	0 - 1 point	2 points	3 points	4 points	5 points	Score
Topic Proposal	Fail more than three of the requirements	Fail on three of the requirements.	Fail on two of the requirements.	Fail on one of the requirements, such as word-count, missing GitHub repo info, etc.	Fulfill all requirements as instructed.	

	0 - 5 points	6 - 10 points	11 - 15 points	16 - 20 points	21 - 25 points	Score
Codes and Technical Analysis	Rmardown and/or R code is poorly written, not in the right format. Analysis used incorrect tests or methods, or analysis drawing the wrong conclusions.	Rmarkdown file runs appropriately but comments are unclear or misleading, EDA was limited to only a few techniques	Rmarkdown file runs appropriately, but some of the techniques and tests used are inappropriate.	Rmarkdown code runs and is commented as needed, EDA shows purpose of thought with multiple techniques being utilized.	Rmarkdown is clearly written and commented. EDA is thoroughly completed with clear purpose and extra effort is seen to fully understand the data prior to moving into more complex analysis.	

	0 - 5 points	5 - 10 points	10 - 15 points	15 - 20 points	20 - 25 points	Score
Presentation	Presentation was poorly prepared and lack of practice. There are many "pauses" and stoppages.	Presentation was not well prepared or rehearsed. The information presented is not relevant to the research question(s).	Presentation was fine, but lack logical flow. Information and organization might be disjointed. Information presented might not be relevant to the questions.	Presentation was well prepared. Might not use the allowed time correctly. Or there might be places with logical gaps and feel disjointed.	Presentation was well rehearsed. Use of time allowed percisely. It shows the presenter's good command of the subject matter and know the results inside out. It captures the audience's attention and curiosity.	Individual

	0 - 2 points	3 - 4 points	5 - 6 points	7 - 8 points	9 - 10 points	Score
Presentation File	Presentation file poorly prepared. Showed very little teamwork. There is no continuity nor consistent style in the work.	Presentation file cannot be followed. Audience thereby disengage easily. Needs to use more visual aids and better organizations.	Presentation file might not show continuity or consistency. It can use more visual aids, or make slides crowded with unnecessary info. The team should organize it better to engage the audience.	Presentation file is organized and easy to follow. There are some places where the team can consider using more color, or visuals, or less words/crowded.	Presentation file is well organized with a theme and consistency. The slides are visually pleasant, shows great use of visuals, not crowded with words (and numbers). Audience finds it easy to follow.	

	0 - 5 points	5 - 10 points	10 - 15 points	15 - 20 points	20 - 25 points	Score
Summary Paper	Organization is confusing or unfocused and not relevant to the data being used	Relevant information is presented but inconsistently applied to the data and question	Some relevant information is presented, with some level of application. The analysis and organization is not too convincing.	Highly relevant information is presented and a in depth overview of the process being used to develop the question is discussed	Highly relevant research is presented in collaberation with clear indications of how this influenced question development along with detailed reflections on how the outcomes of EDA effected the eventual development of the team's proposed question	

	0 - 2 points	3 - 4 points	5 - 6 points	7 - 8 points	9 - 10 points	Score
GitHub	Rarely use the git resource, if at all.	Used Git only in the days before the project due.	Used Git occasionally to collaborate with teammates.	Used Git quite regularly to collaborate. The team can improve more on using various branches to allow more possibilities.	Commit/fetch/pull/push/merge and use of branches all done appropriately and often. Great use of the SCM system for collaboration.	Individual

Total : 0

Group	TEAM NAME		comments for the team	
Last name	**First name**	**presentation score (25)**	**comments**	**GitHub score**
A	A		comments for individual	
B	B			
C	C			
D	D			
E	E			