

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Thursday, August 20, 2009

NEWS Release

1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel (202) 419-4350 Fax (202) 419-4399


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

Andrew Kohut, Director Michael Remez, Senior Writer

86% Hear of "Death Panel" Claims HEALTH CARE REFORM CLOSELY FOLLOWED, MUCH DISCUSSED

Public interest in health care reform shows no signs of slackening, with news about the debate continuing to top the public's news agenda.

Fully 46% name health care as the story they followed more closely than any other last week – double the percentage who named the week's second most closely followed story (economy, 23%). Moreover, health care is far and



away the story people say they have been talking about most with friends: 55% say this, compared with 20% who name the economy.

The latest weekly News Interest Index survey, conducted August 14-17 among 1,003 adults by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press, finds that as news coverage of the health care debate reached a new high, claims that reform legislation would create "death panels" registered widely with the public. Fully 86% say they have heard either a lot (41%) or a little (45%) about so-called death panels – "government organizations that will make decisions about who will and will not receive health services when they are critically ill."

Among those who have heard about death panels, 50% say the claim is not true, but a sizable minority (30%) believes that health care legislation will create such organizations (20% say they do not know). There are stark partisan differences in opinions about this issue, as well as substantial differences across news audiences.

Nearly half of Republicans (47%) say it is true that proposed health care legislation will create death panels; 30% say this is not true. By contrast, just 20% of Democrats say the claims about death panels are true while 64% say they are false.

Many Republicans an "Death Panel" Cl			Say		
Health care legislation	<u>True</u>	Not true	<u>DK</u>		
will create death panels:	%	%	%		
Total*	30	50	20		
Republican	47	30	23		
Democrat	20	64	16		
Independent	28	51	21		
Regular viewer of: Fox News cable channel MSNBC cable news CNN cable news Network nightly news	45	30	25		
	27	55	19		
	26	54	20		
	25	52	23		
* Based on those who have heard at least a little about "death panels." Figures read across.					

Among independents, 28% say it is true that health care legislation will create death panels; 51% say it is not true.

Regular viewers of the Fox News Channel are far more likely than viewers of other cable news channels to say claims of death panels are true. Among those who say they regularly get their news from Fox News, 45% say claims of death panels are true, while 30% say they are not true. By contrast, majorities among regular viewers of rival cable news channels MSNBC and CNN and nightly network news say they think it is false that health care legislation will create death panels; fewer than 30% in each group say they think such claims are true.

Most of those who regularly get news from the newspaper or the internet (53% of each) also do not believe that health care legislation will create death panels. Among those who regularly get news from radio, 48% say proposed health care legislation does not create death panels, while 37% say it does.

Fewer See Press as Fair to Obama

While a plurality of Americans (43%) say press coverage of Barack Obama has been fair, that figure has declined by 10 points since early June and is down from 64% in January. The proportion saying that coverage of Obama is too critical has risen since early June – from 16% to 23% currently.

Democrats, in particular, increasingly see news coverage of Obama as too critical. Since June, the percentage of Democrats who express this view has nearly doubled, from 22% to 40%. Over the same period, there has been a

Democrats Increasingly Say Press Is Too Critical of Obama						
August 14-17 Has press coverage of Barack Obama been Too critical Not critical enough Fair Don't know	Total % 23 28 43 7	Rep % 13 52 31 4	Dem % 40 8 46 6	Ind % 15 31 48 6		
June 5-8 Too critical Not critical enough Fair Don't know Figures read down.	16 26 53 5	4 57 34 5	22 6 69 3	15 28 52 5		


substantial decline in the proportion of Democrats who say coverage of Obama is fair – from 69% to 46%.

As in June, a majority of Republicans (52%) think the press has not been critical enough of Obama; nearly a third (31%) say it has been fair, and there has been a modest increase in the proportion of Republicans who see the press as too critical (from 4% to 13%). Among independents, 48% say the press has been fair, 31% not critical enough, and 15% too critical.

Fox News viewers are about twice as likely as those who regularly watch MSNBC, CNN or the broadcast network news to say the press has not been critical enough of the president. Nearly half of Fox News viewers (46%) say press coverage has not been critical enough; just 19% of MSNBC viewers and 21% of CNN viewers say this.

