Son Bias in the US: Evidence from Business Names*

Walter Guillioli and Gaurav Sood

02 February, 2020

1. Introduction

Are American businesses with the word "son(s)" more common than the word "daughter(s)"? To answer the question, we assemble data on business names. In the US, businesses are registered with the state. And to allow businesses to check whether a particular name is taken, etc., each state provides a way to search for business names. But most states do not allow for sophisticated searches or return all the relevant search results. Because of these reasons, we can only estimate a very conservative lower bound. In all, based on data from 40 states, across states, the median ratio of businesses with the word 'son(s)' in their name to the word 'daughter(s)' is 12:1.

When we split by region, we find that the son to daughter ratio is generally higher in the Northeast and the Midwest compared to the South and the West. Analysis by political preferences leaves that democratic majority states have higher ratios than republican majority states. Lastly, counter-intuitively, correlation with state GDP is weak.

2. Data and Methods

In the United States, businesses have to register with a state. Each state provides a website to search for business names. But the functionality of these websites varies a lot. Some states do not return all the search results. Some only allow for particular regex searches—returning all the names that include the search term. We describe the concerns in further detail below, including how we address the challenges:

- 1. Search results for son(s) are inflated. There are three reasons for that:
 - Son is part of many English words, from names such as Jason and Robinson to ordinary English words like mason (which can also be a name).
 - Son is a Korean name.
 - Some businesses use the word "son" playfully. For instance, "son" is a homonym of sun, and some people use that to create names like "son of a beach."

We address the first issue by cleaning the data using regular expressions, only looking for exact matches of son and sons. We don't formally address the other two concerns as carefully browsing the search results suggests that the concerns are not grave.

2. Limits in the number of results shown. Some states return all the relevant search results, but some states limit the number of search results shown. For example, Alabama only displays the first 1,000 results. The truncated returned set has a significant impact since we only know if a particular search for son(s) has more than 1,000 results, but we don't know how many—it could be 10,000 or 500,000. This limitation means that we can only provide a conservative estimate of the lower bound.

In all, we were able to acquire data for 36 states. Though not all 50 states were covered, we have a good representation of the United States. The 36 states represent 69.9% of the US population, 71.2% of the US GDP and 71% of the registered businesses.

^{*}The data and scripts used are posted here: https://github.com/soodoku/sonny_side.

We enriched our dataset by acquiring state data from other sources to profile the results. These new attributes include: US Region of the state, US Division of the state, population of the state, GPD of the state, major political party of the state and number of establishments on each state. The sources are identified in the References section of this paper.

Table 1 shows a sample of 10 states from the final dataset used for analysis.

3. Results

In all, as shown in Figure 1 we find that a conservative estimate of son to daughter ratio is between 2:1 to 72:1 across the 36 states where we have data with a median of 12:1.




Figure 1: Ratio of company names with the word 'son' to 'daughter'

3.1 Covariates of Son Bias

We now proceed to explore how these results vary by location of the state in the United States, by the state population and political party and by its GDP and number of busines establishments.

- a) Differences by US Region: When we look at the estimate of son to daughter ratio by Region in USA we see states in the Midwest and Northeast with higher ratios when comparing to the West and particularly the South. Figure 2 shows the biggest gap is Midwest vs South with a median ratio of 24:1 vs 5:1 respectively.
- b) Relationship with the Population size of the State: When we look at the estimate ratio of son vs daughter with the population fo the state we don't see any relationship between these data points. In fact the correlation if basically zero as seen in Figure 3.
- c) Relationship with the GDP of the State: We also looked at how the differences of the ratio of business names using son vs daughter could vary by state as it relates to the size of the state in terms

