

Ge Song, Frédéric Magoulès (Supervisor), Fabrice Huet  
(Co-Supervisor)  
Lab MICS  
CentraleSupélec  
Université Paris-Saclay

# Parallel and Continuous Join Processing for Data Stream

Présidente du Jury

M. Abdelkader Hameurlain, Professeur, IRIT, Rapporteur

M. Johan Montagnat, Charge de Recherche, CNRS, Rapporteur

M. Lei Yu, Professeur, École Centrale de Pékin, Examinateur

Mme. Bich-Lièn Doan, Professeur adjoint, CentraleSupélec, Examinateur

M. Frédéric Magoulès, Professeur, CentraleSupélec, Directeur de thèse

M. Fabrice Huet, Professeur, assistant, I3S, Co-Encadrant de thèse

## Table of Contents

Introduction

Part I: Data Driven Stream Join (kNN)

Part II: Query Driven Stream Join (RDF)

Conclusion and Future Work

## Introduction



# Big Data Everywhere

- Google: 24 PB / day
- Facebook: 10 million photos + 3 billion “likes” / day
- Youtube: 800 million visitors / month
- Twitter: Doubling its size every year

## Issues

- The most significant issue comes from the size of Big Data.
- The flip side of size is speed.
- Transfer cost.
- **Dynamic data – Data Stream**

## Dynamic Data Stream

- Persistent **Static** Relations: **Batch-oriented** data processing
  - Transient **Dynamic** Data Streams: Real-time **stream** processing
- 
- **Architecture Level:** possible to add or remove computational nodes based on the current load
  - **Application Level:** able to withdraw old results and take new coming data into account

## Objective: parallel and continuous processing for Join operation

**Join:** a popular and often used operation in the big data area.

- Data Driven Join : kNN (Data Parallelism)
- Query Driven Join : Semantic Join on RDF data (Task Parallelism)

# Part I: Data Driven Stream Join (kNN)



# Outline

- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Outline

- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Introduction

### Definition: kNN

Given a set of query points  $R$  and a set of reference points  $S$ , a  $k$  **nearest neighbor join** is an operation which, for each point in  $R$ , discovers the  $k$  nearest neighbors in  $S$ .

- Query never changes
- Data changes: GPS (2 Dimensions), Twitter (77 Dimensions), Images (128 Dimensions) etc.

## Introduction: Basic Idea


- Nested Loop – Calculate the Distances (Complexity  $O(n^2)$ )

```

for(int r : R){
    for(int s : S){
        Distance(r, s);
    }
}

```

- Sort – Find the top k smallest distance for each element  
(Complexity: Quick Sort:  $n \cdot \log(n)$ , Priority Queue:  $\log(n)$ )



# Outline


- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Parallel Workflow

- Data Preprocessing
  - To reduce the dimension of data
  - To select central points of data clusters
- Data Partitioning
  - Distance Based Partitioning Strategy
  - Size Based Partitioning Strategy
- Computation
  - One Round Job
  - Two Rounds jobs

## Data Preprocessing – Reducing the dimension of data


Space Filling Curve (Z-Value) → Project high dimensional data to 1 dimension



[z-value]: Efficient parallel kNN joins for large data in MapReduce, EDBT 2012, Chi Zhang et. al.

## Data Preprocessing – Reducing the dimension of data

### Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH)




[LSH]: RankReduce - processing K-Nearest Neighbor queries on top of MapReduce, LSDS-IR 2010, Aleksandar Stupar et. al.

# Data Preprocessing – Selecting central points (Pivots) of data clusters

## Voronoi Diagram:

- Random Selection
- Furthest Selection
- K-Means Selection




[voronoi]: Efficient Processing of k Nearest Neighbor Joins using MapReduce, VLDB 2012, Wei Lu et. al.

# Parallel Workflow

- Data Preprocessing
  - To reduce the dimension of data
  - To select central points of data clusters
- Data Partitioning
  - Distance Based Partitioning Strategy
  - Size Based Partitioning Strategy
- Computation
  - One Round Job
  - Two Rounds jobs

## Data Partitioning – Basic Idea (Block Nested Loop)



**Problem:**  $n^2$  tasks for calculating pairwise distances; wastes a lot of hardware resources, and ultimately leads to low efficiency.

## Data Partitioning – Motivation

The key to improve the performance is to preserve spatial locality of objects when decomposing data for tasks.