Top News Stories

Americans continue to be very interested in news about health care reform and the economy, and far less interested in overseas news. About four-in-ten followed the economy (41%) and health care reform (39%) very closely. By contrast, just 19% tracked news about the situation in Iraq very closely. Far fewer followed President Obama's trip to Mexico or Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's trip to Africa (9% each), and just 7% followed news about mudslides and flooding in Taiwan caused by a typhoon.



Health care reform is, far and away, the story Americans say they are following most closely. It also is the story the story getting the most media attention; according to the Project for Excellence in Journalism, 32% of last week's coverage was devoted to the health care debate, the highest percentage this year. Economic coverage was a distant second, filling just 12% of the newshole.

Republicans are somewhat more likely than Democrats or independents to say they are following the health care debate very closely; 45% of Republicans say they are tracking the debate very closely; this compares with 38% among both Democrats and independents. But the partisan differences are more pronounced when it comes to economic news, with 52% of Republicans following it very closely, compared with 40% of independents and just 35% of Democrats.

These findings are based on the most recent installment of the weekly News Interest Index, an ongoing project of the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press. The index, building on the Center's longstanding research into public attentiveness to major news stories, examines news interest as it relates to the news media's coverage. The weekly survey is conducted in conjunction with The Project for Excellence in Journalism's News Coverage Index, which monitors the news reported by major newspaper, television, radio and online news outlets on an ongoing basis. In the most recent week, data relating to news coverage were collected from August 10-16, 2009 and survey data measuring public interest in the top news stories of the week were collected August 14-17, 2009 from a nationally representative sample of 1,003 adults.

About the News Interest Index

The *News Interest Index* is a weekly survey conducted by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press aimed at gauging the public's interest in and reaction to major news events.

This project has been undertaken in conjunction with the Project for Excellence in Journalism's *News Coverage Index*, an ongoing content analysis of the news. The News Coverage Index catalogues the news from top news organizations across five major sectors of the media: newspapers, network television, cable television, radio and the internet. Each week (from Monday through Sunday) PEJ compiles this data to identify the top stories for the week. The News Interest Index survey collects data from Friday through Monday to gauge public interest in the most covered stories of the week.

Results for the weekly surveys are based on telephone interviews among a nationwide sample of approximately 1,000 adults, 18 years of age or older, conducted under the direction of ORC (Opinion Research Corporation). For results based on the total sample, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling is plus or minus 3.5 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls, and that results based on subgroups will have larger margins of error.

For more information about the Project for Excellence in Journalism's News Coverage Index, go to www.journalism.org.

About the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world.

The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge.

All of the Center's research and reports are collaborative products based on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of:

Andrew Kohut, Director

Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research

Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors

Michael Remez, Senior Writer

Juliana Menasce Horowitz, Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian Jocelyn Kiley and Kathleen Holzwart Research Associates

Alec Tyson and Jacob Poushter, Research Analysts

PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS August 14-17, 2009 NEWS INTEREST INDEX OMNIBUS SURVEY FINAL TOPLINE $N{=}1003$

Q.1 As I read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past week, please tell me if you happened to follow each news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely. First, [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] [IF NECESSARY "Did you follow [ITEM] very closely, fairly closely, not too closely or not at all closely?"]