Table 1: Table 1: Summary of the Data

State	Ratio	US Region	Population	GDP	Establishments	Political Party
Alabama	7	South	0.015	0.011	0.013	Republican
Alaska	11	West	0.002	0.003	0.003	Republican
Arkansas	17	South	0.009	0.006	0.008	Republican
California	24	West	0.120	0.145	0.119	Democratic
Connecticut	20	Northeast	0.011	0.013	0.012	Democratic
Florida	4	South	0.065	0.051	0.070	Republican
Georgia	12	South	0.032	0.029	0.029	Republican
Hawaii	17	West	0.004	0.004	0.004	Democratic
Idaho	2	West	0.005	0.004	0.006	Republican
Illinois	48	Midwest	0.039	0.042	0.041	Democratic
Indiana	25	Midwest	0.021	0.018	0.019	Republican
Kansas	5	Midwest	0.009	0.008	0.010	Republican
Kentucky	4	South	0.014	0.010	0.012	Republican
Maryland	2	South	0.018	0.020	0.018	Democratic
Massachusetts	41	Northeast	0.021	0.028	0.023	Democratic
Michigan	24	Midwest	0.030	0.026	0.028	Republican
Minnesota	2	Midwest	0.017	0.018	0.019	Democratic
Mississippi	12	South	0.009	0.006	0.008	Republican
Montana	4	West	0.003	0.002	0.005	Republican
Nevada	72	West	0.009	0.008	0.008	Democratic
New Hampshire	27	Northeast	0.004	0.004	0.005	Democratic
New Jersey	2	Northeast	0.027	0.030	0.030	Democratic
New York	2	Northeast	0.059	0.082	0.070	Democratic
North Dakota	26	Midwest	0.002	0.003	0.003	Republican
Ohio	26	Midwest	0.036	0.033	0.033	Republican
Oregon	4	West	0.013	0.012	0.015	Democratic
Rhode Island	17	Northeast	0.003	0.003	0.004	Democratic
South Carolina	69	South	0.016	0.011	0.014	Republican
South Dakota	12	Midwest	0.003	0.003	0.003	Republican
Tennessee	2	South	0.021	0.018	0.017	Republican
Utah	5	West	0.010	0.009	0.010	Republican
Vermont	22	Northeast	0.002	0.002	0.003	Democratic
Washington	15	West	0.023	0.028	0.024	Democratic
West Virginia	2	South	0.005	0.004	0.005	Republican
Wisconsin	20	Midwest	0.018	0.016	0.018	Republican
Wyoming	11	West	0.002	0.002	0.003	Republican

- of percentage of the gross domesic product (GDP) of the country. We didn't find any evidence of relationship between these two with a correlation of basically zero. The chart is on the appendix B.
- d) Relationship with the number of Establishments of the State: We also obtained data from the census organization from US that offers the number of registered establishments in the USA, this is not necessarily the same as the number of business companies registered per state but since obtaining that exact number wasn't not possible we use this as a proxy. Again, no evidence or correlation is observed. The chart is on the appendix C.
- e) Relationship with the major Political Party of the State: Finally we looked at the voting data from the 2016 elections and compare how the ratio of son vs daughter is when separating states with a majority of Democratics vs Republicans. The ratio tends to be higher on Democratics states with a median of 17 vs a median of 11 for Republican states see appendix D.




Figure 2: Estimate of son to daughter ratio by US Region

Estimate of son to daughter ratio

Figure 3: Estimate of son to daughter ratio vs % of US population per 0.125 Percentage of US population in State 0.100 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 0 20 40 60

Estimate of son to daughter ratio

States with number of companies found with word son and daughter and ratio

Alabama 7 884 126 Yes Alaska 11 246 22 Arkansas 17 1482 87 California 24 3609 150 Yes Connecticut 20 875 43 150 Yes Florida 4 729 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 147 1454 88 Yes Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 2324 48 18 Indiana 25 4928 195 147 Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Mexentucky 4 66 16 Yes Mexentucky 4 66 16 Yes Mexentucky 4 266 93 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 147 Missi	State	Son/Daughter	Companies w/ 'son'	Companies w/ 'daughter'	Conservative
Arkansas 17 1482 87 California 24 3609 150 Yes Connecticut 20 875 43 Florida 4 729 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 Hawaii 17 1454 88 Yes Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 2324 48 Illinois 48 2324 48 195 Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Mes Yes Yes Mes Yes Yes Mes Yes Mes Yes Mes Yes Mes Yes Mes Yes <td>Alabama</td> <td>7</td> <td>884</td> <td>126</td> <td>Yes</td>	Alabama	7	884	126	Yes
California 24 3609 150 Yes Connecticut 20 875 43 Florida 4 729 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 Hawaii 17 1454 88 Yes Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 195 Illinois 48 2324 48 195 14 Yes Kansas 5 75 14 Yes 14 14	Alaska	11	246	22	
Connecticut 20 875 43 Florida 4 729 176 Yes Georgia 12 6002 497 Hawaii 17 1454 88 Yes Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 2324 48 Indiana 25 4928 195 Kansas 195 Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 4 4 4 728 4 248 2 728 4 8 2 Yes 4 4 4 265 93 4 147 4 4 4 2265 93 4 147 4	Arkansas	17	1482	87	
Florida	California	24	3609	150	Yes
Georgia 12 6002 497 Hawaii 17 1454 88 Yes Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 2324 48 Indiana 25 4928 195 Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio	Connecticut	20	875	43	
Hawaii	Florida	4	729	176	Yes
Idaho 2 60 39 Illinois 48 2324 48 Indiana 25 4928 195 Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississisppi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 0 Oregon <	Georgia	12	6002	497	
Illinois	Hawaii	17	1454	88	Yes
Indiana 25 4928 195 Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 166 Yes New Hampshire 27 3203 119 19 Yes 19 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes 19 New Jersey 2 1190 745 Yes 19 New Jork 2 1190 745 Yes 19 New Jork 2 1190 745 Yes 19	Idaho	2	60	39	
Kansas 5 75 14 Yes Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 166 Yes New Hampshire 27 3203 119 19 745 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes 2 100 0 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 2	Illinois	48	2324	48	
Kentucky 4 66 16 Yes Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 18 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 19 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota <	Indiana	25	4928	195	
Maryland 2 128 82 Yes Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 18 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 19 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203	Kansas	5	75	14	Yes
Massachusetts 41 5979 147 Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 190 20 190	Kentucky	4	66	16	Yes
Michigan 24 2265 93 Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 0 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63	Maryland	2	128	82	Yes
Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West	Massachusetts	41	5979	147	
Minnesota 2 392 213 Yes Mississippi 12 1918 165 Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West	Michigan	24	2265	93	
Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43		2	392	213	Yes
Montana 4 240 66 Yes Nevada 72 1440 20 New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Mississippi	12	1918	165	
New Hampshire 27 3203 119 New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43		4	240	66	Yes
New Jersey 2 173 73 Yes New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Nevada	72	1440	20	
New York 2 1190 745 Yes North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	New Hampshire	27	3203	119	
North Dakota 26 605 23 Yes Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	New Jersey	2	173	73	Yes
Ohio 26 2550 100 Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	New York	2	1190	745	Yes
Oregon 4 1000 227 Yes Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	North Dakota	26	605	23	Yes
Rhode Island 17 206 12 South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Ohio	26	2550	100	
South Carolina 69 4083 59 Yes South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Oregon	4	1000	227	Yes
South Dakota 12 129 11 Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Rhode Island	17	206	12	
Tennessee 2 203 132 Yes Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	South Carolina	69	4083	59	Yes
Utah 5 81 16 Yes Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	South Dakota	12	129	11	
Vermont 22 1361 63 Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Tennessee	2	203	132	Yes
Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Utah	5	81	16	Yes
Washington 15 2424 161 West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Vermont	22	1361	63	
West Virginia 2 128 72 Yes Wisconsin 20 845 43	Washington	15	I .	161	
Wisconsin 20 845 43			I .		Yes
		20	I .	43	
	Wyoming		I .		

0.00

Estimate of son to daughter ratio vs GDP % of US 0.15 0.10 0.05

Estimate of son to daughter ratio

40

60

20

O.100 O.005 O.000 Estimate of son to daughter ratio vs # of Establishments O.005 O.000

Estimate of son to daughter ratio

Estimate of son to daughter ratio by mayority Political party (2016 elections)

20

Republican Democratic Democratic

Estimate of son to daughter ratio

40

60

4. Conclusion

0

There is clearly an inclination to name businesses including the word son(s) vs daughter(s). We found evidence for 36 states that that a conservative estimate of son to daughter ratio is between 2 to 1 to 72 to 1 across the 36 states where we have data with a median of 12 to 1. Despite not having data for 50 states we feel this is a good representation of the whole country since these 36 states represent 69.9% of the US population, 71.2% of the US GDP and 71.% of the registered establishments.

Although we didn't find any relatioship with the size of the states in terms of GDP, population or number of establishments we do see some differences across regions and political parties dominating the state. We cannot conclude any causality because of this but further exploration is recommended.

References

- 1. Halpert, Chris. US census bureau regions and divisions. https://github.com/cphalpert/census-regions/
- 2. Kaushik, Saurav. Beginner's Guide on Web Scraping in R (using rvest) with hands-on example. https://bit.ly/2Gj0sF6
- 3. Kingl, Arvid. Web Scraping in R: rvest Tutorial. https://www.datacamp.com/community/tutorials/r-web-scraping-rvest
- 4. United States Census Bureau. State Population Totals and Components of Change: 2010-2019. https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-state-total.html
- 5. United States Census Bureau. SUSB Historical Data. https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/econ/susb/susb-historical.html
- 6. Wikipedia contributors. (2020, January 13). Political party strength in U.S. states. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 03:11, January 20, 2020. https://bit.ly/37o6AYB