63]]
16. [preload: tvprog[64]]
20. None of the above

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Randomized order
See note MEDSRC_TVPROGS1

"CASI Which radio programs R listens to regularly"   (MEDSRC_RADPROGS)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON RADIO NEWS OR TALK PROGRAM(S):
....
Which of the following radio programs do you listen to regularly?
Please check any that you listen to at least once a month.
....
01. [preload: radioprog[1]]
02. [preload: radioprog[2]]
03. [preload: radioprog[3]]
04. [preload: radioprog[4]]
05. [preload: radioprog[5]]
06. [preload: radioprog[6]]
07. [preload: radioprog[7]]
08. [preload: radioprog[8]]
09. [preload: radioprog[9]]
10. [preload: radioprog[10]]
11. [preload: radioprog[11]]
12. [preload: radioprog[12]]
13. [preload: radioprog[13]]
14. [preload: radioprog[14]]
15. [preload: radioprog[15]]
20. None of the above

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Randomized order
Radio programs: All Things Considered (NPR); The Dave Ramsey Show; The Ed Schultz Show; Fresh Air (NPR); Glenn Beck Program; The Laura Ingraham Show; The Mark Levin Show; Morning Edition (NPR); The Neal Boortz Show; The Power (Joe Madison); The Rush Limbaugh Show; The Savage Nation (Michael Savage); The Sean Hannity Show; Talk of the Nation (NPR); The Thom Hartmann Program.

"CASI Which web sites R visits regularly"   (MEDSRC_WEBSITES)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN ON INTERNET SITE(S), CHAT ROOM(S), OR BLOG(S):
....
Which of the following websites do you visit regularly?
Please check any that you visit at least once a month.
....
01. [preload: website[1]]
02. [preload: website[2]]
03. [preload: website[3]]
04. [preload: website[4]]
05. [preload: website[5]]
06. [preload: website[6]]

07. [preload: website[7]]
08. [preload: website[8]]
09. [preload: website[9]]
10. [preload: website[10]]
11. [preload: website[11]]
12. [preload: website[12]]
13. [preload: website[13]]
14. [preload: website[14]]
15. [preload: website[15]]
95. Other {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Randomized order
Web sites: ABC News (abcnews.go.com); CNN (cnn.com); Drudge Report (drudgereport.com); Forbes (forbes.com); Fox News (foxnews.com); Good Morning America on Yahoo (gma.y ahoo.com); Google News (news.google.com); Huffington Post (huffingtonpost.com); Los Angeles Times (latimes.com); MSNBC (msnbc.msn.com); New York Times (nytimes.com); Today Show (today.msnbc.msn.com); USA Today (usatoday.com); Washington Post (washingtonpost.com); Yahoo News (news.yahoo.com).

WEB LOGIC:
Online, for response 95, include a small text box in place of
"SPECIFY". Also include 'None' as an option. In the nonresponse prompt
for this item, add 'Please mark 'None' if you do not visit any
websites regularly.'

"CASI Which print newspapers R reads regularly"   (MEDSRC_PRINTNEWS)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:

....
Which of the following newspapers do you read online or in print regularly?
Please check any that you read at least once a month.
....
01. [preload: pnews[1]] = The New York Times
02. [preload: pnews[2]] = USA Today
03. [preload: pnews[3]] = The Wall Street Journal
04. [preload: pnews[4]] = The Washington Post
05. [preload: pnews[5]]
06. [preload: pnews[6]]
07. [preload: pnews[7]]
08. [preload: pnews[8]]
09. [preload: pnews[9]]
10. [preload: pnews[10]]
11. [preload: pnews[11]]
12. [preload: pnews[12]]
13. [preload: pnews[13]]
14. [preload: pnews[14]]
15. [preload: pnews[15]]
20. No printed newspaper
95. Other print newspaper(s) {SPECIFY}

<u>response type</u>:   Multi Punch
<u>response order</u>:   Order Randomized exc. last
Newspapers 5-15: a maximum of 11 local newspapers

WEB LOGIC:
Online, for response 95, include a small text box in place of "SPECIFY".

