

Assignment_2_logreg

January 29, 2020

```
[1]: from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
raw = load_breast_cancer()

X = raw.data
y = raw.target
# Show feature names
```

```
[1]: ['mean radius',
      'mean texture',
      'mean perimeter',
      'mean area',
      'mean smoothness',
      'mean compactness',
      'mean concavity',
      'mean concave points',
      'mean symmetry',
      'mean fractal dimension',
      'radius error',
      'texture error',
      'perimeter error',
      'area error',
      'smoothness error',
      'compactness error',
      'concavity error',
      'concave points error',
      'symmetry error',
      'fractal dimension error',
      'worst radius',
      'worst texture',
      'worst perimeter',
      'worst area',
      'worst smoothness',
      'worst compactness',
      'worst concavity',
      'worst concave points',
      'worst symmetry',
      'worst fractal dimension']
```

[21]: # Show dataset description

```
[21]: '.. _breast_cancer_dataset:\n\nBreast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic)
dataset\n-----\n\n**Data Set
Characteristics:**\n\n      :Number of Instances: 569\n\n      :Number of
Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class\n\n      :Attribute
Information:\n      - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the
perimeter)\n      - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)\n
- perimeter\n      - area\n      - smoothness (local variation in radius
lengths)\n      - compactness (perimeter^2 / area - 1.0)\n      - concavity
(severity of concave portions of the contour)\n      - concave points (number
of concave portions of the contour)\n      - symmetry\n      - fractal
dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)\n\n      The mean, standard error,
and "worst" or largest (mean of the three\n      largest values) of these
features were computed for each image,\n      resulting in 30 features. For
instance, field 3 is Mean Radius, field\n      13 is Radius SE, field 23 is
Worst Radius.\n\n      - class:\n      - WDBC-Malignant\n
- WDBC-Benign\n\n      :Summary Statistics:\n\n
===== \n
Min    Max\n      ===== \n      radius
(mean):          6.981 28.11\n      texture (mean):
9.71 39.28\n      perimeter (mean):          43.79 188.5\n      area
(mean):          143.5 2501.0\n      smoothness (mean):
0.053 0.163\n      compactness (mean):          0.019 0.345\n
concavity (mean):          0.0 0.427\n      concave points (mean):
0.0 0.201\n      symmetry (mean):          0.106 0.304\n
fractal dimension (mean):          0.05 0.097\n      radius (standard error):
0.112 2.873\n      texture (standard error):          0.36 4.885\n
perimeter (standard error):          0.757 21.98\n      area (standard error):
6.802 542.2\n      smoothness (standard error):          0.002 0.031\n
compactness (standard error):          0.002 0.135\n      concavity (standard
error):          0.0 0.396\n      concave points (standard error):          0.0
0.053\n      symmetry (standard error):          0.008 0.079\n      fractal
dimension (standard error):          0.001 0.03\n      radius (worst):
7.93 36.04\n      texture (worst):          12.02 49.54\n
perimeter (worst):          50.41 251.2\n      area (worst):
185.2 4254.0\n      smoothness (worst):          0.071 0.223\n
compactness (worst):          0.027 1.058\n      concavity (worst):
0.0 1.252\n      concave points (worst):          0.0 0.291\n
symmetry (worst):          0.156 0.664\n      fractal dimension
(worst):          0.055 0.208\n      =====
===== \n\n      :Missing Attribute Values: None\n\n      :Class Distribution:
212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign\n\n      :Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick
Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian\n\n      :Donor: Nick Street\n\n      :Date: November,
1995\n\nThis is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic)
datasets.\nhttps://goo.gl/U2Uwz2\n\nFeatures are computed from a digitized image
of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They
```

describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image. Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, npp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes. The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34]. This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server: <ftp://ftp.cs.wisc.edu/ncd/math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/> topic:: References - W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993. - O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995. - W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.'

```
[2]: # Show target names
```

```
[2]: ['malignant', 'benign']
```

```
[3]: # Show dimension of X
```

```
[3]: (569, 30)
```

```
[4]: # Show dimension of y
```

```
[4]: (569,)
```

```
[5]: # Split X, y into X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test with 7:3 ratio
```

```
[10]: # Build a logistic regression model of solver='liblinear' with X_train, y_train
```

```
[10]: LogisticRegression(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,
                        intercept_scaling=1, l1_ratio=None, max_iter=100,
                        multi_class='warn', n_jobs=None, penalty='l2',
                        random_state=None, solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001, verbose=0,
                        warm_start=False)
```

```
[11]: # predict y_pred from X_test
```

```
[12]: # Show confusion matrix
```

```
[14]: # Show accuracy
```

```
[14]: 0.9473684210526315
```

```
[15]: # Show precision
```

[15]: 0.9663865546218487

[16]: # *Show recall*

[16]: 0.9583333333333334