

# W3D2 Lab 2: LinkedList

## Description:

In this lab you will add a `.sort()` and a `.contains()` method to the `LinkedList` class. Again the `.contains()` method will assume that the list is already sorted and then does a binary search through its items.

## Provided:

We've provided the `LinkedList` class, and you can use the sort code from `ArrayList` (provided for the previous lab) and also the binary search code that was provided with the previous lab.

## Instructions:

Start by adding the `.sort(comparator)` method to `LinkedList`. Notice that because we've use the same names for all the methods in `ArrayList` and `LinkedList` copy/pasting the method makes it work right away. This is the power of what is called "Program to Interface" also known as P2I.

Also notice that when swapping items we do not actually swap the nodes, instead we are just swapping the elements that are stored in those nodes.

Be sure to write Mocha / Chai tests to check if your `.sort()` method is working.

Once you have `.sort()` working you can also add `.contains(element)`. If you wrote a nice version of `contains` in your `ArrayList` you should be able to just copy and paste it over as well. Be sure to also write tests for it.

## Last Step:

Now although Copy and Pasting the `.contains()` from `ArrayList` works (or is easy to make work), it does not actually make efficient use of the `LinkedList`'s nature. It uses numeric indexes into the list, which are always slow!

Update your `.contains()` method to use references to nodes instead of numeric indexes (the `begin`, `end` and `middle` variables). To be specific if the item found in the middle is too big, make your `begin` reference point to the middle node. Otherwise make the `end` reference point to the middle node.

Because we no longer have index values we can also no longer return `-1` for not found and the index value for when it is found. Instead just return `true` when it's found and `false` when not.

The test code you wrote for the copy/pasted version will not need to be updated to test this more efficient way of working a linked list.

Even with these updates, is such a binary search on a `LinkedList` more efficient than a linear search?