Library of Lighting Models: System Verification and Validation Plan for Family of Lighting Models

Sasha Soraine

October 17, 2019

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
October 17, 2019	1.0	Original Draft.

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
T	Test

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can simply reference the SRS tables, if appropriate —SS]

This document outlines a system validation and verification plan for the implementation of a sub-family of lighting models, based on the Commonality Analysis for a Family of Lighting Models. First it will cover general information about the system, including the particular design qualities the system should emphasize and any relevant documentation. Next it will outline the verification plans for the commonality analysis/requirements, system design, and implementation. It will then outline the software validation plan. Finally it will outline a series of representative test cases that are meant to test the functional and non-functional requirements, along with a traceability matrix mapping the test cases to particular requirements.

3 General Information

3.1 Summary

This software implements a sub-family of lighting models. The larger family and problem analysis is found in **??**. This software aims to take in user specifications about the graphical scene (lights, objects, shading models, and an observer) and render a fully lit and shaded scene. To do this is runs calculations using basic optics principles to approximate light behaviour in 3D computer graphics.

3.2 Objectives

[State what is intended to be accomplished. The objective will be around the qualities that are most important for your project. You might have something like: "build confidence in the software correctness," "demonstrate adequate usability." etc. You won't list all of the qualities, just those that are most important. —SS]

3.3 Relevant Documentation

4 Plan

This section outlines the verification and validation plans, including any techniques or data sets being used in the testing process. It also outlines the members of the verification and validations team.

Document Name	Document Type	Document Purpose	Citation
Commonality Analysis of a Family of Lighting Models	Commonality Analysis	Problem domain description, and scoping to a reasonable implementation size through assumptions and requirements.	

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

This section lists the members of the verification and validation team. These are individuals who contribute to the verification and validation of the system and software design. Individuals listed here have specific roles denoting the amount of involvement they will be having in the verification and validation process. Primary roles are actively working on it; secondary roles view the system when major submissions are made; tertiary roles are asked to contribute if able, but are under no obligation to participate.

The verification and validation team includes:

Name	Role	Goal
Sasha Soraine	Primary	Ensure the verification and validation process runs smoothly.
Peter Michalski	Secondary	Ensure the logical consistency of system design and requirements in accordance with feedback role as expert reviewer.
Dr. Spencer Smith	Secondary	Ensure reasonable coverage of design considerations and requirements as part of marking these documents.
CAS 741 Students	Tertiary	Ensure general consistency in design and requirements coverage in accordance with feedback role as secondary reviewers.

4.2 SRS Verification Plan

We aim to verify the requirements listed in the Commonality Analysis in the following ways:

- Have expert level users (familiar with graphics programming) do a close read of the commonality analysis to compare it against existing software tools.
- Review and revise requirements based on feedback from Domain Expert and Secondary Reviewer of SRS.
- Ask Dr. Smith to review the scope to consider whether the implementation scoping and thus listed requirements is inappropriate.

4.3 Design Verification Plan

[Plans for design verification —SS]

4.4 Implementation Verification Plan

[You should at least point to the tests listed in this document and the unit testing plan. —SS]

[In this section you would also give any details of any plans for static verification of the implementation. Potential techniques include code walkthroughs, code inspection, static analyzers, etc. —SS]

4.5 Software Validation Plan

[If there is any external data that can be used for validation, you should point to it here. If there are no plans for validation, you should state that here. —SS]

5 System Test Description

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Subsets of the tests may be in related, so this section is divided into different areas. If there are no identifiable subsets for the tests, this level of document structure can be removed. —SS]

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good. —SS]

5.1.1 Area of Testing1

[It would be nice to have a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good. If a section covers tests for input constraints, you should reference the data constraints table in the SRS. —SS]

Title for Test

1. test-id1

   Control: Manual versus Automatic

   Initial State:

   Input:

   Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

   Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

   How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

   Control: Manual versus Automatic

   Initial State:

   Input:

   Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

   Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

   How test will be performed:

5.1.2 Area of Testing2

...

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[The nonfunctional requirements for accuracy will likely just reference the appropriate functional tests from above. The test cases should mention reporting the relative error for these tests. —SS]

[Tests related to usability could include conducting a usability test and survey. —SS]

5.2.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

   Type:

   Initial State:

   Input/Condition:

   Output/Result:

   How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

   Initial State:

   Input:

   Output:

   How test will be performed:

5.2.2 Area of Testing2

...

5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

[Provide a table that shows which test cases are supporting which requirements. —SS]

References

6 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

6.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

6.2 Usability Survey Questions?

[This is a section that would be appropriate for some projects. —SS]