		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		closely	<u>closely</u>	closely	closely	Refused
a.	Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy	41	37	11	12	*
	August 7-10, 2009	42	34	13	10	*
	July 31-August 3, 2009	46	34	11	8	*
	July 24-27, 2009	45	35	12	8	*
	July 17-20, 2009	43	35	11	11	1
	July 10-13, 2009	37	38	13	11	*
	July 2-5, 2009	38	35	15	12	*
	June 19-22, 2009	42	33	15	10	*
	June 12-15, 2009	41	35	12	12	*
	June 5-8, 2009	41	34	11	14	*
	May 29-June 1, 2009	43	37	11	8	*
	May 21-24, 2009	44	35	13	9	*
	May 15-18, 2009	44	35	12	8	*
	May 8-11, 2009	42	34	12	12	*
	May 1-4, 2009	47	36	11	5	1
	April 17-20, 2009	52	30	10	7	1
	April 9-13, 2009	48	29	13	10	0
	March 27-30, 2009	48	32	10	10	*
	March 20-23, 2009	52	34	8	6	*
	March 13-16, 2009	48	33	9	10	*
	February 27-March 2, 2009	56	30	8	6	*
	February 13-16, 2009	55	29	10	6	*
	January 30-February 2, 2009	52	31	12	5	*
	January 23-26, 2009	57	30	8	5	0
	January 16-19, 2009	43	35	13	9	*
	January 2-4, 2009	42	36	15	7	*
	December 12-15, 2008	51	33	9	7	*
	December 5-8, 2008	42	38	13	7	*
	November 21-24, 2008	59	24	9	8	*
	November 14-17, 2008	56	29	9	6	*
	November 7-10, 2008	54	31	8	7	*
	October 31-November 3, 2008	63	27	6	4	*
	October 24-27, 2008	52	35	7	5	1
	October 17-20, 2008	62	29	6	3	*
	October 10-13, 2008	65	25	7	3	*
	October 3-6, 2008	69	23	5	3	*
	September 26-29, 2008	70	22	5	3	*
	September 19-22, 2008	56	27	12	5	*
	September 5-8, 2008	44	33	16	7	*
	August 29-31, 2008	41	34	13	11	1
	August 15-18, 2008	39	36	15	10	*
	August 8-11, 2008	39	35	16	10	*
	August 1-4, 2008	47	34	11	8	0
	July 25-28, 2008	46	32	10	12	*

CONTINUED	X 7	Б.1	NT	NT 11	DIZ/
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
T 1 10 21 2000	<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	closely	<u>closely</u>	Refused *
July 18-21, 2008	45	33	13	9	
July 11-14, 2008	44	33	12	10	1
June 27-30, 2008	49	31	12	7	1
June 13-16, 2008	42	33	14	11	*
May 9-12, 2008	45	31	13	11	*
May 2-5, 2008	43	31	15	10	1
April 18-21, 2008	41	35	13	10	1
April 4-7, 2008	39	37	12	12	*
March 28-31, 2008	42	36	14	8	*
March 20-24, 2008	45	33	13	9	*
February 29-March 3, 2008	38	35	15	11	1
February 15-18, 2008	37	36	11	16	8
February 1-4, 2008	40	37	14	8	1
January 18-21, 2008	42	31	16	11	*
January 11-14, 2008	36	32	15	16	1
November 2-5, 2007	27	37	16	19	1
October 19-22, 2007	25	34	20	21	*
August 10-13, 2007	28	36	18	18	*
Mid-November, 2006	31	40	17	11	1
December, 2005	35	35	18	11	1
Early November, 2005	35	39	17	9	*
Mid-May, 2005	30	39	19	11	1
January, 2005	35	41	17	7	*
Mid-October, 2004	30	43	16	10	1
Early September, 2004	39	34	15	11	1
Mid-January, 2004	37	41	15	7	*
December, 2003	35	38	14	11	2
November, 2003	40	34	15	10	1
October, 2003	32	39	16	12	1
September, 2003	39	30	18	12	1
March, 2003	40	35	16	8	1
February, 2003	42	33	15	10	*
January, 2003	40	35	13	11	1
December, 2002	38	34	17	10	1
February, 2002	35	40	15	9	1
January, 2002	30	44	16	9	1
December, 2001	37	40	13	8	2
Mid-November, 2001	41	36	15	7	1
June, 2001	24	41	18	16	1
May, 2001	34	36	15	15	0
	3 4 36	34	16	13	_
April, 2001 February, 2001	30	3 4 39			1
	32		18	12	1 2
January, 2001		38	17	11	∠ *
June, 1995	26	41	22	11	*
March, 1995	27	45	19	9	
February, 1995	23	41	22	13	1 *
December, 1994	28	43	20	9	
October, 1994	27	40	20	12	1
June, 1994	25	42	23	10	*
May, 1994	33	40	16	10	1
January, 1994	34	39	16	10	1
Early January, 1994	36	44	13	7	*
December, 1993	35	41	15	8	1