"CASI Which online newspapers R reads regularly"   (MEDSRC_INETNEWS)

IF R HEARD ABOUT PRES CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:

....
Which of the following newspapers do you read online or in print regularly?
Please check any that you read at least once a month.
....
01. [preload: inews[1]] = www.nytimes.com (New York Times)

02. [preload: inews[2]] = www.usatoday.com (USA Today)
03. [preload: inews[3]] = online.wsj.com (Wall Street Journal)
04. [preload: inews[4]] = www.washingtonpost.com (Washington Post)
05. [preload: inews[5]]
06. [preload: inews[6]]
07. [preload: inews[7]]
08. [preload: inews[8]]
09. [preload: inews[9]]
10. [preload: inews[10]]
11. [preload: inews[11]]
12. [preload: inews[12]]
13. [preload: inews[13]]
14. [preload: inews[14]]
15. [preload: inews[15]]
20. No online newspaper
95. Other online newspaper(s) {SPECIFY}

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order Randomized exc. last
Newspapers 5-15: a maximum of 11 local newspapers

"CASI No.days in wk R uses soc media to learn Pres"   (MEDSRC_SOCMEDIA)

During a typical week, how many days do you use social media such as
Twitter or Facebook to learn about the election for President?

0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI No.days in wk R uses blogs to learn abt Pres"   (MEDSRC_BLOGS)

During a typical week, how many days do you use blogs to learn about the
election for President?

0. None
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Which blogs R uses to learn abt Pres electn"   (MEDSRC_SPECBLOGS)

IF R INDICATES HAS USED BLOG(S) IN PAST WEEK TO LEARN ABOUT PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN:

Please specify blog(s)

....

response type: Text entry

<div style="background:#f5ead9; text-align:right; padding:4px;">

section: **OWNGUN**

</div>

"CASI Does R own a gun"   (OWNGUN_OWNGUN)

....

Do you or anyone else living here own a gun, or not?

....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

<div style="background:#f5ead9; text-align:right; padding:4px;">

section: **WORDSUM**

</div>

"CASI INTRO WORDSUM module"   (WORDSUM_WSINTRO)

....

We would like to know something about how people go about guessing words they do not know. We will list some words—you may know some of them, and you may not know quite a few of them.

On each screen, the first word is in capital letters—like **beast**.

Then there are five other words:

BEAST	afraid	words	large	animal	separate

Select the word that comes closest to the meaning of the word in capital letters.
For example, if the word in capital letters is BEAST, you would select 'animal,' since that word comes closer to BEAST than any of the other words.

These words are difficult for almost everyone—just give your best guess if you are not sure of the answer.

SELECT ONE ANSWER FOR EACH QUESTION.

Please do **not** ask anyone for help or look up information to help you answer these questions – we want to learn how people answer on their own.

Thank you very much.

....

response type: Display only

"CASI WORDSUM set B"   (WORDSUM_SETB)

....

[REDACTED WORD B]

....

1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set D"   **(WORDSUM_SETD)**

....

[REDACTED WORD D]

....

1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set E"   **(WORDSUM_SETE)**

....

[REDACTED WORD E]

....

1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set F"   **(WORDSUM_SETF)**

....

[REDACTED WORD F]

....

1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set G"   **(WORDSUM_SETG)**

....

[REDACTED WORD G]

....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set H"   (WORDSUM_SETH)

....
[REDACTED WORD H]

....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set J"   (WORDSUM_SETJ)

....
[REDACTED WORD J]

....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set K"   (WORDSUM_SETK)

....
[REDACTED WORD K]

....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set L" (WORDSUM_SETL)

....
[REDACTED WORD L]
....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI WORDSUM set O" (WORDSUM_SETO)

....
[REDACTED WORD O]
....
1. [REDACTED SYNONYM 1]
2. [REDACTED SYNONYM 2]
3. [REDACTED SYNONYM 3]
4. [REDACTED SYNONYM 4]
5. [REDACTED SYNONYM 5]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **ORIENTN**

"CASI Sexual orientation of R" (ORIENTN_RGAY)

IF R IS NOT FEMALE /
IF R IS FEMALE:
....
Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight,
homosexual or gay, or bisexual?/
Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight,
homosexual or gay or lesbian, or bisexual?
....
1. Heterosexual or straight
2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)
3. Bisexual

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Sexual orientation of family and friends" (ORIENTN_KNOWGAY)

....
Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors,
co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or
bisexual as far as you know?
....
1. Yes
2. No

section: **PREKNOW**

"CASI Political knowledge: number times can be ele"   **(PREKNOW_PRESTIMES)**

....