More precisely, what we want is: for every partition  $R_i$  ( $\cup_i R_i = R$ ), find a corresponding partition  $S_j$  ( $\cup_j S_j = S$ ), where:

$$k\text{NN}(R_i \times S) = k\text{NN}(R_i \times S_j)$$


And,

$$k\text{NN}(R \times S) = \bigcup_i k\text{NN}(R_i \times S_j)$$


# Data Partitioning – Motivation




# Data Partitioning – Distance Based Partitioning Strategy – Voronoi Diagram



# Data Partitioning – Size Based Partitioning Strategy – Z-Value



# Data Partitioning – Size Based Partitioning Strategy – LSH




## Computation

- One job – Directly give the global results
- Two consecutive jobs – First give the local results, then merge the local results into the global results

**Purpose:** using multiple rounds of jobs in order to reduce the number of elements to be sorted.


## Computation – Example

For each city in R, find the nearest city in S.




# Computation – Example

## One Job:




# Computation – Example

## Two Jobs:



# Workflow



# Outline

- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Theoretical Analysis

- Load Balance
- Accuracy
- Complexity

## Load Balance

### What is Load Balance?

$$|R_i| \times |S_i| = |R_j| \times |S_j|$$

### Sub-Optimal Option

$\forall i \neq j,$   
if  $|R_i| = |R_j|$  or  $|S_i| = |S_j|$ ,  
then  $|R_i| \times |S_i| \approx |R_j| \times |S_j|$

## Load Balance

if  $|R_i| = |R_j|$ , the Worst Case Complexity is:

$$\mathcal{O}(|R_i| \times \log |S_i|) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|R|}{n} \times \log |S|\right)$$

if  $|S_i| = |S_j|$ , the Worst Case Complexity is:

$$\mathcal{O}(|R_i| \times \log |S_i|) = \mathcal{O}\left(|R| \times \log \frac{|S|}{n}\right)$$

$n \ll |S| \Rightarrow |R_i| = |R_j|$  is better.

All advanced partitioning strategies first partition R into equal sized partitions, then find the corresponding S for each R.

# Accuracy

The lack of accuracy is the direct consequence of techniques to reduce the dimensionality with techniques of as z-values and LSH.

- **Z-Value**
  - Depends on k
  - Increase the number of shifts of data will decrease the error rate
- **LSH**
  - Depends on parameter tuning
  - Increase the number of hash functions will decrease the error rate

# Complexity

- **The number of MapReduce jobs:** starting a job requires some initial steps.
- **The number of Map tasks and Reduce tasks used to calculate  $k\text{NN}(R_i \times S)$ :** the larger this number is, the more information is exchanged through the network.
- **The number of final candidates for each object  $r_i$ :** Finding the top  $k$  results is very time consuming.

## Main Overhead

- Communication overhead:
  - the amount of data transmitted over the network
- Computation overhead:
  - computing the distances
  - finding the k smallest distances

# Outline


- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Sliding Window Model – Motivation

- Unbounded streams can not be wholly stored in bounded memory
- New items in a stream are more relevant than older ones.

### Sliding Window Model

Maintaining a moving window of the most recent elements in the stream




## Sliding Window – Two Strategies

- **Re-Execution Strategy**
  - **Eager Re-execution Strategies** – Generating new results right after each new data arrives
  - **Lazy Re-execution Strategies** – Re-Executing the query periodically
- **Data Invalidation Strategy**
  - **Eager Invalidation Strategies** – Scanning and moving forward the sliding window upon arrival of new data
  - **Lazy Re-execution Strategies** – Removing old data periodically and require more memory to store data waiting for expiration

## Sliding Window – Two Strategies

- **Re-Execution Strategy**
  - Eager Re-execution Strategies
  - **Lazy Re-execution Strategies**
- **Data Invalidation Strategy**
  - Eager Expiration Strategies
  - **Lazy Invalidation Strategies**

Re-Execution and Expiration Period – Generation



## Different types of dynamic kNN joins

- Static R and Dynamic S (SRDS)
  - Exists rarely in real applications.
  - Reuse the parallel methods
- Dynamic R and Static S (DRSS)
  - Most used scenario in real applications
  - Reuse Random Partition method
- Dynamic R and Dynamic S (DRDS)
  - General situation
  - Basic Method + Advanced Method

# Dynamic R and Dynamic S – Basic Method (Sliding Block Nested Loop)

S in $i^{th}$ Generation				
R	$S_1$	$S_2$	...	$S_n$
$R_1$	$G_i(R_1, S_1)$	$G_i(R_1, S_2)$	...	$G_i(R_1, S_n)$
$R_2$	$G_i(R_2, S_1)$	$G_i(R_2, S_2)$	...	$G_i(R_2, S_n)$
...	...	...	...	...
$R_n$	$G_i(R_n, S_1)$	$G_i(R_n, S_2)$	...	$G_i(R_n, S_n)$