v.	1 COTTINUED	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		<u>closely</u>	closely	closely	closely	Refused
	October, 1993	33	38	20	9	*
	September, 1993	37	40	14	8	1
	Early September, 1993	39	39	14	9	*
	August, 1993	41	36	14	9	*
	May, 1993	37	38	18	6	1
	February, 1993	49	36	10	5	*
	January, 1993	42	39	12	7	*
	September, 1992	43	37	13	6	1
	May, 1992	39	39	15	6	1
	March, 1992	47	38	11	4	*
	February, 1992	47	37	10	6	*
	January, 1992	44	40	11	5	*
		36	38	16	9	1
	October, 1991	30	30	10	9	1
b.	Debate over health care reform	39	31	15	15	1
υ.	TREND FOR COMPARISON: ¹	39	31	13	13	1
	August 7-10, 2009: Debate in Washington over					
	health care reform	40	27	17	15	1
	July 31-August 3, 2009	47	26	14	13	1
	July 24-27, 2009	44	28	15	13	*
	July 17-20, 2009	33	31	13	21	2
	July 10-13, 2009	24	29	20	27	∠ *
	June 26-29, 2009	2 4 29	26	20	25	
		29	28	20	23	1
	June 19-22, 2009					*
	June 12-15, 2009	29	26	18	26	-1-
	May 15-18, 2009: Debate over Obama's health	25	20	20	24	*
	care plans	25	30	20	24	*
	March 6-9, 2009: Obama proposing a	41	20	12	1.4	*
	\$630 billion fund for overhauling health care	41	32	13	14	*
	September, 1994: The Clinton	22	41	10	0	*
	administration's health care reform proposals	32	41	18	9	*
	June, 1994	34	40	19	7	
	May, 1994	37	37	16	9	1
	January, 1994	38	38	15	9	
	Early January, 1994	40	40	14	6	*
	December, 1993	45	35	12	7	1
	October, 1993	44	32	17	6	1
	September, 1993	49	34	11	6	*
	August, 1993: Reports about the White House					
	task force on health care reform headed by					
	Hillary Clinton	27	32	25	15	1
	June, 1993	28	38	19	15	*
	May, 1993	30	30	25	14	1

_

June 12-15, 2009 to August 7-10, 2009 asked about: "Debate in Washington over health care reform." May 15-18, 2009 asked about: "Debate over Barack Obama's health care plans." March 6-9, 2009 asked about: "Barack Obama proposing a \$630 billion fund for overhauling the U.S. health care system." September, 1993 through September, 1994 asked about: "The Clinton Administration's health care reform proposals." May, 1993 through August, 1993 asked about: "Reports about the White House task force on health care reform headed by Hillary Clinton."

Q.	I CONTINUED					
		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		closely	closely	closely	closely	Refused
c.	The current situation and events in Iraq	19	38	23	18	*
	TREND FOR COMPARISON ² :			• 0		
	July 2-5, 2009	25	34	20	21	0
	April 24-27, 2009	21	35	25	19	*
	March 20-23, 2009	25	37	21	17	*
	February 27-March 2, 2009	40	37	13	9	1
	December 12-15, 2008	24	35	25	16	*
	November 21-24, 2008	32	31	24	13	0
	November 14-17, 2008	24	33	27	16	*
	October 31-November 3, 2008	30	35	22	12	1
	October 24-27, 2008	29	35	25	11	*
	October 10-13, 2008	23	34	30	13	*
	October 3-6, 2008	29	33	28	10	*
	September 5-8, 2008	24	37	26	13	*
	August 29-31, 2008	22	32	29	16	1
	August 22-25, 2008	26	31	27	15	1
	August 1-4, 2008	27	40	23	10	*
	July 25-28, 2008	28	33	22	17	*
	July 18-21, 2008	33	35	20	12	*
	July 11-14, 2008	24	35	24	16	1
	July 3-7, 2008	25	35	25	15	*
	June 20-23, 2008	25	36	24	15	*
	May 9-12, 2008	29	35	21	14	1
	May 2-5, 2008	26	35	25	13	1
	April 25-28, 2008	29	35	23	12	1
	April 18-21, 2008	29	39	20	11	1
	April 11-14, 2008	25	39	20	15	1
	April 4-7, 2008	25	37	23	15	*
	March 28-31, 2008	29	40	19	11	1
	March 20-24, 2008	30	38	19	13	*
	March 14-17, 2008	29	38	23	10	*
	March 7-10, 2008	28	39	18	15	*
	February 29-March 3, 2008	28	40	19	13	*
	February 8-11, 2008	24	35	25	16	*
	February 1-4, 2008	28	39	22	11	*
	January 25-28, 2008	23	35	26	16	*
	January 18-21, 2008	31	33	20	15	1
	January 11-14, 2008	25	38	21	16	*
	January 4-7, 2008	27	38	20	15	*
	December 14-17, 2007	26	32	24	18	*
	December 7-10, 2007	28	37	21	14	*
	November 23-26, 2007	25	37	21	16	1
	November 16-19, 2007	31	37	19	12	1
	November 9-12, 2007	29	38	19	13	1
	November 2-5, 2007	31	35	18	15	1

