# Assignment 3

Ting-Hsuan Lien

#### **Problem**

Calculate the evolution of *N* particles in a gravitational simulation.

#### **Solution**

### Data structure (for particle)

Simply record all information of particle

### Structure (code)

My code can spilt into 6 main part.

Particle: Contains the definition of the Particle structure and function Print() for debugging purposes.

ParseArguments: reading input data from files to initialize particle properties.

ReadFile: read input data and create particles.

Simulation: The core of the program where all computations occur.

WriteFile: writing final result in to result.gal

FreeMemory: release all memory in the end.

### Algorithm

Algorithm implement in Simulation part. Following are pseudocode.

#### **Performance and Discussion**

All experiments run same data in 200 step (which has ref\_output).

## Original Version (without any optimizations)

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.002s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.027s	0m0.020s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.485s	0m0.456s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m1.890s	0m1.798s	0m0.000s

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_02000	0m6.200s	0m7.277s	0m0.000s

In the **Original Version**, no specific optimizations were applied. The graph below clearly shows an  $O(n^2)$  curve.

Figure 1: original version - real time performance

### **Compile with -03**

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.015s	0m0.008s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.179s	0m0.163s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.681s	0m0.642s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.261s	0m2.587s	0m0.000s

In this version, no modifications were made to the code; only the -o3 optimization flag was used during compilation. The execution time was significantly reduced. In the largest test case, the **real time** decreased by **79.6%**.

In Figure 2 the time complexity seem not like  $O(n^2)$ , but no modification of code, the algorithm still  $O(n^2)$ .

Figure 2: Compile with -o3 version - real time performance

#### **Compile with** -funroll-loops

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.009s	0m0.002s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.016s	0m0.008s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.180s	0m0.164s	0m0.000s

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.688s	0m0.648s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.271s	0m2.602s	0m0.001s

In this version, same as last version, just add another compile flag. It didn't effect the runtime (< 0.01s).

#### Move F() into main

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.002s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.015s	0m0.008s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.177s	0m0.152s	0m0.009s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.671s	0m0.631s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.169s	0m2.516s	0m0.010s

Initially, I placed the code in F() for easy to modify. In this version, I moved it into main function to reduce function call. In the larger test case (N = 2000), this optimization reduced the execution time by 0.1s compared to before.

Figure 3 looks similar to Figure 2. but the peak is slightly lower.

Figure 3: Move F() into main - real time performance

#### Remove if ( i != j ) in loop

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.011s	0m0.005s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.103s	0m0.090s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.377s	0m0.342s	0m0.009s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.487s	0m1.406s	0m0.000s

As shown in the pseudocode in *Algorithm*, the for loop originally used if (i != j) to skip the calculation for the particle itself. Since conditional checks inside loops can slow down execution, I modified the code to avoid using if statements inside the loop.

The new version pseudocode is following:

```
for t in nsteps:
    for i in N:
        F = 0.0;
        for j in range(0, i):
            dx = particles[i]->x - particles[j]->x;
            dy = particles[i]->y - particles[j]->y;
            r = sqrt((dx * dx) + (dy * dy));
            F += particles[j]->mass / (r * r * r);
        for j in range(i+1, N):
            dx = particles[i]->x - particles[j]->x;
            dy = particles[i]->y - particles[j]->y;
            r = sqrt((dx * dx) + (dy * dy));
            F += particles[j]->mass / (r * r * r);
        F *= -G * particles[i]->mass;
        a = F / particles[i]->mass;
        update paricles[i] velocity
    for i in N:
        update paricles[i] position
```

In **Figure 4**, the runtime for "**ellipse\_N\_01000**" shows a significant reduction, but the other cases did not change much. Notably, the runtime for "ellipse\_N\_02000" even increased.

Figure 4: Remove if (i!=j) in loop - real time performance

#### Change for to do-while

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.012s	0m0.005s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.102s	0m0.090s	0m0.000s

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.383s	0m0.357s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.499s	0m1.408s	0m0.010s

In this version, I changed most of the loops from for to do-while, but it did not improve performance.

#### Move Destroy() into main

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.011s	0m0.005s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.098s	0m0.086s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.363s	0m0.336s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.407s	0m1.328s	0m0.000s

Similar to *Move F()* into main, I moved Destroy() to main. It had a slight positive effect.

#### **Reduce useless calculation**

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.008s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.011s	0m0.005s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.098s	0m0.081s	0m0.009s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.356s	0m0.335s	0m0.010s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.428s	0m1.410s	0m0.000s

Lastly, I combined some redundant calculations and used faster operators. However, the effect was still not significant.

After modification, the new code is following:

```
for t in nsteps:
    for i in N:
        F = 0.0;
        for j in range(0, i):
```

```
dx = particles[i]->x - particles[j]->x;
dy = particles[i]->y - particles[j]->y;
r = sqrt((dx * dx) + (dy * dy));
F += particles[j]->mass / (r * r * r);
for j in range(i+1, N):
    dx = particles[i]->x - particles[j]->x;
    dy = particles[i]->y - particles[j]->y;
r = sqrt((dx * dx) + (dy * dy));
F += particles[j]->mass / (r * r * r);
particles[i]->v += - G * F * delta_t;
for i in N:
    update paricles[i] position
```

#### **Modify Array**

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.007s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.010s	0m0.004s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.095s	0m0.090s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.341s	0m0.340s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.328s	0m1.351s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_03000	0m1.494s	0m1.520s	0m0.000s

```
typedef struct
{
    double* x;
    double* y;
    double* mass;
    double* v_x;
    double* v_y;
    double* brightness;
} Particle;
```

Modify the structure for better vectorization. The execution time was significantly reduced, especially in the case of "ellipse\_N\_03000", where the real time decreased from 0m3.118s to 0m1.494s.

#### Reduce the number of computations

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.007s	0m0.001s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.011s	0m0.005s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.104s	0m0.100s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.388s	0m0.386s	0m0.010s
ellipse_N_02000	0m1.527s	0m1.578s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_03000	0m1.703s	0m1.769s	0m0.000s

Compared to the previous version, where velocity and position updates were performed in a separate loop after force calculations, this version integrates the updates directly within the force computation loop.

The execution time slightly increased, especially for larger cases (N = 2000, 3000).

#### **Vectorized**

INPUT DATA	REAL	USER	SYS
ellipse_N_00010	0m0.009s	0m0.002s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00100	0m0.007s	0m0.002s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_00500	0m0.031s	0m0.025s	0m0.001s
ellipse_N_01000	0m0.095s	0m0.090s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_02000	0m0.340s	0m0.353s	0m0.000s
ellipse_N_03000	0m0.387s	0m0.391s	0m0.011s

By introducing additional variables, modifications within the **for j** loop no longer directly affect  $F_x[i]$  and  $F_y[i]$ , allowing for **vectorization**. After **vectorization**, the execution time was significantly reduced, especially for larger test cases.

#### Discussion

In conclusion, the most effective optimization was clearly compiling with **-03**. Also structure effect a lot. Reducing conditional statements inside loops also had a noticeable impact. Reducing function calls showed some improvement in larger test cases. Other optimization strategies did not produce significant effects in this assignment.

## **Environment**

Run on Windows 11 wsl.

CPU: AMD Ryzen 5 7535HS with Radeon Graphics

## References

• ChatGPT: https://chatgpt.com/

# **Appendix**

• GitHub: https://github.com/sophie8909/High-performance-programming