## Dynamic R and Dynamic S – Advanced Method (Naive Bayes Partitioning)

**Purpose:** partition the new data items without moving the old ones.

### Naive Bayes Theory

Given two independent events A and B, the conditional probability of given B and A occurs is:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)} \quad (1)$$

## DRDS – Advanced Method – Naive Bayes Partitioning

We consider the  $n$  partitions from  $N_1$  to  $N_n$  as  $n$  different classes and the already partitioned data as training set. The probability that a new point  $x$  belongs to  $N_i$  is:

$$P(N_i|x) = \frac{P(x|N_i) \cdot P(N_i)}{P(x)} \quad (2)$$

And  $x$  should be assigned to the partition  $N_y$  which has the biggest probability:

$$x \in N_y, \text{ where } P(N_y|x) = \max\{P(N_1|x), P(N_2|x), \dots, P(N_n|x)\} \quad (3)$$

## Naive Bayes Partitioning

Partitioning Problem = The calculation of  $P(N_i|x)$

$$P(N_i|x) = P(N_i|(l_1(x), l_2(x), \dots, l_p(x))) \quad (4)$$

According to Bayes' Theorem:

$$= \frac{P((l_1(x), l_2(x), \dots, l_p(x))|N_i)}{P(l_1(x), l_2(x), \dots, l_p(x))} \quad (5)$$

Since  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p$  are independent, we have:

$$= \frac{P(l_1(x)|N_i) \cdot P(l_2(x)|N_i) \cdots P(l_p(x)|N_i) \cdot P(N_i)}{P(l_1(x)) \cdot P(l_2(x)) \cdots P(l_p(x))} \quad (6)$$

$P(l_j(x)|N_i)$  is the probability of the appearance of  $l_j(x)$  on  $N_i$ ,  
and this probability is decided by the distribution of data on  $N_i$ .

# Outline

- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Experiment Setting

### Cluster Setting

- The experiments were run on two clusters of Grid'5000 with Hadoop 1.3
- The number of replications for each split of data is set to 3
- The number of slots of each node is 1

### Datasets

- **OpenStreetMap** Geo dataset contains geographic XML data in two dimensions – Low Dimension
- **Catech 101** It is a public set of images, which contains 101 categories of pictures of different objects. (Speeded Up Robust Features – 128 dimensions) – High Dimension

## Methods Evaluated

- **H-BkNNJ** Naive Method – Without preprocessing and partitioning – One Job
- **H-BNLJ** Block Nested Loop – Without preprocessing and partitioning – Two Jobs
- **PGBJ** Based on Voronoi – Preprocessing: Select Pivots – Distance Based Partitioning – One Job
- **H-zkNNJ** Based on Z-Value – Preprocessing: z-value – Size Based Partitioning – Two Jobs
- **RankReduce** Based on LSH – Preprocessing: LSH – Size Based Partitioning – Two Jobs

# Evaluations

## Impacts: (x axis)

- Impact of input data size
- Impact of k
- Impact of Dimension and Dataset


## Measures: (y axis)

- Execution Time
- Communication Overhead
- Recall and Precision

## Practical Analysis


## Evaluation Result – Verify the theoretical Analysis

Execution Time for Geo dataset (2 dimensions):



## Evaluation Result – Surprise

Execution Time for Image dataset (128 dimensions):




# Outline

- Introduction
- Parallel Workflow
- Theoretical Analysis
- Continuous kNN
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Conclusion

Algorithm	Advantage	Shortcoming	Typical Usecase
H-BkNNJ	Trivial to implement	1. Breaks very quickly 2. Optimal parallelism difficult to achieve a priori	Any tiny and low dimension dataset (~ 25000 records)
H-BNLJ	Easy to implement	1. Slow 2. Very large communication overhead	Any small/medium dataset (~ 100000 records)
PGBJ	1. Exact solution 2. Lowest disk usage 3. No impact on communication overhead with the increase of k	1. Cannot finish in reasonable time for large datasets 2. Poor performance for high dimension data 3. Large communication overhead 4. Performance highly depends on the quality of a priori chosen pivots	1. Medium/large dataset for low/medium dimension 2. Exact results
H-zkNNJ	1. Fast 2. Does not require a priori parameter tuning 3. More precise for large k 4. Always give the right number of k	1. High disk usage 2. Slow for large dimension 3. Very high space requirement ratio for small values of k	1. Large dataset of small dimension 2. High values of k 3. Approximate results
RankReduce	1. Fast 2. Low footprint on disk usage	1. Fine parameter tuning required with experimental set up 2. Multiple hash functions needed for acceptable recall 3. Different quality metrics to consider (recall + precision)	1. Large dataset of any dimension 2. Approximate results 3. Room for parameter tuning