-

July 2-5, 2009 asked about: "U.S. troops withdrawing from Iraqi cities." February 27-March 2, 2009 asked about "Barack Obama's plan to withdraw most U.S. troops from Iraq by August 2010." From March 20-23, 2009 to April 24-27, 2009 and from March 30-April 2, 2007 through December 12-15, 2008 the story was listed as "The current situation and events in Iraq." From May, 2003 to March 23-26, 2007, the story was listed as "News about the current situation in Iraq." From March 20-24, 2003 to April 11-16, 2003, the story was listed as "News about the war in Iraq." From Early October, 2002, to March 13-16, 2003, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will take military action in Iraq." In Early September, 2002, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will invade Iraq."

CONTINUED	X 7	E-1-1-	Martin	NT - 4 - 11	DIZ/
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
October 26 20, 2007	closely	<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	Refused
October 26-29, 2007	28	37	21	13	1 *
October 19-22, 2007	28	37	20	15	
October 12-15, 2007	26	36	18	19	1 *
October 5-8, 2007	29	33	22	16	*
September 28 – October 1, 2007	30	41	18	11	*
September 21-24, 2007	32	38	17	13	
September 14-17, 2007	31	36	18	15	0
September 7-10, 2007	32	34	20	14	*
August 30 – September 2, 2007	31	34	18	16	1
August 24-27, 2007	34	36	18	12	*
August 17-20, 2007	33	34	18	15	*
August 10-13, 2007	36	37	14	13	*
August 3-6, 2007	29	40	19	12	*
July 27-30, 2007	28	36	19	16	1
July 20-23, 2007	28	34	21	16	1
July 13-16, 2007	25	41	17	16	1
July 6-9, 2007	36	34	18	12	*
June 29-July 2, 2007	32	35	19	13	1
June 22-25, 2007	30	36	18	15	1
June 15-18, 2007	30	37	20	13	*
June 8-11, 2007	32	38	15	14	1
June 1-4, 2007	30	36	20	13	1
May 24-27, 2007	33	36	18	12	1
May 18-21, 2007	36	34	15	14	1
May 11-14, 2007	30	34	18	17	1
May 4-7, 2007	38	37	15	10	*
April 27-30, 2007	27	35	21	16	1
April 20-23, 2007	28	35	22	15	*
April 12-16, 2007	34	33	20	13	*
April 5-9, 2007	33	39	16	11	1
March 30-April 2, 2007	34	37	16	13	*
March 23-March 26, 2007	31	38	18	12	1
March 16-19, 2007	34	34	17	15	*
March 9-12, 2007	34	37	16	13	*
March 2-5, 2007	37	37	16	9	1
February 23-26, 2007	36	36	15	13	*
February 16-19, 2007	30	36	19	14	1
February 9-12, 2007	37	34	18	11	*
February 2-5, 2007	38	38	17	7	*
January 26-29, 2007	36	38	15	11	*
January 19-22, 2007	37	34	18	10	1
January 12-15, 2007	38	36	17	8	1
January, 2007	46	40	8	5	1
January 5-8, 2007	40	32	16	12	0
December, 2006	42	39	12	7	*
November 30-December 3, 2006	40	36	13	11	*
Mid-November, 2006	44	38	12	6	*
September, 2006	33	43	14	8	2
•				7	
August, 2006	41	39 43	12		1
June, 2006	37 42	43 25	13	6	1
May, 2006	42	35	15	7	1
April, 2006	43	36	13	7	1
March, 2006	43	38	12	6	1

