# sdaps report

## **GUADEC** Workshop Evaluation

Author

Turned in Questionnaires

1

#### 1 General


## 1.1 Please rate the workshop overall

### 1.1.1 Was the workshop well prepared?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 1.1.2 Was the workshop well structured?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




#### 1.2 Performance of the instructor

#### 1.2.1 Was the instructor motivated?

very motivated - not motivated

Answers: 1 Mean: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




#### 1.2.2 How well did the instructor respond to questions?

very well - really bad


Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00



### 1.2.3 Was the instructor easy to understand?

always - never Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00




#### 1.2.4 Was the instructor prepared?

very well - really bad

Answers: 1 Mean: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 1.3 If applicable, please rate the hands on sessions

#### 1.3.1 Were they well prepared?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




### 1.3.2 How detailed were the provided guides?

too detailed - too imprecise

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00


Standard Deviation: 0.00



#### 1.3.3 Were the tutors able to help you if you got stuck?

always - never Answers: 1 Mean: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 1.3.4 Was the complexity appropriate for your experience?

too complex - too easy

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 1.3.5 Were the tasks at a reasonable pace for you?

too quickly - too slowly

Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00


Standard Deviation: 0.00



#### 1.4 Please comment on the hands on sessions (e.g. good parts, bad parts, possible improvements)

#### 2 Materials

#### 2.1 What materials were used during the workshop?




## 2.2 Please rate the slides (if applicable)

## 2.2.1 Were the slides detailed enough?

absolutely - not at all Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 2.2.2 Were the slides easy to understand?

very easy - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 2.2.3 Were the slides well structured?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00


Standard Deviation: 0.00



## 2.2.4 Were the slides well integrated into the workshop?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00




## 2.3 Please rate other workshop material (if applicable)

#### 2.3.1 Were the handouts detailed enough?

absolutely - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




#### 2.3.2 Were the handouts easy to understand?

very easy - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




#### 2.3.3 Were the handouts well structured?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00




#### 2.3.4 Were the handouts well integrated into the workshop?

very well - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00



#### 2.4 Please comment on the material (e.g. good parts, bad parts, possible improvements)




## 3 Overall impression

## 3.1 Did you enjoy this workshop

very much - not at all


Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00



## 3.2 Would you recommend this workshops to others?

absolutely - never Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00


Standard Deviation: 0.00




## 3.3 How do you rate the workshop organization (by the GUADEC team)?

excellent - poor Answers: 1 Mean: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00



## 3.4 Do you have any other comments?



## 3.5 Do you think that workshops at GUADEC are a good idea?

absolutely - not at all

Answers: 1 Mean: 2.00