## Part II: Query Driven Stream Join (RDF)



# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Plan
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Introduction – RDF Data Model

- **Resource Description Framework** is a data standard proposed by W3C
- It aims at representing semantic data in a machine understandable manner.
- This representation is used to describe semantic relations among data.
- Data items are expressed as triples in form of <subject, predicate, object> (e.g. <Sophie, hasSister, Ray>)
  - The subject of a triple indicates the resource that this triple is about.
  - The predicate refers to the property of the subject.
  - The object denotes to the projection value of the subject by the predicate.

## Introduction – SPARQL Query Language


- SPARQL is a W3C recommendation query language for querying RDF data.
- The basic component of a SPARQL query is the triple patterns.
- A triple pattern is a special kind of triple where S, P and O can be either a literal or a variable.

### An Example (Triple Pattern Representation):


```
SELECT ?S
WHERE {
    Q1      ?S "hasFriend" person1 .
    Q2      ?S "likePost" "post1" .
    Q3      ?S "attendEvent" "event1"
}
```

# Introduction – SPARQL Query Example


## Graph Representation:



## Relational Representation:




## Related Works – 4 Types of Processing



## Partitioning Strategies

- Vertex Partitioning methods for graphs.
  - High overhead of loading big RDF graphs into the existing graph partitioner.
  - Requires the entire graph information in order to make decisions
  - Replication of the boundary of each partition in order to reduce the transmission of data
- Hash Partitioning based on indexes

## Hash Partitioning – Index



## Steps

- Partition the RDF streams, and disperse these sub-streams to the nodes
- Decompose the queries into sub-queries and assign these sub-queries to the appropriate nodes
- Reply rapidly to the changes of data (the expiration of old data, and the update of new data), and return the results in real-time

# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion


## Query Decomposition

**Decomposition Strategy:** Divide the queries into triple patterns, send each triple pattern to some corresponding machines.

- It is simple, and does not require any complicated computations.
- The sub-streams can be easily assigned to the sub-queries.
- The performance or accuracy of this method does not depend on the index or replication of data.
- Among the triples processed by a query, the number of different subjects or objects involved could be tens of thousands; But the number of triple patterns is a limited and fixed number.

## Sub-Query Scheduling

### Edge Coloring Method:



## Data Partitioning

**Partitioning Strategy:** The triples with the same predicate will be assigned to the same nodes that hold the triple pattern with that same predicate.

- It does not require any index, and will not occupy more disk space.
- It can quickly adapt to changes in data, and it does not require any re-partitioning on the existing data when new data arrives or old data expires.
- The number of different types of subjects and objects involved could be tens of thousands; But the number of different predicates is limited, and this number must be smaller than the number of triple patterns that compose this query.

# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Problems to be solved to complete the decomposition and partitioning idea

- The communication among nodes
- The join of the intermediate results produced by each triple pattern
- The order of sending and receiving information

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter




$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter



$m = 20$  bits

$k = 3$  hash functions

$n = 3$  insertions

## The communication among nodes – Bloom Filter

Minimize false positive risk:  
 $k = (m/n) \ln 2$



$$m = 20 \text{ bits}$$

$$k = 3 \text{ hash functions}$$



$$n = 3 \text{ insertions}$$

## Bloom Filter – Build and Probe

- **Builder:** The triple patterns used to Build the Bloom Filter
- **Prober:** The triple patterns used to Probe the Bloom Filter



# The join of the intermediate results – Structure Based Rules

## Rule 1: 1-Variable Join





# The join of the intermediate results – Structure Based Rules

## Rule 2: 2-Variable Join



# The join of the intermediate results – Structure Based Rules

## Rule 3: Multiple-Variable Join



## The order of sending and receiving information


### Rule 4: Query Topological Sort

#### Query Topological Sort

**Query Topological Sort** is a topological sort for the query graphs, where the constant nodes on the graph have higher priority than the variable nodes at the same level.

## The order of sending and receiving information

### Rule 4: Query Topological Sort




**Results:** {“O<sub>4</sub>”, ?S<sub>2</sub>, “S<sub>1</sub>”, “O<sub>3</sub>”, ?O<sub>2</sub>, ?O<sub>1</sub>}

# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Continuous Join: Sliding Window + Sliding Bloom Filter



# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Analysis

- Bloom Filters
- Dominating Parameters
- Complexities

## Analysis About Bloom Filters – False Positive Rate

### Theorem 1

Suppose that we use  $k$  hash functions to insert  $n$  elements into an  $m$  bits Bloom Filter, then the false positive rate  $p$  for a standard Bloom Filter is a function of  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $k$ , and

$$p = \left(1 - e^{-\frac{nk}{m}}\right)^k$$

Idea: Balls and Bins

## Analysis About Bloom Filters – Minimum False Positive Rate

### Theorem 2

The false positive  $p$  reaches the minimum value, when

$$e^{-\frac{nk}{m}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

At this extreme point,

$$k = \ln 2 \times \frac{m}{n}$$

And,


$$p = \frac{1}{2}^k = 2^{-\ln 2 \times \frac{m}{n}}$$

Idea:  $p$  reaches the minimum value, when the derivation of  $p$  reaches 0.


# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion


# Apache Storm




# Apache Storm



# Apache Storm




# Apache Storm




# Implementation

```
SELECT ?S
WHERE{
    Q1    ?S "hasFriend" person1 .
    Q2    ?S "likePost" "post1" .
    Q3    ?S "attendEvent" "event1"
}
```



# Implementation

```
SELECT ?S
WHERE{
    Q1    ?S "hasFriend" person1 .
    Q2    ?S "likePost" "post1" .
    Q3    ?S "attendEvent" "event1"
}
```



# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

## Experiment Setting

- We evaluate the system on Grid 5000 4, with 11 nodes. Among them, one is reserved to be Nimbus, and the rest 10 nodes are used for computing.
- The Storm version is 1.0, and we only use one slot on each machine.
- Apache Jena API is used for reading triples.

## Data sets

- Synthetic data
  - The RDF triples generated in Spouts are distributed to the nodes according to their predicate.
  - Two sub-sets of data are generated. The first sub-set contains only the results of the join, and the second one contains only the non-results of the join.
- LUBM Data
  - LUBM has 14 queries, and we have tested query No.1, query No.3, and query No.4
  - It consists of a university domain.
  - It is customizable and repeatable.

# Evaluations

## Impacts: (x axis)


- Sliding Window Size
- Number of Generations

## Metrics: (y axis)

- Execution Latency – The difference between the time an element is generated and the time it is emitted as a result
- Process Latency – The difference between the time an element is generated and the time it begins to be processed
- Data Transmitted
- Accuracy


# Execution Latency

Sliding Window Size = 800 (1V)




# Execution Latency

Number of Generation = 6 (1V)




# Processing Latency

Sliding Window Size = 800 (1V)




# Processing Latency

Number of Generation = 6 (1V)




# Data Transmitted – Sliding Window Size = 800

IV



MV



# Accuracy

**We got 100% correct results – Surprise!**

- use  $p$  (false positive rate) and  $n$  (number of elements to be inserted) to decide  $m$  (number of bits in the Bloom Filter)
- $n$  is set to the number of elements received by the triple pattern
- the real number of elements inserted into the Bloom Filter should be the number of results which match the triple pattern (far less than  $n$ )

# Outline

- Introduction
- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
- Continuous Join
- Analysis
- Implementation
- Experiment Result
- Conclusion

# Conclusion

- Query Decomposition and Data Partition
  - According to predicate
- Parallel and Distributed Query Planner
  - use Bloom Filter to communicate among sub-queries
  - 3 types of different kinds of joins according to the structure
  - one rule for communication order
- Continuous Join
  - Sliding Window + Sliding Bloom Filter
- Analysis
  - Bloom Filters
  - Dominating Parameters for the System
- Implementation
  - Spout + BuilderBolt + ProberBolt
- Experiment Result
  - Processing Latency + Execution Latency + Data Transmission

# Conclusion and Future Work



# Conclusion

- Data Driven Continuous Join
  - Data Preprocessing
  - Data Partitioning
  - Computation
- Query Driven Continuous Join
  - Query Decomposition
  - Communication among Sub-Queries
  - Combine results of Sub-Queries

## Future Work – Research Part

- Enrich the advanced re-partitioning strategy for kNN streaming join (theoretical and experimental analysis and proof )
- Enrich the query engine by providing operations such as FILTER, OPTIONAL, etc.

## Future Work – Use Cases

- Real Time Recommendation System Based on kNN
- Real Time Natural Language Processing System Based on RDF

# Thank You!