Q.	I CONTINUED					
		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	<u>closely</u>	Refused
	February, 2006	39	42	12	6	1
	January, 2006	40	40	12	7	1
	December, 2005	45	38	11	5	1
	Early November, 2005	41	40	13	6	*
	Early October, 2005	43	36	15	6	*
	Early September, 2005	32	40	20	7	1
	July, 2005	43	37	13	6	1
	Mid-May, 2005	42	42	11	5	*
	Mid-March, 2005	40	39	14	5	2
	February, 2005	38	45	13	4	*
	January, 2005	48	37	11	4	*
	December, 2004	34	44	15	6	1
	Mid-October, 2004	42	38	11	8	1
	Early September, 2004	47	37	9	6	1
	August, 2004	39	42	12	6	1
	July, 2004	43	40	11	6	*
	June, 2004	39	42	12	6	1
	April, 2004	54	33	8	5	*
	Mid-March, 2004	47	36	12	4	1
	Early February, 2004	47	38	10	4	1
	Mid-January, 2004	48	39	9	4	*
	December, 2003	44	38	11	6	1
		52	33	9	5	1
	November, 2003					
	September, 2003	50	33	10	6	1
	Mid-August, 2003	45	39	10	5	1
	Early July, 2003	37	41	13	8	1
	June, 2003	46	35	13	6	
	May, 2003	63	29	6	2	*
	April 11-16, 2003	47	40	10	2	1
	April 2-7, 2003	54	34	9	2	1
	March 20-24, 2003	57	33	7	2	1
	March 13-16, 2003	62	27	6	4	1
	February, 2003	62	25	8	4	1
	January, 2003	55	29	10	4	2
	December, 2002	51	32	10	6	1
	Late October, 2002	53	33	8	5	1
	Early October, 2002	60	28	6	5	1
	Early September, 2002	48	29	15	6	2
d.	Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's trip to Africa	9	22	28	40	1
	TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
	July 24-27, 2009: Secretary of State Hillary					
	Clinton's trip to India and Southeast Asia	10	20	25	45	1
	March 27-30, 2009: Hillary Clinton's recent					
	trip to Mexico to discuss drug violence near the					
	border	14	24	23	39	*
	April 19-May 12, 2002: The secretary of state's					
	recent visit to the Middle East	25	32	20	22	1
	recent rish to the fittante Lust	23	32	20	22	1
e.	A typhoon that caused flooding and mudslides in					
٥.	Taiwan	7	21	30	41	1
	TREND FOR COMPARISON:	,	∠1	30	71	1
	April 9-13, 2009: A major earthquake in Italy	18	35	25	22	*
	Tipin > 13, 2007.11 major curinquine in fluty	10	33	23	22	

		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		closely	closely	closely	closely	Refused
	May 16-19, 2008: The earthquake in China	30	41	17	12	*
	May 9-12, 2008: Reports about the cyclone that					
	hit Burma	23	35	23	19	*
	November 2-5, 2007: The impact of Hurricane					
	Noel on the Bahamas and Cuba	11	22	31	35	1
	September 7-10, 2007: The impact of					
	Hurricanes Felix and Henriette on Mexico and					
	Central America	14	29	29	27	1
	August 24-27, 2007: The destruction caused by					
	Hurricane Dean in Mexico and the Caribbean	18	39	24	18	1
	October, 2005: The earthquake in Pakistan	22	39	23	16	*
	January 2005: The earthquake and tsunami in					
	the Indian Ocean	58	32	7	3	*
	January 2004: The earthquake in Iran	16	34	31	19	*
	February 2001: The earthquake in India	15	33	31	20	1
	March 2000: Flood rescue efforts in					
	Mozambique	10	26	30	34	*
	September 1999: The earthquake in Turkey	27	37	23	12	1
	November 1998: Hurricane Mitch and the rain					
	and mudslides in Central America	36	36	16	11	1
	February 1995: The earthquake in Japan	25	47	20	8	*
	May 1991: The cyclone that devastated					
	Bangladesh	23	36	23	17	1
	July 1990: The earthquake in Iran	20	36	28	16	*
f.	President Obama's trip to Mexico	9	20	26	44	*
	TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
	July 10-13, 2009: President Obama's trip to					
	Russia and the G-8 Summit in Italy	14	30	24	30	1
	April 17-20, 2009: Trip to Mexico and Latin					
	America	17	26	25	32	*
	April 9-13, 2009: Visit to Turkey and Iraq	23	29	22	26	*
	April 3-6, 2009: Trip to Europe and meetings					
	with foreign leaders	25	35	21	19	*

Q.2 Which ONE of the stories I just mentioned have you followed most closely, or is there another story you've been following MORE closely? [DO NOT READ LIST. ACCEPT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.]