Do you happen to know how many times an individual can be elected President of the United States under current laws?

PLEASE ENTER A NUMBER

....

response type: Numeric entry

"CASI Political knowledge: size of federal deficit"   **(PREKNOW_SIZEDEF)**

....

Is the U.S. federal budget deficit – the amount by which the government's spending exceeds the amount of money it collects – now bigger, about the same, or smaller than it was during most of the 1990s?

....

1. Bigger
2. About the same
3. Smaller

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CASI Years Senator Elected"   **(PREKNOW_SENTERM)**

....

For how many years is a United States Senator elected – that is, how many years are there in one full term of office for a U.S. Senator?

PLEASE ENTER A NUMBER

....

response type: Numeric entry

"CASI Political knowledge: What is Medicare"   **(PREKNOW_MEDICARE)**

....

What is Medicare?

....

1. A program run by the U.S. federal government to pay for old people's health care
2. A program run by state governments to provide health care to poor people
3. A private health insurance plan sold to individuals in all 50 states
4. A private, non-profit organization that runs free health clinics

response type: Single Punch
response order: Randomized order
randomization: Order of responses

"CASI Political knowledge: program Fed govt spends"   **(PREKNOW_LEASTSP)**

....

On which of the following does the U.S. federal government currently spend the least?

....
1. Foreign aid
2. Medicare
3. National defense
4. Social Security]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Randomized order
randomization: Order of responses

section: **ENDCASI**

"CASI ONLY: end of CASI section"   (ENDCASI_MODEND)

....
Thank you that is the end of this section. Please hand the tablet computer
back to the interviewer
....

response type: Display only

section: **HAPP**

"How satisfied is R with life"   (HAPP_LIFESATISF)

....
All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life
as a whole these days?
Would you say that you are [**extremely satisfied**, **very satisfied**,
moderately satisfied, **slightly satisfied**, or **not satisfied at all** /
not satisfied at all, **slightly satisfied**, **moderately satisfied**,
very satisfied, or **extremely satisfied**]?
....
1. Extremely satisfied
2. Very satisfied
3. Moderately satisfied
4. Slightly satisfied
5. Not satisfied at all satisfied

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **IWROBSPRE**

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R skin tone"   (IWROBSPRE_SKIN)

....
Record respondent's skin tone on a scale from 1-10
....
01. Skin tone 1
02. Skin tone 2
03. Skin tone 3
04. Skin tone 4
05. Skin tone 5
06. Skin tone 6
07. Skin tone 7
08. Skin tone 8
09. Skin tone 9
10. Skin tone 10

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: window signs present when IW completed" (IWROBSPRE_DU1)

IF R HAS NOT COMPLETED DWELLING UNIT MODULE POLITICAL SIGN ITEMS ON SAME DATE:

....
Enter number of campaign or political signs visible in the structure's window at the time the interview was completed.
....

response type: Numeric entry
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: descrbe window sgns present when IW completed" (IWROBSPRE_DU2)

IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS IN STRUCTURE WINDOW AT THE TIME IW COMPLETED:
....
Describe sign(s)
....

response type: Text entry

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: other signs present when IW completed" (IWROBSPRE_DU3)

IF R HAS NOT COMPLETED DWELLING UNIT MODULE POLITICAL SIGN ITEMS ON SAME DATE:
....
Enter number of campaign or political signs in front/outside of the structure at the time the interview was completed.
....

response type: Numeric entry
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: descrbe oth signs present when IW completed" (IWROBSPRE_DU4)

IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS OUTSIDE/IN FRONT OF STRUCTURE AT TIME IW COMPLETED:
....
Describe sign(s)
....

response type: Text entry
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: Obama signs present at IW completion" (IWROBSPRE_DU5)

IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS IN STRUCTURE WINDOW AT THE TIME IW COMPLETED /
IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS OUTSIDE/IN FRONT OF STRUCTURE AT TIME IW COMPLETED:
....
Do any of the signs support Barack Obama?
....
1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: Romney signs present at IW completion"   **(IWROBSPRE_DU6)**

IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS IN STRUCTURE WINDOW AT THE TIME IW
COMPLETED /
IF 1 OR MORE POLITICAL SIGNS OUTSIDE/IN FRONT OF STRUCTURE AT TIME IW
COMPLETED:

....
Do any of the signs support Mitt Romney?
....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: others present"   **(IWROBSPRE_OTHPRES)**

....
Others present at time of interview:

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}
....
0. No one other than R (1st mention only)
1. Children under 6
2. Older children
3. Unknown person
4. Other relatives
5. Other adults
6. Spouse

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R cooperation"   **(IWROBSPRE_COOP)**

....
R's cooperation was:
....
1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R level of information"   **(IWROBSPRE_LEVINF)**

....
R's general level of information about politics and public
affairs seemed:
....
1. Very high

2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R intelligence"   (IWROBSPRE_INTELL)

R's apparent intelligence:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R suspicious"   (IWROBSPRE_SUSPIC)

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?

1. Not at all suspicious
2. Somewhat suspicious
3. Very suspicious

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R interest in IW"   (IWROBSPRE_INTIW)

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R sincere"   (IWROBSPRE_SINCERE)

How sincere did R seem to be in his or her answers?

1. Completely sincere

2. Usually sincere
3. Often seemed to be insincere

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity"   (IWROBSPRE_DOUBTSIN)

IF R WAS NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:
....
Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you
doubted R's sincerity?

{IF SO, NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER}
....
1. Yes {SPECIFY}
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: estimate of family income"   (IWROBSPRE_ESTINC)

....
If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what
R's family income is (before taxes in 2011).
....
01. Under $5,000
02. $5,000-9,999
03. $10,000-12,499
04. $12,500-14,999
05. $15,000-17,499
06. $17,500-19,999
07. $20,000-22,499
08. $22,500-24,999
09. $25,000-27,499
10. $27,500-29,999
11. $30,000-34,999
12. $35,000-39,999
13. $40,000-44,999
14. $45,000-49,999
15. $50,000-54,999
16. $55,000-59,999
17. $60,000-64,999
18. $65,000-69,999
19. $70,000-74,999
20. $75,000-79,999
21. $80,000-89,999
22. $90,000-99,999
23. $100,000-109,999
24. $110,000-124,999
25. $125,000-149,999
26. $150,000-174,999
27. $175,000-249,999
28. $250,000 or more
98. Impossible to estimate

response type: Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R age estimate"   (IWROBSPRE_ESTAGE)

....

What would you estimate R's age to be?

{CODE 97 FOR 97 OR OLDER.
CODE 98 FOR HARD TO GUESS {{SPECIFY}

....

response type: Numeric entry
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R gender"   (IWROBSPRE_GENDER)

....

Is R male or female?

....

1. Male
2. Female

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R education estimate"   (IWROBSPRE_ESTEDUC)

....

What would you estimate R's level of education to be?

....

1. Low - probably less than high school diploma
2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college
3. Probably a little college
4. Probably a college degree
8. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: R reactions to IW"   (IWROBSPRE_REACT)

....

R's reaction to interview:

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

....

00. R had trouble using the tablet
01. Negative - general
02. Negative - too long
03. Negative - too complicated
04. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
05. R wanted to stop before interview complete
06. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight
07. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview
08. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS
09. R became angry at interview CONTENT

10. R concerned with sampling purpose or bias
11. R could not read Respondent Booklet
12. R appeared to enjoy interview
13. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: CASI checkpoint"   (IWROBSPRE_CKPT)

....
Did R have a visual or physical impairment or other problem which
required your assistance in order for him or her to be able to complete
the CASI (self-administered) section?
....
0. NO, no assistance was necessary
1. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment {describe in
thumbnail}
2. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment
{describe in thumbnail}
5. Other reason for assistance {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: PRE IWR OBS: Did IWR enter any CASI answers"   (IWROBSPRE_CASIANS)

....
Did you enter any of the CASI answers, or did the respondent enter all of
the answers in CASI
....
1. Respondent entered all CASI answers
2. Interviewer entered at least 1 CASI answer (describe in thumbnail)

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed