

GUADEC Workshop Evaluation

# GUADEC Workshop Evaluation

May 2, 2019

Turned in Questionnaires: 2

## 1 General

### 1.1 Please rate the workshop overall


1.1.1 Was the workshop well prepared?

very well – not at all

Answers: 2

Mean: 1.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.0




1.1.2 Was the workshop well structured?

very well – not at all

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



### 1.2 Performance of the instructor


1.2.1 Was the instructor motivated?

very motivated – not motivated

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 1.5




1.2.2 How well did the instructor respond to questions?

very well – really bad

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



### 1.2.3 Was the instructor easy to understand?


always – never

50 %    0 %    50 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 1.0



### 1.2.4 Was the instructor prepared?


very well – really bad

0 %    50 %    50 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



## 1.3 If applicable, please rate the hands on sessions

### 1.3.1 Were they well prepared?


very well – not at all

100 %    0 %    0 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 1.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.0



### 1.3.2 How detailed were the provided guides?


too detailed – too imprecise

0 %    50 %    50 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



### 1.3.3 Were the tutors able to help you if you got stuck?


always – never

0 %    0 %    50 %    50 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



1.3.4 Was the complexity appropriate for your experience?


too complex – too easy

0% 50% 0% 0% 50%

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.5

Standard-Deviation: 1.5



1.3.5 Were the tasks at a reasonable pace for you?


too quickly – too slowly

0% 0% 100% 0% 0%

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.0




1.4 Please comment on the hands on sessions (e.g. good parts, bad parts, possible improvements)

Write comment here

None

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 What materials were used during the workshop?



### 2.2 Please rate the slides (if applicable)


#### 2.2.1 Were the slides detailed enough?

absolutely – not at all                      50 %      0 %      50 %      0 %      0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 1.0




#### 2.2.2 Were the slides easy to understand?

very easy – not at all                      0 %      100 %      0 %      0 %      0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.0




#### 2.2.3 Were the slides well structured?

very well – not at all                      0 %      0 %      50 %      50 %      0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5




#### 2.2.4 Were the slides well integrated into the workshop?

very well – not at all                      0 %      50 %      0 %      50 %      0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.0

Standard-Deviation: 1.0



## 2.3 Please rate other workshop material (if applicable)

2.3.1 Were the handouts detailed enough?


absolutely – not at all

50 %    50 %    0 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 1.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



2.3.2 Were the handouts easy to understand?


very easy – not at all

0 %    0 %    0 %    100 %    0 %

Answers: 1

Mean: 4.0

Standard-Deviation: 0.0



2.3.3 Were the handouts well structured?


very well – not at all

0 %    0 %    50 %    50 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 3.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5



2.3.4 Were the handouts well integrated into the workshop?

very well – not at all

0 %    50 %    50 %    0 %    0 %

Answers: 2

Mean: 2.5

Standard-Deviation: 0.5