- 46 Debate over health care reform
- 23 Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy
- 7 The current situation and events in Iraq
- 3 President Obama's trip to Mexico
- 2 Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's trip to Africa
- 2 A typhoon that caused flooding and mudslides in Taiwan
- 7 Some other story (**VOL**.)
- 10 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

Q.3 Do you think the press has been too critical, not critical enough or fair in the way it has covered Barack Obama?

		June 5-8	Apr 24-27	Mar 27-30	Jan 23-26	Jan 2-4
		<u>2009</u>	2009	<u>2009</u>	2009^{3}	<u>2009</u>
23	Too critical	16	15	18	12	11
28	Not critical enough	26	26	24	18	23
43	Fair	53	55	52	64	61
7	Don't know/Refused (V	OL.) 5	4	6	6	5

NO QUESTIONS 4, 5

Q.6 Now I'd like to ask you about where you get most of your news these days. For each item that I read, please tell me if it is something you do regularly, or not. [READ AND RANDOMIZE]

a.	Watch the local TV news June 5-8, 2009	Yes, <u>regularly</u> 69 66	No, not regularly 31 34	DK/ Refused *
	June 3-6, 2007	00	34	
b.	Watch the Fox News cable channel	38	62	*
	June 5-8, 2009	38	62	1
c.	Watch MSNBC cable news	27	72	1
	June 5-8, 2009	25	74	1
d.	Watch CNN cable news	37	63	*
e.	Watch the national nightly network news on CBS,			
	ABC and NBC	44	56	1
	June 5-8, 2009	40	60	*
f.	Read the newspaper	54	46	*
	June 5-8, 2009	51	49	*
g.	Listen to radio news	46	54	*
J	June 5-8, 2009	44	55	1
h.	Get news from the internet	55	45	*
	June 5-8, 2009	51	49	*

Q.A Some critics of health care reform legislation say it includes the creation of so called "death panels" or government organizations that will make decisions about who will and will not receive health services when they are critically ill? How much, if anything, have you heard about this? [READ]

- 41 A lot
- 45 A little
- Nothing at all
- 1 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

For Jan. 23-26, 2009, question wording was: "Do you think the press has been too critical, not critical enough or fair in the way it has covered Barack Obama during his first few days in office?" For Jan. 2-4, 2009, question was asked about George W. Bush and Barack Obama. Question wording was: "Do you think the press has been too critical, not critical enough or fair in the way it has covered [INSERT ITEM; ROTATE]?"

- IF HEARD A LOT OR A LITTLE (1,2 IN Q.A) ASK [N=895]:
 Q.B From what you know, do you think it is true or not true that the health care legislation will create these so called "death panels"?
 - 30 True
 - 50 Not true
 - 20 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS August 13-16, 2009 NEWS INTEREST INDEX OMNIBUS SURVEY FINAL TOPLINE

N=1002

ASK ALL:

- Q.1 What recent news story, if any, have you and your friends been talking about [OPEN END. PROBE ONCE FOR ADDITIONAL MENTIONS. RECORD UP TO THREE. RECORD EACH RESPONSE ON SEPARATE SCREEN. DO NOT READ PRECODE LIST. DO NOT SUGGEST ANSWERS]?
 - 55 Health care reform/protests at town hall meetings/debate in Washington/Obama's plan
 - 20 State of the economy
 - 9 Iraq war
 - 3 Mike Vick
 - 3 Michael Jackson
 - 2 Cash for Clunkers
 - 40 Other (**VOL. SPECIFY**)
 - No answer/Don't know/Refused [VOL.]

Figures add to more than 100% because of multiple responses.

QUESTION 2 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE