

## A variant of the Perceptron Algorithm:

proof of lower bound

Sophia Kolak - sdk2147

**Problem:** One is often interested in learning a disjunction model. That is, given binary observations from a  $d$  feature space (i.e. each datapoint  $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , the output is an ‘OR’ of few of these features (e.g.  $y = x_1 \vee x_{20} \vee x_{33}$ ). It turns out that the following variant of the perceptron algorithm can learn such disjunctions well.

### Perceptron OR variant

*learning:*

- Initialize  $w := 1$
- for each datapoint  $(x, y)$  in the training dataset
  - $\hat{y} := 1[w \cdot x > d]$
  - if  $y \neq \hat{y}$  and  $y = 1$ 
    - $w_i \leftarrow 2w_i \quad (\forall i : x_i = 1)$
  - if  $y \neq \hat{y}$  and  $y = 0$ 
    - $w_i \leftarrow w_i/2 \quad (\forall i : x_i = 1)$

*Classification:*

$$f(x) := 1[w \cdot x > d]$$

**Prove that** the Perceptron OR variant makes at most  $2 + 3r(1 + \log d)$  mistakes when the target concept is an OR of  $r$  variables

### Solution:

Let  $i \in r$  represent the indices in our  $d$  dimensional vector that we take the disjunction of to determine the true label of our samples. Let  $TW(t)$  denote the total weight of  $w$  at iteration  $t$ , let  $M_+$  denote the total number of positive mistakes, and let  $M_-$  denote the total number of negative mistakes

**(Claim 1:)**  $w_i : i \in r$  is strictly increasing:

The only way for any dimension of the weight vector to decrease is in the case where we mis-classify a true negative sample, and execute the statement  $w_i \leftarrow w_i/2$  (for  $\forall i : x_i = 1$ ). But for  $x$  to be a true negative, it must be the case that all  $i \in r$  are equal to 0 in that sample. Thus, the weight at the indices  $i \in r$  can never decrease.

**(Claim 2:)** Once all  $w_i : i \in r$  are  $> d$ , it is impossible for any positive sample to be mis-classified:

By definition, positive samples have some  $x_i = 1$  such that  $i \in r$ , thus if all  $w_i : i \in r > d$ , then the dot product  $w \cdot x$  must be  $> d$ , and the sample will be correctly classified.

The question now is simply how many positive mistakes it will take for each  $i \in r$  to become  $> d$ .

**(Claim 3:)** We double the value of some  $w_i : i \in r$  on each positive mistake:

On each positive mistake, there must be some  $x_i$  such that  $i \in r$  and  $x_i$  has value 1, therefore, we are doubling the value of some  $w_i : i \in r$  on each positive

mistake. If  $w_i$  starts at 1 and is strictly increasing, it will take  $\log_2(d)$  positive mistakes for a given  $i \in r$  to equal  $d$ , and  $1 + \log_2(d)$  for the dot product  $w \cdot x$  to be greater than  $d$ .

We have already shown that we can no longer make mistakes when  $i \in r$  is  $> d$  for each  $i \in r$ , which means that after  $r \times (1 + \log_2(d))$  positive mistakes, each value  $w_i : i \in r$  will be  $> d$ . Thus, we have proved the upper bound on positive mistakes of

$$r(1 + \log_2(d))$$

A mistake is made on a negative sample when  $\hat{y} = 1$ , but  $y = 0$ . That is to say, for every mistake made on a negative sample, the algorithm will execute the statement

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i/2 \text{ (for } \forall i : x_i = 1)$$

Let  $S_0 = \sum_i w_i$  before we update the weight vector on the current iteration. On each negative mis-classification,  $S_0$  will decrease by  $w_i/2$  when  $x_i = 1$ , and stay the same otherwise. But since  $x$  is a binary vector, this is equivalent to subtracting:

$$\frac{1}{2}(w \cdot x)$$

From  $S_0$ . Thus the sum after re-weighting can be written as:

$$S_1 = \sum_i w_i - \frac{1}{2}(w \cdot x)$$

Since this is a negative mistake, the the algorithm must have classified the sample as 1, which means that  $(w \cdot x) > d$ , and

$$\frac{1}{2}(w \cdot x) > \frac{1}{2}(d)$$

But  $\frac{1}{2}(w \cdot x)$  is precisely the quantity we are subtracting from  $S_0$ , or the total weight sum. Thus, each mistake made on a negative sample must decrease the total weight  $\sum_i w_i$  by at least  $\frac{1}{2}(d)$

**(1)**  $0 < TW(t)$

We initialize each  $i$  in our weight vector to 1. Suppose for any  $i \in d$ , we re-weight on positive mistakes  $x$  times, and on negative mistakes  $y$  times. Then  $w_i$  could only equal zero when the following equation is true:

$$\frac{1 \cdot 2^x}{2^y} = 0$$

This equation clearly has no solutions. Since each  $w_i$  is always greater than 0, we can safely conclude that the total weight of our vector is always greater than zero,  $0 < TW(t)$

**(2)**  $TW(t) \leq TW(0) + d(M_+) - (d/2)M_-$

The only time  $TW(t)$  changes after its initialization is on either a positive or negative mistake. We already showed that each  $M_-$  will decrease  $TW(t)$  by at

least  $d/2$ . Now we must show that each  $M_+$  increases the weight of the vector by at most  $d$ .

**Claim:** each  $M_+$  increases  $TW(t)$  by at most  $d$

Adopting the terminology used in the previous problem, we can write:

$$S_1 = \sum_i w_i + (w \cdot x)$$

Since this is a positive mistake, it must be the case that  $w \cdot x \leq d$ , thus our added term is never more than  $d$ .

We have now proved that

$$0 < TW(t) \leq TW(0) + d(M_+) - (d/2)M_-$$

(3) Observe that  $TW(0) = d$ , since we initialize the weight vector to all ones. This allows us to write:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < TW(t) &\leq d(1 + M_+ - 1/2M_-) \\ 0 < 1 + M_+ - 1/2M_- \\ M_- &< 2 + 2M_+ \end{aligned}$$

Now substituting, we can write our final expression  $M_- + M_+$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} M_- + M_+ &< 2 + 2r(1 + \log d) + r(1 + \log d) \\ M_- + M_+ &< 2 + 3r(1 + \log d) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proven that the total number of mistakes is at most  $2 + 3r(1 + \log d)$