

# SEGNET ON DRONE IMAGES: IMAGE SEGMENTATION FOR SMART AGRICULTURE

*Anders Henriksen, Asger Schultz, Oskar Wiese, Mads Andersen, Søren Winkel Holm*

s183904, s183912, s183917, s173934, s183911

## ABSTRACT

The abstract should appear at the top of the left-hand column of text, about 0.5 inch (12 mm) below the title area and no more than 3.125 inches (80 mm) in length. Leave a 0.5 inch (12 mm) space between the end of the abstract and the beginning of the main text. The abstract should contain about 100 to 150 words, and should be identical to the abstract text submitted electronically along with the paper cover sheet. All manuscripts must be in English, printed in black ink.

**Index Terms**— One, two, three, four, five

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Brief overview of data

Our data consist of one large, high resolution orthomosaic photo of a sugar cane field formatted as a RGB image. The field has been manually labelled by expert biologist to create a human-ground truth, these labels are represented as a GT-matrix with 3 possible classes. Each pixel is either classified as a crop row (green), weed (yellow), background (red). The large photo is cropped into smaller images and afterwards augmentation techniques are used to gain more data. We will return to the augmentation part later. The images with exclusively black pixels are removed from our data set, and images with some black pixels are ignored when calculating the loss function

## 2. METHODS

Netværket blev initialiseret

The encoder part of the network creates a rich feature map representing the image content. The more layers of maxpooling there are the more translation invariance for robust classification can be achieved. The boundary detail is very important when dealing with image segmentation. Hence, capturing boundary information in the feature maps of the encoder before upsampling is important. This can simply be done by storing the whole feature map, but due to memory constraints only the maxpooling indices are saved, which is a good approximation of the feature maps.

### 2.1. Loss function: Quality over quantity

Multi-class cross entropy because:

- Softmax Network: Minus log likelihood
- Can be seen as a classic multiclass classifier – just on a pixel-by-pixel basis.

Weighted cross entropy because:

- Unbalanced class distribution: Network has to learn to focus on important pixels: Don't classify everything as dirt.
- Initial tests made the network behave as the baseline: Simple features in early layers got were not penalized enough and learning was not stable.
- Resampling expensive

### 2.2. Metrics

Had to use different metrics because

- Not agreement in Image Segmentation papers.
- Want to get accuracy on a global scale and on a class scale.
- Different metrics important in different fields.

The metrics <sup>12</sup>

- Global accuracy: Trivial and not very important because of class imbalance but is good for smoothness
- Mean class-wise accuracy: Takes class imbalance into account. Is what is being optimized for in the model.
- Mean Intersect over Union: "Jaccard Index". Found to be better correlated with human classification though still only  $\approx 0.5$ . Favours region smoothness highly and not boundary accuracy.
- Harmonic mean of precision and recall. To compare to others with same project. Penalizes false positives and gives less credit to true negatives thus being better for unbalanced classes.

<sup>1</sup><https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01581525/document>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.bmva.org/bmvc/2013/Papers/paper0032/paper0032.pdf>

### 2.3. Regularization and Hyperparameters

#### Regularization

- NN's are prone to overfitting, because they are so flexible
- Prevent overfitting → better results on test data
- Three methods
- Dropout: Randomly remove nodes to increase variability.  $p = 10\%$
- Data augmentation: Increase size of dataset
  - Crop each  $512 \times 512$  to random  $256 \times 256$
  - 50% chance of flip T/D and 50% chance of flip L/R
- Batch normalization normalizes activations
  - Faster convergence
  - Prevents ReLU from not learning
  - Introduces noise
  - Reduces vanishing/exploding gradient problem, as values stay close to 0

#### Hyperparameters

- Adaptive learning rate from ADAM optimizer, initialized at  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
- Total: 26 conv + batchnorm + ReLU with dropout, 5 pool/upsample, 1 softmax
- 14.7 M parameters in encoder – significantly lower than 134 M in VGG16 because of no fully-connected layers
- Kernel size:  $3 \times 3$ , stride 1, maxpool:  $2 \times 2$ , stride 2
- Corresponding padding of 1 to prevent reduction of image size

### 2.4. Unification of cropped image predictions

In a real-world application of the segmentation a farmer would want a complete and precise segmentation of his whole field at once, such that fertilization and pesticides can be distributed accordingly. However, it turns out that the prediction quality is of less quality near the borders of the image. Therefore, a naïve stitching of the cropped images leads to a full-blown image prediction with obvious flaws near the borders between the cropped images. To solve this problem, we have chosen to increase the size of the cropped images, and infer on these enlarged pictures. In the procedure of joining the enlarged cropped pictures the pictures are cropped again, to avoid the near border areas. This is computationally inefficient, but it works, and since the inference time is not that big, it is an alright solution. For industrial purposes, another approach might be beneficial.

## 3. RESULTS

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Comparison of different image segmentation neural networks

- Several competing network structures with high performance in image segmentation. U-net, FCN, DeepLabv1, DeconvNet
- Purpose of SegNet, efficient
- 3 out of the 4 mentioned uses the encoder from the famous VGG16 paper, but differ in decoder.
- FCN, No decoder -> Blocky segmentation, but very efficient in inference time.
- DeconvNet, Deconvolution and fully connected layers.
- U-Net, (different purpose), skip connections.
- Main takeaway
- (DeepLabv-LargeFOV & FCN)

[1]

### 4.2. Extension of network

### 4.3. Conclusion

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] Alex et al. Kendall, “Segnet: A deep convolutional encoder-decoder architecture for image segmentation,” .